

# **E-RATE FUNDING IN U.S. CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS**

*District-by-District Scorecards, 1998–2025*

Technology Policy Institute

TECHPOLICYINSTITUTE.ORG

GENERATED 2026-06-10

## About This Report

---

The federal E-Rate program, administered by the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) under the Federal Communications Commission, provides discounts of up to 90 percent on telecommunications, internet access, and internal connections for eligible schools and libraries. This report presents an E-Rate funding scorecard for every United States congressional district.

Each billed entity is assigned to the congressional district (119th Congress boundaries, U.S. Census TIGER/Line 2024) containing the largest number of its recipient sites, located by their USAC-reported coordinates; entities without usable coordinates use the district in their USAC profile. Funding totals are total authorized disbursements (FCC Form 471 FRN Status, USAC dataset qdmp-ygft); speeds are from Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9); pre-2016 history is from USAC legacy data (1998-2015). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid) — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error.

Each district receives two pages: a funding overview with total disbursements, average discount rates, participating entities and service providers, and a disbursement history covering 1998–2025; followed by rankings of the district’s largest E-Rate recipients and service providers and average contracted connection speeds.

---

© 2026 TECHNOLOGY POLICY INSTITUTE

Data: USAC Open Data ([opendata.usac.org](https://opendata.usac.org)), retrieved 2026-06-10.

# Contents

---

Utah

Utah's 1st District ..... 4

Table 1. E-Rate Funding Overview

Indicator	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$1,023,717	\$1,312,243	\$1,838,277	\$1,372,901	\$994,650	\$881,639	\$703,640	\$499,898
Average Discount Rate	60%	60%	63%	68%	59%	59%	61%	51%
Number of Service Providers	13	12	10	11	6	14	4	7
Number of Billed Entities	12	12	10	11	9	17	9	9
— School District BENs	12	11	7	8	6	12	7	6
— School BENs	—	1	3	3	2	4	2	3
— Library BENs	—	—	—	—	1	1	—	—

Figure 1. Total E-Rate Disbursements, 1998–2025




Table 2. E-Rate Subsidies by Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018
Voice	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$748
Telecomm Services	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Internal Connections	\$928,251	\$1,228,899	\$1,708,362	\$1,303,622	\$966,848	\$843,291	\$701,241	\$496,752
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	\$2,626	\$39,539	\$1,080	\$1,080	\$1,080	\$1,080	\$2,399	\$2,398
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	\$63,770	\$28,205	\$38,729	\$47,607	—	\$3,886	—	—
Managed Internal Broadband Services	\$29,070	\$15,600	\$90,106	\$20,592	\$26,722	\$33,383	—	—
Wireless School Bus Services and Equipment	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9); FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments 1998–2015. Funding figures are total authorized disbursements; each billed entity is assigned to the 119th-Congress district containing the most of its recipient sites (Census TIGER/Line 2024). 5-yr Total and Average cover FY2021–2025; FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Table 3. Top 10 Billed Entities by E-Rate Disbursements (ranked by 5-yr total)

Billed Entity	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	5-yr Total	Average
Box Elder School District	–	\$38K	\$854K	\$352K	–	\$0	\$179K	\$13K	<b>\$1.2M</b>	\$249K
Ogden School District	\$312K	\$369K	\$265K	\$291K	–	\$237K	\$194K	–	<b>\$1.2M</b>	\$247K
Davis School District	\$364K	\$331K	–	–	–	\$87K	–	–	<b>\$695K</b>	\$139K
Weber School District	–	\$238K	\$257K	\$87K	\$53K	\$43K	\$87K	\$240K	<b>\$636K</b>	\$127K
Duchesne County School District	–	–	–	–	\$591K	\$142K	–	–	<b>\$591K</b>	\$118K
Uintah County School District	\$3K	\$12K	–	\$379K	\$197K	–	\$193K	–	<b>\$590K</b>	\$118K
Cache School District	\$151K	\$102K	\$116K	\$123K	\$56K	\$48K	–	\$66K	<b>\$549K</b>	\$110K
Logan City School District	–	\$120K	\$235K	\$87K	–	\$0	\$48K	\$170K	<b>\$443K</b>	\$89K
Ogden Preparatory Academy	–	\$10K	\$83K	\$21K	\$27K	\$33K	–	–	<b>\$140K</b>	\$28K
Morgan School District	\$15K	\$55K	–	–	\$36K	\$163K	–	–	<b>\$106K</b>	\$21K

Table 4. Top 10 Service Providers by E-Rate Disbursements (ranked by 5-yr total)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	5-yr Total	Average
Cache Valley Electric	\$151K	\$360K	\$1.4M	\$607K	\$897K	\$320K	\$507K	\$489K	<b>\$3.4M</b>	\$681K
Valcom Salt Lake City, Lc	\$120K	\$350K	\$244K	\$272K	–	\$192K	\$194K	–	<b>\$986K</b>	\$197K
Summit Partners Llc	–	\$9K	–	\$379K	–	–	–	–	<b>\$388K</b>	\$78K
Amplify Systems Integration	\$364K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	<b>\$364K</b>	\$73K
Convergeone, Inc.	–	\$331K	–	–	–	–	–	–	<b>\$331K</b>	\$66K
Compunet, Inc.	–	\$131K	\$20K	\$20K	–	\$0	–	–	<b>\$171K</b>	\$34K
Shi International Corpo.	\$117K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	<b>\$117K</b>	\$23K
Eminent Technical Solutions Llc	\$67K	\$29K	\$6K	–	–	\$53K	–	–	<b>\$102K</b>	\$20K
Netdiverse Llc	–	–	\$67K	\$31K	–	–	–	–	<b>\$98K</b>	\$20K
Fortius Networks, Llc	\$45K	–	–	–	\$36K	\$208K	–	–	<b>\$82K</b>	\$16K

Table 5. Average Contracted Speeds by Service Type (Mbps)

Service Type	Download					Upload				
	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	1,000	278	233	300	100	1,000	24	180	260	20

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9); FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments 1998–2015. Funding figures are total authorized disbursements; each billed entity is assigned to the 119th-Congress district containing the most of its recipient sites (Census TIGER/Line 2024). 5-yr Total and Average cover FY2021–2025; FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.