

E-RATE FUNDING IN U.S. CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS

District-by-District Scorecards, 1998–2025

Technology Policy Institute

TECHPOLICYINSTITUTE.ORG

GENERATED 2026-06-10

About This Report

The federal E-Rate program, administered by the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) under the Federal Communications Commission, provides discounts of up to 90 percent on telecommunications, internet access, and internal connections for eligible schools and libraries. This report presents an E-Rate funding scorecard for every United States congressional district.

Each billed entity is assigned to the congressional district (119th Congress boundaries, U.S. Census TIGER/Line 2024) containing the largest number of its recipient sites, located by their USAC-reported coordinates; entities without usable coordinates use the district in their USAC profile. Funding totals are total authorized disbursements (FCC Form 471 FRN Status, USAC dataset qdmp-ygft); speeds are from Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9); pre-2016 history is from USAC legacy data (1998-2015). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid) — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error.

Each district receives two pages: a funding overview with total disbursements, average discount rates, participating entities and service providers, and a disbursement history covering 1998–2025; followed by rankings of the district’s largest E-Rate recipients and service providers and average contracted connection speeds.

© 2026 TECHNOLOGY POLICY INSTITUTE

Data: USAC Open Data (opendata.usac.org), retrieved 2026-06-10.

Contents

New York

New York's 11th District 4

Table 1. E-Rate Funding Overview

Indicator	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$333,244	\$539,654	\$416,104	\$609,604	\$480,431	\$394,590	\$432,293	\$400,671
Average Discount Rate	57%	57%	57%	57%	56%	56%	57%	51%
Number of Service Providers	20	18	21	21	22	24	23	29
Number of Billed Entities	29	29	34	35	31	30	35	38
— School District BENs	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
— School BENs	26	26	31	32	28	27	32	35

Figure 1. Total E-Rate Disbursements, 1998–2025




Table 2. E-Rate Subsidies by Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2,534
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Internal Connections	\$62,095	\$60,185	\$106,799	\$182,974	\$274,944	\$106,665	\$102,772	\$39,828
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	\$259,477	\$465,121	\$302,741	\$405,399	\$180,746	\$263,343	\$316,850	\$342,403
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	\$4,032	–	\$782	\$4,590	\$18,522	\$20,982	\$11,231	\$9,072
Managed Internal Broadband Services	\$7,640	\$14,349	\$5,781	\$16,641	\$6,218	\$3,600	\$1,440	\$6,835

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9); FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments 1998–2015. Funding figures are total authorized disbursements; each billed entity is assigned to the 119th-Congress district containing the most of its recipient sites (Census TIGER/Line 2024). 5-yr Total and Average cover FY2021–2025; FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Table 3. Top 10 Billed Entities by E-Rate Disbursements (ranked by 5-yr total)

Billed Entity	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	5-yr Total	Average
John W. Lavelle Preparatory Charter School	\$117K	\$165K	\$51K	\$58K	\$190K	\$95K	\$75K	\$35K	\$580K	\$116K
Yeshiva Ketana Of Bensonhurst	\$24K	\$194K	\$110K	\$214K	\$2K	\$81K	\$82K	\$90K	\$544K	\$109K
Hellenic Classical Charter-Statens Island	\$49K	\$59K	\$51K	\$33K	\$29K	\$19K	\$24K	—	\$219K	\$44K
Catholic School Region Of Staten Island	\$9K	\$6K	\$6K	\$140K	\$20K	\$17K	\$11K	\$9K	\$180K	\$36K
New World Preparatory Charter School	\$17K	\$13K	\$51K	\$15K	\$43K	\$11K	\$2K	\$4K	\$139K	\$28K
Msgr Farrell High School	\$10K	\$17K	\$11K	\$17K	\$70K	\$27K	\$14K	\$31K	\$123K	\$25K
Poly Prep Country Day School	\$19K	\$21K	\$17K	\$21K	\$21K	\$13K	\$12K	\$15K	\$99K	\$20K
Hebrew Language Academy 2	—	—	\$12K	\$48K	\$14K	\$19K	\$13K	\$13K	\$74K	\$15K
Bridge Prep Charter School	\$10K	\$7K	\$25K	\$10K	\$15K	\$13K	\$10K	—	\$68K	\$14K
Volunteers Of America-Gny Business Office	—	\$13K	\$9K	\$9K	\$12K	\$44K	\$36K	\$24K	\$43K	\$9K

Table 4. Top 10 Service Providers by E-Rate Disbursements (ranked by 5-yr total)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	5-yr Total	Average
Iwise Consulting, Inc	\$117K	\$165K	\$51K	\$58K	\$190K	\$95K	\$47K	—	\$580K	\$116K
Mspnetworks Llc	\$49K	\$59K	\$51K	\$33K	\$29K	\$19K	\$24K	—	\$219K	\$44K
Top Quality Communication Inc	\$24K	\$194K	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$219K	\$44K
Sunshine Communication Inc	—	—	—	\$214K	—	—	—	—	\$214K	\$43K
Rc Technology Services, Inc.	\$21K	—	\$14K	\$136K	\$14K	\$8K	\$33K	\$27K	\$186K	\$37K
Msi Net Inc.	\$26K	\$17K	\$11K	\$30K	\$96K	\$40K	\$20K	\$37K	\$179K	\$36K
Cablevision Lightpath Llc	\$19K	\$30K	\$26K	\$30K	\$31K	\$31K	\$37K	\$34K	\$136K	\$27K
Advanced Telecom Group Inc	—	—	\$110K	—	—	—	—	—	\$110K	\$22K
Verizon Online Llc	\$10K	\$31K	\$20K	\$23K	\$22K	\$22K	\$21K	\$27K	\$106K	\$21K
Logicalis Inc	\$27K	—	\$63K	\$9K	—	—	—	—	\$98K	\$20K

Table 5. Average Contracted Speeds by Service Type (Mbps)

Service Type	Download					Upload				
	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	1,018	927	708	710	600	930	878	617	625	557

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9); FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmf-ygft); USAC legacy commitments 1998–2015. Funding figures are total authorized disbursements; each billed entity is assigned to the 119th-Congress district containing the most of its recipient sites (Census TIGER/Line 2024). 5-yr Total and Average cover FY2021–2025; FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.