

# **E-RATE FUNDING IN U.S. CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS**

*District-by-District Scorecards, 1998–2025*

Technology Policy Institute

[TECHPOLICYINSTITUTE.ORG](https://TECHPOLICYINSTITUTE.ORG)

GENERATED 2026-06-10

# About This Report

---

The federal E-Rate program, administered by the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) under the Federal Communications Commission, provides discounts of up to 90 percent on telecommunications, internet access, and internal connections for eligible schools and libraries. This report presents an E-Rate funding scorecard for every United States congressional district.

Each billed entity is assigned to the congressional district (119th Congress boundaries, U.S. Census TIGER/Line 2024) containing the largest number of its recipient sites, located by their USAC-reported coordinates; entities without usable coordinates use the district in their USAC profile. Funding totals are total authorized disbursements (FCC Form 471 FRN Status, USAC dataset qdmp-ygft); speeds are from Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9); pre-2016 history is from USAC legacy data (1998-2015). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid) — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error.

Each district receives two pages: a funding overview with total disbursements, average discount rates, participating entities and service providers, and a disbursement history covering 1998–2025; followed by rankings of the district’s largest E-Rate recipients and service providers and average contracted connection speeds.

---

© 2026 TECHNOLOGY POLICY INSTITUTE

Data: USAC Open Data ([opendata.usac.org](https://opendata.usac.org)), retrieved 2026-06-10.

# Contents

---

Florida

Florida's 28th District ..... 4

Table 1. E-Rate Funding Overview

Indicator	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$323,300	\$1,128,547	\$1,005,478	\$955,366	\$817,725	\$839,015	\$946,864	\$858,792
Average Discount Rate	77%	80%	78%	76%	76%	77%	76%	59%
Number of Service Providers	18	17	13	15	16	12	13	15
Number of Billed Entities	34	33	32	32	31	31	31	24
– School District BENs	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11
– School BENs	22	21	20	20	19	19	19	14
– Library BENs	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
– Consortium BENs	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	–

Figure 1. Total E-Rate Disbursements, 1998–2025




Table 2. E-Rate Subsidies by Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$10,443
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Internal Connections	\$51,863	\$280,272	\$132,870	\$170,236	\$106,418	\$83,349	\$254,078	\$200,637
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	\$241,712	\$808,631	\$823,210	\$780,924	\$708,444	\$751,904	\$692,786	\$647,712
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	\$0	\$0	\$3,019	\$4,205	\$2,863	\$3,763	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	\$29,725	\$39,644	\$46,379	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9); FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments 1998–2015. Funding figures are total authorized disbursements; each billed entity is assigned to the 119th-Congress district containing the most of its recipient sites (Census TIGER/Line 2024). 5-yr Total and Average cover FY2021–2025; FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Table 3. Top 10 Billed Entities by E-Rate Disbursements (ranked by 5-yr total)

Billed Entity	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	5-yr Total	Average
Charter School Associates	\$30K	\$242K	\$293K	\$240K	\$243K	\$233K	\$195K	–	\$1.0M	\$210K
Monroe County School Dist 44	\$118K	\$255K	\$82K	\$86K	\$96K	\$109K	\$91K	\$385K	\$637K	\$127K
Miami Community Charter Schools	–	\$58K	\$70K	\$124K	\$120K	\$25K	–	\$9K	\$371K	\$74K
Somerset Silver Palms	–	\$103K	\$52K	\$52K	\$19K	\$104K	\$68K	\$52K	\$226K	\$45K
Archimedean Academy	–	\$17K	\$87K	\$30K	\$17K	\$14K	\$21K	\$62K	\$150K	\$30K
Soho Middle-High	–	\$38K	\$35K	\$35K	\$35K	\$16K	\$31K	\$18K	\$142K	\$28K
Le Jardin Community Center	\$33K	\$47K	\$35K	\$13K	\$0	\$57K	\$62K	\$79K	\$128K	\$26K
Hope Academy	\$6K	\$20K	\$18K	\$79K	–	–	–	–	\$123K	\$25K
Somerset City Arts	–	\$31K	\$30K	\$30K	\$19K	\$14K	\$44K	\$37K	\$111K	\$22K
Academir Charter School Preparatory	\$20K	\$12K	\$39K	\$12K	\$10K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$94K	\$19K

Table 4. Top 10 Service Providers by E-Rate Disbursements (ranked by 5-yr total)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	5-yr Total	Average
Comcast Business Communications	\$191K	\$479K	\$490K	\$476K	\$383K	\$364K	\$365K	\$525K	\$2.0M	\$404K
Gtt Americas Llc	–	\$208K	\$244K	\$236K	\$240K	\$230K	\$195K	–	\$928K	\$186K
Crown Castle Fiber Llc	\$12K	\$60K	\$33K	\$37K	\$70K	\$104K	\$82K	\$83K	\$212K	\$42K
Cdw Government Llc	\$12K	\$166K	–	\$2K	\$12K	\$48K	\$37K	\$111K	\$193K	\$39K
Bamboo Edtech	–	–	–	\$113K	\$76K	\$19K	\$4K	\$44K	\$189K	\$38K
Rapidscale Inc.	\$30K	\$34K	\$46K	–	–	–	–	–	\$110K	\$22K
At&T Enterprises, Llc	\$13K	\$42K	\$39K	\$6K	\$5K	\$9K	\$11K	–	\$104K	\$21K
Jamc Enterprises, Inc	–	\$38K	\$56K	–	–	\$15K	\$14K	–	\$94K	\$19K
Govconnection, Inc.	–	–	\$70K	\$13K	–	–	–	\$43K	\$83K	\$17K
Pc Solutions & Integration, Inc.	–	\$61K	–	\$1K	–	–	–	\$2K	\$62K	\$12K

Table 5. Average Contracted Speeds by Service Type (Mbps)

Service Type	Download					Upload				
	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	2,324	1,982	1,386	1,163	715	2,267	1,891	1,324	1,107	638

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9); FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments 1998–2015. Funding figures are total authorized disbursements; each billed entity is assigned to the 119th-Congress district containing the most of its recipient sites (Census TIGER/Line 2024). 5-yr Total and Average cover FY2021–2025; FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.