

Baldwin Community Schools

BILLED ENTITY 131472 • MICHIGAN • FUNDING REPORT FY1998–2025

525 4th St, Baldwin, MI 49304 • 333 students • 333 NSLP students

erate.techpolicyinstitute.org

Overview																
	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	–	\$11K	\$11K	\$11K	–	\$36K	\$14K	\$33K	\$59K	\$42K	\$43K	–	\$32K	\$178K	\$72K	\$83K
Average Discount Rate	90%	90%	90%	90%	–	88%	90%	41%	49%	58%	74%	–	90%	90%	90%	90%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	1	1	1	–	2	1	4	5	4	4	–	6	6	6	7
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	–	500	500	300	300	300	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	–	500	500	300	300	300	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type																
Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$3K	\$8K	\$15K	\$13K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$24K	\$24K	\$49K	\$48K
Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	\$36K	–	\$3K	\$23K	–	–	–	–	\$137K	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	\$11K	\$11K	\$11K	–	–	\$14K	\$27K	\$27K	\$27K	\$30K	–	\$9K	\$9K	\$9K	\$14K
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$9K	\$14K	\$21K
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Broadband Category 1	–	\$11K	\$11K	\$11K	–	–	\$14K	\$30K	\$36K	\$42K	\$43K	–	\$32K	\$32K	\$58K	\$62K
Equipment Category 2	–	–	–	–	–	\$36K	–	\$3K	\$23K	–	–	–	–	\$146K	\$14K	\$21K
Total	–	\$11K	\$11K	\$11K	–	\$36K	\$14K	\$33K	\$59K	\$42K	\$43K	–	\$32K	\$178K	\$72K	\$83K

Category one services include telecommunications, telecommunications services and Internet access. Category two services include internal connections, basic maintenance of internal connections and managed internal broadband services.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10, FY2024)																
Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Carr Telephone Company	–	\$11K	\$11K	\$11K	–	–	–	\$27K	\$27K	\$27K	\$30K	–	\$9K	\$9K	\$9K	\$4K
Optimal Solutions Inc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$5K	\$14K	\$21K
Michigan Bell Telephone Company	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$3K	\$8K	\$13K	\$11K	–	\$15K	\$16K	\$43K	\$44K
Moss Telecommunications Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$3K	\$23K	–	–	–	–	\$141K	–	–
Local Internet Services, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Sbc Internet Services, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$10K
Inacomptechnical Services Group, LLC	–	–	–	–	–	\$36K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)						
Recipient	Address	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	NSLP % Urban/Rural
Baldwin Elementary School	525 West 4th St 49304	Baldwin	90%	220	220	100% Rural
Baldwin Junior High School	525 4th St 49304	Baldwin	90%	113	113	100% Rural

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY1998–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Service-provider top 10 ranked by lifetime disbursements, sorted by FY2024. Student counts sum USAC recipient-site profiles (entity-wide). FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history dips at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Baldwin Community Schools (continued)

BEN 131472 • MI

Overview (FY1998–2009)

	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$96K	\$232K	\$67K	\$98K	\$142K	\$102K	\$89K	\$51K	\$61K	\$47K	\$133K	\$43K
Average Discount Rate	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	88%	88%	85%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Service Providers	6	6	4	6	6	6	6	7	3	4	4	3
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type (FY1998–2009)

Service Type	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
Voice	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Telecomm Services	\$54K	\$52K	\$45K	\$52K	\$44K	\$70K	\$66K	\$51K	\$46K	\$31K	\$13K	\$28K
Internal Connections	—	\$144K	—	—	\$85K	\$32K	\$24K	—	—	—	\$101K	—
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	\$21K	\$21K	\$21K	\$20K	—	—	—	—	\$15K	\$16K	\$19K	\$15K
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	\$20K	\$15K	—	\$25K	\$14K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Managed Internal Broadband Services	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Broadband Category 1	\$75K	\$74K	\$67K	\$72K	\$44K	\$70K	\$66K	\$51K	\$61K	\$47K	\$32K	\$43K
Equipment Category 2	\$20K	\$158K	—	\$25K	\$98K	\$32K	\$24K	—	—	—	\$101K	—
Total	\$96K	\$232K	\$67K	\$98K	\$142K	\$102K	\$89K	\$51K	\$61K	\$47K	\$133K	\$43K

Category one services include telecommunications, telecommunications services and Internet access. Category two services include internal connections, basic maintenance of internal connections and managed internal broadband services.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (FY1998–2009)

Service Provider	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
Carr Telephone Company	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Optimal Solutions Inc	\$16K	\$143K	—	\$25K	\$98K	\$32K	\$24K	—	—	—	—	—
Michigan Bell Telephone Company	\$51K	\$49K	\$44K	\$46K	\$37K	\$68K	\$63K	\$46K	\$58K	\$44K	\$13K	\$27K
Moss Telecommunications Services	\$5K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Local Internet Services, Inc.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$101K	—
Sbc Internet Services, Inc.	\$21K	\$21K	\$21K	\$20K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Inacomptechnical Services Group, Llc	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY1998–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Service-provider top 10 ranked by lifetime disbursements, sorted by FY2024. Student counts sum USAC recipient-site profiles (entity-wide). FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history dips at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.