

Pryor School District 2 & 3

BILLED ENTITY 134755 • MONTANA • FUNDING REPORT FY1998–2025

Po Box 229, Pryor, MT 59066 • 117 students • 117 NSLP students

erate.techpolicyinstitute.org

Overview																
	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	—	—	\$2K	—	\$22K	—	—	\$9K	\$9K	\$10K	\$39K	\$29K	\$28K	\$17K	\$33K	\$34K
Average Discount Rate	90%	90%	90%	88%	88%	88%	90%	88%	50%	63%	81%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	3	3	3	3	3	3	—	3	3	3	—	—	—	—	—	—
Service Providers	1	1	1	2	2	2	1	2	3	3	4	5	5	3	4	4
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	1,000	1,000	156	2	274	1,000	—	1,000	1,000	1,000	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	1,000	1,000	156	2	274	1,000	—	1,000	1,000	1,000	—	—	—	—	—	—

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type																
Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$2K	\$3K	\$5K	—	—	—	—	—
Telecomm Services	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$15K	\$14K	\$12K	\$6K	\$7K
Internal Connections	—	—	—	—	\$22K	—	—	\$1K	—	—	\$21K	—	—	—	—	—
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	—	—	\$2K	—	—	—	—	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	\$13K	\$15K	\$14K	\$5K	\$27K	\$27K
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Managed Internal Broadband Services	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Broadband Category 1	—	—	\$2K	—	—	—	—	\$7K	\$9K	\$10K	\$18K	\$29K	\$28K	\$17K	\$33K	\$34K
Equipment Category 2	—	—	—	—	\$22K	—	—	\$1K	—	—	\$21K	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	\$2K	—	\$22K	—	—	\$9K	\$9K	\$10K	\$39K	\$29K	\$28K	\$17K	\$33K	\$34K

Category one services include telecommunications, telecommunications services and Internet access. Category two services include internal connections, basic maintenance of internal connections and managed internal broadband services.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10, FY2024)																
Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Gci Communication Corp	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$27K	\$27K
Project Tel. Co. Inc.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Nemont Communications, Inc.	—	—	\$2K	—	—	—	—	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	\$14K	\$14K	—	—	—
One Eighty Communications, Inc.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$2K	\$3K	\$10K	\$12K	\$12K	\$11K	—	—
Pine Cove Consulting, LLC	—	—	—	—	\$22K	—	—	—	—	—	\$21K	—	—	—	—	—
Qwest Corporation	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$5K	\$4K
Micro Network Inc.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Oravsky Communications	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)						
Recipient	Address	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	NSLP % Urban/Rural
Plenty Coups High School	Po Box 229 59066	Pryor	90%	65	65	100% Rural
Pryor 7-8 School	Po Box 229 59066	Pryor	90%	11	11	100% Rural
Pryor Elementary School	Po Box 229 59066	Pryor	90%	41	41	100% Rural

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY1998–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Service-provider top 10 ranked by lifetime disbursements, sorted by FY2024. Student counts sum USAC recipient-site profiles (entity-wide). FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history dips at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Pryor School District 2 & 3 (continued)

BEN 134755 • MT

Overview (FY1998–2009)

	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$33K	\$36K	\$39K	\$38K	\$39K	\$37K	\$38K	\$47K	\$92K	\$9K	\$38K	—
Average Discount Rate	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	—
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Service Providers	4	4	4	4	5	5	4	6	6	8	5	—
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type (FY1998–2009)

Service Type	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
Voice	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Telecomm Services	\$6K	\$8K	\$9K	\$9K	\$9K	\$7K	\$8K	\$28K	\$41K	\$7K	\$7K	—
Internal Connections	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$13K	—	\$31K	—
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	\$27K	\$28K	\$30K	\$30K	\$30K	\$30K	\$31K	\$18K	\$37K	\$2K	—	—
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Managed Internal Broadband Services	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Broadband Category 1	\$33K	\$36K	\$39K	\$38K	\$39K	\$37K	\$38K	\$47K	\$79K	\$9K	\$7K	—
Equipment Category 2	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$13K	—	\$31K	—
Total	\$33K	\$36K	\$39K	\$38K	\$39K	\$37K	\$38K	\$47K	\$92K	\$9K	\$38K	—

Category one services include telecommunications, telecommunications services and Internet access. Category two services include internal connections, basic maintenance of internal connections and managed internal broadband services.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (FY1998–2009)

Service Provider	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
Gci Communication Corp	\$27K	\$28K	\$30K	\$30K	\$30K	\$30K	\$31K	\$18K	—	—	—	—
Project Tel. Co. Inc.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$20K	\$69K	\$6K	—	—
Nemont Communications, Inc.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$8K	\$2K	—	—
One Eighty Communications, Inc.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Pine Cove Consulting, LLC	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Qwest Corporation	\$3K	\$6K	\$8K	\$8K	\$8K	\$7K	\$6K	\$7K	—	—	\$6K	—
Micro Network Inc.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$31K	—
Oravsky Communications	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$13K	—	—	—

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY1998–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Service-provider top 10 ranked by lifetime disbursements, sorted by FY2024. Student counts sum USAC recipient-site profiles (entity-wide). FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history dips at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.