

Avery Elem School Dist 394

BILLED ENTITY 142758 • IDAHO • FUNDING REPORT FY1998-2025

1 School House Hill, Calder, ID 83808 • 14 students • 12 NSLP students

erate.techpolicyinstitute.org

Overview																
	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$15K	\$29K	\$29K	\$29K	\$32K	\$36K	\$2.7M	\$4K	\$3K	\$3K	—	—	—	\$752	\$5K	—
Average Discount Rate	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	88%	92%	50%	60%	70%	—	—	90%	90%	90%	90%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	—	—	—	—	—	—
Service Providers	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	—	—	3	3	4	6
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	3	3	3	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	1	3	1	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type																
Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$132	\$352	\$655	—	—	—	—	—	—
Telecomm Services	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$412	\$2K	—
Internal Connections	—	—	—	—	—	\$10K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	\$15K	\$29K	\$29K	\$29K	\$32K	\$26K	\$2.7M	\$3K	\$2K	\$2K	—	—	—	\$340	\$2K	—
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$300	—
Managed Internal Broadband Services	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Broadband Category 1	\$15K	\$29K	\$29K	\$29K	\$32K	\$26K	\$2.7M	\$4K	\$3K	\$3K	—	—	—	\$752	\$4K	—
Equipment Category 2	—	—	—	—	—	\$10K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$300	—
Total	\$15K	\$29K	\$29K	\$29K	\$32K	\$36K	\$2.7M	\$4K	\$3K	\$3K	—	—	—	\$752	\$5K	—
Category one services include telecommunications, telecommunications services and Internet access. Category two services include internal connections, basic maintenance of internal connections and managed internal broadband services.																
Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10, FY2024)																
Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Fatbeam, LLC	\$15K	\$29K	\$29K	\$29K	\$32K	\$26K	\$2.7M	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Ednetics Inc.	—	—	—	—	—	\$10K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Apple Computer, Inc.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hughes Network Systems LLC	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$3K	\$3K	\$2K	\$2K	—	—	—	\$340	\$2K	—
Verizon Northwest Inc.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$132	\$352	\$655	—	—	—	—	—	—
Starband Communications, Inc.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Verizon Internet Services Inc.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Strong Technology Consulting, Inc.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$300	—
Imbris, Inc.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Recipient Demographics (latest profile)																
Recipient	Address			City			Discount Rate			Students		NSLP		NSLP % Urban/Rural		
Calder Elementary School	1 River Road 83808			Calder			90%			14		12		86% Rural		

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY1998–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Service-provider top 10 ranked by lifetime disbursements, sorted by FY2024. Student counts sum USAC recipient-site profiles (entity-wide). FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history dips at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Avery Elem School Dist 394 (continued)

BEN 142758 • ID

Overview (FY1998–2009)

	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$11K	—	\$17K	\$29K	\$22K	\$29K	—	\$56K	\$24K	\$22K	\$45K	—
Average Discount Rate	98%	—	98%	98%	82%	98%	98%	98%	98%	83%	98%	—
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Service Providers	6	—	6	7	5	8	7	6	5	5	3	—
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type (FY1998–2009)

Service Type	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
Voice	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Telecomm Services	\$581	—	\$3K	\$2K	\$3K	\$5K	—	\$7K	—	\$4K	—	—
Internal Connections	—	—	—	\$23K	\$15K	\$23K	—	\$49K	\$24K	\$19K	\$42K	—
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	\$7K	—	\$5K	\$5K	\$4K	\$2K	—	—	—	—	\$3K	—
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	\$4K	—	\$18K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Managed Internal Broadband Services	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Broadband Category 1	\$7K	—	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	\$6K	—	\$7K	—	\$4K	\$3K	—
Equipment Category 2	\$4K	—	\$18K	\$23K	\$15K	\$23K	—	\$49K	\$24K	\$19K	\$42K	—
Total	\$11K	—	\$17K	\$29K	\$22K	\$29K	—	\$56K	\$24K	\$22K	\$45K	—

Category one services include telecommunications, telecommunications services and Internet access. Category two services include internal connections, basic maintenance of internal connections and managed internal broadband services.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (FY1998–2009)

Service Provider	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
Fatbeam, Llc	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Ednetics Inc.	—	—	\$18K	\$23K	\$15K	\$16K	—	\$37K	\$14K	\$12K	\$33K	—
Apple Computer, Inc.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$11K	\$9K	\$7K	\$9K	—
Hughes Network Systems Llc	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Verizon Northwest Inc.	\$2K	—	\$2K	\$1K	\$3K	\$5K	—	\$3K	—	\$2K	—	—
Starband Communications, Inc.	\$1K	—	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$4K	—	—	—	—	—	—
Verizon Internet Services Inc.	\$3K	—	\$2K	\$1K	\$1K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Strong Technology Consulting, Inc.	\$4K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Imbris, Inc.	—	—	\$1K	\$1K	—	\$2K	—	—	—	—	—	—

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY1998–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Service-provider top 10 ranked by lifetime disbursements, sorted by FY2024. Student counts sum USAC recipient-site profiles (entity-wide). FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history dips at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.