

E-RATE FUNDING IN U.S. CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS

District-by-District Scorecards, 1998–2025

Technology Policy Institute

TECHPOLICYINSTITUTE.ORG

GENERATED 2026-06-10

About This Report

The federal E-Rate program, administered by the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) under the Federal Communications Commission, provides discounts of up to 90 percent on telecommunications, internet access, and internal connections for eligible schools and libraries. This report presents an E-Rate funding scorecard for every United States congressional district.

Each billed entity is assigned to the congressional district (119th Congress boundaries, U.S. Census TIGER/Line 2024) containing the largest number of its recipient sites, located by their USAC-reported coordinates; entities without usable coordinates use the district in their USAC profile. Funding totals are total authorized disbursements (FCC Form 471 FRN Status, USAC dataset qdmp-ygft); speeds are from Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9); pre-2016 history is from USAC legacy data (1998-2015). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid) — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error.

Each district receives two pages: a funding overview with total disbursements, average discount rates, participating entities and service providers, and a disbursement history covering 1998–2025; followed by rankings of the district’s largest E-Rate recipients and service providers and average contracted connection speeds.

© 2026 TECHNOLOGY POLICY INSTITUTE

Data: USAC Open Data (opendata.usac.org), retrieved 2026-06-10.

Contents

Nevada

Nevada's 2nd District 4

Table 1. E-Rate Funding Overview

Indicator	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$1,512,656	\$6,581,217	\$22,943,034	\$7,025,671	\$4,294,173	\$4,694,836	\$4,150,481	\$3,032,854
Average Discount Rate	71%	73%	74%	69%	66%	69%	72%	61%
Number of Service Providers	43	34	33	32	28	26	27	33
Number of Billed Entities	39	38	38	35	35	31	29	22
— School District BENs	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12
— School BENs	14	13	13	12	12	10	9	10
— Library BENs	11	11	11	10	10	8	6	—
— Consortium BENs	2	2	2	1	1	1	2	—

Figure 1. Total E-Rate Disbursements, 1998–2025




Table 2. E-Rate Subsidies by Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018
Voice	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$3,154
Telecomm Services	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Internal Connections	\$434,313	\$2,418,887	\$3,952,667	\$1,733,038	\$2,220,935	\$1,901,216	\$850,795	\$426,511
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	\$1,061,701	\$4,162,330	\$18,781,924	\$5,237,732	\$2,073,238	\$2,793,620	\$3,298,683	\$2,603,189
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	\$35	—	\$208,443	\$54,901	—	—	\$1,003	—
Managed Internal Broadband Services	\$16,608	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Wi-Fi Hotspots Services and Equipment	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Wireless School Bus Services and Equipment	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9); FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments 1998–2015. Funding figures are total authorized disbursements; each billed entity is assigned to the 119th-Congress district containing the most of its recipient sites (Census TIGER/Line 2024). 5-yr Total and Average cover FY2021–2025; FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Table 3. Top 10 Billed Entities by E-Rate Disbursements (ranked by 5-yr total)

Billed Entity	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	5-yr Total	Average
Humboldt County Community Anchor Broadband Consortium	–	–	\$13.9M	–	–	–	–	–	\$13.9M	\$2.8M
Washoe County School District	\$181K	\$2.1M	\$3.5M	\$1.2M	\$2.1M	\$1.2M	\$759K	\$697K	\$9.1M	\$1.8M
Elko County School District	\$188K	\$2.5M	\$3.6M	\$1.4M	\$329K	\$321K	\$721K	\$257K	\$8.0M	\$1.6M
Lyon County School District	\$14K	\$561K	\$1.1M	\$746K	\$632K	\$724K	\$570K	\$1.4M	\$3.0M	\$607K
Washoe County Library	\$12K	\$14K	\$9K	\$1.7M	–	–	–	–	\$1.7M	\$343K
Carson City School District	\$59K	\$412K	\$42K	\$1.1M	\$76K	\$447K	\$355K	\$102K	\$1.7M	\$341K
White Pine Co School District	\$264K	\$288K	\$224K	\$305K	\$176K	\$135K	\$330K	\$80K	\$1.3M	\$251K
Humboldt County School Dist	\$30K	\$244K	\$85K	\$85K	\$320K	\$282K	\$96K	\$77K	\$765K	\$153K
Churchill County School Dist	\$268K	\$77K	\$76K	\$47K	\$48K	\$159K	\$42K	\$52K	\$515K	\$103K
Mybrary	–	\$44K	\$40K	\$172K	\$44K	\$127K	\$45K	–	\$300K	\$60K

Table 4. Top 10 Service Providers by E-Rate Disbursements (ranked by 5-yr total)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	5-yr Total	Average
Treasure Lake Lp	–	\$1.9M	\$17.0M	\$44K	\$42K	\$40K	\$32K	–	\$18.9M	\$3.8M
Dyntek Services, Inc.	–	\$1.7M	\$3.1M	\$921K	\$1.9M	\$812K	\$431K	\$386K	\$7.6M	\$1.5M
Hot Spot Broadband, Inc.	\$67K	\$656K	\$577K	\$416K	\$609K	\$660K	\$1.4M	\$501K	\$2.3M	\$465K
Digital Technology Solutions Inc.	\$14K	\$29K	\$9K	\$1.7M	–	–	–	–	\$1.7M	\$346K
Wanrack Llc	\$201K	\$457K	\$208K	\$452K	\$308K	\$308K	\$735K	\$230K	\$1.6M	\$325K
Nevada Bell Telephone Company, Llc	\$180K	\$341K	\$360K	\$275K	\$267K	\$359K	\$326K	\$309K	\$1.4M	\$285K
Fatbeam, Llc	\$50K	\$50K	\$35K	\$1.1M	\$12K	\$14K	\$2K	\$1.0M	\$1.2M	\$240K
Shi International Corpo.	\$235K	\$514K	\$34K	–	\$327K	\$227K	–	–	\$1.1M	\$222K
Step Cg, Llc	–	–	\$581K	\$390K	–	–	–	–	\$971K	\$194K
Commnet Of Nevada, Llc	\$314K	\$282K	\$226K	–	–	–	–	–	\$822K	\$164K

Table 5. Average Contracted Speeds by Service Type (Mbps)

Service Type	Download					Upload				
	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	2,402	2,232	2,275	3,027	1,588	2,552	2,377	2,268	3,020	1,580

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9); FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments 1998–2015. Funding figures are total authorized disbursements; each billed entity is assigned to the 119th-Congress district containing the most of its recipient sites (Census TIGER/Line 2024). 5-yr Total and Average cover FY2021–2025; FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.