

# Mapleton School District

BILLED ENTITY 129719 • OHIO • FUNDING REPORT FY1998-2025

### Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$74K	\$28K	\$29K	\$67K	\$33K	\$33K	\$49K	\$26K	\$27K	\$31K	\$38K	\$59K	\$42K	\$41K	\$38K	\$25K
Average Discount Rate	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	60%	70%	22%	38%	54%	70%	70%	70%	65%	70%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	-
Service Providers	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	100	-	-	-	-	-	-
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	100	-	-	-	-	-	-

### Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$1K	\$7K	\$12K	-	-	-	-	-
Telecomm Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$4K	\$14K	\$13K	\$13K	\$12K
Internal Connections	\$46K	-	\$1K	\$34K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	\$21K	\$28K	\$28K	\$28K	\$28K	\$28K	\$22K	\$26K	\$26K	\$24K	\$26K	\$55K	\$28K	\$28K	\$26K	\$13K
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Managed Internal Broadband Services	\$7K	-	-	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$27K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

### Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Mapleton Elementary School	\$25K	\$7K	\$10K	\$29K	\$12K	\$12K	\$21K	\$9K	\$9K	\$13K
Mapleton High School	\$25K	\$7K	\$10K	\$29K	\$12K	\$12K	\$21K	\$9K	\$9K	\$13K
Mapleton Middle School	\$25K	\$7K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
School Board Office	\$7K	\$7K	\$9K	\$9K	\$9K	\$9K	\$7K	\$9K	\$9K	\$13K

### Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Mapleton Elementary School	Ashland	70%	399	147	-	Rural
Mapleton High School	Ashland	70%	501	182	-	Rural
Mapleton Middle School	Ashland	70%	200	77	-	Rural
School Board Office	Ashland	70%	-	-	-	-

### Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Midland Council Of Governments	\$74K	\$28K	\$28K	\$67K	\$33K	\$33K	\$49K	\$26K	\$27K	\$29K	\$35K	\$55K	\$28K	\$28K	\$26K	\$13K
Verizon North Incorporated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$381	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$12K	\$12K	\$11K	\$11K
Qwest Communications Corporation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$96	\$31	-	\$94	\$614	\$559	\$510	\$576
Verizon Wireless (Cellco Partnership)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$45	\$128	\$252	\$2K	\$2K	\$992	\$2K	-
Ahead In The Cloud, Llc	-	-	\$1K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history dips at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
At&T Corp.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
The Nova Telephone Company	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Talk.Com Holding Corp.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pomeroy Computer Resources, Inc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Riverside Technologies, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history dips at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.