

E-RATE FUNDING IN U.S. CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS

District-by-District Scorecards, 1998–2025

Technology Policy Institute

erate.techpolicyinstitute.org

GENERATED 2026-06-10

About This Report

The federal E-Rate program, administered by the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) under the Federal Communications Commission, provides discounts of up to 90 percent on telecommunications, internet access, and internal connections for eligible schools and libraries. This report presents an E-Rate funding scorecard for every United States congressional district.

Each billed entity is assigned to the congressional district (119th Congress boundaries, U.S. Census TIGER/Line 2024) containing the largest number of its recipient sites, located by their USAC-reported coordinates; entities without usable coordinates use the district in their USAC profile. Funding totals are total authorized disbursements (FCC Form 471 FRN Status, USAC dataset qdmp-ygft); speeds are from Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9); pre-2016 history is from USAC legacy data (1998-2015). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid) — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error.

Each district receives up to three pages: a funding overview with total disbursements, average discount rates, participating entities and service providers, and a disbursement history covering 1998–2025; followed by rankings of the district’s largest E-Rate recipients and service providers and average contracted connection speeds; districts with legacy data also include FY1998–2009 and FY2010–2017 tables on separate pages.

© 2026 TECHNOLOGY POLICY INSTITUTE

Data: USAC Open Data (opendata.usac.org), retrieved 2026-06-10.

Contents

Ohio	Ohio's 2nd District	4
------	---------------------------	---

Ohio's 2nd District

OH-02

E-RATE SCORECARD · OHIO · DISTRICT 2

Table 1. E-Rate Funding Overview

Indicator	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$5.1M	\$4.7M	\$3.5M	\$5.1M	\$4.7M	\$4.0M	\$5.3M	\$5.2M
Average Discount Rate	79%	76%	73%	74%	75%	75%	75%	66%
Number of Service Providers	35	30	28	26	25	31	39	47
Number of Billed Entities	106	106	107	101	105	104	105	104
— School District BENs	77	77	77	75	78	78	77	77
— School BENs	16	16	17	16	16	15	16	16
— Library BENs	12	12	12	9	10	10	11	11
— Consortium BENs	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	—

Figure 1. Total E-Rate Disbursements, 1998–2025




Table 2. E-Rate Subsidies by Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018
Voice	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$24K
Telecomm Services	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Internal Connections	\$3.1M	\$1.7M	\$732K	\$2.2M	\$1.7M	\$1.0M	\$2.3M	\$2.1M
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	\$1.7M	\$2.7M	\$2.6M	\$2.7M	\$2.7M	\$2.7M	\$2.7M	\$2.6M
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	\$11K	\$8K	\$9K	\$8K	\$4K	\$8K	\$3K	\$18K
Managed Internal Broadband Services	\$253K	\$292K	\$189K	\$154K	\$281K	\$313K	\$262K	\$467K
Wi-Fi Hotspots Services and Equipment	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Wireless School Bus Services and Equipment	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9); FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments 1998–2015. Funding figures are total authorized disbursements; each billed entity is assigned to the 119th-Congress district containing the most of its recipient sites (Census TIGER/Line 2024). 5-yr Total and Average cover FY2021–2025; FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Ohio's 2nd District — Recipients & Service Providers

OH-02

Table 3. Top 10 Billed Entities by E-Rate Disbursements (ranked by 5-yr total)

Billed Entity	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	5-yr Total	Average
Adams County/Ohio Valley School District	\$460K	\$87K	\$88K	\$172K	\$200K	\$222K	\$110K	\$362K	\$1.0M	\$201K
West Clermont Local Sch Dist	\$75K	\$431K	\$82K	\$88K	\$55K	\$57K	\$107K	\$222K	\$731K	\$146K
Teays Valley Local	\$450K	\$57K	\$66K	\$46K	\$55K	\$57K	\$103K	\$259K	\$673K	\$135K
Great Seal Network	\$139K	\$139K	\$126K	\$134K	\$114K	\$114K	\$114K	—	\$652K	\$130K
Chillicothe City Schools	\$169K	\$51K	\$99K	\$193K	\$62K	\$36K	\$232K	\$58K	\$574K	\$115K
Gallipolis City School Dist	\$81K	\$98K	\$65K	\$257K	\$70K	\$70K	\$70K	\$99K	\$570K	\$114K
Portsmouth City School Dist	\$192K	\$55K	\$117K	\$55K	\$63K	\$16K	\$130K	\$16K	\$483K	\$97K
Meigs Local School District	—	\$60K	\$46K	\$279K	\$53K	\$53K	\$57K	\$114K	\$439K	\$88K
Hillsboro City School District	\$107K	\$168K	\$84K	\$54K	\$26K	\$55K	\$29K	\$196K	\$439K	\$88K
Vinton Co Local School Board	\$190K	\$69K	\$53K	\$47K	\$65K	\$150K	\$75K	\$86K	\$424K	\$85K

Table 4. Top 10 Service Providers by E-Rate Disbursements (ranked by 5-yr total)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	5-yr Total	Average
Metropolitan Educational Technology Association	\$2.5M	\$2.6M	\$2.1M	\$3.0M	\$2.9M	\$2.4M	\$2.7M	\$2.2M	\$13.1M	\$2.6M
Hamilton Clermont Cooperative Association	\$104K	\$231K	\$214K	\$624K	\$346K	\$373K	\$333K	\$289K	\$1.5M	\$304K
Miami Valley Educational Computer Association	\$255K	\$277K	\$230K	\$265K	\$326K	\$164K	\$338K	\$340K	\$1.4M	\$271K
Forward Edge, LLC	\$28K	\$566K	\$91K	\$221K	\$208K	\$206K	\$48K	\$300K	\$1.1M	\$223K
Charter Communications Operating, LLC	\$108K	\$228K	\$226K	\$247K	\$225K	\$156K	\$145K	\$117K	\$1.0M	\$207K
Insight Public Sector Inc	\$828K	\$29K	\$148K	\$11K	—	\$9K	\$559K	\$83K	\$1.0M	\$203K
Cdw Government LLC	\$30K	\$135K	\$27K	\$248K	\$42K	\$54K	\$209K	\$458K	\$481K	\$96K
The Chillicothe Telephone Company	—	\$101K	\$106K	\$137K	\$134K	\$129K	\$89K	\$56K	\$478K	\$96K
Swango Cabling And Trenching LLC	\$139K	\$159K	\$42K	\$43K	\$72K	\$102K	\$157K	\$220K	\$455K	\$91K
Powermax 360 LLC	\$352K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$352K	\$70K

Table 5. Average Contracted Speeds by Service Type (Mbps)

Service Type	Download					Upload				
	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	1,945	1,882	1,888	1,630	1,330	1,941	1,772	1,885	1,627	1,326

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9); FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments 1998–2015. Funding figures are total authorized disbursements; each billed entity is assigned to the 119th-Congress district containing the most of its recipient sites (Census TIGER/Line 2024). 5-yr Total and Average cover FY2021–2025; FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Ohio's 2nd District — Historical Funding (FY1998–2009)

OH-02

Table 1a. E-Rate Funding Overview (FY1998–2009)

Indicator	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$3.6M	\$3.3M	\$3.1M	\$3.0M	\$3.0M	\$2.7M	\$1.6M	\$1.4M	\$851K	\$457K	\$872K	\$1.3M
Average Discount Rate	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Number of Service Providers	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Number of Billed Entities	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
— School District BENs	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
— School BENs	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
— Library BENs	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
— Consortium BENs	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Table 2a. E-Rate Subsidies by Service Type (FY1998–2009)

Service Type	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
Voice	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Telecomm Services	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Internal Connections	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Managed Internal Broadband Services	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Wi-Fi Hotspots Services and Equipment	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Wireless School Bus Services and Equipment	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9); FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments 1998–2015. Funding figures are total authorized disbursements; each billed entity is assigned to the 119th-Congress district containing the most of its recipient sites (Census TIGER/Line 2024). 5-yr Total and Average cover FY2021–2025; FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Ohio's 2nd District — Historical Funding (FY2010–2017)

OH-02

Table 1a. E-Rate Funding Overview (FY2010–2017)

Indicator	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$4.3M	\$5.2M	\$4.9M	\$4.4M	\$4.4M	\$3.9M	\$3.9M	\$3.8M
Average Discount Rate	52%	58%	—	—	—	—	—	—
Number of Service Providers	64	60	—	—	—	—	—	—
Number of Billed Entities	106	106	—	—	—	—	—	—
— School District BENs	77	77	—	—	—	—	—	—
— School BENs	18	18	—	—	—	—	—	—
— Library BENs	11	11	—	—	—	—	—	—
— Consortium BENs	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Table 2a. E-Rate Subsidies by Service Type (FY2010–2017)

Service Type	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	\$285K	\$728K	—	—	—	—	—	—
Telecomm Services	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Internal Connections	\$900K	\$1.3M	—	—	—	—	—	—
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	\$2.6M	\$2.5M	—	—	—	—	—	—
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	\$20K	\$21K	—	—	—	—	—	—
Managed Internal Broadband Services	\$570K	\$644K	—	—	—	—	—	—
Wi-Fi Hotspots Services and Equipment	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Wireless School Bus Services and Equipment	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9); FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments 1998–2015. Funding figures are total authorized disbursements; each billed entity is assigned to the 119th-Congress district containing the most of its recipient sites (Census TIGER/Line 2024). 5-yr Total and Average cover FY2021–2025; FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.