E-RATE EXPLORER · TECHNOLOGY POLICY INSTITUTE

Chickasaw County School District

BILLED ENTITY 128582 · MISSISSIPPI · FUNDING REPORT FY1998–2025

636 Starkville Road, Houston, MS 38851 · 2,178 students · 1,721 NSLP students

erate.techpolicyinstitute.org

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	$55K	$90K	$211K	$210K	$43K	$103K	$2K	$23K	$153K	$52K	$69K	$30K	$63K	$26K	$111K	$37K
Average Discount Rate	86%	88%	87%	87%	88%	87%	90%	50%	78%	69%	81%	86%	87%	86%	87%	85%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	3	2	2	5	2	2	1	1	2	3	3	3	2	4	3	3
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	1,000	100	689	400	467	633	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	1,000	100	689	400	467	633	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	$1K	$5K	$5K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	$24K	$9K	$63K	$10K	$10K	$14K
Internal Connections	$4K	–	$127K	$134K	–	$65K	–	–	$129K	$19K	$40K	–	–	–	$84K	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	$49K	$82K	$82K	$65K	$12K	$26K	$2K	$23K	$23K	$27K	–	$21K	–	$16K	$16K	$16K
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	$7K
Managed Internal Broadband Services	$2K	$8K	$3K	$10K	$31K	$13K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10, FY2024)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Ena Services, Llc	$49K	$82K	$82K	$62K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Synergetics Diversified Computer Services, Inc	$2K	$8K	$129K	$64K	$31K	$78K	–	–	$129K	$19K	$40K	–	–	–	$84K	$7K
Bellsouth Telecommunications, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	$26K	$2K	$23K	$24K	$33K	$28K	$21K	$62K	$25K	$26K	$30K
Howard Technology Solutions	–	–	–	$80K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Mci Communications Corporation	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Telepak Networks, Inc.	$4K	–	–	$4K	$12K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	Address	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	NSLP %	Urban/Rural
Houlka Attendance Center School	510 Griffin Avenue 38850	Houlka	85%	431	352	82%	Rural
Houston Alternative School	632 Starkville Road 38851	Houston	85%	0	0	–	Rural
Houston Career & Technology Education Center	634 Starkville Road #A 38851	Houston	85%	0	0	–	Rural
Houston High School	634 Starkville Road 38851	Houston	85%	518	383	74%	Rural
Houston Lower Elementary School	123 Starkville Street 38851	Houston	85%	473	378	80%	Rural

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY1998–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Service-provider top 10 ranked by lifetime disbursements, sorted by FY2024. Student counts sum USAC recipient-site profiles (entity-wide). FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history dips at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Chickasaw County School District (continued)

Recipient Demographics (latest profile) (continued)

Recipient	Address	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	NSLP %	Urban/Rural
Houston Middle School	632 Starkville Road #A 38851	Houston	85%	349	279	80%	Rural
Houston Upper Elementary School	452 Pittsboro Street 38851	Houston	85%	407	329	81%	Rural

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY1998–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Service-provider top 10 ranked by lifetime disbursements, sorted by FY2024. Student counts sum USAC recipient-site profiles (entity-wide). FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history dips at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Chickasaw County School District (continued)

Overview (FY1998–2009)

	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
Total E-Rate Subsidies	$27K	$28K	$58K	$27K	$121K	$31K	$91K	$23K	$72K	$28K	$82K	$55K
Average Discount Rate	82%	82%	82%	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	84%	80%	80%	80%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	3	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	4	5	3	13
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type (FY1998–2009)

Service Type	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	$13K	$28K	$26K	$27K	$30K	$31K	$31K	$23K	$24K	$28K	$31K	$22K
Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	$60K	–	$60K	–	$48K	–	$51K	$33K
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	$14K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	$31K	–	$31K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (FY1998–2009)

Service Provider	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
Ena Services, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Synergetics Diversified Computer Services, Inc	–	–	$31K	–	$91K	–	$60K	–	$48K	–	$51K	$8K
Bellsouth Telecommunications, Inc.	$27K	$28K	$26K	$27K	$25K	$26K	$25K	$18K	$19K	$23K	$26K	$18K
Howard Technology Solutions	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Mci Communications Corporation	–	–	–	–	$4K	$5K	$6K	$5K	$5K	$5K	$5K	$4K
Telepak Networks, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY1998–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Service-provider top 10 ranked by lifetime disbursements, sorted by FY2024. Student counts sum USAC recipient-site profiles (entity-wide). FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history dips at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.