

E-RATE FUNDING IN U.S. CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS

District-by-District Scorecards, 1998–2025

Technology Policy Institute

TECHPOLICYINSTITUTE.ORG

GENERATED 2026-06-10

About This Report

The federal E-Rate program, administered by the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) under the Federal Communications Commission, provides discounts of up to 90 percent on telecommunications, internet access, and internal connections for eligible schools and libraries. This report presents an E-Rate funding scorecard for every United States congressional district.

Each billed entity is assigned to the congressional district (119th Congress boundaries, U.S. Census TIGER/Line 2024) containing the largest number of its recipient sites, located by their USAC-reported coordinates; entities without usable coordinates use the district in their USAC profile. Funding totals are total authorized disbursements (FCC Form 471 FRN Status, USAC dataset qdmp-ygft); speeds are from Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9); pre-2016 history is from USAC legacy data (1998-2015). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid) — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error.

Each district receives two pages: a funding overview with total disbursements, average discount rates, participating entities and service providers, and a disbursement history covering 1998–2025; followed by rankings of the district’s largest E-Rate recipients and service providers and average contracted connection speeds.

© 2026 TECHNOLOGY POLICY INSTITUTE

Data: USAC Open Data (opendata.usac.org), retrieved 2026-06-10.

Contents

Maryland

Maryland's 4th District 4

Table 1. E-Rate Funding Overview

Indicator	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$138,855	\$6,435,532	\$6,729,815	\$6,910,140	\$6,102,691	\$5,785,941	\$8,511,027	\$3,888,478
Average Discount Rate	59%	67%	56%	62%	64%	65%	58%	63%
Number of Service Providers	12	11	10	11	9	11	10	14
Number of Billed Entities	10	9	10	9	10	8	8	10
— School District BENs	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
— School BENs	7	6	7	6	7	5	6	7
— Consortium BENs	1	1	1	1	1	1	—	1

Figure 1. Total E-Rate Disbursements, 1998–2025




Table 2. E-Rate Subsidies by Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018
Voice	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Telecomm Services	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Internal Connections	\$47,248	\$3,587,067	\$3,920,112	\$3,728,860	\$4,028,146	\$3,496,860	\$6,322,382	\$1,452,351
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	\$89,039	\$2,702,276	\$2,807,333	\$3,177,672	\$2,071,148	\$2,289,081	\$2,188,645	\$2,408,035
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	\$2,568	\$144,980	\$374	\$211	—	—	—	\$28,092
Managed Internal Broadband Services	—	\$1,210	\$1,997	\$3,397	\$3,397	—	—	—

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9); FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments 1998–2015. Funding figures are total authorized disbursements; each billed entity is assigned to the 119th-Congress district containing the most of its recipient sites (Census TIGER/Line 2024). 5-yr Total and Average cover FY2021–2025; FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Table 3. Top 10 Billed Entities by E-Rate Disbursements (ranked by 5-yr total)

Billed Entity	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	5-yr Total	Average
Prince George's County Schools	—	\$6.1M	\$6.3M	\$6.2M	\$5.7M	\$5.4M	\$8.4M	\$3.5M	\$24.4M	\$4.9M
Enoch Pratt Free Library - Sailor	\$30K	\$171K	\$295K	\$510K	\$187K	\$234K	—	\$288K	\$1.2M	\$239K
The Foundation Schools	\$46K	\$129K	\$97K	\$129K	\$129K	\$127K	\$28K	\$26K	\$530K	\$106K
Spencerville Adventist Academy	—	\$14K	\$13K	\$16K	\$51K	\$19K	\$21K	\$16K	\$94K	\$19K
Bishop Mcnamara High School	\$49K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$49K	\$10K
Dematha Catholic High School	\$5K	\$3K	\$11K	\$8K	\$19K	\$2K	\$36K	\$9K	\$46K	\$9K
George E. Peters Adventist School	\$5K	\$4K	\$4K	\$5K	\$5K	—	—	—	\$22K	\$4K
Elizabeth Seton High School	\$2K	\$3K	\$4K	\$6K	\$3K	\$6K	\$19K	\$19K	\$18K	\$4K
Imagine Foundations Of Morningside Public Charter	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$660	\$660	\$660	\$17K	\$792	\$5K	\$965
Imagine Lincoln Public Charter School	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$175	\$528	\$528	\$19K	\$528	\$5K	\$910

Table 4. Top 10 Service Providers by E-Rate Disbursements (ranked by 5-yr total)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	5-yr Total	Average
Lanier Electronics Group, Inc.	—	\$3.0M	\$3.9M	\$3.7M	\$4.0M	\$3.4M	\$6.2M	\$1.4M	\$14.6M	\$2.9M
Ena Services, Llc	—	\$2.4M	\$2.4M	\$2.1M	\$1.7M	\$2.0M	\$2.1M	\$2.1M	\$8.6M	\$1.7M
Comcast Business Communications	\$53K	\$256K	\$350K	\$334K	\$285K	\$293K	\$19K	\$214K	\$1.3M	\$256K
Data Networks Of America, Inc.	—	\$773K	—	\$372K	—	—	—	—	\$1.1M	\$229K
Digicon Corporation	—	—	—	\$269K	—	—	—	\$28K	\$269K	\$54K
Bay Country Communications Inc	\$20K	\$44K	\$45K	\$41K	\$44K	\$28K	—	\$38K	\$194K	\$39K
Zayo Group, Llc	\$10K	\$20K	\$16K	\$14K	\$7K	—	—	—	\$68K	\$14K
Dynamic Network Solutions, Inc.	\$47K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$47K	\$9K
Red River Computer Co., Inc.	—	—	—	—	\$36K	\$10K	—	—	\$36K	\$7K
Verizon Online Llc	\$2K	\$2K	\$9K	\$7K	\$7K	\$5K	\$6K	\$5K	\$26K	\$5K

Table 5. Average Contracted Speeds by Service Type (Mbps)

Service Type	Download					Upload				
	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	6,764	26,795	5,201	4,721	5,307	6,763	26,795	5,201	4,721	5,307

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9); FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments 1998–2015. Funding figures are total authorized disbursements; each billed entity is assigned to the 119th-Congress district containing the most of its recipient sites (Census TIGER/Line 2024). 5-yr Total and Average cover FY2021–2025; FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.