

E-RATE FUNDING IN U.S. CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS

District-by-District Scorecards, 1998–2025

Technology Policy Institute

TECHPOLICYINSTITUTE.ORG

GENERATED 2026-06-10

About This Report

The federal E-Rate program, administered by the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) under the Federal Communications Commission, provides discounts of up to 90 percent on telecommunications, internet access, and internal connections for eligible schools and libraries. This report presents an E-Rate funding scorecard for every United States congressional district.

Each billed entity is assigned to the congressional district (119th Congress boundaries, U.S. Census TIGER/Line 2024) containing the largest number of its recipient sites, located by their USAC-reported coordinates; entities without usable coordinates use the district in their USAC profile. Funding totals are total authorized disbursements (FCC Form 471 FRN Status, USAC dataset qdmp-ygft); speeds are from Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9); pre-2016 history is from USAC legacy data (1998-2015). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid) — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error.

Each district receives two pages: a funding overview with total disbursements, average discount rates, participating entities and service providers, and a disbursement history covering 1998–2025; followed by rankings of the district’s largest E-Rate recipients and service providers and average contracted connection speeds.

© 2026 TECHNOLOGY POLICY INSTITUTE

Data: USAC Open Data (opendata.usac.org), retrieved 2026-06-10.

Contents

Florida

Florida's 21st District 4

Table 1. E-Rate Funding Overview

Indicator	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$2,115,679	\$1,590,421	\$4,330,887	\$561,656	\$1,068,203	\$1,471,948	\$1,571,901	\$717,381
Average Discount Rate	68%	68%	65%	64%	67%	73%	76%	69%
Number of Service Providers	16	14	15	11	17	17	19	17
Number of Billed Entities	16	15	15	15	16	17	17	14
– School District BENs	3	2	2	2	2	2	3	2
– School BENs	12	12	11	11	12	13	12	10
– Library BENs	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
– Consortium BENs	–	–	1	1	1	1	1	1

Figure 1. Total E-Rate Disbursements, 1998–2025




Table 2. E-Rate Subsidies by Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$35,864
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Internal Connections	\$2,040,604	\$1,146,556	\$3,803,948	\$6,776	\$526,114	\$996,346	\$1,087,770	\$89,984
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	\$73,783	\$428,674	\$520,009	\$531,766	\$519,128	\$475,602	\$476,336	\$581,639
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	\$482	\$11,245	\$0	\$11,440	\$11,440	\$0	\$132	\$293
Managed Internal Broadband Services	\$810	\$3,946	\$6,930	\$11,674	\$11,520	–	\$7,662	\$9,600

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9); FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments 1998–2015. Funding figures are total authorized disbursements; each billed entity is assigned to the 119th-Congress district containing the most of its recipient sites (Census TIGER/Line 2024). 5-yr Total and Average cover FY2021–2025; FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Table 3. Top 10 Billed Entities by E-Rate Disbursements (ranked by 5-yr total)

Billed Entity	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	5-yr Total	Average
St Lucie County School Dist	\$426K	\$790K	\$4.2M	\$344K	\$844K	\$1.2M	\$431K	\$536K	\$6.6M	\$1.3M
Martin County School District	\$1.6M	\$547K	–	–	–	–	\$933K	–	\$2.2M	\$431K
Franklin Academy - Palm Beach Gardens Campus	\$19K	\$101K	\$18K	\$18K	\$31K	\$25K	\$33K	–	\$188K	\$38K
St Lucie County Library System	\$30K	\$34K	\$38K	\$37K	\$33K	\$46K	\$56K	\$64K	\$172K	\$34K
Somerset St. Lucie (Imagine)	–	\$11K	\$22K	\$30K	\$26K	\$17K	\$11K	\$12K	\$89K	\$18K
Gardens School Of Technology Arts, Inc.	–	\$12K	\$16K	\$24K	\$24K	\$14K	\$18K	\$30K	\$76K	\$15K
St Anastasia Catholic School	\$482	\$15K	\$6K	\$24K	\$18K	\$6K	\$7K	\$29K	\$63K	\$13K
St. Lucie - Bethany	–	\$13K	\$13K	\$14K	\$14K	\$33K	–	–	\$53K	\$11K
All Saints Catholic School	\$2K	\$5K	\$15K	\$10K	\$20K	\$12K	\$7K	\$7K	\$52K	\$10K
The Agricultural And Labor Program, Inc.	–	–	–	\$19K	\$25K	\$22K	\$22K	–	\$45K	\$9K

Table 4. Top 10 Service Providers by E-Rate Disbursements (ranked by 5-yr total)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	5-yr Total	Average
Presidio Networked Solutions, Inc	\$426K	–	\$3.4M	–	\$500K	\$936K	\$99K	\$32K	\$4.3M	\$866K
World Wide Technology, Llc	\$1.6M	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$1.6M	\$322K
Bellsouth Telecommunications, Llc	\$23K	\$320K	\$388K	\$373K	\$373K	\$322K	\$340K	\$393K	\$1.5M	\$295K
Network Cabling Solutions, Inc.	–	\$496K	\$394K	–	–	–	–	–	\$890K	\$178K
Pc Solutions & Integration, Inc.	–	\$547K	–	–	–	–	\$933K	–	\$547K	\$109K
Comcast Business Communications	\$11K	\$48K	\$65K	\$86K	\$73K	\$78K	\$61K	\$42K	\$283K	\$57K
Network Innovations, Llc	\$19K	\$20K	\$18K	\$18K	\$18K	\$18K	\$18K	–	\$94K	\$19K
Itetech, Inc.	–	\$81K	–	–	\$13K	\$7K	\$14K	–	\$94K	\$19K
At&T Enterprises, Llc	\$12K	\$15K	\$20K	\$15K	\$10K	\$13K	\$15K	\$21K	\$71K	\$14K
Fort Pierce Utilities Authority	\$5K	\$12K	\$12K	\$12K	\$16K	\$16K	\$19K	\$136K	\$59K	\$12K

Table 5. Average Contracted Speeds by Service Type (Mbps)

Service Type	Download					Upload				
	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	5,141	5,759	2,838	2,203	2,110	5,126	5,753	2,805	2,159	2,064

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9); FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments 1998–2015. Funding figures are total authorized disbursements; each billed entity is assigned to the 119th-Congress district containing the most of its recipient sites (Census TIGER/Line 2024). 5-yr Total and Average cover FY2021–2025; FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.