

E-RATE FUNDING IN U.S. CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS

District-by-District Scorecards, 1998–2025

Technology Policy Institute

TECHPOLICYINSTITUTE.ORG

GENERATED 2026-06-10

About This Report

The federal E-Rate program, administered by the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) under the Federal Communications Commission, provides discounts of up to 90 percent on telecommunications, internet access, and internal connections for eligible schools and libraries. This report presents an E-Rate funding scorecard for every United States congressional district.

Each billed entity is assigned to the congressional district (119th Congress boundaries, U.S. Census TIGER/Line 2024) containing the largest number of its recipient sites, located by their USAC-reported coordinates; entities without usable coordinates use the district in their USAC profile. Funding totals are total authorized disbursements (FCC Form 471 FRN Status, USAC dataset qdmp-ygft); speeds are from Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9); pre-2016 history is from USAC legacy data (1998-2015). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid) — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error.

Each district receives two pages: a funding overview with total disbursements, average discount rates, participating entities and service providers, and a disbursement history covering 1998–2025; followed by rankings of the district’s largest E-Rate recipients and service providers and average contracted connection speeds.

© 2026 TECHNOLOGY POLICY INSTITUTE

Data: USAC Open Data (opendata.usac.org), retrieved 2026-06-10.

Contents

South Carolina

South Carolina's 4th District 4

Table 1. E-Rate Funding Overview

Indicator	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$470,712	\$1,425,820	\$1,555,687	\$4,835,302	\$7,149,083	\$549,541	\$978,607	\$489,858
Average Discount Rate	78%	74%	77%	74%	75%	72%	68%	53%
Number of Service Providers	11	8	7	10	9	14	14	13
Number of Billed Entities	13	13	8	11	10	14	12	11
— School District BENs	7	6	3	7	3	6	5	4
— School BENs	6	5	5	4	5	6	5	6
— Library BENs	—	2	—	—	1	1	2	1
— Consortium BENs	—	—	—	—	1	1	—	—

Figure 1. Total E-Rate Disbursements, 1998–2025




Table 2. E-Rate Subsidies by Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018
Voice	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$4,366
Telecomm Services	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Internal Connections	\$469,392	\$1,221,573	\$1,542,271	\$4,814,346	\$7,089,055	\$498,474	\$927,795	\$445,648
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	—	\$203,639	\$13,416	\$20,956	\$54,251	\$29,294	\$45,442	\$39,844
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	\$1,320	—	—	—	\$5,776	\$12,940	\$5,370	—
Managed Internal Broadband Services	—	\$608	—	—	—	\$8,833	—	—
Wireless School Bus Services and Equipment	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9); FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments 1998–2015. Funding figures are total authorized disbursements; each billed entity is assigned to the 119th-Congress district containing the most of its recipient sites (Census TIGER/Line 2024). 5-yr Total and Average cover FY2021–2025; FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Table 3. Top 10 Billed Entities by E-Rate Disbursements (ranked by 5-yr total)

Billed Entity	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	5-yr Total	Average
Greenville County School Dist	–	\$190K	–	\$3.0M	\$6.8M	–	\$6K	\$11K	\$9.9M	\$2.0M
Spartanburg County Sch Dist 5	–	–	\$873K	\$470K	–	\$24K	\$155K	–	\$1.3M	\$268K
Spartanburg County School District 7	\$66K	\$8K	\$299K	\$404K	\$188K	\$153K	\$104K	\$351K	\$965K	\$193K
Spartanburg County Sch Dist 1	\$8K	–	–	\$746K	–	–	–	–	\$754K	\$151K
Spartanburg County Sch Dist 6	\$18K	\$411K	\$257K	\$57K	–	–	\$368K	–	\$742K	\$148K
Spartanburg County Public Libraries	–	\$448K	–	–	–	–	\$158K	–	\$448K	\$90K
Spartanburg County Sch Dist 4	\$315K	\$15K	–	\$13K	\$14K	\$48K	–	\$27K	\$358K	\$72K
Legacy Early College	–	\$173K	–	–	–	\$147K	\$18K	–	\$173K	\$35K
High Point Academy	–	\$39K	\$35K	\$44K	\$45K	\$26K	\$18K	\$39K	\$163K	\$33K
Greenville County Library System	–	\$96K	–	–	\$49K	\$62K	\$101K	\$34K	\$145K	\$29K

Table 4. Top 10 Service Providers by E-Rate Disbursements (ranked by 5-yr total)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	5-yr Total	Average
Bridgetek Solutions Llc	–	\$411K	\$472K	\$2.8M	\$4.3M	\$135K	\$68K	\$158K	\$8.0M	\$1.6M
Network Controls & Electric, Inc.	–	–	–	\$476K	\$2.5M	–	\$8K	–	\$3.0M	\$605K
Applied Network Consulting Group	\$287K	\$448K	\$873K	\$623K	\$36K	–	\$158K	–	\$2.3M	\$453K
Encore Technology Group Llc	\$56K	\$293K	\$162K	\$829K	\$145K	\$257K	\$459K	\$211K	\$1.5M	\$297K
Verizon Wireless (Cellco Partnership)	–	\$190K	–	–	–	–	–	\$4K	\$190K	\$38K
Katalyst Network Group	–	–	\$35K	\$44K	\$45K	\$26K	\$18K	\$39K	\$123K	\$25K
Lockstep Technology Group Llc	\$84K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$84K	\$17K
Charter Communications Operating, Llc	–	–	–	\$9K	\$46K	\$20K	\$22K	\$12K	\$55K	\$11K
Em3 Networks Llc	–	\$13K	\$13K	\$12K	\$8K	\$10K	\$6K	\$6K	\$47K	\$9K
Surazal Systems, Inc.	\$44K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$44K	\$9K

Table 5. Average Contracted Speeds by Service Type (Mbps)

Service Type	Download					Upload				
	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	1,500	81	2,000	2,000	673	1,500	47	2,000	2,000	673

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9); FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments 1998–2015. Funding figures are total authorized disbursements; each billed entity is assigned to the 119th-Congress district containing the most of its recipient sites (Census TIGER/Line 2024). 5-yr Total and Average cover FY2021–2025; FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.