

E-RATE FUNDING IN U.S. CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS

District-by-District Scorecards, 1998–2025

Technology Policy Institute

TECHPOLICYINSTITUTE.ORG

GENERATED 2026-06-10

About This Report

The federal E-Rate program, administered by the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) under the Federal Communications Commission, provides discounts of up to 90 percent on telecommunications, internet access, and internal connections for eligible schools and libraries. This report presents an E-Rate funding scorecard for every United States congressional district.

Each billed entity is assigned to the congressional district (119th Congress boundaries, U.S. Census TIGER/Line 2024) containing the largest number of its recipient sites, located by their USAC-reported coordinates; entities without usable coordinates use the district in their USAC profile. Funding totals are total authorized disbursements (FCC Form 471 FRN Status, USAC dataset qdmp-ygft); speeds are from Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9); pre-2016 history is from USAC legacy data (1998-2015). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid) — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error.

Each district receives two pages: a funding overview with total disbursements, average discount rates, participating entities and service providers, and a disbursement history covering 1998–2025; followed by rankings of the district’s largest E-Rate recipients and service providers and average contracted connection speeds.

© 2026 TECHNOLOGY POLICY INSTITUTE

Data: USAC Open Data (opendata.usac.org), retrieved 2026-06-10.

Contents

Utah

Utah's 4th District 4

Table 1. E-Rate Funding Overview

Indicator	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$2,139,520	\$1,577,803	\$1,669,887	\$2,830,056	\$886,713	\$628,285	\$882,886	\$566,550
Average Discount Rate	57%	61%	61%	64%	65%	65%	63%	59%
Number of Service Providers	6	6	8	9	7	5	7	11
Number of Billed Entities	9	9	9	11	8	7	8	8
— School District BENs	7	7	7	9	7	5	7	7
— School BENs	2	2	2	2	1	2	1	1

Figure 1. Total E-Rate Disbursements, 1998–2025




Table 2. E-Rate Subsidies by Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Internal Connections	\$2,118,931	\$1,531,601	\$1,634,486	\$2,800,536	\$796,583	\$597,034	\$851,900	\$482,937
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	\$28,812	\$28,812	\$28,812	\$20,130	\$23,694	\$17,892	\$39,048
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	\$7,389	\$2,990	\$6,588	\$708	–	\$7,557	\$13,094	\$44,565
Managed Internal Broadband Services	\$13,200	\$14,400	–	–	\$70,000	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9); FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments 1998–2015. Funding figures are total authorized disbursements; each billed entity is assigned to the 119th-Congress district containing the most of its recipient sites (Census TIGER/Line 2024). 5-yr Total and Average cover FY2021–2025; FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Table 3. Top 10 Billed Entities by E-Rate Disbursements (ranked by 5-yr total)

Billed Entity	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	5-yr Total	Average
Jordan School District	\$1.3M	\$423K	\$311K	\$1.8M	\$72K	\$119K	\$365K	\$147K	\$4.0M	\$790K
Canyons School District	\$321K	\$740K	\$511K	\$331K	\$312K	—	—	\$87K	\$2.2M	\$443K
Nebo School District	\$199K	\$170K	\$632K	—	\$197K	\$295K	\$141K	\$160K	\$1.2M	\$240K
Utah Community Action Head Start Program School District	—	—	—	\$425K	—	—	\$70K	—	\$425K	\$85K
North Sanpete School District	\$53K	\$90K	\$43K	\$93K	\$107K	\$72K	\$110K	\$15K	\$387K	\$77K
South Sanpete School District	\$76K	\$32K	\$45K	\$57K	\$97K	\$90K	\$32K	\$96K	\$308K	\$62K
Juab School District	\$0	\$40K	\$31K	\$42K	\$29K	\$28K	\$30K	\$40K	\$142K	\$28K
Providence Hall School District	\$140K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$140K	\$28K
Ascent Academies Of Utah School District	—	\$40K	—	\$34K	\$53K	—	—	—	\$126K	\$25K
Wasatch Academy	—	\$29K	\$46K	\$29K	\$20K	\$24K	\$18K	\$20K	\$124K	\$25K

Table 4. Top 10 Service Providers by E-Rate Disbursements (ranked by 5-yr total)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	5-yr Total	Average
Valcom Salt Lake City, Lc	\$1.7M	\$1.4M	\$1.4M	\$2.0M	\$527K	\$407K	\$500K	\$200K	\$7.1M	\$1.4M
Proactive Network Management Corporation	\$76K	\$71K	\$106K	\$193K	\$156K	\$190K	\$170K	\$120K	\$602K	\$120K
Onward Technology, Llc	\$217K	\$56K	\$50K	\$132K	\$54K	—	—	\$170K	\$509K	\$102K
Netdiverse Llc	—	—	—	\$425K	—	—	\$70K	—	\$425K	\$85K
Eminent Technical Solutions Llc	—	\$40K	—	\$34K	\$53K	—	—	—	\$126K	\$25K
Central Utah Telephone Inc.	—	\$29K	\$29K	\$29K	\$20K	\$24K	\$18K	\$20K	\$107K	\$21K
High Country Networks	\$92K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$92K	\$18K
Wyebot, Inc.	—	—	—	—	\$70K	—	—	—	\$70K	\$14K
801 Technology Llc	\$48K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$48K	\$10K
Questivity Inc	—	\$9K	\$15K	—	—	\$7K	—	—	\$23K	\$5K

Table 5. Average Contracted Speeds by Service Type (Mbps)

Service Type	Download					Upload				
	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	2,000	2,000	2,000	1,002	1,500	2,000	2,000	2,000	1,002	1,500

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9); FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments 1998–2015. Funding figures are total authorized disbursements; each billed entity is assigned to the 119th-Congress district containing the most of its recipient sites (Census TIGER/Line 2024). 5-yr Total and Average cover FY2021–2025; FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.