

Tift County School District

BILLED ENTITY 127531 • GEORGIA • FUNDING REPORT FY1998–2025

erate.techpolicyinstitute.org

Overview																
	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$29K	\$296K	\$342K	\$315K	\$1.1M	\$493K	\$291K	\$389K	\$404K	\$413K	\$1.4M	\$429K	\$335K	\$547K	\$231K	\$78K
Average Discount Rate	89%	89%	88%	88%	87%	88%	88%	42%	54%	66%	81%	88%	88%	88%	81%	79%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	10	10	10	10	9	9	9	9	9	9	—	—	—	—	—	—
Service Providers	3	5	4	3	3	4	2	3	3	3	8	10	7	7	4	4
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	9,429	6,722	9,429	9,385	9,385	9,385	9,385	9,269	9,269	5,250	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	9,429	6,722	9,429	9,385	9,385	9,385	9,385	9,269	9,269	5,250	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type																
Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$8K	\$23K	\$41K	\$109K	—	—	—	—	—
Telecomm Services	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$261K	\$320K	\$262K	\$255K	\$227K	\$75K
Internal Connections	\$29K	\$29K	\$100K	\$73K	\$814K	\$251K	\$49K	—	—	—	\$949K	—	—	\$240K	—	—
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	—	\$267K	\$242K	\$242K	\$242K	\$242K	\$242K	\$380K	\$380K	\$372K	\$56K	\$109K	\$72K	\$52K	\$4K	\$3K
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	—	—	—	—	\$5K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Managed Internal Broadband Services	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Wireless School Bus Services and Equipment	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10, FY2024)																
Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Plant Telephone Company	—	\$242K	\$242K	\$242K	\$242K	\$242K	\$242K	\$380K	\$381K	\$374K	\$317K	\$255K	\$166K	\$165K	\$153K	\$2K
Mgt Impact Solutions, Llc	—	\$29K	\$91K	\$73K	\$819K	\$234K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Verizon Wireless (Cellco Partnership)	—	\$25K	—	—	—	—	—	\$3K	\$8K	\$13K	\$45K	\$56K	\$52K	—	—	—
Recipient Demographics (latest profile)																
Recipient	Address					City		Discount Rate		Students		NSLP		NSLP % Urban/Rural		
Annie Belle Clark Elem School (Was Prim)	1464 Carpenter Rd 31793					Tifton		85%		718		718		100%		Rural
Charles Spencer Elem School	65 Tifton Eldorado Rd 31794					Tifton		85%		323		323		100%		Rural
G.O. Bailey Elementary School	1430 Newton Dr 31794					Tifton		85%		451		451		100%		Rural
J.T. Reddick Elementary School	404 Martin Luther King Jr Dr 31794					Tifton		90%		269		269		100%		Rural
Len Lastinger Elementary Sch	1210 Lake Dr 31794					Tifton		85%		383		383		100%		Rural
Matt Wilson Elem School	510 17th St W 31794					Tifton		90%		377		377		100%		Rural

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY1998–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Service-provider top 10 ranked by FY2024 disbursements. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history dips at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Tift County School District (continued)

BEN 127531 • GA

Recipient Demographics (latest profile) (continued)

Recipient	Address	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	NSLP %	Urban/Rural
Northeast Middle School	3021 Fulwood Rd 31794	Tifton	85%	690	690	100%	Rural
Northside Elementary School	1815 Chestnut Ave 31794	Tifton	85%	373	373	100%	Rural
Omega Elementary School	150 College St 31775	Omega	90%	290	290	100%	Rural
Tift County Admin Bldg.	207 N. Ridge Ave 31794	Tifton	90%	—	—	—	—

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY1998–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Service-provider top 10 ranked by FY2024 disbursements. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history dips at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Tift County School District (continued)

BEN 127531 • GA

Overview (FY1998–2009)

	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$73K	\$72K	\$88K	\$77K	—	\$38K	\$48K	\$29K	\$71K	\$97K	\$326K	\$549K
Average Discount Rate	81%	78%	78%	81%	78%	78%	76%	76%	73%	72%	78%	77%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Service Providers	5	5	6	6	6	5	5	4	7	6	4	3
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type (FY1998–2009)

Service Type	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
Voice	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Telecomm Services	\$73K	\$72K	\$88K	\$77K	—	\$38K	\$48K	\$29K	\$23K	\$97K	\$79K	—
Internal Connections	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$48K	—	\$241K	\$543K
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$7K	\$6K
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Managed Internal Broadband Services	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Wireless School Bus Services and Equipment	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (FY1998–2009)

Service Provider	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
Plant Telephone Company	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$7K	\$6K
Mgt Impact Solutions, Llc	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Verizon Wireless (Cellco Partnership)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY1998–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Service-provider top 10 ranked by FY2024 disbursements. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history dips at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.