

Cuba School District

BILLED ENTITY 143235 • NEW MEXICO • FUNDING REPORT FY1998–2025

50 County Road 13, Cuba, NM 87013 • 524 students • 524 NSLP students

erate.techpolicyinstitute.org

Overview																
	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$20K	\$22K	–	\$15K	\$13K	\$13K	\$17K	\$41K	\$19K	\$27K	\$77K	\$33K	\$29K	\$27K	\$34K	\$112K
Average Discount Rate	88%	88%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	41%	45%	60%	78%	87%	87%	86%	80%	90%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	4	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	4
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	9,953	9,953	9,953	9,953	1,000	1,244	500	0	200	100	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	9,953	9,953	9,953	9,953	1,000	1,244	500	0	200	100	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type																
Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$819	\$3K	\$3K	\$5K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$11K	\$10K	\$10K	\$18K	\$24K
Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$24K	–	–	\$31K	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	\$20K	\$22K	–	\$15K	\$13K	\$13K	\$17K	\$16K	\$16K	\$23K	\$30K	\$22K	\$18K	\$17K	\$16K	\$17K
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$71K
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$10K	–	–	–	–	–
Broadband Category 1	\$20K	\$22K	–	\$15K	\$13K	\$13K	\$17K	\$17K	\$19K	\$27K	\$35K	\$33K	\$29K	\$27K	\$34K	\$41K
Equipment Category 2	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$24K	–	–	\$42K	–	–	–	–	\$71K
Total	\$20K	\$22K	–	\$15K	\$13K	\$13K	\$17K	\$41K	\$19K	\$27K	\$77K	\$33K	\$29K	\$27K	\$34K	\$112K

Category one services include telecommunications, telecommunications services and Internet access. Category two services include internal connections, basic maintenance of internal connections and managed internal broadband services.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10, FY2024)																
Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Windstream Communications, Llc	\$20K	\$22K	–	\$15K	\$13K	\$13K	\$17K	\$17K	\$18K	\$27K	\$35K	\$28K	\$24K	\$22K	\$24K	\$27K
Eq Consulting, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$42K	–	–	–	–	\$71K
Computer Assets, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avaya Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Verizon Wireless	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$699	–	\$710	\$5K	\$4K	\$4K	\$9K	\$12K
Riverside Technologies, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$24K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Qwest Communications Corporation	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)						
Recipient	Address	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	NSLP % Urban/Rural
Cuba Elementary School	50 County Rd 13 87013	Cuba	90%	197	197	100% Rural
Cuba High School	50 County Road 13 87013	Cuba	90%	227	227	100% Rural
Cuba Middle School	50 County Road 13 87013	Cuba	90%	100	100	100% Rural

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY1998–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Service-provider top 10 ranked by lifetime disbursements, sorted by FY2024. Student counts sum USAC recipient-site profiles (entity-wide). FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history dips at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Cuba School District (continued)

BEN 143235 • NM

Overview (FY1998–2009)

	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$119K	\$100K	\$812K	\$21K	–	\$783K	–	\$804K	–	\$293K	–	–
Average Discount Rate	90%	90%	90%	80%	90%	90%	–	90%	–	90%	–	90%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	4	4	4	4	1	1	–	1	–	5	–	3
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type (FY1998–2009)

Service Type	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	\$29K	–	–	\$12K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Internal Connections	–	–	\$631K	–	–	\$783K	–	\$804K	–	\$293K	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	\$17K	–	–	\$9K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	\$73K	\$100K	\$181K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Broadband Category 1	\$46K	–	–	\$21K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Equipment Category 2	\$73K	\$100K	\$812K	–	–	\$783K	–	\$804K	–	\$293K	–	–
Total	\$119K	\$100K	\$812K	\$21K	–	\$783K	–	\$804K	–	\$293K	–	–

Category one services include telecommunications, telecommunications services and Internet access. Category two services include internal connections, basic maintenance of internal connections and managed internal broadband services.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (FY1998–2009)

Service Provider	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
Windstream Communications, LLC	\$27K	–	–	\$7K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Eq Consulting, Inc.	\$73K	\$100K	\$812K	–	–	\$783K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Computer Assets, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$804K	–	–	–	–
Avaya Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$293K	–	–
Verizon Wireless	\$15K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Riverside Technologies, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Qwest Communications Corporation	–	–	–	\$9K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY1998–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Service-provider top 10 ranked by lifetime disbursements, sorted by FY2024. Student counts sum USAC recipient-site profiles (entity-wide). FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history dips at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.