

Otto-Eldred School District

BILLED ENTITY 125621 • PENNSYLVANIA • FUNDING REPORT FY1998–2025

143 Sweitzer Dr, Duke Center, PA 16729 • 513 students • 448 NSLP students

erate.techpolicyinstitute.org

Overview																
	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$82K	\$21K	\$27K	\$5K	\$11K	\$51K	\$849	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$4K	\$12K
Average Discount Rate	90%	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	70%	35%	56%	50%	70%	76%	75%	75%	75%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	—	—	—	—	—	—
Service Providers	1	1	1	1	2	2	4	1	4	4	3	3	3	3	5	5
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	3,700	5,050	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	3,700	5,050	—	—	—	—	—	—

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type																
Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$466	\$1K	\$849	—	—	—	—	—
Telecomm Services	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$4K	\$11K
Internal Connections	—	—	—	—	\$76K	\$15K	\$21K	—	—	\$50K	—	—	—	—	—	—
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$5K	\$10K	—	—	—	—	—	\$180	\$540
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Managed Internal Broadband Services	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Broadband Category 1	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$5K	\$11K	\$1K	\$849	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$4K	\$12K
Equipment Category 2	—	—	—	—	\$76K	\$15K	\$21K	—	—	\$50K	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$82K	\$21K	\$27K	\$5K	\$11K	\$51K	\$849	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$4K	\$12K

Category one services include telecommunications, telecommunications services and Internet access. Category two services include internal connections, basic maintenance of internal connections and managed internal broadband services.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10, FY2024)																
Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Zito Media Voice, Llc	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$5K	\$10K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Reabah Inc.	—	—	—	—	\$76K	\$15K	\$17K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Cdw Government Llc	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$50K	—	—	—	—	—	—
Armstrong Telephone Company - Pa	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$142	\$441	\$326	—	\$842	\$751	\$2K	\$7K
Verizon North Inc.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$453	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	—	—
Verizon Pennsylvania Inc.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$324	\$609	—	—	—	—	—	\$3K
Armstrong Telephone Company North	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$790	—	—	—	—
Sprint Communications Co. L.P.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$125	\$70	\$233	\$262	\$284	\$357	\$941
Disys Solutions, Inc	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$3K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)																
Recipient	Address			City			Discount Rate			Students		NSLP		NSLP % Urban/Rural		
Otto-Eldred Elementary School	5 Bennett St 16731			Eldred			90%			270		241		89% Rural		
Otto-Eldred Jr-Sr High School	143 R L Sweitzer Dr 16729			Duke Center			90%			243		207		85% Rural		

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY1998–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Service-provider top 10 ranked by lifetime disbursements, sorted by FY2024. Student counts sum USAC recipient-site profiles (entity-wide). FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history dips at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Otto-Eldred School District (continued)

BEN 125621 • PA

Overview (FY1998–2009)

	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$11K	\$11K	\$10K	\$9K	\$8K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Average Discount Rate	75%	75%	75%	70%	70%	–	–	–	–	70%	–	–
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	6	6	7	6	6	–	–	–	–	1	–	–
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type (FY1998–2009)

Service Type	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	\$11K	\$10K	\$10K	\$9K	\$8K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	\$540	\$531	\$628	–	\$184	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Broadband Category 1	\$11K	\$11K	\$10K	\$9K	\$8K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Equipment Category 2	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Total	\$11K	\$11K	\$10K	\$9K	\$8K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Category one services include telecommunications, telecommunications services and Internet access. Category two services include internal connections, basic maintenance of internal connections and managed internal broadband services.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (FY1998–2009)

Service Provider	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
Zito Media Voice, LLC	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Reabah Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Cdw Government LLC	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Armstrong Telephone Company - Pa	\$6K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Verizon North Inc.	–	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Verizon Pennsylvania Inc.	\$3K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Armstrong Telephone Company North	–	–	–	–	\$5K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Sprint Communications Co. L.P.	\$798	\$875	\$659	\$630	\$342	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Disys Solutions, Inc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY1998–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Service-provider top 10 ranked by lifetime disbursements, sorted by FY2024. Student counts sum USAC recipient-site profiles (entity-wide). FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history dips at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.