

E-RATE FUNDING IN U.S. CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS

District-by-District Scorecards, 1998–2025

Technology Policy Institute

TECHPOLICYINSTITUTE.ORG

GENERATED 2026-06-10

About This Report

The federal E-Rate program, administered by the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) under the Federal Communications Commission, provides discounts of up to 90 percent on telecommunications, internet access, and internal connections for eligible schools and libraries. This report presents an E-Rate funding scorecard for every United States congressional district.

Each billed entity is assigned to the congressional district (119th Congress boundaries, U.S. Census TIGER/Line 2024) containing the largest number of its recipient sites, located by their USAC-reported coordinates; entities without usable coordinates use the district in their USAC profile. Funding totals are total authorized disbursements (FCC Form 471 FRN Status, USAC dataset qdmp-ygft); speeds are from Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9); pre-2016 history is from USAC legacy data (1998-2015). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid) — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error.

Each district receives two pages: a funding overview with total disbursements, average discount rates, participating entities and service providers, and a disbursement history covering 1998–2025; followed by rankings of the district's largest E-Rate recipients and service providers and average contracted connection speeds.

© 2026 TECHNOLOGY POLICY INSTITUTE

Data: USAC Open Data (opendata.usac.org), retrieved 2026-06-10.

Contents

Florida

Florida's 27th District 4

Table 1. E-Rate Funding Overview

Indicator	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$510,348	\$1,270,142	\$1,139,815	\$1,325,760	\$1,105,747	\$1,066,744	\$1,008,547	\$765,030
Average Discount Rate	64%	66%	68%	66%	70%	70%	69%	59%
Number of Service Providers	21	22	19	19	19	23	22	22
Number of Billed Entities	46	48	49	49	45	46	44	28
– School District BENs	8	8	9	9	9	9	8	8
– School BENs	38	40	40	40	36	37	36	20

Figure 1. Total E-Rate Disbursements, 1998–2025




Table 2. E-Rate Subsidies by Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$11,006
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Internal Connections	\$77,609	\$273,616	\$152,081	\$307,657	\$168,725	\$182,219	\$209,377	\$93,422
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	\$420,661	\$983,608	\$979,606	\$1,018,103	\$929,582	\$884,524	\$799,170	\$660,603
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	\$3,239	\$2,789	\$451	–	\$7,440	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	\$8,840	\$10,128	\$7,677	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9); FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments 1998–2015. Funding figures are total authorized disbursements; each billed entity is assigned to the 119th-Congress district containing the most of its recipient sites (Census TIGER/Line 2024). 5-yr Total and Average cover FY2021–2025; FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Table 3. Top 10 Billed Entities by E-Rate Disbursements (ranked by 5-yr total)

Billed Entity	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	5-yr Total	Average
Lincoln-Marti School District	\$125K	\$324K	\$333K	\$311K	\$357K	\$324K	\$301K	\$434K	\$1.5M	\$290K
Christopher Columbus High School	\$33K	\$36K	\$20K	\$169K	\$71K	\$48K	\$27K	\$20K	\$329K	\$66K
O'Farrill Learning Center	\$47K	\$60K	\$65K	\$68K	\$70K	\$82K	\$71K	\$75K	\$311K	\$62K
Slam	–	\$57K	\$57K	\$42K	\$44K	\$46K	\$73K	\$21K	\$200K	\$40K
Our Lady Of Lourdes Academy	\$39K	\$36K	\$44K	\$21K	\$21K	\$27K	\$21K	–	\$162K	\$32K
Revelation School Of Florida Dba Dade Prep Academy	\$10K	\$82K	\$11K	\$15K	\$36K	\$23K	–	–	\$155K	\$31K
St Brendan High School	\$26K	\$15K	\$35K	\$34K	\$34K	\$22K	\$15K	–	\$144K	\$29K
True North Classical Academy	\$18K	\$21K	\$41K	\$16K	\$37K	\$39K	–	–	\$133K	\$27K
Coral Park Christian Academy	\$13K	\$16K	\$16K	\$14K	\$44K	\$48K	–	–	\$103K	\$21K
Pinecrest Westfountainbleau	–	\$59K	\$14K	\$14K	\$15K	\$20K	\$26K	–	\$101K	\$20K

Table 4. Top 10 Service Providers by E-Rate Disbursements (ranked by 5-yr total)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	5-yr Total	Average
Comcast Business Communications	\$225K	\$652K	\$628K	\$671K	\$573K	\$491K	\$496K	\$233K	\$2.7M	\$550K
Crown Castle Fiber Llc	\$92K	\$182K	\$171K	\$144K	\$159K	\$182K	\$99K	\$67K	\$747K	\$149K
Stratus Networks, Inc	\$47K	\$39K	\$48K	\$53K	\$53K	\$53K	\$53K	\$49K	\$239K	\$48K
United Data Technologies, Inc.	\$27K	–	\$15K	\$160K	\$23K	\$4K	–	–	\$224K	\$45K
At&T Enterprises, Llc	\$25K	\$43K	\$40K	\$43K	\$35K	\$32K	\$30K	\$13K	\$186K	\$37K
Windstream Communications, Llc	–	\$22K	\$51K	\$45K	\$49K	\$35K	\$28K	\$28K	\$166K	\$33K
Pc Solutions & Integration, Inc.	–	\$52K	\$12K	\$92K	–	–	–	–	\$155K	\$31K
Dynamic Network Solutions, Inc.	–	–	\$47K	–	\$102K	\$46K	–	\$93K	\$149K	\$30K
Bamboo Edtech	–	\$71K	–	–	\$51K	\$46K	–	–	\$123K	\$25K
Kampung Communications, Inc	\$22K	\$21K	\$21K	\$21K	\$21K	\$17K	\$13K	–	\$106K	\$21K

Table 5. Average Contracted Speeds by Service Type (Mbps)

Service Type	Download					Upload				
	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	2,060	1,452	1,053	1,026	889	2,060	1,446	1,046	1,012	852

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9); FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmf-ygft); USAC legacy commitments 1998–2015. Funding figures are total authorized disbursements; each billed entity is assigned to the 119th-Congress district containing the most of its recipient sites (Census TIGER/Line 2024). 5-yr Total and Average cover FY2021–2025; FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.