

# E-RATE IN SOUTH DAKOTA

*Schools & Libraries Report, 2016–2025*

<b>Summary</b>	<b>Value</b>
Recipient sites (schools, libraries, NIFs)	945
Billed entities	124
Estimated E-rate support, FY2025	\$5,431,644

Part I — Billed Entities Ranking · Part II — School & Library Directory · Part III — Billed Entity Reports

Technology Policy Institute

GENERATED 2026-06-10

*South Dakota — Part I: Billed Entities by Estimated E-Rate Support*

SD

Billed Entity	Sites	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	5-yr Total	Average
South Dakota State Dept Of Ed	343	\$1.7M	\$3.9M	\$2.0M	\$1.8M	\$1.9M	\$2.3M	<b>\$11M</b>	\$2.3M
Bie Cntrl Ofc/Dpt Of Interior	10	\$822K	\$562K	\$360K	\$389K	\$985K	\$6.0M	<b>\$3.1M</b>	\$624K
Rapid City Area School District 51-4	28	\$242K	\$379K	\$381K	\$432K	\$505K	\$207K	<b>\$1.9M</b>	\$388K
Harrisburg School District 41-2	11	\$220K	\$193K	\$158K	\$32K	\$80K	\$120K	<b>\$683K</b>	\$137K
Yankton School District 63-3	1	\$6K	\$42K	\$212K	\$105K	\$148K	\$47K	<b>\$512K</b>	\$102K
Tiospa Zina Tribal School	1	\$80K	\$80K	\$83K	\$125K	\$116K	\$124K	<b>\$485K</b>	\$97K
Meade School District 46-1	12	\$77K	\$59K	\$134K	\$64K	\$103K	\$74K	<b>\$437K</b>	\$87K
Huron School District 2-2	14	\$151K	\$65K	\$104K	\$97K	\$147K	\$111K	<b>\$564K</b>	\$113K
St Francis Indian School	1	\$43K	\$36K	\$37K	\$12K	\$12K	\$38K	<b>\$141K</b>	\$28K
Oglala Lakota County School District 65-1	5	\$74K	\$104K	\$89K	\$47K	\$47K	\$45K	<b>\$361K</b>	\$72K
Crow Creek Sioux Tribal Schools	5	\$66K	\$80K	\$111K	\$67K	\$81K	\$38K	<b>\$406K</b>	\$81K
Spearfish School District 40-2	8	\$62K	\$40K	\$84K	\$87K	\$53K	\$32K	<b>\$325K</b>	\$65K
Little Wound Day School	1	\$75K	\$75K	\$75K	\$75K	\$73K	\$97K	<b>\$373K</b>	\$75K
Sioux Falls School District 49-5	6	\$50K	\$264K	\$29K	\$29K	\$32K	\$41K	<b>\$404K</b>	\$81K
Pierre School District 32-2	6	\$16K	\$13K	\$92K	\$102K	\$115K	\$71K	<b>\$337K</b>	\$67K
American Horse School	1	\$12K	\$12K	\$19K	\$23K	\$172K	\$22K	<b>\$238K</b>	\$48K
Mitchell School District 17-2	3	\$10K	\$10K	\$119K	\$201K	\$52K	\$29K	<b>\$393K</b>	\$79K
Douglas School District 51-1	4	\$8K	\$129K	\$86K	\$10K	\$91K	\$66K	<b>\$324K</b>	\$65K
Brandon Valley School District 49-2	11	\$43K	\$41K	\$56K	\$52K	\$85K	\$65K	<b>\$277K</b>	\$55K
Red Cloud Indian School	11	\$102K	\$22K	\$18K	\$27K	\$27K	\$45K	<b>\$196K</b>	\$39K
Todd County School District 66-1	4	\$75K	\$75K	\$793	\$82K	\$76K	\$76K	<b>\$309K</b>	\$62K
Aberdeen School District 6-1	9	\$41K	\$41K	\$2K	\$38K	\$2K	\$2K	<b>\$124K</b>	\$25K
Loneman Day School	1	\$71K	\$11K	\$11K	\$11K	\$38K	\$35K	<b>\$142K</b>	\$28K
Bishop Ogorman Catholic Schools	8	\$44K	\$30K	\$22K	\$22K	\$142K	\$41K	<b>\$259K</b>	\$52K
Wessington Springs District 36-2	3	\$36K	\$43K	\$67K	\$56K	\$56K	\$50K	<b>\$258K</b>	\$52K
Tea Area School District 41-5	5	\$15K	\$12K	\$167K	\$17K	\$18K	\$25K	<b>\$229K</b>	\$46K
Hitchcock-Tulare School District 56-6	5	\$49K	\$35K	\$40K	\$55K	\$69K	\$45K	<b>\$248K</b>	\$50K
Belle Fourche School District 9-1	4	\$44K	\$26K	\$55K	\$120K	\$22K	\$22K	<b>\$266K</b>	\$53K
Bon Homme School District 4-2	7	\$22K	\$22K	\$37K	\$37K	\$37K	\$46K	<b>\$154K</b>	\$31K
Diocese Of Sioux Falls	15	\$35K	\$43K	\$36K	\$28K	\$54K	\$73K	<b>\$195K</b>	\$39K
Madison Central School District 39-2	3	\$113K	\$6K	\$11K	\$12K	\$13K	\$13K	<b>\$155K</b>	\$31K
Lower Brule School District Aka Lower Brule Day School	4	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$71K	\$69K	<b>\$91K</b>	\$18K
Britton-Hecla School District 45-4	7	\$37K	\$38K	\$73K	\$23K	\$30K	\$27K	<b>\$202K</b>	\$40K
Chamberlain School District 7-1	2	\$16K	\$37K	\$46K	\$44K	\$26K	\$44K	<b>\$169K</b>	\$34K
Dakotabilities	1	—	\$51K	\$33K	\$32K	—	\$44K	<b>\$116K</b>	\$23K
Hamlin School District 28-3	3	\$22K	\$40K	\$32K	\$23K	\$44K	\$53K	<b>\$162K</b>	\$32K
Parkston School District 33-3	6	\$37K	\$34K	\$39K	\$64K	\$19K	\$22K	<b>\$194K</b>	\$39K
Dupree School District 64-2	3	\$87K	\$15K	\$19K	\$20K	\$20K	\$22K	<b>\$162K</b>	\$32K
Rosholt School District 54-4	4	\$21K	\$18K	\$20K	\$30K	\$64K	\$23K	<b>\$154K</b>	\$31K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdump-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

*South Dakota — Part I: Billed Entities by Estimated E-Rate Support*

SD

Billed Entity	Sites	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	5-yr Total	Average
Gayville-Volin School	3	\$5K	\$63K	\$17K	\$18K	\$19K	\$30K	<b>\$123K</b>	\$25K
Watertown School District 14-4	8	\$168K	—	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$1K	<b>\$173K</b>	\$35K
Bennett County School District 3-1	1	\$8K	\$8K	\$9K	\$21K	\$65K	\$61K	<b>\$111K</b>	\$22K
Dell Rapids School District 49-3	2	\$5K	\$41K	\$22K	\$40K	\$8K	\$9K	<b>\$117K</b>	\$23K
Lennox School District 41-4	5	\$35K	\$32K	\$49K	\$12K	\$26K	\$29K	<b>\$154K</b>	\$31K
Freeman School District 33-1	5	\$5K	\$55K	\$30K	\$18K	\$25K	\$21K	<b>\$133K</b>	\$27K
Tripp-Delmont School District 33-5	2	\$9K	\$30K	\$35K	\$36K	\$39K	\$31K	<b>\$148K</b>	\$30K
Childrens Home Society	2	\$23K	\$25K	\$18K	\$18K	\$19K	\$19K	<b>\$102K</b>	\$20K
Marty Indian School	1	\$20K	—	—	—	\$5K	—	<b>\$24K</b>	\$5K
Siouxland Libraries	6	—	—	—	—	\$4K	\$16K	<b>\$4K</b>	\$850
Mount Vernon School District 17-3	3	\$13K	\$13K	\$14K	\$26K	\$48K	\$45K	<b>\$113K</b>	\$23K
Hoven School District 53-2	1	\$18K	\$17K	\$20K	\$21K	\$21K	\$22K	<b>\$97K</b>	\$19K
Chester Area School District 39-1	10	\$22K	\$14K	\$22K	\$23K	\$22K	\$23K	<b>\$103K</b>	\$21K
Avon School District 4-1	4	\$24K	\$13K	\$14K	\$32K	\$14K	\$16K	<b>\$97K</b>	\$19K
Pahin Sinte Owayawa	1	\$31K	\$9K	\$9K	\$9K	\$12K	\$18K	<b>\$70K</b>	\$14K
Sioux Valley School District 5-5	3	\$27K	\$38K	\$4K	\$5K	\$5K	\$22K	<b>\$79K</b>	\$16K
Jones County School District 37-3	3	\$52K	\$18K	\$10K	\$10K	\$15K	\$15K	<b>\$106K</b>	\$21K
Elkton School District 5-3	4	\$38K	\$7K	\$8K	\$9K	\$9K	\$14K	<b>\$71K</b>	\$14K
Winner School District 59-2	1	\$4K	\$69K	\$6K	\$6K	\$7K	\$7K	<b>\$92K</b>	\$18K
Flandreau School District 50-3	1	\$3K	\$54K	\$4K	\$5K	\$5K	\$18K	<b>\$70K</b>	\$14K
Deubrook School District 5-6	4	\$14K	\$17K	\$25K	\$18K	\$15K	\$11K	<b>\$89K</b>	\$18K
Plankinton School District 1-1	3	\$14K	\$50K	\$8K	\$8K	\$8K	\$9K	<b>\$88K</b>	\$18K
Wall School District 51-5	3	\$3K	\$3K	\$40K	\$8K	\$9K	\$9K	<b>\$63K</b>	\$13K
New Underwood School District 51-3	3	\$20K	\$22K	\$8K	\$8K	\$8K	\$9K	<b>\$66K</b>	\$13K
Redfield School District 56-4	5	\$12K	\$4K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$8K	<b>\$31K</b>	\$6K
Sioux Falls Christian School	1	\$26K	\$6K	\$11K	\$7K	\$5K	—	<b>\$56K</b>	\$11K
Timber Lake School District 20-3	1	\$5K	\$10K	\$16K	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	<b>\$45K</b>	\$9K
Beresford School District 61-2	2	—	—	\$49K	\$449	\$478	\$366	<b>\$50K</b>	\$10K
Pierre Indian Learning Center	1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
De Smet School District 38-2	3	\$13K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$5K	\$5K	<b>\$30K</b>	\$6K
Summit School District 54-6	1	\$7K	\$6K	\$13K	\$8K	\$8K	\$8K	<b>\$42K</b>	\$8K
Childrens Care Hosp & School Db a Lifescape	1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Lead-Deadwood School District 40-1	1	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K	\$41K	<b>\$15K</b>	\$3K
Sd Achieve Db a Lifescape	1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Andes Central School District 11 1	2	\$29K	\$918	\$15K	\$1K	\$1K	\$3K	<b>\$47K</b>	\$9K
Pierre Indian Learning Center	2	\$8K	\$10K	\$11K	\$9K	\$9K	\$9K	<b>\$47K</b>	\$9K
Warner School District 6-5	3	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	<b>\$18K</b>	\$4K
Milbank School District 25-4	3	—	—	\$30K	\$581	\$478	\$4K	<b>\$31K</b>	\$6K
Willow Lake School District 12-3	3	—	—	\$42K	\$2K	\$910	\$2K	<b>\$45K</b>	\$9K
Menno School District 33-2	2	—	\$25K	\$396	\$2K	\$4K	\$2K	<b>\$31K</b>	\$6K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

*South Dakota — Part I: Billed Entities by Estimated E-Rate Support*

SD

Billed Entity	Sites	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	5-yr Total	Average
Custer School District 16-1	1	—	\$2K	\$6K	\$224	\$19K	\$183	<b>\$27K</b>	\$5K
Elk Point-Jefferson School District 61-7	1	—	—	\$17K	\$224	\$239	\$183	<b>\$17K</b>	\$3K
Enemy Swim Day School	1	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	<b>\$7K</b>	\$1K
Armour School District 21-1	2	\$28K	—	\$396	\$449	\$478	\$366	<b>\$29K</b>	\$6K
Rapid City Catholic School System	4	\$6K	\$12K	\$10K	\$10K	—	—	<b>\$38K</b>	\$8K
Mclaughlin School District 15-2	2	\$11K	—	\$396	\$449	\$478	\$366	<b>\$12K</b>	\$2K
Mobridge-Pollock School District 62-6	3	\$5K	\$16K	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	<b>\$22K</b>	\$4K
Estelline School District 28-2	1	—	\$5K	\$4K	\$224	\$2K	\$183	<b>\$12K</b>	\$2K
Alcester-Hudson School District 61-1	1	\$14K	—	\$198	\$224	\$2K	\$183	<b>\$16K</b>	\$3K
Zion Lutheran School	1	\$4K	—	\$2K	\$3K	\$3K	\$2K	<b>\$12K</b>	\$2K
St Joseph'S Indian School	1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Dakota Valley School District 61-8	1	\$12K	—	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$9K	<b>\$13K</b>	\$3K
Little Eagle Grant School	1	\$863	\$863	\$863	\$863	\$863	\$2K	<b>\$4K</b>	\$863
Mccrossan Boys Ranch School	1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Canyon Hills Center	1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
New Beginnings Center School	1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Iroquois School District 2-3	1	—	\$16K	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	<b>\$17K</b>	\$3K
Stanley County School District 57-1	1	—	\$16K	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	<b>\$16K</b>	\$3K
Lake Andes Public Library	1	\$917	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	<b>\$7K</b>	\$1K
Howard School District 48-3	1	—	\$12K	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	<b>\$13K</b>	\$3K
Oldham-Ramona-Rutland School District	2	\$5K	\$6K	\$2K	—	—	—	<b>\$13K</b>	\$3K
City Of Hot Springs	1	\$873	—	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	<b>\$6K</b>	\$1K
Beresford Public Library	1	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$648	\$713	<b>\$6K</b>	\$1K
Clark School District 12-2	1	—	\$324	\$522	\$2K	\$635	\$899	<b>\$3K</b>	\$653
Leola School District 44-2	2	\$6K	—	\$396	\$449	\$478	\$366	<b>\$8K</b>	\$2K
Tripp County Library	1	\$924	\$924	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	<b>\$5K</b>	\$1000
Vermillion School District 13-1	1	\$3K	—	\$7K	—	—	—	<b>\$11K</b>	\$2K
Sioux Falls Lutheran School	1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Dakota Club Library, Inc.	2	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Faulkton Area Sch Dist 24-4	1	—	\$4K	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	<b>\$5K</b>	\$1K
Faith Public Library	1	\$1K	\$479	\$479	—	—	\$840	<b>\$2K</b>	\$408
Wessington Public Library	1	\$712	\$712	\$496	\$460	\$460	\$460	<b>\$3K</b>	\$568
White Lake Community Library	1	\$693	\$693	\$479	\$437	\$500	\$533	<b>\$3K</b>	\$560
Wagner Public Library	1	\$593	\$593	\$593	\$593	\$572	\$572	<b>\$3K</b>	\$589
Dewey County Library	1	—	—	—	—	\$2K	\$2K	<b>\$2K</b>	\$306
Dakota Christian School	1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Gregory Public Library	1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Big Stone City School District 25-1	1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hill City Public Library	1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Southeast Area Cooperative	1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

*South Dakota — Part I: Billed Entities by Estimated E-Rate Support*

SD

Billed Entity	Sites	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	5-yr Total	Average
Huron Public Library	1	\$1K	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K	\$278
Faulk County Library	1	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Wall Community Library	1	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Freeman Public Library	1	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Bison Public Library	1	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

School / Library	City	Type	Students	NSLP	Down Mbps	Up Mbps	Support FY2025
<b>ABERDEEN SCHOOL DISTRICT 6-1</b>							
Cclee Elementary School	Aberdeen	School	358	70	10,000	10,000	\$4K
Central High School	Aberdeen	School	1,395	491	94,250	94,250	\$9K
Holgate Middle School	Aberdeen	School	495	164	10,000	10,000	\$4K
Lincoln Elementary School	Aberdeen	School	233	147	10,000	10,000	\$4K
May Overby Elementary School	Aberdeen	School	329	174	10,000	10,000	\$4K
Mike Miller Elementary	Aberdeen	School	281	117	10,000	10,000	\$4K
Omtiffany Elementary School	Aberdeen	School	306	171	10,000	10,000	\$4K
Simmons Elementary School	Aberdeen	School	386	187	10,000	10,000	\$4K
Simmons Ms	Aberdeen	School	467	228	10,000	10,000	\$4K
<b>ALCESTER-HUDSON SCHOOL DISTRICT 61-1</b>							
Alcester-Hudson Elem Sch	Alcester	School	165	44	49,850	49,850	\$14K
<b>AMERICAN HORSE SCHOOL</b>							
American Horse School	Allen	School	330	330	1,000	1,000	\$12K
<b>ANDES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT 11 1</b>							
Andes Central Elem School	Lake Andes	School	180	180	49,850	49,850	\$14K
Lakeview Colony School	Lake Andes	School	22	22	100	10	\$15K
<b>ARMOUR SCHOOL DISTRICT 21-1</b>							
Armour Elementary School	Armour	School	120	26	49,850	49,850	\$14K
Armour Middle School	Armour	School	50	13	49,850	49,850	\$14K
<b>AVON SCHOOL DISTRICT 4-1</b>							
Avon Elementary School	Avon	School	110	39	94,250	94,250	\$6K
Avon High School	Avon	School	76	12	1,000	1,000	\$6K
Avon Junior High School	Avon	School	55	15	94,250	94,250	\$6K
Avon Preschool	Avon	School	14	0	94,250	94,250	\$6K
<b>BELLE FOURCHE SCHOOL DISTRICT 9-1</b>							
Belle Fourche Education Connection	Belle Fourche	School	30	15	250	250	—
Belle Fourche High School	Belle Fourche	School	379	114	94,250	94,250	\$14K
Belle Fourche Middle School	Belle Fourche	School	414	182	250	250	\$10K
North Park Elementary School	Belle Fourche	School	89	35	250	250	\$10K
South Park Elementary School	Belle Fourche	School	359	169	250	250	\$10K
<b>BENNETT COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT 3-1</b>							
Bennett County Elementary School	Martin	School	203	199	250	250	\$8K
Bennett County High School	Martin	School	141	112	49,850	49,850	—
<b>BERESFORD PUBLIC LIBRARY</b>							

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

School / Library	City	Type	Students	NSLP	Down Mbps	Up Mbps	Support FY2025
Beresford Public Library	Beresford	Library	–	–	1,000	1,000	\$1K
<b>BERESFORD SCHOOL DISTRICT 61-2</b>							
Beresford High School	Beresford	School	232	35	49,850	49,850	–
Beresford Middle School	Beresford	School	180	35	49,850	49,850	–
<b>BIE CNTRL OFC/DPT OF INTERIOR</b>							
Bia/Bie Central Office Sioux Falls Site	Sioux Falls	NIF	–	–	10,000	10,000	\$19K
Cheyenne-Eagle Butte School	Eagle Butte	School	1,109	1,109	10,000	10,000	\$73K
Crazy Horse Elementary	Wanblee	School	121	121	10,000	10,000	\$178K
Crazy Horse High School	Wanblee	School	82	82	10,000	10,000	\$26K
Crazy Horse Middle School	Wanblee	School	54	54	10,000	10,000	\$26K
Crazy Horse School Bus And Maintenance Building	Wanblee	NIF	–	–	10,000	10,000	–
Flandreau Indian School	Flandreau	School	232	232	10,000	10,000	\$55K
Pine Ridge School	Pine Ridge	School	840	840	10,000	10,000	\$98K
Rock Creek Grant School	Bullhead	School	112	101	1,000	1,000	–
Rock Creek Grant School	Bullhead	School	112	0	10,000	10,000	\$19K
Takini School	Howes	School	150	125	10,000	10,000	\$155K
Tiospaye Topa School	Laplant	School	139	139	1,000	1,000	–
Wounded Knee School	Manderson	School	145	145	10,000	10,000	\$174K
<b>BIG STONE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT 25-1</b>							
Big Stone City School District 25-1	Big Stone City	School	111	56	31,500	31,500	–
<b>BISHOP OGORMAN CATHOLIC SCHOOLS</b>							
Christ The King School	Sioux Falls	School	168	18	2,000	2,000	\$6K
Holy Spirit School	Sioux Falls	School	437	10	2,000	2,000	\$6K
O’Gorman High School	Sioux Falls	School	669	37	2,000	2,000	\$6K
O’Gorman Jr. High School	Sioux Falls	School	315	19	2,000	2,000	\$4K
St Katharine Drexel School	Sioux Falls	School	203	18	2,000	2,000	\$6K
St Lambert School	Sioux Falls	School	197	86	2,000	2,000	\$6K
St Mary’S Elementary School	Sioux Falls	School	352	3	2,000	2,000	\$6K
St Michael School	Sioux Falls	School	313	28	2,000	2,000	\$6K
<b>BISON PUBLIC LIBRARY</b>							
Bison Public Library	Bison	Library	–	–	–	–	–
<b>BON HOMME SCHOOL DISTRICT 4-2</b>							
Bon Homme High School	Tyndall	School	135	28	94,250	94,250	\$5K
Bon Homme Middle School	Tyndall	School	110	26	500	500	\$5K
Dawson Colony School	Scotland	School	25	25	150	150	\$159
Hutterische Colony School	Tabor	School	45	6	150	150	\$159

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

School / Library	City	Type	Students	NSLP	Down Mbps	Up Mbps	Support FY2025
Springfield Elementary School	Springfield	School	45	16	94,250	94,250	\$7K
Tabor Elementary School	Tabor	School	37	15	150	150	\$159
Tyndall Elementary School	Tyndall	School	155	44	94,250	94,250	\$5K
<b>BRANDON VALLEY SCHOOL DISTRICT 49-2</b>							
Brandon Elementary School	Brandon	School	691	150	5,000	5,000	\$3K
Brandon Valley High School	Brandon	School	1,421	173	94,250	94,250	\$10K
Brandon Valley Intermediate School	Brandon	School	706	115	5,000	5,000	\$3K
Brandon Valley Middle School	Brandon	School	734	103	5,000	5,000	\$3K
Brandon Valley School District Grounds Shop	Brandon	NIF	–	–	5,000	5,000	\$3K
Brandon Valley School District Transportation Facility	Brandon	NIF	–	–	5,000	5,000	\$3K
Burkman Valley Elementary School	Brandon	School	350	0	5,000	5,000	–
Fred Assam Elementary School	Sioux Falls	School	504	30	5,000	5,000	\$3K
George A Gulson Administration Center	Brandon	NIF	–	–	5,000	5,000	\$3K
Inspiration Elementary School	Sioux Falls	School	323	60	5,000	5,000	\$3K
Robert Bennis Elementary	Brandon	School	568	56	5,000	5,000	\$3K
Valley Springs Elementary School	Valley Springs	School	74	21	5,000	5,000	\$3K
<b>BRITTON-HECLA SCHOOL DISTRICT 45-4</b>							
Britton-Hecia Elementary School	Britton	School	174	32	500	500	\$9K
Britton-Hecia High School	Britton	School	132	16	94,250	94,250	\$9K
Britton-Hecia Middle School	Britton	School	85	15	94,250	94,250	\$9K
Sunset Colony Elementary	Britton	School	34	34	100	100	\$3K
Sunset Colony High School	Britton	School	8	8	100	100	\$3K
Westwood Colony School (Nif)	Britton	NIF	–	–	100	100	\$356
Westwood Rural Elementary School	Britton	School	45	45	100	100	\$3K
<b>CANYON HILLS CENTER</b>							
Canyon Hills Center	Spearfish	School	35	35	50	10	–
<b>CHAMBERLAIN SCHOOL DISTRICT 7-1</b>							
Chamberlain High School	Chamberlain	School	256	114	94,250	94,250	\$8K
Chamberlain Junior High School	Chamberlain	School	211	105	1,000	1,000	\$8K
<b>CHESTER AREA SCHOOL DISTRICT 39-1</b>							
Chester Area Colony High School	Chester	School	89	85	94,250	94,250	\$8K
Chester Area Middle School	Chester	School	59	10	94,250	94,250	\$5K
Clark Colony	Raymond	School	1	0	15	15	–
Clark Colony - Hillcrest	Garden City	School	1	0	15	15	\$509
Clark Colony-Fordham	Carpenter	School	1	0	15	15	\$509

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

School / Library	City	Type	Students	NSLP	Down Mbps	Up Mbps	Support FY2025
Colony Elementary School - Gracevale	Winfred	School	16	16	15	15	\$2K
High Plains Alternative Hs	Chester	School	76	14	49,850	49,850	\$2K
Millerdale Colony High School	Miller	School	1	0	10	10	—
New Elm Spring Colony 9-12	Parkston	School	1	0	20	20	\$294
Old Elm Spring Colony 9-12	Parkston	School	1	0	25	25	\$965
Plainview Colony Scholl	Leola	School	23	0	49,850	49,850	—
Platte Colony Elem School	Academy	School	23	0	25	25	—
Pleasant Valley Colony Sch	Flandreau	School	1	0	49,850	49,850	—
Rustic Acres	Madison	School	28	28	49,850	49,850	\$2K
Tschetter Colony	Olivet	School	1	0	20	20	\$294
<b>CHILDRENS CARE HOSP &amp; SCHOOL DBA LIFESCAPE</b>							
Childrens Care Hosp & School Dba Lifescape	Sioux Falls	School	122	109	100	100	—
<b>CHILDRENS HOME SOCIETY</b>							
Childrens Home Society - Loving School Sioux Falls	Sioux Falls	School	85	85	1,000	1,000	\$11K
Childrens Home Society - Owen School Bh	Rapid City	School	47	47	1,000	1,000	\$11K
<b>CITY OF HOT SPRINGS</b>							
Hot Springs Public Library	Hot Springs	Library	—	—	1,000	1,000	\$873
<b>CLARK SCHOOL DISTRICT 12-2</b>							
Fordham Colony Elementary	Carpenter	School	31	0	8	8	—
<b>CROW CREEK SIOUX TRIBAL SCHOOLS</b>							
Crow Creek Alternative	Stephan	School	25	25	2,000	2,000	\$13K
Crow Creek Dormitory	Stephan	School	75	75	2,000	2,000	\$13K
Crow Creek Elementary School	Stephan	School	180	180	2,000	2,000	\$13K
Crow Creek Facility Shop	Stephan	NIF	—	—	500	500	—
Crow Creek Finance Modular	Stephan	NIF	—	—	200	200	—
Crow Creek Gymnasium	Stephan	NIF	—	—	500	500	—
Crow Creek It Modular	Stephan	NIF	—	—	500	500	—
Crow Creek Middle School	Stephan	School	120	120	2,000	2,000	\$13K
Crow Creek Recreation Center Modular	Stephan	NIF	—	—	500	500	—
Crow Creek Sioux Tribal H S	Stephan	School	145	145	2,000	2,000	\$13K
Crow Creek Tag Modular	Stephan	NIF	—	—	200	200	—
Crow Creek Transportation Modular	Stephan	NIF	—	—	200	200	—
Crow Creek Water Tower/Shop	Stephan	NIF	—	—	500	500	—
<b>CUSTER SCHOOL DISTRICT 16-1</b>							
Custer Elementary School	Custer	School	307	96	49,850	49,850	—

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

School / Library	City	Type	Students	NSLP	Down Mbps	Up Mbps	Support FY2025
<b>DAKOTA CHRISTIAN SCHOOL</b>							
Dakota Christian School	Corsica	School	91	31	50	50	—
<b>DAKOTA CLUB LIBRARY, INC.</b>							
Dakota Club Library, Inc.	Eagle Butte	Library	—	—	274	274	—
Dakota Club Library, Inc. Bookmobile	Eagle Butte	Library	—	—	274	274	—
<b>DAKOTA VALLEY SCHOOL DISTRICT 61-8</b>							
Dakota Valley Elementary Sch	N Sioux City	School	397	72	49,850	49,850	\$12K
<b>DAKOTABILITIES</b>							
Dakotabilities	Sioux Falls	School	132	124	1,000	1,000	—
<b>DE SMET SCHOOL DISTRICT 38-2</b>							
De Smet High School	De Smet	School	95	26	94,250	94,250	\$5K
De Smet Middle School	De Smet	School	95	26	1,000	1,000	\$5K
Laura Ingalls Wilder Elementary School	De Smet	School	180	64	49,850	49,850	\$3K
<b>DELL RAPIDS SCHOOL DISTRICT 49-3</b>							
Dell Rapids Elementary School	Dell Rapids	School	408	76	49,850	49,850	—
Dell Rapids High School	Dell Rapids	School	295	37	94,250	94,250	\$3K
Dell Rapids Middle School	Dell Rapids	School	317	46	2,500	2,500	\$3K
<b>DEUBROOK SCHOOL DISTRICT 05-6</b>							
Deubrook Elementary School Toronto	Toronto	School	224	37	250	250	\$11K
Norfled Colony School	White	School	27	26	1,000	1,000	\$2K
Red Willow Colony School	White	School	26	26	49,850	49,850	\$1K
White Football Field	White	NIF	—	—	500	500	\$531
<b>DEUBROOK SCHOOL DISTRICT 5-6</b>							
Toronto Elementary	White	School	0	0	2	2	—
<b>DEWEY COUNTY LIBRARY</b>							
Dewey County Library	Timber Lake	Library	—	—	250	250	—
<b>DIOCESE OF SIOUX FALLS</b>							
Holy Cross Elementary School	Ipswich	School	13	7	100	25	—
Holy Trinity School	Huron	School	113	33	500	500	\$999
Immaculate Conception School	Watertown	School	176	18	500	500	\$2K
John Paul Ii Elementary School	Mitchell	School	156	13	1,000	1,000	\$4K
Roncalli Elementary School	Aberdeen	School	172	14	200	200	\$3K
Roncalli Middle And High School	Aberdeen	School	247	18	500	500	\$4K
Roncalli Primary School	Aberdeen	School	93	8	200	200	\$3K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

School / Library	City	Type	Students	NSLP	Down Mbps	Up Mbps	Support FY2025
Sacred Heart Elementary School	Yankton	School	186	14	100	30	\$725
Sacred Heart Middle School	Yankton	School	119	11	100	30	\$725
St Agnes School	Vermillion	School	100	16	100	100	\$2K
St Joseph School	Pierre	School	185	4	1,000	1,000	\$7K
St Mary High School	Dell Rapids	School	98	4	1,000	1,000	\$725
St Mary'S Grade School	Dell Rapids	School	130	7	1,000	1,000	\$725
St Mary'S School	Salem	School	39	7	1,000	1,000	\$2K
St Thomas School	Madison	School	36	12	600	600	\$2K
St. Thomas More Catholic School	Brookings	School	59	5	50	10	\$1K
<b>DOUGLAS SCHOOL DISTRICT 51-1</b>							
Badger Clark Elementary School	Box Elder	School	517	212	–	–	–
Douglas High School	Box Elder	School	739	244	49,850	49,850	\$2K
Douglas Middle School	Box Elder	School	669	285	49,850	49,850	\$2K
Douglas Pre-School (Aka Carrousel)	Box Elder	School	42	6	49,850	49,850	–
Francis Case Elementary School	Box Elder	School	494	193	49,850	49,850	\$2K
Patriot Elementary Fka Badger Clark Elementary School	Box Elder	School	0	0	49,850	49,850	–
Vandenberg Elementary School	Box Elder	School	480	212	49,850	49,850	\$2K
<b>DUPREE SCHOOL DISTRICT 64-2</b>							
Dupree Elementary School	Dupree	School	182	159	94,250	94,250	\$29K
Dupree High School	Dupree	School	117	75	500	500	\$29K
Dupree Jr High School	Dupree	School	75	57	94,250	94,250	\$29K
<b>ELK POINT-JEFFERSON SCHOOL DISTRICT 61-7</b>							
Elk Point-Jefferson Elementary School	Elk Point	School	318	51	49,850	49,850	–
<b>ELKTON SCHOOL DISTRICT 5-3</b>							
Elkton Elementary School	Elkton	School	165	65	94,250	94,250	\$12K
Elkton High School	Elkton	School	140	39	1,000	1,000	\$12K
Elkton Jr High School	Elkton	School	58	14	94,250	94,250	\$12K
Rolland Colony School	White	School	9	9	100	100	\$462
<b>ENEMY SWIM DAY SCHOOL</b>							
Enemy Swim Day School	Waubay	School	166	166	1,000	1,000	\$1K
<b>ESTELLINE SCHOOL DISTRICT 28-2</b>							
Estelline Elementary School	Estelline	School	131	43	2	2	–
Estelline School District 28-2	Estelline	School	278	97	31,500	31,500	–
<b>FAITH PUBLIC LIBRARY</b>							
Faith Public Library	Faith	Library	–	–	100	100	\$1K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmf-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

School / Library	City	Type	Students	NSLP	Down Mbps	Up Mbps	Support FY2025
<b>FAULK COUNTY LIBRARY</b>							
Faulk County Library	Faulkton	Library	–	–	50	50	–
<b>FAULKTON AREA SCH DIST 24-4</b>							
Thunderbird Colony School	Faulkton	School	32	0	49,850	49,850	–
<b>FLANDREAU SCHOOL DISTRICT 50-3</b>							
Flandreau Elementary School	Flandreau	School	298	165	49,850	49,850	–
Flandreau High School	Flandreau	School	210	89	94,250	94,250	\$3K
Flandreau Middle School	Flandreau	School	212	96	49,850	49,850	–
<b>FREEMAN PUBLIC LIBRARY</b>							
Freeman Public Library	Freeman	Library	–	–	15	1	–
<b>FREEMAN SCHOOL DISTRICT 33-1</b>							
Freeman Elementary School	Freeman	School	200	61	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Freeman High School	Freeman	School	100	28	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Freeman Jr. High	Freeman	School	53	16	1,000	1,000	\$1K
Tschetter Colony Elem School	Olivet	School	55	55	1,000	1,000	\$966
Wolf Creek Colony Elem School	Olivet	School	34	34	1,000	1,000	\$873
<b>GAYVILLE-VOLIN SCHOOL</b>							
Gayville-Volin Elementary School	Gayville	School	122	52	94,250	94,250	\$2K
Gayville-Volin High School	Gayville	School	103	35	1,000	1,000	\$2K
Gayville-Volin Middle School	Gayville	School	65	30	94,250	94,250	\$2K
<b>GREAT PLAINS LUTHERAN HIGH SCHOOL</b>							
Great Plains Lutheran High School	Watertown	School	137	48	1,000	1,000	–
<b>GREGORY PUBLIC LIBRARY</b>							
Gregory Public Library	Gregory	Library	–	–	25	25	–
<b>HAMLIN SCHOOL DISTRICT 28-3</b>							
Hamlin Elementary School	Hayti	School	489	139	94,250	94,250	\$7K
Hamlin High School	Hayti	School	228	53	1,000	1,000	\$7K
Hamlin Middle School	Hayti	School	188	46	94,250	94,250	\$7K
<b>HARRISBURG SCHOOL DISTRICT 41-2</b>							
Endeavor Elementary	Sioux Falls	School	295	30	1,000	1,000	\$18K
Explorer Elementary	Sioux Falls	School	319	117	500	500	\$19K
Freedom Elementary	Harrisburg	School	425	117	25	25	\$16K
Harrisburg East Middle School	Sioux Falls	School	453	104	94,250	94,250	\$18K
Harrisburg Heritage Elementary	Harrisburg	School	16	16	25	25	\$16K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

School / Library	City	Type	Students	NSLP	Down Mbps	Up Mbps	Support FY2025
Harrisburg High School	Harrisburg	School	1,902	350	94,250	94,250	\$18K
Harrisburg High School Freshman Academy	Sioux Falls	School	0	0	49,850	49,850	—
Harrisburg North Middle School	Sioux Falls	School	554	86	1,000	1,000	\$18K
Harrisburg South Middle School	Harrisburg	School	522	110	94,250	94,250	\$41K
Horizon Elementary	Sioux Falls	School	529	176	500	500	\$19K
Journey Elementary	Sioux Falls	School	350	62	500	500	\$19K
Liberty Elementary	Harrisburg	School	566	90	94,250	94,250	\$18K
<b>HILL CITY PUBLIC LIBRARY</b>							
Hill City Public Library	Hill City	Library	—	—	100	10	—
<b>HITCHCOCK-TULARE SCHOOL DISTRICT 56-6</b>							
Glendale Colony Elementary Sch	Frankfort	School	22	22	49,850	49,850	\$3K
Hitchcock-Tulare Elementary School	Hitchcock	School	115	19	94,250	94,250	\$20K
Hitchcock-Tulare High School	Tulare	School	58	10	94,250	94,250	\$12K
Hitchcock-Tulare Junior High	Tulare	School	19	0	500	500	\$12K
Spink Colony Elementary School	Frankfort	School	21	21	49,850	49,850	\$3K
<b>HOVEN SCHOOL DISTRICT 53-2</b>							
Hoven Elementary School	Hoven	School	75	20	94,250	94,250	\$18K
Hoven High School	Hoven	School	23	7	49,850	49,850	—
Hoven Junior High School	Hoven	School	14	3	49,850	49,850	—
<b>HOWARD SCHOOL DISTRICT 48-3</b>							
Shannon Colony School	Winfred	School	29	0	49,850	49,850	—
<b>HURON PUBLIC LIBRARY</b>							
Huron Public Library	Huron	Library	—	—	500	500	\$1K
<b>HURON SCHOOL DISTRICT 2-2</b>							
Administrative Office	Huron	NIF	—	—	1,000	1,000	\$8K
Arena	Huron	NIF	—	—	1,000	1,000	\$2K
Buchanan Elementary School	Huron	School	476	323	1,000	1,000	\$16K
Bus Barn	Huron	NIF	—	—	1,000	1,000	\$2K
Huron Colony Elementary School	Huron	School	18	0	1,000	1,000	\$9K
Huron High School	Huron	School	885	516	94,250	94,250	\$21K
Huron Middle School	Huron	School	728	502	49,850	49,850	\$8K
Huron Our Home Asap	Huron	School	16	0	—	—	\$8K
Huron Virtual High School	Huron	School	0	0	—	—	\$8K
Madison 2-3 Center	Huron	School	440	323	1,000	1,000	\$16K
Mckinley Learning Center	Huron	School	0	0	1,000	1,000	\$16K
Our Home Rediscovery Alt Sch	Huron	School	6	0	200	200	\$15K
Riverside Colony Elem School	Huron	School	19	0	500	500	\$9K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qtmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

School / Library	City	Type	Students	NSLP	Down Mbps	Up Mbps	Support FY2025
Washington 4-5 Center	Huron	School	454	335	1,000	1,000	\$16K
<b>IROQUOIS SCHOOL DISTRICT 2-3</b>							
Pearl Creek Colony Elem School	Iroquois	School	11	0	49,850	49,850	–
<b>JONES COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT 37-3</b>							
Jones County (Murdo) Elementary School	Murdo	School	95	46	10,000	10,000	\$15K
Jones County High School	Murdo	School	53	23	94,250	94,250	\$23K
Jones County Ms.	Murdo	School	40	25	1,000	1,000	\$15K
<b>LAKE ANDES PUBLIC LIBRARY</b>							
Lake Andes Public Library	Lake Andes	Library	–	–	1,000	1,000	\$917
<b>LEAD-DEADWOOD SCHOOL DISTRICT 40-1</b>							
Lead-Deadwood Elementary School	Deadwood	School	248	74	94,250	94,250	\$2K
Lead-Deadwood Middle School	Lead	School	143	46	49,850	49,850	–
<b>LENNOX SCHOOL DISTRICT 41-4</b>							
Lennox Elementary School	Lennox	School	379	86	49,850	49,850	\$6K
Lennox High School	Lennox	School	357	56	94,250	94,250	\$8K
Lennox Intermediate School	Lennox	School	175	29	49,850	49,850	\$6K
Lennox Junior High	Lennox	School	183	24	2,500	2,500	\$8K
Worthing Elementary School	Worthing	School	98	21	94,250	94,250	\$8K
<b>LEOLA SCHOOL DISTRICT 44-2</b>							
Grassland Colony School	Westport	School	13	0	500	500	\$3K
Long Lake Colony School	Westport	School	23	21	500	500	\$3K
<b>LITTLE EAGLE GRANT SCHOOL</b>							
Little Eagle Grant School	Little Eagle	School	64	64	1,000	1,000	\$863
<b>LITTLE WOUND DAY SCHOOL</b>							
Little Wound Day School	Kyle	School	829	829	10,000	10,000	\$75K
<b>LONEMAN DAY SCHOOL</b>							
Loneman Day School	Oglala	School	256	256	10,000	10,000	\$71K
<b>LOWER BRULE SCHOOL DISTRICT AKA LOWER BRULE DAY SCHOOL</b>							
Administration Building	Lower Brule	NIF	–	–	2,500	2,500	\$1K
Lower Brule Elementary School	Lower Brule	School	151	151	2,500	2,500	\$1K
Lower Brule High School	Lower Brule	School	103	103	2,500	2,500	\$1K
Lower Brule Jr. High School	Lower Brule	School	54	54	2,500	2,500	\$1K
<b>MADISON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT 39-2</b>							
Madison Elementary School	Madison	School	515	126	94,250	94,250	\$38K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

School / Library	City	Type	Students	NSLP	Down Mbps	Up Mbps	Support FY2025
Madison High School	Madison	School	385	63	94,250	94,250	\$39K
Madison Middle School	Madison	School	273	62	49,850	49,850	\$35K
<b>MARTY INDIAN SCHOOL</b>							
Marty Indian School	Marty	School	218	218	100	100	\$20K
<b>MCCROSSAN BOYS RANCH SCHOOL</b>							
Mccrossan Boys Ranch School	Sioux Falls	School	64	64	500	500	—
<b>MCLAUGHLIN SCHOOL DISTRICT 15-2</b>							
Mclaughlin High School	Mc Laughlin	School	156	150	49,850	49,850	\$5K
Mclaughlin Middle School	Mclaughlin	School	82	82	49,850	49,850	\$5K
<b>MEADE SCHOOL DISTRICT 46-1</b>							
Atall Elementary School	Union Center	School	12	0	250	250	\$388
Central Meade County School	Union Center	School	35	3	1,000	1,000	\$676
Curriculum And Technology Office	Sturgis	NIF	—	—	94,250	94,250	\$13K
Elm Springs Elem School	Wasta	School	9	3	500	500	\$532
Hereford Elementary School	Sturgis	School	9	3	250	250	\$388
Opal Elementary School	Opal	School	12	3	250	250	\$388
Piedmont Valley Elementary School	Piedmont	School	491	105	1,000	1,000	\$3K
Stagebarn Middle School	Summerset	School	430	119	1,000	1,000	\$3K
Sturgis Brown High School	Sturgis	School	821	255	2,000	2,000	\$4K
Sturgis Elementary	Sturgis	School	523	215	1,000	1,000	\$3K
Sturgis Williams Middle School	Sturgis	School	489	197	1,000	1,000	\$3K
Whitewood Elementary School	Whitewood	School	127	55	500	500	\$45K
<b>MENNO SCHOOL DISTRICT 33-2</b>							
Jamesville Colony Elem School	Utica	School	20	0	250	250	—
Maxwell Colony Elem School	Scotland	School	43	43	250	250	—
<b>MILBANK SCHOOL DISTRICT 25-4</b>							
Blue Sky Colony	Milbank	School	8	3	49,850	49,850	—
Koch Elementary School	Milbank	School	0	0	49,850	49,850	—
Milbank Elementary	Milbank	School	427	165	49,850	49,850	—
<b>MITCHELL SCHOOL DISTRICT 17-2</b>							
Abbott House Elementary School	Mitchell	School	13	0	49,850	49,850	—
Abbott House High School	Mitchell	School	29	0	49,850	49,850	—
L.B. Williams Elementary School	Mitchell	School	455	187	94,250	94,250	\$2K
Longfellow Elementary School	Mitchell	School	287	136	94,250	94,250	\$2K
Mitchell High School	Mitchell	School	832	303	94,250	94,250	\$6K
Rockport Colony School	Alexandria	School	9	0	100	20	—

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

School / Library	City	Type	Students	NSLP	Down Mbps	Up Mbps	Support FY2025
Rosedale Colony School	Mitchell	School	21	0	49,850	49,850	—
<b>MOBRIDGE-POLLOCK SCHOOL DISTRICT 62-6</b>							
Freeman Davis Elementary Sch	Mobridge	School	149	81	500	500	\$4K
Mobridge-Pollock Bus Barn	Mobridge	NIF	—	—	500	500	\$672
Mobridge-Pollock Tiger Stadium	Mobridge	NIF	—	—	500	500	\$672
<b>MOUNT VERNON SCHOOL DISTRICT 17-3</b>							
Mount Vernon High School	Mount Vernon	School	120	49	500	500	\$4K
Mount Vernon Middle School	Mount Vernon	School	70	15	94,250	94,250	\$4K
Mt Vernon Elementary School	Mount Vernon	School	66	23	94,250	94,250	\$4K
<b>NEW BEGINNINGS CENTER SCHOOL</b>							
New Beginnings Center School	Aberdeen	School	18	18	50	10	—
<b>NEW UNDERWOOD SCHOOL DISTRICT 51-3</b>							
New Underwood Elem School	New Underwood	School	130	30	94,250	94,250	\$7K
New Underwood High School	New Underwood	School	99	22	1,000	1,000	\$7K
New Underwood Middle School	New Underwood	School	65	17	94,250	94,250	\$7K
New Underwood School Junior High/High School Shop Building	New Underwood	School	0	0	—	—	—
<b>OGLALA LAKOTA COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT 65-1</b>							
Batesland Elementary School	Batesland	School	196	196	94,250	94,250	\$19K
Lakota Tech High School	Pine Ridge	School	449	311	94,250	94,250	\$12K
Oglala Lakota County Virtual High School	Batesland	School	90	90	49,850	49,850	\$9K
Rockyford Elementary School	Porcupine	School	396	396	94,250	94,250	\$17K
Wolf Creek School	Pine Ridge	School	624	624	94,250	94,250	\$17K
<b>OLDHAM-RAMONA-RUTLAND SCHOOL DISTRICT</b>							
Camridge Colony School	Volga	School	21	0	49,850	49,850	\$2K
Spring Lake Colony High School	Arlington	School	0	0	76,250	76,250	\$3K
<b>PAHIN SINTE OWAYAWA</b>							
Pahin Sinte Owayawa	Porcupine	School	205	205	1,000	1,000	\$31K
<b>PARKSTON SCHOOL DISTRICT 33-3</b>							
Parkston Elementary School	Parkston	School	297	78	94,250	94,250	\$18K
Parkston High School	Parkston	School	151	33	200	200	\$4K
Parkston Jr. High School	Parkston	School	74	21	200	200	\$4K
Parkston New Elm Springs Colony	Ethan	School	25	0	200	200	\$4K
Parkston Old Elm Springs Colony	Parkston	School	34	0	200	200	\$4K
Parkston Sd-Our Home	Parkston	School	27	0	200	200	\$4K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

School / Library	City	Type	Students	NSLP	Down Mbps	Up Mbps	Support FY2025
<b>PIERRE INDIAN LEARNING CENTER</b>							
Pierre Indian Elementary	Pierre	School	53	53	200	200	\$4K
Pierre Indian Jr. High School	Pierre	School	68	68	200	200	\$4K
Pierre Indian Learning Center	Pierre	School	168	157	200	200	—
<b>PIERRE SCHOOL DISTRICT 32-2</b>							
Buchanan Elementary School	Pierre	School	367	137	94,250	94,250	\$4K
Georgia Morse Middle School	Pierre	School	648	174	38	8	\$955
Jefferson Elementary School	Pierre	School	389	147	94,250	94,250	\$4K
Kennedy Elementary School	Pierre	School	408	145	38	8	—
Kennedy Elementary School	Pierre	School	387	153	94,250	94,250	\$4K
Mckinley Elementary School	Pierre	School	0	0	38	8	—
Pierre Jdc-88	Pierre	School	0	0	200	200	—
Pierre Sd Administration Building	Pierre	NIF	—	—	94,250	94,250	\$3K
T. F. Riggs High School	Pierre	School	835	201	100	100	\$955
Washington Elementary School	Pierre	School	0	0	38	8	—
<b>PLANKINTON SCHOOL DISTRICT 1-1</b>							
Plankinton Elementary School	Plankinton	School	152	78	94,250	94,250	\$5K
Plankinton High School	Plankinton	School	99	30	1,000	1,000	\$5K
Plankinton Jr. High School	Plankinton	School	31	7	94,250	94,250	\$5K
<b>RAPID CITY AREA SCHOOL DISTRICT 51-4</b>							
Black Hawk Elementary School	Black Hawk	School	272	114	3,000	3,000	\$9K
Canyon Lake Elementary School	Rapid City	School	289	113	3,000	3,000	—
Central High School	Rapid City	School	2,002	897	10,000	10,000	\$12K
Corral Drive Elementary School	Rapid City	School	444	21	3,000	3,000	\$9K
East Middle School	Rapid City	School	607	240	5,000	5,000	\$10K
General Beadle Elementary Sch	Rapid City	School	482	437	3,000	3,000	\$9K
Grandview Elementary School	Rapid City	School	436	177	3,000	3,000	\$9K
Horace Mann Elementary School	Rapid City	School	246	207	3,000	3,000	\$9K
Jdc	Rapid City	School	0	0	1,000	1,000	\$8K
Jefferson Building	Rapid City	NIF	—	—	1,000	1,000	\$2K
Jefferson Building - 64	Rapid City	School	54	0	—	—	—
Kibben-Custer Building - Office Of Student Nutrition	Rapid City	NIF	—	—	1,000	1,000	\$2K
Knollwood Heights Elementary School	Rapid City	School	338	284	3,000	3,000	\$9K
Lincoln Building	Rapid City	School	24	0	1,000	1,000	\$8K
Meadowbrook Elementary School	Rapid City	School	453	128	5,000	5,000	\$10K
North Middle School	Rapid City	School	446	398	5,000	5,000	\$10K
Pinedale Elementary School	Rapid City	School	339	75	3,000	3,000	\$9K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

School / Library	City	Type	Students	NSLP	Down Mbps	Up Mbps	Support FY2025
Rapid City High School	Rapid City	School	356	170	49,850	49,850	—
Rapid City Online High School -92	Rapid City	School	0	0	2,000	2,000	\$6K
Rapid Valley Elementary School	Rapid City	School	508	197	5,000	5,000	\$10K
Robbinsdale Elementary School	Rapid City	School	314	194	3,000	3,000	\$9K
Sioux Park Crows Nest - Track & Field	Rapid City	NIF	—	—	1,000	1,000	\$2K
South Canyon Elementary School	Rapid City	School	251	86	3,000	3,000	\$9K
South Middle School	Rapid City	School	628	339	5,000	5,000	\$10K
South Park Elem School	Rapid City	School	288	181	3,000	3,000	\$9K
Southwest Middle School	Rapid City	School	611	69	5,000	5,000	\$10K
Stevens High School	Rapid City	School	1,736	224	10,000	10,000	\$14K
Valley View Elementary School	Rapid City	School	622	267	5,000	5,000	\$10K
Wellfully	Rapid City	School	7	0	49,850	49,850	\$6K
West Middle School	Rapid City	School	557	162	5,000	5,000	\$10K
Woodrow Wilson Elementary School	Rapid City	School	315	143	3,000	3,000	\$9K
<b>RAPID CITY CATHOLIC SCHOOL SYSTEM</b>							
St Thomas More High School	Rapid City	School	170	6	1,000	1,000	\$2K
St. Elizabeth Seton At Terra Sancta Elementary	Rapid City	School	259	15	1,000	1,000	\$2K
St. Elizabeth Seton At Terra Sancta Preschool	Rapid City	School	102	0	1,000	1,000	\$2K
St. Thomas More Middle School	Rapid City	School	180	2	1,000	1,000	\$2K
<b>RED CLOUD INDIAN SCHOOL</b>							
Our Lady Of Lourdes Bus Garage	Porcupine	NIF	—	—	1,000	1,000	\$1K
Our Lady Of Lourdes School	Porcupine	School	107	58	1,000	1,000	\$32K
Red Cloud Elementary	Pine Ridge	School	179	120	1,000	1,000	\$26K
Red Cloud Indian School Administration	Pine Ridge	NIF	—	—	1,000	1,000	\$8K
Red Cloud Indian School Bus Garage	Pine Ridge	NIF	—	—	1,000	1,000	\$1K
Red Cloud Indian School Development	Pine Ridge	NIF	—	—	1,000	1,000	\$1K
Red Cloud Indian School Eben Hall	Pine Ridge	NIF	—	—	1,000	1,000	\$1K
Red Cloud Indian School Heritage Center	Pine Ridge	NIF	—	—	1,000	1,000	\$1K
Red Cloud Indian School High School	Pine Ridge	School	154	49	1,000	1,000	\$26K
Red Cloud Indian School Maintenance	Pine Ridge	NIF	—	—	1,000	1,000	\$1K
Red Cloud Indian School Serena Hall/ Food Service	Pine Ridge	NIF	—	—	1,000	1,000	\$1K
<b>REDFIELD SCHOOL DISTRICT 56-4</b>							
Gs Mickelson Alternative School	Redfield	School	10	0	200	200	\$2K
Gsm Alt. Elementary School	Redfield	School	0	0	100	100	\$2K
Redfield Armory	Redfield	School	0	0	75	10	—
Redfield Armory	Redfield	NIF	—	—	200	200	\$626

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

School / Library	City	Type	Students	NSLP	Down Mbps	Up Mbps	Support FY2025
Redfield Elementary School	Redfield	School	244	74	94,250	94,250	\$3K
Redfield High School	Redfield	School	148	24	1,000	1,000	\$4K
<b>ROSHOLT SCHOOL DISTRICT 54-4</b>							
Rosholt Elementary School	Rosholt	School	88	34	94,250	94,250	\$7K
Rosholt High School	Rosholt	School	74	23	500	500	\$7K
Rosholt Jr High School	Rosholt	School	52	20	94,250	94,250	\$7K
White Rock Colony Elem School	Rosholt	School	21	21	49,850	49,850	\$716
<b>SD ACHIEVE DBA LIFESCAPE</b>							
Sd Achieve Dba Lifescape	Sioux Falls	School	392	360	250	250	—
<b>SIoux FALLS CHRISTIAN SCHOOL</b>							
Sioux Falls Christian School	Sioux Falls	School	1,442	70	1,000	1,000	\$26K
<b>SIoux FALLS LUTHERAN SCHOOL</b>							
Sioux Falls Lutheran School	Sioux Falls	School	160	12	—	—	—
<b>SIoux FALLS SCHOOL DISTRICT 49-5</b>							
Arise Shelter Care	Sioux Falls	School	1	0	100	100	\$626
Howard Wood Field	Sioux Falls	NIF	—	—	100	100	\$2K
Jdc - 61	Sioux Falls	School	0	0	100	100	\$626
New Technology High School	Sioux Falls	School	300	110	100,000	100,000	—
Sioux Falls School Dist. 49-5 Central Services Center	Sioux Falls	NIF	—	—	100,000	100,000	\$45K
Sioux Falls Sd Community Campus	Sioux Falls	School	30	11	100	100	\$626
Summit Oaks School	Sioux Falls	School	21	0	100	100	\$626
<b>SIoux VALLEY SCHOOL DISTRICT 5-5</b>							
Sioux Valley Elem School	Volga	School	357	52	94,250	94,250	\$9K
Sioux Valley High School	Volga	School	206	19	1,000	1,000	\$9K
Sioux Valley Middle School	Volga	School	159	24	94,250	94,250	\$9K
<b>SIouxLAND LIBRARIES</b>							
Baltic Branch	Baltic	Library	—	—	120	20	—
Bookmobile	Sioux Falls	Library	—	—	120	20	—
Brandon Branch	Brandon	Library	—	—	125	125	—
Caille Branch	Sioux Falls	Library	—	—	125	125	—
Colton Branch	Colton	Library	—	—	120	20	—
Crooks Branch	Crooks	Library	—	—	125	125	—
Downtown Library	Sioux Falls	Library	—	—	125	125	—
Garretson Branch	Garretson	Library	—	—	30	30	—
Hartford Branch	Hartford	Library	—	—	125	125	—

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

School / Library	City	Type	Students	NSLP	Down Mbps	Up Mbps	Support FY2025
Humboldt Branch	Humboldt	Library	—	—	120	20	—
Oak View Branch	Sioux Falls	Library	—	—	1,000	1,000	—
Prairie West Branch	Sioux Falls	Library	—	—	1,000	1,000	—
Ronning Branch	Sioux Falls	Library	—	—	125	125	—
Valley Springs Branch	Valley Springs	Library	—	—	30	30	—
<b>SOUTH DAKOTA STATE DEPT OF ED</b>							
Aberdeen Alternative School	Aberdeen	School	2	2	49,850	49,850	—
Administration Building	Vermillion	NIF	—	—	49,850	49,850	—
Administration Building	Martin	NIF	—	—	49,850	49,850	—
Administrative Building	Lennox	NIF	—	—	49,850	49,850	—
Adventure Elementary School	Sioux Falls	School	483	45	94,250	94,250	\$18K
Alcester Hudson Jr High	Alcester	School	53	15	1,000	1,000	\$17K
Alcester Public Library	Alcester	Library	—	—	94,250	94,250	\$3K
Alcester-Hudson High School	Alcester	School	99	18	94,250	94,250	\$17K
All City Elementary School	Sioux Falls	School	150	32	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Alternative/Central Services	Harrisburg	NIF	—	—	49,850	49,850	—
Andes Central Jr-Sr High Sch	Lake Andes	School	125	125	94,250	94,250	\$27K
Anne Sullivan Elem School	Sioux Falls	School	607	511	94,250	94,250	\$3K
Arise Shelter Care	Sioux Falls	NIF	—	—	49,850	49,850	—
Arlington Elementary School	Arlington	School	150	22	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Arlington High School	Arlington	School	76	13	1,000	1,000	\$1K
Arlington Jr. High School	Arlington	School	49	11	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Armour High School	Armour	School	56	9	94,250	94,250	\$22K
Athletic Building	Lennox	NIF	—	—	49,850	49,850	—
Austin Elementary School	Vermillion	School	232	84	66,250	66,250	—
Axtell Park Middle School	Sioux Falls	School	35	32	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Baltic Elementary School	Baltic	School	280	42	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Baltic High School	Baltic	School	143	11	1,000	1,000	\$1K
Baltic Middle School	Baltic	School	123	24	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Baltic School District 49-1	Baltic	School	477,495	10,795	31,500	31,500	—
Belle Fourche District Maintenance Bldg	Belle Fourche	NIF	—	—	49,850	49,850	—
Ben Reifel Middle School	Sioux Falls,	School	911	407	94,250	94,250	\$3K
Bennett County Middle School	Martin	School	89	78	94,250	94,250	\$4K
Bennett County Public Library	Martin	Library	—	—	1,000	1,000	\$4K
Bennett County School District Bus Garage	Martin	NIF	—	—	49,850	49,850	—
Beresford Elementary School	Beresford	School	278	63	94,250	94,250	\$8K
Big Stone City Elem School	Big Stone City	School	55	34	66,250	66,250	—
Big Stone City Middle School	Big Stone City	School	16	5	66,250	66,250	—
Bison Elementary School	Bison	School	61	21	94,250	94,250	\$3K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

School / Library	City	Type	Students	NSLP	Down Mbps	Up Mbps	Support FY2025
Bison High School	Bison	School	37	4	500	500	\$3K
Bison Jr High School	Bison	School	26	3	94,250	94,250	\$3K
Blumengard Colony School	Faulkton	School	31	0	49,850	49,850	—
Blunt Elementary School	Blunt	School	14	7	66,250	66,250	—
Bobcat Plains Academy High School	Brookings	School	0	0	94,250	94,250	—
Bobcat Plains Academy Middle School	Brookings	School	0	0	94,250	94,250	—
Bowdle High School	Bowdle	School	24	7	1,000	1,000	\$1K
Bowdle Elementary School	Bowdle	School	45	24	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Bowdle Jr. High School	Bowdle	School	20	14	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Brentwood Colony School	Faulkton	School	19	0	49,850	49,850	—
Bridges At Horace Mann	Sioux Falls	School	71	64	94,250	94,250	\$3K
Bridgewater Emery Elementary School	Bridgewater	School	135	34	94,250	94,250	\$3K
Bridgewater-Emery Middle School	Emery	School	86	24	500	500	\$4K
Bridgewater-Emery High School	Emery	School	95	23	94,250	94,250	\$4K
Brookings Bus Garage	Brookings	NIF	—	—	94,250	94,250	\$2K
Brookings High School	Brookings	School	985	172	94,250	94,250	\$17K
Brookings School Administration	Brookings	NIF	—	—	94,250	94,250	\$4K
Buffalo Elementary School	Buffalo	School	102	28	94,250	94,250	\$12K
Burke Elementary School	Burke	School	98	29	66,250	66,250	—
Burke High School	Burke	School	90	15	94,250	94,250	\$4K
Burke Middle School	Burke	School	59	23	1,000	1,000	\$4K
Burke School Shop	Burke	NIF	—	—	49,850	49,850	—
Bus Barn	Lennox	NIF	—	—	49,850	49,850	—
Camelot Intermediate School	Brookings	School	537	126	94,250	94,250	\$4K
Cameron Colony Elementary	Viborg	School	13	0	49,850	49,850	—
Camp Crook Elementary School	Buffalo	School	8	0	49,850	49,850	—
Camridge Colony School	Rutland	School	22	7	58,000	58,000	—
Camrose Colony Elementary School	Frankfort	School	12	12	49,850	49,850	—
Canistota Elementary	Canistota	School	143	36	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Canistota High School	Canistota	School	101	23	1,000	1,000	\$1K
Canistota Middle School	Canistota	School	66	23	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Canton High School	Canton	School	285	48	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Canton Middle School	Canton	School	200	45	1,000	1,000	\$1K
Career And Technology Academy	Sioux Falls	School	1	0	94,250	94,250	\$3K
Castlewood Elementary School	Castlewood	School	159	30	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Castlewood High School	Castlewood	School	117	14	1,000	1,000	\$1K
Castlewood Middle/Jr. High	Castlewood	School	81	13	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Cedar Grove Colony School	Platte	School	42	0	49,850	49,850	—
Centerville Community Library	Centerville	Library	—	—	94,250	94,250	\$3K
Centerville Elementary School	Centerville	School	112	29	94,250	94,250	\$3K
Centerville High School	Centerville	School	89	11	1,000	1,000	\$3K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

School / Library	City	Type	Students	NSLP	Down Mbps	Up Mbps	Support FY2025
Centerville Ms	Centerville	School	50	11	94,250	94,250	\$3K
Central Copy Office	Sturgis	NIF	—	—	49,850	49,850	—
Challenge Center	Sioux Falls	School	150	27	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Chamberlain Elementary School	Chamberlain	School	411	227	94,250	94,250	—
Chester Area Elementary School	Chester	School	146	39	94,250	94,250	\$5K
Chester Area High School	Chester	School	207	45	1,000	1,000	\$5K
Cheyenne Elementary School	Hayes	School	10	0	49,850	49,850	—
Claremont Colony School	Castlewood	School	5	3	49,850	49,850	—
Clark Elementary School	Clark	School	214	58	94,250	94,250	\$6K
Clark High School	Clark	School	128	38	94,250	94,250	\$8K
Clark Middle School	Clark	School	78	27	500	500	\$8K
Clear Lake Elementary School	Clear Lake	School	268	64	94,250	94,250	\$2K
Clear Lake Middle School	Clear Lake	School	105	27	1,000	1,000	\$2K
Cleveland Elementary School	Sioux Falls	School	474	401	94,250	94,250	\$2K
Colman Elementary School - 03	Colman	School	170	34	94,250	94,250	\$17K
Colman-Egan High School - 01	Colman	School	93	29	1,000	1,000	\$17K
Colman-Egan Jr. High School - 02	Colman	School	43	12	94,250	94,250	\$17K
Colman-Egan School District 50-5 Admin	Colman	NIF	—	—	49,850	49,850	—
Colome Elementary School	Colome	School	79	43	94,250	94,250	\$4K
Colome High School	Colome	School	43	22	500	500	\$4K
Colome Jr High School	Colome	School	37	26	94,250	94,250	\$4K
Core Educational Cooperative	Platte	School	20	0	94,250	94,250	\$6K
Corsica-Stickney Elementary School 21-3	Stickney	School	124	34	94,250	94,250	\$15K
Corsica-Stickney High School 21-3	Corsica	School	72	12	94,250	94,250	\$4K
Corsica-Stickney Jr. High 21-3	Corsica	School	52	16	1,000	1,000	\$4K
Custer High School	Custer	School	277	60	94,250	94,250	\$4K
Custer Jr High School	Custer	School	98	27	1,000	1,000	\$4K
Custer School Administration Building	Custer	NIF	—	—	94,250	94,250	\$4K
Dakota Prairie Elementary	Brookings	School	449	58	94,250	94,250	\$4K
Dakota Valley High School	North Sioux City	School	418	44	94,250	94,250	\$13K
Dakota Valley Middle School	North Sioux City	School	324	41	2,500	2,500	\$13K
Dakota Valley Upper Elementary School	North Sioux City	School	174	25	94,250	94,250	\$13K
Dave Broadie Field (Stadium)	Box Elder	NIF	—	—	49,850	49,850	—
Deep Creek Rural Elementary School	Midland	School	2	0	31,500	31,500	—
Deerfield Colony School	Leola	School	23	0	49,850	49,850	—
Deubrook High School	White	School	104	10	94,250	94,250	\$5K
Deubrook Jhs	White	School	62	10	1,000	1,000	\$5K
Deuel High School	Clear Lake	School	165	35	94,250	94,250	\$2K
Discovery Elementary	Sioux Falls	School	843	184	94,250	94,250	\$3K
District Education Center (Administrator)	Tea	NIF	—	—	49,850	49,850	—

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

School / Library	City	Type	Students	NSLP	Down Mbps	Up Mbps	Support FY2025
Doland Elementary School	Doland	School	39	13	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Doland High School	Doland	School	25	7	1,000	1,000	\$1K
Doland Jr High School	Doland	School	17	3	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Doland School District 56-2	Doland	School	169	103	31,500	56,000	—
Doug Kraft Field	Timber Lake	NIF	—	—	49,850	49,850	—
Douglas Administration Building	Box Elder	NIF	—	—	49,850	49,850	—
Douglas Computer Center	Box Elder	NIF	—	—	94,250	94,250	\$3K
E.A.G.L.E. Center High School -07	Eagle Butte	School	2	2	49,850	49,850	—
E.A.G.L.E. Center Middle School - 09	Eagle Butte	School	10	10	49,850	49,850	—
Eagle Butte High School	Eagle Butte	School	9	9	49,850	49,850	—
Eagle Butte Jr High School	Eagle Butte	School	5	5	49,850	49,850	—
Eagle Butte Primary	Eagle Butte	School	86	78	49,850	49,850	—
Eagle Butte Upper Elem School	Eagle Butte	School	472	462	94,250	94,250	\$15K
Eagle Pride Alternative School	Lake Andes	School	0	0	38,000	38,000	—
Edgemont Elementary School	Edgemont	School	80	41	94,250	94,250	\$4K
Edgemont High School	Edgemont	School	26	15	1,000	1,000	\$4K
Edison Middle School	Sioux Falls	School	891	453	94,250	94,250	\$3K
Edmunds Central Elementary School	Roscoe	School	63	31	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Edmunds Central High Sch	Roscoe	School	65	24	1,000	1,000	\$1K
Edmunds Central Middle School	Roscoe	School	49	23	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Elementary Immersion Center	Sioux Falls	School	79	79	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Elk Mountain Elem School	Dewey	School	11	0	94,250	94,250	\$4K
Elk Mountain High School	Dewey	School	3	0	100	100	\$4K
Elk Point City Library	Elk Point	Library	—	—	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Elk Point-Jefferson High Sch	Elk Point	School	159	20	94,250	94,250	\$858
Elk Point-Jefferson Middle School	Elk Point	School	159	20	1,000	1,000	\$1K
Elkton Colony-Newdale	Elkton	School	23	0	49,850	49,850	—
Emery Elementary School	Emery	School	0	0	16,000	16,000	—
Enning Elementary School	Sturgis	School	25	0	31,500	31,500	—
Estelline High School	Estelline	School	83	16	94,250	94,250	\$3K
Estelline Junior High School	Estelline	School	39	11	16,000	16,000	—
Estelline Middle School	Estelline	School	63	19	1,000	1,000	\$3K
Ethan Elementary School	Ethan	School	148	39	94,250	94,250	\$18K
Ethan High School	Ethan	School	84	12	1,000	1,000	\$18K
Ethan Jr. High School	Ethan	School	50	10	94,250	94,250	\$18K
Eugene Field A+ Elementary School	Sioux Falls	School	428	191	94,250	94,250	\$3K
Eureka Elementary School	Eureka	School	105	41	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Eureka High School	Eureka	School	55	9	1,000	1,000	\$1K
Eureka Middle School	Eureka	School	24	6	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Eureka School Athletic Complex	Eureka	NIF	—	—	49,850	49,850	—
Eureka School Bus Shed	Eureka	NIF	—	—	49,850	49,850	—

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

School / Library	City	Type	Students	NSLP	Down Mbps	Up Mbps	Support FY2025
Faith Elementary School	Faith	School	85	27	94,250	94,250	\$6K
Faith High School	Faith	School	64	18	500	500	\$6K
Faith Jr High School	Faith	School	14	6	94,250	94,250	\$6K
Faulkton Elementary School	Faulkton	School	184	52	94,250	94,250	\$6K
Faulkton High School	Faulkton	School	79	20	500	500	\$6K
Faulkton Jr High School	Faulkton	School	58	23	94,250	94,250	\$6K
Flex	Sioux Falls	School	44	37	100,000	100,000	—
Florence Elementary School	Florence	School	197	61	94,250	94,250	\$5K
Florence High School	Florence	School	114	26	500	500	\$5K
Florence Middle School	Florence	School	95	28	94,250	94,250	\$5K
Frederick Elementary School	Frederick	School	105	19	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Frederick High School	Frederick	School	57	17	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Frederick Junior High School	Frederick	School	25	4	1,000	1,000	\$1K
G.B. Rogers Elementary School	Mitchell	School	382	179	94,250	94,250	\$2K
Garfield Elementary School	Watertown	School	9	6	31,500	31,500	—
Garfield Elementary School	Sioux Falls	School	525	454	94,250	94,250	\$3K
Garretson Elementary School	Garretson	School	253	61	94,250	94,250	\$3K
Garretson High School	Garretson	School	131	26	1,000	1,000	\$3K
Garretson Middle School	Garretson	School	114	19	94,250	94,250	\$3K
George McGovern Middle School	Sioux Falls	School	838	631	94,250	94,250	\$3K
Gettysburg Elementary School	Gettysburg	School	83	18	94,250	94,250	\$6K
Gettysburg High School	Gettysburg	School	71	14	500	500	\$6K
Gettysburg Junior High School	Gettysburg	School	58	11	94,250	94,250	\$6K
Golden View Colony School	Salem	School	26	0	49,850	49,850	—
Grant-Deuel School District 25-3	Reville	School	71	36	31,500	31,500	—
Grass Ranch Colony Hg Schl	Kimball	School	12	12	49,850	49,850	—
Grass Ranch Colony School	Kimball	School	47	47	49,850	49,850	—
Grassland Elementary School	Westport	School	32	32	16,000	16,000	—
Gregory Elementary School	Gregory	School	238	87	94,250	94,250	\$7K
Gregory High School	Gregory	School	116	36	1,000	1,000	\$7K
Gregory Jr High School	Gregory	School	87	34	94,250	94,250	\$7K
Groton Elementary School	Groton	School	268	52	49,850	49,850	—
Groton High School	Groton	School	158	16	94,250	94,250	\$2K
Groton Middle School	Groton	School	140	25	1,000	1,000	\$2K
Gs Mickelson Middle	Brookings	School	785	174	94,250	94,250	\$4K
Handley Recreation Center	Lead	School	10	7	31,500	31,500	—
Hanson Colony Alternative	Mitchell	School	11	0	49,850	49,850	—
Hanson Elementary School	Alexandria	School	158	25	94,250	94,250	\$3K
Hanson High School	Alexandria	School	117	12	1,000	1,000	\$3K
Hanson Middle School	Alexandria	School	60	11	94,250	94,250	\$3K
Harding County High School	Buffalo	School	68	14	500	500	\$12K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

School / Library	City	Type	Students	NSLP	Down Mbps	Up Mbps	Support FY2025
Harding County Ms	Buffalo	School	63	20	94,250	94,250	\$12K
Harrisburg Schools Bus Garage	Sioux Falls	NIF	—	—	49,850	49,850	—
Harvey Dunn Elementary School	Sioux Falls	School	654	232	94,250	94,250	\$3K
Hawthorne Elementary School	Sioux Falls	School	344	279	94,250	94,250	\$3K
Hayward Elementary School	Sioux Falls	School	692	584	94,250	94,250	\$3K
Henry Elementary School	Henry	School	74	39	94,250	94,250	\$5K
Henry High School	Henry	School	44	13	500	500	\$5K
Henry Middle School	Henry	School	38	14	94,250	94,250	\$5K
Hermosa School	Hermosa	School	188	52	94,250	94,250	\$3K
Herreid Elementary School	Herreid	School	83	27	94,250	94,250	\$2K
Herreid High School	Herreid	School	38	10	1,000	1,000	\$2K
Herreid Middle School	Herreid	School	32	0	94,250	94,250	\$2K
Highmore Elementary School	Highmore	School	156	42	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Highmore High School	Highmore	School	64	15	500	500	\$1K
Highmore Junior High School	Highmore	School	22	6	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Hill City Elementary School	Hill City	School	229	68	94,250	94,250	\$3K
Hill City High School	Hill City	School	162	29	1,000	1,000	\$3K
Hill City Middle School	Hill City	School	124	34	94,250	94,250	\$3K
Hillcrest Colony Elementary	Garden City	School	29	0	49,850	49,850	—
Hillcrest Elementary School	Brookings	School	254	90	94,250	94,250	\$4K
Hillside Colony Elementary School	Doland	School	2	2	49,850	49,850	—
Hillside Colony High School	Doland	School	11	11	49,850	49,850	—
Hitchcock-Tulare School District 56-6	Tulare	School	216	80	31,500	31,500	—
Hot Springs Elementary School	Hot Springs	School	361	162	49,850	49,850	—
Hot Springs High School	Hot Springs	School	250	73	49,850	49,850	—
Hot Springs Middle School	Hot Springs	School	170	89	94,250	94,250	\$7K
Howard Elementary School	Howard	School	169	31	94,250	94,250	\$5K
Howard High School	Howard	School	96	10	94,250	94,250	\$3K
Howard Jr High School	Howard	School	63	8	500	500	\$3K
Interior Elementary School	Interior	School	19	16	94,250	94,250	\$7K
Ipswich Elementary School	Ipswich	School	183	41	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Ipswich High School	Ipswich	School	110	21	1,000	1,000	\$1K
Ipswich Middle School	Ipswich	School	84	28	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Irene Public Library	Irene	Library	—	—	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Irene-Wakonda Elementary School	Wakonda	School	163	49	94,250	94,250	\$7K
Irene-Wakonda High School	Irene	School	86	17	94,250	94,250	\$17K
Irene-Wakonda Junior High School	Irene	School	46	9	1,000	1,000	\$17K
Iroquois Elementary School	Iroquois	School	108	49	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Iroquois High School	Iroquois	School	41	12	1,000	1,000	\$1K
Iroquois Middle School	Iroquois	School	56	29	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Jefferson High School	Sioux Falls,	School	1,784	835	94,250	94,250	\$6K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

School / Library	City	Type	Students	NSLP	Down Mbps	Up Mbps	Support FY2025
Joe Foss School	Sioux Falls	School	0	0	16,000	16,000	—
John F. Kennedey Elementary School	Sioux Falls	School	641	256	94,250	94,250	\$3K
John Harris Elementary School	Sioux Falls	School	691	145	94,250	94,250	\$3K
Jolley Elementary School	Vermillion	School	409	142	66,250	66,250	—
Kadoka Area High School	Kadoka	School	69	24	94,250	94,250	\$3K
Kadoka Area Middle School	Kadoka	School	47	17	94,250	94,250	\$3K
Kadoka Elementary School	Kadoka	School	115	53	500	500	\$3K
Kennebec Elementary	Kennebec	School	159	159	94,250	94,250	\$12K
Kimball Elementary School	Kimball	School	126	38	94,250	94,250	\$5K
Kimball High School	Kimball	School	86	27	500	500	\$5K
Kimball Ms	Kimball	School	75	20	94,250	94,250	\$5K
Klein Elementary School	Mission	School	18	18	49,850	49,850	—
Lake Preston Elementary School	Lake Preston	School	105	24	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Lake Preston High School	Lake Preston	School	41	9	1,000	1,000	\$1K
Lake Preston Middle School	Lake Preston	School	39	18	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Langford Elementary School	Langford	School	125	38	94,250	94,250	\$6K
Langford High School	Langford	School	64	24	500	500	\$6K
Langford Middle School	Langford	School	47	20	94,250	94,250	\$6K
Laura B Anderson Elementary School	Sioux Falls	School	310	267	94,250	94,250	\$3K
Laura Wilder Elementary School	Sioux Falls	School	443	299	94,250	94,250	\$3K
Lawrence Elementary School	Canton	School	409	80	49,850	49,850	—
Lead-Deadwood High School	Lead	School	171	49	94,250	94,250	\$70K
Lead/Deadwood Career & Technical Campus	Nemo	School	87	0	49,850	49,850	—
Lemmon High School	Lemmon	School	140	57	94,250	94,250	\$4K
Lemmon Elementary School	Lemmon	School	143	66	49,850	49,850	—
Lemmon Jr. High School	Lemmon	School	60	18	500	500	\$4K
Leola Elementary School	Leola	School	60	12	94,250	94,250	\$6K
Leola High School	Leola	School	42	12	1,000	1,000	\$6K
Leola Ms	Leola	School	22	8	94,250	94,250	\$6K
Lincoln High School	Sioux Falls	School	1,937	699	94,250	94,250	\$6K
Littleburg Elementary School	Mission	School	38	38	49,850	49,850	—
Longvalley Elementary School	Longvalley	School	23	4	94,250	94,250	\$7K
Lowell Elementary School	Sioux Falls	School	390	340	94,250	94,250	\$3K
Ludlow Elementary School	Ludlow	School	8	0	49,850	49,850	—
Lyman High School	Presho	School	89	23	94,250	94,250	\$6K
Lyman Middle School	Presho	School	77	39	500	500	\$6K
Madison Central School District 39-2 Admin	Madison	NIF	—	—	49,850	49,850	—
Maintenance Shop	Box Elder	NIF	—	—	49,850	49,850	—
Maintenance Shop	Sturgis	NIF	—	—	49,850	49,850	—
Marcella Lebeau Elementary School	Sioux Falls	School	281	146	94,250	94,250	\$3K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

School / Library	City	Type	Students	NSLP	Down Mbps	Up Mbps	Support FY2025
Marion Elementary School	Marion	School	98	36	94,250	94,250	\$939
Marion High School	Marion	School	59	17	1,000	1,000	\$939
Marion Middle School	Marion	School	38	12	94,250	94,250	\$939
Marion Public Library	Marion	Library	–	–	94,250	94,250	\$939
Maurine Elementary School	Faith	School	21	0	49,850	49,850	–
Mccook Central Elementary School	Salem	School	196	53	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Mccook Central High School	Salem	School	114	12	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Mccook Central Middle School	Salem	School	82	12	1,000	1,000	\$1K
Mcintosh Elem School	Mc Intosh	School	43	26	94,250	94,250	\$16K
Mcintosh High School	Mc Intosh	School	42	24	500	500	\$16K
Mcintosh Jr High	Mc Intosh	School	44	27	94,250	94,250	\$16K
Mclaughlin Elementary School	Mc Laughlin	School	186	186	94,250	94,250	\$10K
Meade Business Office	Sturgis	NIF	–	–	49,850	49,850	–
Medary Elementary School	Brookings	School	360	121	94,250	94,250	\$4K
Memorial Middle School	Sioux Falls	School	1,121	364	94,250	94,250	\$3K
Menno Elementary School	Menno	School	97	31	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Menno High School	Menno	School	71	29	1,000	1,000	\$1K
Menno Middle School	Menno	School	33	13	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Middle School Immersion Center	Sioux Falls	School	60	60	66,250	66,250	–
Midland Elementary School	Midland	School	8	1	94,250	94,250	\$2K
Milbank High School	Milbank	School	328	80	94,250	94,250	\$2K
Milbank Middle School	Milbank	School	246	79	2,500	2,500	\$2K
Milesville Elementary School	Milesville	School	16	0	49,850	49,850	–
Millbrook Colony Elem School	Mitchell	School	6	0	94,250	94,250	\$3K
Miller Elementary School	Miller	School	233	46	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Miller High School	Miller	School	130	15	1,000	1,000	\$1K
Miller Junior High	Miller	School	71	10	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Millerdale Colony Elem School	Miller	School	33	0	49,850	49,850	–
Mitchell Middle School	Mitchell	School	541	207	94,250	94,250	\$2K
Mobridge High School	Mobridge	School	170	64	94,250	94,250	\$4K
Mobridge Middle School	Mobridge	School	137	70	1,000	1,000	\$4K
Mobridge Upper Elementary School	Mobridge	School	141	66	94,250	94,250	\$5K
Montrose 4-6	Montrose	School	47	9	16,000	16,000	–
Montrose Elementary School	Montrose	School	105	17	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Montrose High School	Montrose	School	63	4	1,000	1,000	\$1K
Montrose Junior High School	Montrose	School	49	5	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Newell Elementary School	Newell	School	107	55	94,250	94,250	\$3K
Newell High School	Newell	School	54	25	1,000	1,000	\$3K
Newell Middle School	Newell	School	41	21	94,250	94,250	\$3K
Newell School Bus Garage	Newell	NIF	–	–	49,850	49,850	–
Newport Colony Elementary School	Claremont	School	40	40	49,850	49,850	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

School / Library	City	Type	Students	NSLP	Down Mbps	Up Mbps	Support FY2025
Norris Elementary School	Norris	School	44	44	94,250	94,250	\$15K
Northwestern Elementary School	Mellette	School	2,020	12	94,250	94,250	\$2K
Northwestern High School	Mellette	School	1,763	113	1,000	1,000	\$2K
Northwestern Middle School	Mellette	School	1,193	7	94,250	94,250	\$2K
Oahe Headstart- Jones County Pre-School	Murdo	School	0	0	49,850	49,850	—
Oaklane Colony Elem School	Alexandria	School	31	0	49,850	49,850	—
Oelrichs Elementary School	Oelrichs	School	55	54	94,250	94,250	\$3K
Oelrichs High School	Oelrichs	School	39	37	1,000	1,000	\$3K
Oelrichs Jr. High School	Oelrichs	School	23	23	94,250	94,250	\$3K
Oglala Lakota County Alternative School	Pine Ridge	School	0	0	58,000	58,000	—
Oldham-Ramona Elementary	Ramona	School	66	16	58,000	58,000	—
Oldham-Ramona High School	Ramona	School	36	7	58,000	58,000	—
Oldham-Ramona Junior High	Ramona	School	21	2	58,000	58,000	—
Onida Elementary School	Onida	School	86	23	94,250	94,250	\$6K
Orland Colony	Montrose	School	36	0	49,850	49,850	—
Orr Elementary School	Rutland	School	79	13	94,250	94,250	\$9K
Orr High School	Rutland	School	55	25	94,250	94,250	\$9K
Orr Jr. High School	Rutland	School	34	10	1,000	1,000	\$9K
Oscar Howe Elementary School	Sioux Falls	School	582	293	94,250	94,250	\$3K
Parker Elementary School	Parker	School	252	48	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Parker High School	Parker	School	152	26	1,000	1,000	\$1K
Parker Junior High School	Parker	School	77	23	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Patrick Henry Middle School	Sioux Falls	School	957	359	94,250	94,250	\$3K
Pease Creek Colony	Lake Andes	School	23	23	38,000	38,000	—
Pembrook Colony School	Ipswich	School	34	0	49,850	49,850	—
Philip Elementary School	Philip	School	125	31	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Philip High School	Philip	School	115	21	1,000	1,000	\$1K
Philip Jr. High School	Philip	School	63	13	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Pierre Sd Mnt Blg At Erskine	Pierre	NIF	—	—	94,250	94,250	\$3K
Plankinton Community Library	Plankinton	Library	—	—	94,250	94,250	\$2K
Platte Colony School	Platte	School	23	0	49,850	49,850	—
Platte-Geddes Elementary School	Platte	School	220	50	49,850	49,850	—
Platte-Geddes High School	Platte	School	123	15	94,250	94,250	\$8K
Platte-Geddes Junior High School	Platte	School	102	19	1,000	1,000	\$8K
Pleasant Valley Colony Elementary	Flandreau	School	32	0	49,850	49,850	—
Presho Elementary School	Presho	School	103	39	49,850	49,850	—
Project Search - Avera Mckennan	Sioux Falls	School	3	3	49,850	49,850	—
R.F. Pettigrew Elementary	Sioux Falls	School	824	275	94,250	94,250	\$3K
Rambler Stop	Rutland	NIF	—	—	58,000	58,000	—
Rcas It Department	Rapid City	NIF	—	—	76,250	76,250	\$9K
Red Shirt Elem School	Hermosa	School	43	43	94,250	94,250	\$21K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

School / Library	City	Type	Students	NSLP	Down Mbps	Up Mbps	Support FY2025
Redfield Middle School	Redfield	School	103	25	94,250	94,250	\$3K
Renberg Elementary School	Renner	School	103	71	94,250	94,250	\$3K
Robert Frost Elementary School	Sioux Falls	School	464	203	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Roosevelt High School	Sioux Falls	School	1,815	759	94,250	94,250	\$6K
Rosa Parks Elementary	Sioux Falls	School	642	375	94,250	94,250	\$3K
Rosette Colony School	Leola	School	24	0	49,850	49,850	—
Rutland Elementary School	Rutland	School	79	31	58,000	58,000	—
Rutland High School	Rutland	School	39	15	58,000	58,000	—
Rutland Jr High School	Rutland	School	35	17	58,000	58,000	—
Sanborn Central Elementary School	Forestburg	School	96	17	94,250	94,250	\$4K
Sanborn Central High School	Forestburg	School	38	8	500	500	\$4K
Sanborn Central Middle School	Forestburg	School	41	13	94,250	94,250	\$4K
Scotland Elementary School	Scotland	School	116	21	94,250	94,250	\$5K
Scotland High School	Scotland	School	65	24	1,000	1,000	\$5K
Scotland Middle School	Scotland	School	86	29	94,250	94,250	\$5K
Scotland Public Library	Scotland	Library	—	—	94,250	94,250	\$2K
Selby Elementary School	Selby	School	82	20	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Selby High School	Selby	School	52	8	1,000	1,000	\$1K
Selby Jr High School	Selby	School	45	9	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Sequel Transition And Falls Academy	Sioux Falls	School	23	0	49,850	49,850	—
Shannon Co School Admin Offices	Batesland	NIF	—	—	49,850	49,850	—
Sherwood Memorial Library	Doland	Library	—	—	94,250	94,250	\$3K
Silver Lake Colony	Clark	School	1	0	49,850	49,850	—
Sioux Falls School Dist. 49-5 Instructional Planning Center	Sioux Falls	NIF	—	—	94,250	94,250	\$3K
Sisseton High School	Sisseton	School	325	186	94,250	94,250	\$9K
Sisseton Middle School	Sisseton	School	282	185	1,000	1,000	\$9K
Sonia Sotomayor Elementary	Sioux Falls	School	695	101	94,250	94,250	\$3K
South Central Elementary School	Bonesteel	School	55	42	94,250	94,250	\$9K
South Central High School	Bonesteel	School	27	17	36,000	36,000	—
South Central Middle School	Bonesteel	School	27	20	36,000	36,000	—
South Elementary School	Mission	School	0	0	49,850	49,850	—
Spring Lake Colony High School	Arlington	School	18	18	58,000	58,000	—
Spring Lake Colony School	Arlington	School	18	0	94,250	94,250	\$3K
Spring Lake Colony School	Arlington	School	19	19	58,000	58,000	—
Stanley County High School	Fort Pierre	School	135	28	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Stanley County Middle School	Fort Pierre	School	99	24	1,000	1,000	\$1K
Stanley County School District 57-1	Fort Pierre	School	513	170	31,500	31,500	—
Structured Teaching Program	Sioux Falls	School	17	13	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Sturgis Intermediate School	Sturgis	School	108	37	38,000	38,000	—
Success Academy	Sioux Falls	School	21	15	100,000	100,000	—

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

School / Library	City	Type	Students	NSLP	Down Mbps	Up Mbps	Support FY2025
Sully Buttes Elementary School	Onida	School	120	24	31,500	31,500	—
Sully Buttes High School	Onida	School	80	13	500	500	\$6K
Sully Buttes Jr High School	Onida	School	60	13	94,250	94,250	\$6K
Summit Elementary K-8	Summit	School	127	72	94,250	94,250	\$7K
Susan B. Anthony Elementary School	Sioux Falls	School	483	321	94,250	94,250	\$3K
Teachwell	Sioux Falls	School	39	11	94,250	94,250	\$2K
Teachwell Academy High School	Sioux Falls	School	63	15	49,850	49,850	—
Teachwell Academy Ms	Sioux Falls	School	13	5	49,850	49,850	—
Terry Redlin Elementary	Sioux Falls	School	383	333	94,250	94,250	\$3K
Tiger Stadium	Huron	NIF	—	—	49,850	49,850	—
Timber Lake Elementary School	Timber Lake	School	190	69	94,250	94,250	\$5K
Timber Lake High School	Timber Lake	School	105	34	500	500	\$5K
Todd County Elementary School	Mission	School	565	565	49,850	49,850	—
Todd County High School	Mission	School	464	446	94,250	94,250	\$23K
Todd County Middle School	Mission	School	392	392	49,850	49,850	—
Transportation / Maintenance	Box Elder	NIF	—	—	49,850	49,850	—
Tri Valley Elementary School	Colton	School	227	49	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Tri Valley High School	Colton	School	308	53	1,000	1,000	\$1K
Tri-Valley Elementary In Crooks	Crooks	School	234	65	94,250	94,250	\$3K
Tri-Valley Jr. High School	Colton	School	238	62	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Tripp (City Of) Public Library	Tripp	Library	—	—	94,250	94,250	\$4K
Upland Colony	Artesian	School	36	0	49,850	49,850	—
Vermillion Alternative School	Vermillion	School	30	0	31,500	31,500	—
Vermillion High School	Vermillion	School	412	108	94,250	94,250	\$9K
Vermillion Middle School	Vermillion	School	329	103	94,250	94,250	\$7K
Viborg-Hurley Elementary School	Viborg	School	170	39	94,250	94,250	\$5K
Viborg-Hurley High School	Viborg	School	133	29	1,000	1,000	\$5K
Viborg-Hurley Ms	Hurley	School	109	30	94,250	94,250	\$3K
Wagner Early Learning Center	Wagner	School	103	83	94,250	94,250	\$5K
Wagner Elementary School	Wagner	School	347	300	1,000	1,000	\$5K
Wagner High School	Wagner	School	233	116	94,250	94,250	\$5K
Wagner Middle School	Wagner	School	264	212	94,250	94,250	\$5K
Wakonda Public Library	Wakonda	Library	—	—	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Wakpala Elementary School	Wakpala	School	80	80	94,250	94,250	\$5K
Wakpala High School	Wakpala	School	37	37	500	500	\$5K
Wakpala Junior High	Wakpala	School	41	41	94,250	94,250	\$5K
Warner School District 6-5	Warner	School	315	36	31,500	31,500	—
Washington High School	Sioux Falls	School	1,810	950	94,250	94,250	\$6K
Watertown High School	Watertown	School	1,220	255	94,250	94,250	\$33K
Waubay Elementary School	Waubay	School	94	57	94,250	94,250	\$21K
Waubay High School	Waubay	School	64	40	1,000	1,000	\$21K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

School / Library	City	Type	Students	NSLP	Down Mbps	Up Mbps	Support FY2025
Waubay Middle School	Waubay	School	42	27	94,250	94,250	\$21K
Waverly School District 14-5	Waverly	School	270	87	31,500	31,500	—
Waverly-South Shore Elementary	Waverly	School	122	52	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Waverly-South Shore Hs	Waverly	School	95	25	1,000	1,000	\$1K
Waverly-South Shore Ms	Waverly	School	76	29	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Webster Area Elementary School	Webster	School	260	84	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Webster Area High School	Webster	School	165	33	1,000	1,000	\$1K
Webster Area Middle School	Webster	School	106	33	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Webster Area School District 18-4	Webster	School	518	138	31,500	31,500	—
West Central Administrative Office	Hartford	NIF	—	—	49,850	49,850	—
West Central Hartford Elementary School	Hartford	School	287	56	49,850	49,850	—
West Central High School	Hartford	School	398	49	94,250	94,250	\$2K
West Central Humboldt Elementary School	Humboldt	School	385	67	49,850	49,850	—
West Central Middle School	Hartford	School	308	48	2,500	2,500	\$2K
West Central School District 49-7 Athletic Building	Hartford	NIF	—	—	49,850	49,850	—
West Central School District 49-7 Bus Barn	Hartford	NIF	—	—	49,850	49,850	—
Westside Elementary School	Sisseton	School	392	273	94,250	94,250	\$18K
White Lake Elementary School	White Lake	School	63	28	94,250	94,250	\$5K
White Lake High School	White Lake	School	32	12	500	500	\$5K
White Lake Junior High School	White Lake	School	27	10	94,250	94,250	\$5K
White River Elementary School	White River	School	167	126	94,250	94,250	\$10K
White River High School	White River	School	112	84	1,000	1,000	\$10K
White River Middle School	White River	School	92	92	94,250	94,250	\$10K
Whittier Middle School	Sioux Falls	School	671	555	94,250	94,250	\$3K
Willow Lake Elementary School	Willow Lake	School	132	65	94,250	94,250	\$5K
Willow Lake High School	Willow Lake	School	70	17	500	500	\$5K
Willow Lake Jr. High School	Willow Lake	School	63	25	94,250	94,250	\$5K
Wilmot Elementary School	Wilmot	School	112	46	94,250	94,250	\$7K
Wilmot High School	Wilmot	School	66	32	500	500	\$7K
Wilmot Ms	Wilmot	School	60	30	94,250	94,250	\$7K
Winner Middle School	Winner	School	153	73	94,250	94,250	\$4K
Wolsey-Wessington Elementary	Wolsey	School	115	31	1,000	1,000	\$1K
Wolsey-Wessington High School	Wolsey	School	97	29	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Wolsey-Wessington Middle School	Wolsey	School	77	23	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Wood Elementary School	Wood	School	5	5	49,850	49,850	—
Woonsocket Elementary School	Woonsocket	School	192	71	94,250	94,250	\$5K
Woonsocket High School	Woonsocket	School	81	16	94,250	94,250	\$5K
<b>SOUTHEAST AREA COOPERATIVE</b>							

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

School / Library	City	Type	Students	NSLP	Down Mbps	Up Mbps	Support FY2025
Southeast Area Cooperative	Beresford	School	3,412	1,029	–	–	–
<b>SPEARFISH SCHOOL DISTRICT 40-2</b>							
Creekside Elementary School	Spearfish	School	534	96	2,000	2,000	\$9K
Mountain View Elementary	Spearfish	School	147	26	2,000	2,000	\$9K
Spearfish Academy At Canyon Hills Elementary - 09	Spearfish	School	2	0	49,850	49,850	\$3K
Spearfish Academy At Canyon Hills High School - 10	Spearfish	School	22	0	49,850	49,850	\$3K
Spearfish Administrative Office	Spearfish	NIF	–	–	2,000	2,000	\$6K
Spearfish High School	Spearfish	School	749	111	94,250	94,250	\$14K
Spearfish Middle School	Spearfish	School	526	91	2,000	2,000	\$9K
West Elementary School	Spearfish	School	321	60	2,000	2,000	\$9K
<b>ST FRANCIS INDIAN SCHOOL</b>							
St Francis Indian School	Saint Francis	School	564	564	5,000	5,000	\$43K
<b>ST JOSEPH'S INDIAN SCHOOL</b>							
St Joseph'S Indian School	Chamberlain	School	167	162	20	15	–
<b>STANLEY COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT 57-1</b>							
Stanley County Elementary School	Fort Pierre	School	181	48	49,850	49,850	–
<b>SUMMIT SCHOOL DISTRICT 54-6</b>							
Summit High School	Summit	School	48	19	500	500	\$7K
<b>TEA AREA SCHOOL DISTRICT 41-5</b>							
Tea Area Frontier Elementary School	Sioux Falls	School	296	109	94,250	94,250	\$4K
Tea Area High School	Tea	School	726	123	94,250	94,250	\$7K
Tea Area Legacy Elementary School	Tea	School	560	83	49,850	49,850	\$1K
Tea Area Middle School	Tea	School	586	126	49,850	49,850	\$1K
Tea Area Venture Elementary School	Tea	School	349	71	49,850	49,850	\$1K
<b>TIMBER LAKE SCHOOL DISTRICT 20-3</b>							
Timber Lake Middle School	Timber Lake	School	70	26	94,250	94,250	\$5K
<b>TIOSPA ZINA TRIBAL SCHOOL</b>							
Tiospa Zina Tribal School	Agency Village	School	520	441	5,000	5,000	\$80K
<b>TODD COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT 66-1</b>							
He Dog Elementary School	Paramelee	School	164	164	1,000	1,000	\$19K
Okreek Elementary School	Okreek	School	38	38	1,000	1,000	\$19K
Rosebud Elementary School	Rosebud	School	267	267	1,000	1,000	\$19K
Spring Creek Elementary School	Saint Francis	School	62	62	1,000	1,000	\$19K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

School / Library	City	Type	Students	NSLP	Down Mbps	Up Mbps	Support FY2025
<b>TRIPP COUNTY LIBRARY</b>							
Tripp County Library	Winner	Library	–	–	1,000	1,000	\$924
<b>TRIPP-DELMONT SCHOOL DISTRICT 33-5</b>							
Clearfield Colony Elementary	Delmont	School	19	0	49,850	49,850	–
Greenwood Colony Elem School	Delmont	School	5	0	49,850	49,850	–
Tripp Elementary Sch	Tripp	School	88	45	500	500	–
Tripp-Delmont High School	Tripp	School	40	15	94,250	94,250	\$4K
Tripp-Delmont Middle School	Tripp	School	16	6	500	500	\$4K
<b>VERMILLION SCHOOL DISTRICT 13-1</b>							
Vermillion Elementary School	Vermillion	School	593	206	49,850	49,850	\$3K
<b>WAGNER PUBLIC LIBRARY</b>							
Wagner Public Library	Wagner	Library	–	–	57	9	\$593
<b>WALL COMMUNITY LIBRARY</b>							
Wall Community Library	Wall	Library	–	–	25	3	–
<b>WALL SCHOOL DISTRICT 51-5</b>							
Big White Elementary School	Wall	School	0	0	49,850	49,850	–
Wall Elementary School	Wall	School	96	26	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Wall High School	Wall	School	124	45	1,000	1,000	\$1K
Wall Middle School	Wall	School	70	23	94,250	94,250	\$1K
<b>WARNER SCHOOL DISTRICT 6-5</b>							
Warner Elementary School - 02	Warner	School	149	10	94,250	94,250	\$1K
Warner High School - 01	Warner	School	88	10	1,000	1,000	\$1K
Warner Middle School - 03	Warner	School	74	9	94,250	94,250	\$1K
<b>WATERTOWN SCHOOL DISTRICT 14-4</b>							
Garfield Education Center	Watertown	School	75	21	49,850	49,850	\$1K
Jefferson Elementary School	Watertown	School	332	55	49,850	49,850	\$27K
Lincoln Elementary School	Watertown	School	337	76	49,850	49,850	\$27K
Mckinley Elementary School	Watertown	School	257	119	49,850	49,850	\$27K
Mellette Elementary School	Watertown	School	240	97	49,850	49,850	\$27K
Roosevelt Elementary School	Watertown	School	148	86	49,850	49,850	\$1K
Watertown Intermediate School	Watertown	School	589	170	49,850	49,850	\$27K
Watertown Middle School	Watertown	School	557	180	49,850	49,850	\$27K
<b>WESSINGTON PUBLIC LIBRARY</b>							
Wessington Public Library	Wessington	Library	–	–	100	100	\$712

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

School / Library	City	Type	Students	NSLP	Down Mbps	Up Mbps	Support FY2025
<b>WESSINGTON SPRINGS DISTRICT 36-2</b>							
Hebron Colony Elementary School-07	Wessington Springs	School	17	9	—	—	—
Spring Valley Colony Elem Sch	Wessington Springs	School	12	0	49,850	49,850	—
Wessington Springs Elementary School	Wessington Springs	School	142	45	94,250	94,250	\$18K
Wessington Springs High School	Wessington Springs	School	92	18	94,250	94,250	\$9K
Wessington Springs Junior High School	Wessington Springs	School	34	14	500	500	\$9K
<b>WHITE LAKE COMMUNITY LIBRARY</b>							
White Lake Community Library	White Lake	Library	—	—	200	200	\$693
<b>WILLOW LAKE SCHOOL DISTRICT 12-3</b>							
Collins Colony	Iroquois	School	16	0	49,850	49,850	—
Mayfield Colony School	Willow Lake	School	28	0	49,850	49,850	—
Shamrock Colony	Carpenter	School	20	0	49,850	49,850	—
<b>WINNER SCHOOL DISTRICT 59-2</b>							
Winner Elementary School	Winner	School	411	186	10,000	10,000	—
Winner High School	Winner	School	210	78	94,250	94,250	\$4K
<b>YANKTON SCHOOL DISTRICT 63-3</b>							
Beadle Elementary School	Yankton	School	353	120	500	500	—
Lincoln Elementary School	Yankton	School	336	134	500	500	—
Stewart Elementary School	Yankton	School	346	155	500	500	—
Trailhead Learning Center	Yankton	School	250	104	500	500	—
Webster Elementary School	Yankton	School	205	121	500	500	—
Yankton High School	Yankton	School	889	212	94,250	94,250	\$6K
Yankton Middle School	Yankton	School	605	220	1,000	1,000	—
Yankton School District Administration Building	Yankton	NIF	—	—	1,000	1,000	—
<b>ZION LUTHERAN SCHOOL</b>							
Zion Lutheran School	Rapid City	School	130	17	500	500	\$4K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

## Part III: Billed Entity Reports

Aberdeen School District 6-1 .....	37	Faulk County Library .....	105
Alcester-Hudson School District 61-1 .....	39	Faulkton Area Sch Dist 24-4 .....	106
American Horse School .....	40	Flandreau School District 50-3 .....	107
Andes Central School District 11 1 .....	41	Freeman Public Library .....	109
Armour School District 21-1 .....	43	Freeman School District 33-1 .....	110
Avon School District 4-1 .....	45	Gayville-Volin School .....	112
Belle Fourche School District 9-1 .....	47	Gregory Public Library .....	114
Bennett County School District 3-1 .....	49	Hamlin School District 28-3 .....	115
Beresford Public Library .....	51	Harrisburg School District 41-2 .....	116
Beresford School District 61-2 .....	52	Hill City Public Library .....	118
Bie Cntrl Ofc/Dpt Of Interior .....	54	Hitchcock-Tulare School District 56-6 .....	119
Big Stone City School District 25-1 .....	56	Hoven School District 53-2 .....	121
Bishop Ogorman Catholic Schools .....	57	Howard School District 48-3 .....	122
Bison Public Library .....	59	Huron Public Library .....	123
Bon Homme School District 4-2 .....	60	Huron School District 2-2 .....	124
Brandon Valley School District 49-2 .....	62	Iroquois School District 2-3 .....	126
Britton-Hecla School District 45-4 .....	64	Jones County School District 37-3 .....	127
Canyon Hills Center .....	66	Lake Andes Public Library .....	129
Chamberlain School District 7-1 .....	67	Lead-Deadwood School District 40-1 .....	130
Chester Area School District 39-1 .....	69	Lennox School District 41-4 .....	132
Childrens Care Hosp & School DbA Lifescape .....	71	Leola School District 44-2 .....	134
Childrens Home Society .....	73	Little Eagle Grant School .....	136
City Of Hot Springs .....	75	Little Wound Day School .....	138
Clark School District 12-2 .....	76	Loneman Day School .....	139
Crow Creek Sioux Tribal Schools .....	78	Lower Brule School District Aka Lower Brule Day School .....	140
Custer School District 16-1 .....	80	Madison Central School District 39-2 .....	141
Dakota Christian School .....	81	Marty Indian School .....	143
Dakota Club Library, Inc. ....	82	Mccrossan Boys Ranch School .....	144
Dakota Valley School District 61-8 .....	83	Mclaughlin School District 15-2 .....	146
Dakotabilities .....	84	Meade School District 46-1 .....	148
De Smet School District 38-2 .....	85	Menno School District 33-2 .....	150
Dell Rapids School District 49-3 .....	87	Milbank School District 25-4 .....	152
Deubrook School District 5-6 .....	89	Mitchell School District 17-2 .....	154
Dewey County Library .....	91	Mobridge-Pollock School District 62-6 .....	156
Diocese Of Sioux Falls .....	92	Mount Vernon School District 17-3 .....	158
Douglas School District 51-1 .....	94	New Beginnings Center School .....	160
Dupree School District 64-2 .....	96	New Underwood School District 51-3 .....	161
Elk Point-Jefferson School District 61-7 .....	98	Oglala Lakota County School District 65-1 .....	163
Elkton School District 5-3 .....	99	Oldham-Ramona-Rutland School District .....	165
Enemy Swim Day School .....	101	Pahin Sinte Owayawa .....	166
Estelline School District 28-2 .....	102	Parkston School District 33-3 .....	167
Faith Public Library .....	104	Pierre Indian Learning Center .....	169

**Part III: Billed Entity Reports (continued)**

Pierre Indian Learning Center .....	170	Summit School District 54-6 .....	234
Pierre School District 32-2 .....	171	Tea Area School District 41-5 .....	235
Plankinton School District 1-1 .....	173	Timber Lake School District 20-3 .....	237
Rapid City Area School District 51-4 .....	175	Tiospa Zina Tribal School .....	238
Rapid City Catholic School System .....	178	Todd County School District 66-1 .....	240
Red Cloud Indian School .....	180	Tripp County Library .....	242
Redfield School District 56-4 .....	182	Tripp-Delmont School District 33-5 .....	243
Rosholt School District 54-4 .....	184	Vermillion School District 13-1 .....	245
Sd Achieve Dba Lifescape .....	186	Wagner Public Library .....	246
Sioux Falls Christian School .....	187	Wall Community Library .....	247
Sioux Falls Lutheran School .....	189	Wall School District 51-5 .....	248
Sioux Falls School District 49-5 .....	190	Warner School District 6-5 .....	250
Sioux Valley School District 5-5 .....	192	Watertown School District 14-4 .....	252
Siouxland Libraries .....	194	Wessington Public Library .....	254
South Dakota State Dept Of Ed .....	196	Wessington Springs District 36-2 .....	255
Southeast Area Cooperative .....	227	White Lake Community Library .....	257
Spearfish School District 40-2 .....	228	Willow Lake School District 12-3 .....	258
St Francis Indian School .....	230	Winner School District 59-2 .....	260
St Joseph'S Indian School .....	231	Yankton School District 63-3 .....	262
Stanley County School District 57-1 .....	232	Zion Lutheran School .....	264

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$27K	\$36K	–	\$36K	–	–	\$106K	–	–	\$11K	\$28K	\$25K	\$22K	\$19K	\$30K	\$30K
Average Discount Rate	60%	60%	60%	60%	–	–	60%	–	–	48%	51%	65%	64%	65%	65%	63%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	1	1	1	–	–	3	–	–	5	5	2	3	3	3	2
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	9,953	9,953	–	9,953	–	–	9,953	–	–	2	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	9,953	9,953	–	9,953	–	–	9,953	–	–	2	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$5K	\$8K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$6K	\$24K	\$21K	\$19K	\$27K	\$26K
Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$72K	–	–	–	\$14K	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	\$27K	\$36K	–	\$36K	–	–	\$34K	–	–	\$6K	–	\$1K	\$545	–	\$3K	\$3K
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Cclee Elementary School	\$4K	\$4K	\$198	\$4K	\$239	\$183	\$29K	\$2K	\$3K	\$5K
Central High School	\$9K	\$9K	\$198	\$4K	\$239	\$183	\$17K	\$11K	\$7K	\$14K
Holgate Middle School	\$4K	\$4K	\$198	\$4K	\$239	\$183	\$20K	\$2K	\$3K	\$16K
Lincoln Elementary School	\$4K	\$4K	\$198	\$4K	\$239	\$183	\$20K	\$2K	\$3K	\$15K
May Overby Elementary School	\$4K	\$4K	\$198	\$4K	\$239	\$183	\$29K	\$2K	\$3K	\$4K
Mike Miller Elementary	\$4K	\$4K	\$198	\$4K	\$239	\$183	\$20K	\$2K	\$3K	\$6K
Omtiffany Elementary School	\$4K	\$4K	\$198	\$4K	\$239	\$183	\$29K	\$2K	\$3K	\$5K
Simmons Elementary School	\$4K	\$4K	\$198	\$4K	\$239	\$183	\$20K	\$2K	\$3K	\$6K
Simmons Ms	\$4K	\$4K	\$198	\$4K	\$239	\$183	\$20K	\$2K	\$3K	\$16K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Cclee Elementary School	Aberdeen	60%	358	70	– Urban
Central High School	Aberdeen	60%	1,395	491	– Urban
Holgate Middle School	Aberdeen	60%	495	164	– Urban
Lincoln Elementary School	Aberdeen	60%	233	147	– Urban
May Overby Elementary School	Aberdeen	60%	329	174	– Urban
Mike Miller Elementary	Aberdeen	60%	281	117	– Urban

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Recipient Demographics (latest profile) (continued)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Omtiffany Elementary School	Aberdeen	60%	306	171	– Urban
Simmons Elementary School	Aberdeen	60%	386	187	– Urban
Simmons Ms	Aberdeen	60%	467	228	– Urban

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Northern Valley Communications Llc	\$27K	\$36K	–	\$36K	–	–	\$34K	–	–	\$11K	\$13K	\$15K	\$14K	\$19K	\$19K	\$18K
Bytespeed, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$43K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Marco Technologies, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$28K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Alltel Communications	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
At&T Mobility	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$10K	\$12K
Verizon Wireless (Cellco Partnership)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$41	\$1K	\$10K	\$8K	–	–	–
Cdw-G	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$14K	–	–	–	–	–
Alltel Communications Llc, By Management Trust	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
South Dakota Network, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K	–
Centurylink Qwest Communications Company, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$42K	–	–	–	\$5K	–	\$21K	\$3K	\$3K	\$6K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$12K	\$13K	\$11K
Average Discount Rate	60%	–	–	–	60%	–	60%	65%	60%	40%	45%	65%	66%	67%	67%	60%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	1	–	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	–	–	–	1	–	1	2	1	3	3	3	4	5	5	4
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	100	100	50	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	100	100	50	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	\$2K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$5K	\$5K	\$12K	\$13K	\$11K
Internal Connections	\$42K	–	–	–	\$5K	–	\$21K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K	\$3K	–	–	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Alcester-Hudson Elem Sch	\$14K	–	\$198	\$224	\$2K	\$183	\$7K	\$1K	\$2K	\$5K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Alcester-Hudson Elem Sch	Alcester	60%	165	44	– Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Clarity Telecom, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$6K
Riverside Technologies, Inc.	\$42K	–	–	–	\$5K	–	\$21K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Alliance Communications Cooperative, Inc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$3K	\$3K	\$5K	\$5K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$3K
Clarity Telecom, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$5K	\$5K	–
At&T Corporation	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$540	\$384	\$807	\$896	\$937	\$1K	\$915
Verizon Wireless Fka Cellco Partnership	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$271	\$192	\$831	\$876	\$901	\$1K	\$991
South Dakota Network, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	\$1K	–
Ionex Telecommunications	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Prairiewave Communications, Inc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Insight, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$10K	\$12K	\$12K	\$23K	\$30K	\$11K	\$11K	\$9K	–	\$13K	\$24K	\$10K	\$9K	\$4K	\$9K	\$2K
Average Discount Rate	90%	90%	88%	88%	88%	85%	85%	60%	85%	73%	81%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	1	2	2	2	1	1	2	1	2	2	3	4	3	3	3
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	1,000	1,000	1,000	500	500	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	1,000	1,000	1,000	500	500	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K	–	\$5K	\$7K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$10K	\$9K	\$4K	\$9K	\$2K
Internal Connections	–	–	–	\$14K	\$21K	\$11K	\$11K	\$8K	–	\$8K	\$10K	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	\$10K	\$12K	\$12K	\$9K	\$9K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$297	–	\$297	\$6K	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
American Horse School	\$12K	\$12K	\$19K	\$23K	\$172K	\$22K	\$111K	\$102K	\$98K	\$95K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
American Horse School	Allen	90%	330	330	– Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Dakota 2000, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Golden West Telecommunications Cooperative, Inc.	\$10K	\$12K	\$12K	\$9K	\$9K	–	–	\$1K	–	\$5K	\$7K	\$10K	\$9K	\$4K	\$9K	\$2K
Golden West Technologies	–	–	–	\$14K	\$21K	\$11K	\$11K	\$8K	–	\$8K	\$16K	–	–	–	–	–
Alltel Communications Llc, By Management Trust	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Alltel Communications	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Gaggle.Net, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
At&T Mobility	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Intrafinity	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$42K	\$917	\$29K	\$917	\$713	\$9K	\$26K	\$4K	\$3K	\$7K	\$27K	\$19K	\$17K	\$19K	\$21K	\$37K
Average Discount Rate	87%	90%	87%	90%	90%	86%	86%	49%	30%	73%	78%	90%	90%	88%	87%	87%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	2	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	—	—	—	—	—	—
Service Providers	3	1	2	1	1	2	4	5	3	2	4	6	6	4	4	4
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	100	100	100	100	10	10	30	30	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	10	10	10	10	10	10	30	30	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$1K	\$3K	\$5K	\$7K	—	—	—	—	—
Telecomm Services	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$16K	\$16K	\$16K	\$15K	\$15K
Internal Connections	\$42K	—	\$28K	—	—	\$8K	\$25K	\$2K	—	—	\$15K	—	—	—	—	—
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	—	\$917	\$918	\$917	\$713	\$713	\$731	\$1K	—	—	—	\$2K	\$1K	—	—	—
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$2K	—	—	\$2K	\$6K	\$22K
Managed Internal Broadband Services	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$2K	\$3K	—	—	—	—	—

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Andes Central Elem School	\$14K	—	\$14K	\$224	\$239	\$547	\$9K	\$417	\$908	\$3K
Lakeview Colony School	\$15K	\$918	\$1K	\$1K	\$952	\$2K	\$6K	\$1K	\$908	\$1K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Andes Central Elem School	Lake Andes	85%	180	180	—	Rural
Lakeview Colony School	Lake Andes	90%	22	22	—	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
John Hohn Inc.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$2K	\$6K	\$22K
Fort Randall Telephone Company	—	\$917	\$918	\$917	\$713	\$713	\$712	\$1K	\$3K	\$5K	\$7K	\$9K	\$9K	\$8K	\$9K	\$9K
McLeod Usa Telecommunications Services, Inc.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Connecting Point Computer Center Dbba Ultra, Inc.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mt. Rushmore Tel. Co.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Twotrees Technologies	\$33K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
High Plains Technology	—	—	—	—	—	\$8K	\$23K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
At&T Mobility	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$301	—	—	\$379	\$6K	\$6K	\$7K	\$6K	\$5K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qtmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Golden West Technologies	\$9K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$2K	\$20K	-	-	-	-	-
Riverside Technologies, Inc.	-	-	\$28K	-	-	-	\$2K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$42K	–	–	–	–	–	\$14K	\$2K	–	–	\$1K	\$3K	\$737	\$1K	\$1K	\$4K
Average Discount Rate	60%	–	–	–	–	–	60%	60%	–	–	40%	60%	25%	25%	60%	70%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	2	–	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	–	–	–	–	–	1	1	–	–	3	3	2	3	3	3
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$3K	\$737	\$964	\$1K	\$4K
Internal Connections	\$38K	–	–	–	–	–	\$14K	\$2K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$90	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	\$4K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Armour Elementary School	\$14K	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$5K	\$88	\$93	\$247
Armour Middle School	\$14K	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$5K	\$88	\$93	\$247

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Armour Elementary School	Armour	60%	120	26	– Rural
Armour Middle School	Armour	60%	50	13	– Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Riverside Technologies, Inc.	\$42K	–	–	–	–	–	\$14K	\$2K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Golden West Telecommunications Cooperative, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K	\$2K	\$570	\$728	\$1K	\$2K
At&T Corp.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
At&T Mobility	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$167	\$326	–	\$2K
Verizon Wireless (Cellco Partnership)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K	–	–	–	–
Alltel Communications Llc, By Management Trust	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Telco Communications	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Excel Telecommunications, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Inter-Tel Technologies	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmf-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

*Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)*

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Firstel, Inc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$19K	\$4K	\$4K	\$2K	\$7K	–	\$4K	\$6K	\$5K	\$5K	\$9K	\$9K	\$16K
Average Discount Rate	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	70%	70%	28%	54%	67%	67%	65%	67%	67%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	5	5	4	4	5	4	4
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K	\$2K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$5K	\$5K	\$9K	\$9K	\$10K
Internal Connections	–	–	–	\$15K	–	–	–	\$7K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$6K
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	\$4K	\$4K	\$2K	–	–	\$3K	\$3K	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Avon Elementary School	\$6K	\$3K	\$3K	\$8K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$8K	\$5K	\$6K
Avon High School	\$6K	\$3K	\$3K	\$8K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$8K	\$5K	\$6K
Avon Junior High School	\$6K	\$3K	\$3K	\$8K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$8K	\$5K	\$6K
Avon Preschool	\$6K	\$3K	\$3K	\$8K	\$4K	\$4K	\$760	\$4K	\$5K	\$6K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Avon Elementary School	Avon	60%	110	39	–	Rural
Avon High School	Avon	60%	76	12	–	Rural
Avon Junior High School	Avon	60%	55	15	–	Rural
Avon Preschool	Avon	60%	14	0	–	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Clarity Telecom, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$13K
Golden West Telecommunications Cooperative, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$631	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$804
Riverside Technologies, Inc.	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$19K	\$4K	\$4K	\$2K	–	–	\$3K	\$3K	–	–	–	–	–
At&T Mobility	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$315	\$338	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$1K	\$2K
Clarity Telecom, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$4K	\$5K	–
Alltel Communications	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Aercor Wireless, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$7K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qtmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Birch Telecom, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$155	\$337	\$482	\$482	\$515	\$524	\$615
Ionex Telecommunications	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mcleod Usa Telecommunications Services, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$31K	\$22K	\$46K	\$110K	\$14K	\$14K	\$14K	\$8K	\$8K	\$28K	\$21K	\$30K	\$30K	\$28K	\$28K	\$26K
Average Discount Rate	70%	70%	60%	70%	70%	70%	70%	80%	70%	60%	60%	72%	72%	71%	73%	73%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	4	2	2	2	3	2	1
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	250	250	250	250	250	250	250	100	100	100	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	250	250	250	250	250	250	250	100	100	100	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$5K	\$8K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$13K	\$30K	\$30K	\$28K	\$28K	\$26K
Internal Connections	–	\$13K	\$34K	\$96K	–	–	–	–	–	\$15K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	\$9K	\$12K	\$14K	\$14K	\$14K	\$14K	\$8K	\$8K	\$8K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	\$31K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Belle Fourche Education Connection	–	\$5K	\$9K	\$22K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	–	–
Belle Fourche High School	\$14K	\$7K	\$15K	\$28K	\$9K	\$9K	\$9K	\$6K	\$7K	\$12K
Belle Fourche Middle School	\$10K	\$5K	\$9K	\$22K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K	\$9K
North Park Elementary School	\$10K	\$5K	\$10K	\$24K	\$5K	\$4K	\$4K	\$2K	\$3K	\$9K
South Park Elementary School	\$10K	\$5K	\$10K	\$24K	\$5K	\$4K	\$4K	\$2K	\$3K	\$9K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Belle Fourche Education Connection	Belle Fourche	70%	30	15	–	Rural
Belle Fourche High School	Belle Fourche	70%	379	114	–	Rural
Belle Fourche Middle School	Belle Fourche	70%	414	182	–	Rural
North Park Elementary School	Belle Fourche	70%	89	35	–	Rural
South Park Elementary School	Belle Fourche	70%	359	169	–	Rural

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Clarity Telecom, Llc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$5K	\$8K	\$13K	\$13K	\$12K	\$13K	\$26K
Midcontinent Communications	-	-	\$12K	\$14K	\$14K	\$14K	\$14K	\$8K	\$8K	\$8K	\$13K	\$17K	\$17K	\$14K	-	-
It Outlet Inc.	-	-	-	\$96K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Golden West Technologies	-	-	\$34K	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$11K	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ainsworth - Benning Construction, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wyebot, Inc.	\$31K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
South Dakota Network, Llc	-	\$9K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$2K	\$16K	-
Computer Network Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Commtech Inc	-	\$13K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Qwest Communications Corporation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$7K	\$8K	\$9K	\$29K	\$83K	\$68K	\$20K	\$12K	\$21K	\$31K	\$51K	\$34K	\$31K	\$33K	\$28K	\$127K
Average Discount Rate	90%	88%	90%	87%	87%	86%	87%	50%	60%	75%	85%	86%	86%	85%	85%	84%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	—	—	—	—	—	—
Service Providers	1	2	1	2	3	3	2	2	2	3	6	4	5	4	4	3
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	250	250	250	250	100	100	100	50	50	50	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	250	250	250	250	100	100	100	50	50	50	—	—	—	—	—	—

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$1K	\$2K	\$6K	\$8K	—	—	—	—	—
Telecomm Services	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$11K	\$10K	\$31K	\$10K	\$11K
Internal Connections	—	—	—	\$20K	\$59K	\$53K	\$5K	—	—	\$6K	\$21K	—	—	—	—	\$116K
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	\$7K	\$8K	\$9K	\$9K	\$24K	\$15K	\$15K	\$11K	\$19K	\$19K	\$22K	\$22K	\$21K	\$2K	\$18K	—
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Managed Internal Broadband Services	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Bennett County Elementary School	\$8K	\$8K	\$9K	\$9K	\$47K	\$43K	\$15K	\$19K	\$19K	\$26K
Bennett County High School	—	—	\$198	\$12K	\$18K	\$18K	\$3K	\$343	\$441	\$1K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Bennett County Elementary School	Martin	90%	203	199	—	Rural
Bennett County High School	Martin	85%	141	112	—	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Golden West Technologies	—	—	—	—	\$24K	\$15K	\$15K	\$11K	\$19K	\$19K	\$21K	\$20K	\$20K	\$19K	\$17K	—
Golden West Telecommunications Cooperative, Inc.	\$7K	\$8K	\$9K	\$9K	—	—	—	\$1K	\$2K	\$6K	\$10K	\$12K	\$11K	\$10K	\$10K	\$10K
Kt Connections Inc.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$116K
Riverside Technologies, Inc.	—	—	—	\$20K	\$54K	\$26K	\$5K	—	—	—	\$11K	—	—	—	—	—
Computer Village	—	—	—	—	\$5K	\$28K	—	—	—	—	\$8K	—	—	—	—	—
Golden West Technologies, Inc.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Alltel Communications	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Appliansys Llc	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$6K	—	—	—	—	—	—

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

*Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)*

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Schoolwires Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$2K	-	\$1K	\$1K	-
At&T Mobility	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$2K	\$592	\$1K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$924	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$648	\$713	\$785	\$1K	\$3K	\$944	\$645	–	–	–	–	–
Average Discount Rate	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	40%	50%	–	–	–	–	–
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	100	70	70	50	50	30	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	100	10	10	10	10	10	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$109	\$220	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$120	\$2K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	\$924	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$648	\$713	\$785	\$972	\$1K	\$835	\$425	–	–	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Beresford Public Library	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$648	\$713	\$785	\$1K	\$3K	\$999

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Beresford Public Library	Beresford	60%	–	–	9,700	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
City Of Beresford	\$924	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$648	\$713	\$785	\$1K	\$3K	\$944	\$645	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	–	–	\$71K	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$47K	\$18K	\$27K	\$24K	\$28K	\$23K	\$28K
Average Discount Rate	–	–	60%	–	–	–	–	–	–	40%	40%	57%	55%	60%	60%	60%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	–	–	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	–	–	1	–	–	–	–	–	–	3	2	5	5	4	4	4
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$8K	\$18K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$26K	\$23K	\$27K	\$23K	\$25K
Internal Connections	–	–	\$71K	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$39K	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$926	\$937	\$660	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Beresford High School	–	–	\$24K	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	\$93	\$19K
Beresford Middle School	–	–	\$24K	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	\$93	\$19K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Beresford High School	Beresford	60%	232	35	–	Rural
Beresford Middle School	Beresford	60%	180	35	–	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
City Of Beresford	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$8K	\$16K	\$23K	\$20K	\$21K	\$19K	\$21K
Clarity Telecom, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Riverside Technologies, Inc.	–	–	\$71K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Dlh	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$39K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Verizon Wireless Fka Cellco Partnership	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$630	\$1K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K
Ionex Dba Firstel/Advanced Communications	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Sprint Spectrum, L.P.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Schoolwires Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$926	\$876	\$660	–	–
South Dakota Network, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$61	\$2K	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
John Hohn Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$2K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$11M	\$12M	\$1.0M	\$7.8M	\$9.5M	\$9.9M	\$8.8M	\$7.7M	\$6.8M	\$6.3M	–	\$3.4M	\$2.7M	\$1.6M	\$1.7M	\$2.4M
Average Discount Rate	89%	89%	87%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	87%	89%	89%	87%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	10	7	7	7	7	10	7	8	11	11	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	3
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	5,345	684	827	836	222	99	1,791	98	914	134	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	5,345	696	827	836	995	99	2,566	98	914	135	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$3.4M	\$2.7M	\$1.6M	\$1.7M	\$2.4M
Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	\$11M	\$12M	\$1.0M	\$7.8M	\$9.5M	\$9.9M	\$8.8M	\$7.7M	\$6.8M	\$6.3M	–	–	–	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Bia/Bie Central Office Sioux Falls Site	\$19K	–	–	–	–	\$1.3M	\$269K	\$273K	\$221K	\$613K
Cheyenne-Eagle Butte School	\$73K	\$43K	\$40K	\$164K	\$141K	\$21K	\$104K	\$72K	\$157K	\$63K
Crazy Horse Elementary	\$178K	\$99K	\$19K	–	–	\$1.1M	–	–	\$44K	\$15K
Crazy Horse High School	\$26K	–	–	–	–	\$1.1M	–	–	\$44K	\$15K
Crazy Horse Middle School	\$26K	–	–	–	–	\$1.1M	–	–	\$37K	\$15K
Crazy Horse School Bus And Maintenance Building	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Flandreau Indian School	\$55K	\$62K	\$28K	\$15K	\$141K	\$4K	\$37K	\$51K	\$56K	\$60K
Pine Ridge School	\$98K	\$87K	–	\$108K	\$141K	\$53K	\$150K	\$144K	\$110K	\$99K
Rock Creek Grant School	–	\$67K	\$64K	\$20K	\$141K	–	\$66K	\$56K	\$30K	\$40K
Rock Creek Grant School	\$19K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Takini School	\$155K	\$102K	\$96K	\$41K	\$141K	\$13K	\$125K	\$98K	\$53K	\$51K
Tiospaye Topa School	–	–	\$18K	\$20K	\$141K	\$9K	\$79K	\$141K	\$73K	\$50K
Wounded Knee School	\$174K	\$102K	\$96K	\$21K	\$141K	\$1.1M	–	\$3K	\$106K	\$39K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Bia/Bie Central Office Sioux Falls Site	Sioux Falls	84%	–	–	–	–
Cheyenne-Eagle Butte School	Eagle Butte	89%	1,109	1,109	–	Rural

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Recipient Demographics (latest profile) (continued)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Crazy Horse Elementary	Wanblee	89%	121	121	—	Rural
Crazy Horse High School	Wanblee	84%	82	82	—	Rural
Crazy Horse Middle School	Wanblee	84%	54	54	—	Rural
Crazy Horse School Bus And Maintenance Building	Wanblee	84%	—	—	—	—
Flandreau Indian School	Flandreau	89%	232	232	—	Rural
Pine Ridge School	Pine Ridge	84%	840	840	—	Rural
Rock Creek Grant School	Bullhead	89%	112	101	—	Rural
Rock Creek Grant School	Bullhead	84%	112	0	—	Rural
Takini School	Howes	84%	150	125	—	Rural
Tiospaye Topa School	Laplant	89%	139	139	—	Rural
Wounded Knee School	Manderson	84%	145	145	—	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Verizon Business Global Llc	\$11M	\$12M	\$1.0M	\$7.8M	\$9.5M	\$9.9M	\$8.8M	\$7.7M	\$6.8M	\$6.3M	—	\$3.4M	\$2.7M	\$1.6M	\$1.7M	\$2.4M
Ultra Technologies, Inc.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Technical And Management Services Corp.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
At&T Corp.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Navajo Communications Co. Db a Citizens Communications	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
A & T Systems, Inc.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Dell Marketing Lp	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Golden West Tel. Coop. Inc.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Navajo Communications Co. - Nm	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
U S West Communications, Inc.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$1K	-	\$2K	-	-	-	-
Average Discount Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20%	60%	-	80%	-	-	-	-
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Service Providers	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	2	-	1	-	-	-	-
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$1K	-	-	-	-	-	-
Telecomm Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$2K	-	-	-	-
Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Managed Internal Broadband Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Big Stone City School District 25-1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$3K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Big Stone City School District 25-1	Big Stone City	20%	111	56	- Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Qwest Communications Corporation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$1K	-	\$2K	-	-	-	-
U S West Communications, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Verizon Wireless	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Interstate Telecommunications Cooperative, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
South Dakota Dept. Of Education & Cultural Affairs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$21K	\$30K	\$22K	\$22K	\$142K	\$41K	\$40K	\$32K	\$32K	\$32K	\$149K	-	-	-	-	-
Average Discount Rate	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	20%	33%	-	-	-	-	-
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	-	-	-	-	-	-
Service Providers	2	2	1	1	2	2	2	1	3	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	1,333	1,333	1,333	1,333	1,333	633	607	289	289	289	-	-	-	-	-	-
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	1,333	1,333	1,333	1,333	1,333	633	607	289	289	289	-	-	-	-	-	-

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$6K	-	-	-	-	-
Telecomm Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$26K	-	-	-	-	-
Internal Connections	\$21K	\$8K	-	-	\$120K	\$8K	\$9K	-	-	-	\$112K	-	-	-	-	-
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	-	\$17K	\$17K	\$17K	\$17K	\$28K	\$27K	\$27K	\$27K	\$27K	-	-	-	-	-	-
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Managed Internal Broadband Services	-	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$4K	-	-	-	-	-

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Christ The King School	\$6K	\$4K	\$3K	\$3K	\$18K	\$5K	\$4K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K
Holy Spirit School	\$6K	\$4K	\$3K	\$3K	\$18K	\$5K	\$6K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K
O’Gorman High School	\$6K	\$5K	\$4K	\$4K	\$19K	\$7K	\$7K	\$13K	\$15K	\$13K
O’Gorman Jr. High School	\$4K	\$2K	\$1K	\$1K	\$16K	\$3K	\$4K	\$2K	\$5K	\$2K
St Katharine Drexel School	\$6K	\$4K	\$3K	\$3K	\$18K	\$5K	\$6K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K
St Lambert School	\$6K	\$4K	\$3K	\$3K	\$18K	\$5K	\$4K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K
St Mary’S Elementary School	\$6K	\$4K	\$3K	\$3K	\$18K	\$5K	\$6K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K
St Michael School	\$6K	\$4K	\$3K	\$3K	\$18K	\$5K	\$6K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Christ The King School	Sioux Falls	40%	168	18	-	Urban
Holy Spirit School	Sioux Falls	40%	437	10	-	Urban
O’Gorman High School	Sioux Falls	40%	669	37	-	Urban
O’Gorman Jr. High School	Sioux Falls	40%	315	19	-	Urban
St Katharine Drexel School	Sioux Falls	40%	203	18	-	Urban
St Lambert School	Sioux Falls	40%	197	86	-	Urban

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

*Recipient Demographics (latest profile) (continued)*

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
St Mary'S Elementary School	Sioux Falls	40%	352	3	– Urban
St Michael School	Sioux Falls	40%	313	28	– Urban

*Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)*

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
South Dakota Network, Llc	–	\$22K	\$22K	\$22K	\$22K	\$33K	\$32K	\$32K	\$32K	\$32K	\$143K	–	–	–	–	–
Riverside Technologies, Inc.	\$21K	\$8K	–	–	\$120K	\$8K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Marco Technologies, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$9K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Midcontinent Communications	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$4K	–	–	–	–	–
Verizon Wireless (Cellco Partnership)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	–	–	–	–	–
High Point Networks, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Earthbend Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$246	\$235	\$184	\$153	\$150
Average Discount Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	30%	50%	70%	70%	70%	40%	40%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Service Providers	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Telecomm Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$246	\$235	\$184	\$153	\$150
Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Managed Internal Broadband Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Bison Public Library	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$120

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Bison Public Library	Bison	30%	-	-	22,056 Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
West River Cooperative Telephone Company	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$246	\$235	\$184	\$153	\$150

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	–	\$1K	\$1K	–	–	\$6K	\$14K	\$7K	\$27K	–	–	\$12K	\$12K	\$12K	\$13K	\$14K
Average Discount Rate	60%	60%	60%	–	–	60%	70%	70%	30%	–	–	69%	69%	69%	75%	69%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	7	7	7	7	6	6	6	6	6	4	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	1	1	–	–	1	2	1	3	–	–	4	5	5	4	4
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	150	150	150	–	–	–	94	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	150	150	150	–	–	–	91	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$673	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$8K	\$12K	\$9K	\$13K	\$14K
Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	\$6K	\$14K	\$7K	\$27K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	\$1K	\$1K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$3K	–	\$3K	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Bon Homme High School	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$8K	\$6K	\$10K	\$7K
Bon Homme Middle School	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$8K	\$6K	\$10K	\$7K
Dawson Colony School	\$159	\$159	\$268	\$133	–	–	–	–	–	–
Hutterische Colony School	\$159	\$159	\$358	\$224	\$239	\$1K	\$2K	\$88	\$5K	–
Springfield Elementary School	\$7K	\$7K	\$8K	\$8K	\$8K	\$10K	\$11K	\$12K	\$17K	\$6K
Tabor Elementary School	\$159	\$159	\$13K	\$14K	\$14K	\$16K	\$17K	\$10K	\$15K	\$7K
Tyndall Elementary School	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$8K	\$6K	\$5K	–

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Bon Homme High School	Tyndall	60%	135	28	–	Rural
Bon Homme Middle School	Tyndall	60%	110	26	–	Rural
Dawson Colony School	Scotland	60%	25	25	–	Rural
Hutterische Colony School	Tabor	60%	45	6	–	Rural
Springfield Elementary School	Springfield	60%	45	16	–	Rural
Tabor Elementary School	Tabor	60%	37	15	–	Rural
Tyndall Elementary School	Tyndall	60%	155	44	–	Rural

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qtmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Fort Randall Telephone Company	-	\$1K	\$1K	-	-	-	-	-	\$611	-	-	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K
Clarity Telecom, Llc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sprint Communications Company L.P. (Long Distance Division)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K
High Plains Technology	-	-	-	-	-	\$6K	\$14K	\$7K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Golden West Technologies	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$27K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Golden West Telecommunications Cooperative, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$1K	\$834	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K
At&T Mobility	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$62	-	-	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K
Alltel Communications	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
At&T Corp.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
South Dakota Dept. Of Education & Cultural Affairs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$28K	\$34K	\$29K	\$26K	\$51K	\$33K	\$66K	\$33K	\$30K	\$20K	\$27K	\$33K	\$34K	\$26K	\$26K	\$25K
Average Discount Rate	50%	50%	40%	40%	40%	50%	50%	45%	40%	40%	27%	46%	46%	46%	47%	45%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	11	10	11	8	8	7	7	7	7	7	—	—	—	—	—	—
Service Providers	1	1	1	2	2	1	2	2	3	1	2	2	2	2	2	2
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	5,000	5,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	500	500	200	200	100	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	5,000	5,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	500	500	200	200	100	—	—	—	—	—	—

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$6K	—	—	—	—	—
Telecomm Services	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$14K	\$16K	\$16K	\$16K	\$15K
Internal Connections	—	—	—	—	\$22K	—	\$24K	\$4K	\$6K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	\$28K	\$34K	\$29K	\$26K	\$29K	\$33K	\$40K	\$29K	\$24K	\$20K	\$21K	\$19K	\$19K	\$10K	\$10K	\$10K
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$3K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Managed Internal Broadband Services	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Brandon Elementary School	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$6K	\$19K	\$5K	\$4K	\$3K
Brandon Valley High School	\$10K	\$10K	\$28K	\$25K	\$25K	\$29K	\$34K	\$27K	\$26K	\$18K
Brandon Valley Intermediate School	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$6K	\$11K	\$5K	\$4K	\$3K
Brandon Valley Middle School	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$6K	\$11K	\$5K	\$4K	\$3K
Brandon Valley School District Grounds Shop	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Brandon Valley School District Transportation Facility	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Burkman Valley Elementary School	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Fred Assam Elementary School	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$6K	\$19K	\$5K	\$4K	\$3K
George A Gulson Administration Center	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Inspiration Elementary School	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K	\$36K	—	—	—	—	—
Robert Bennis Elementary	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$6K	\$11K	\$5K	\$4K	\$3K
Valley Springs Elementary School	\$3K	—	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$6K	\$11K	\$5K	\$4K	\$3K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Brandon Elementary School	Brandon	50%	691	150	— Rural

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qtmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Recipient Demographics (latest profile) (continued)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Brandon Valley High School	Brandon	50%	1,421	173	– Rural
Brandon Valley Intermediate School	Brandon	50%	706	115	– Rural
Brandon Valley Middle School	Brandon	50%	734	103	– Rural
Brandon Valley School District Grounds Shop	Brandon	50%	–	–	– –
Brandon Valley School District Transportation Facility	Brandon	50%	–	–	– –
Burkman Valley Elementary School	Brandon	50%	350	0	– Rural
Fred Assam Elementary School	Sioux Falls	50%	504	30	– Urban
George A Gulson Administration Center	Brandon	50%	–	–	– –
Inspiration Elementary School	Sioux Falls	50%	323	60	– Urban
Robert Bennis Elementary	Brandon	50%	568	56	– Rural
Valley Springs Elementary School	Valley Springs	50%	74	21	– Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Splitrock Telecom Cooperative Inc.	\$28K	\$34K	\$29K	\$26K	\$29K	\$33K	\$40K	\$29K	\$24K	\$20K	\$27K	\$30K	\$32K	\$24K	\$23K	\$22K
High Point Networks, Llc	–	–	–	–	\$22K	–	\$27K	\$4K	\$6K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Verizon Wireless Fka Cellco Partnership	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$521	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K	\$3K
Golden West Telecommunications Cooperative, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
At&T Corp.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Sioux Valley Wireless	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Ray Christensen Prairie-Net	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Novell, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Computers Ta Boot	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Insight Public Sector Inc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$17K	\$20K	\$51K	\$1K	\$9K	\$1K	\$7K	\$17K	\$2K	\$5K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K
Average Discount Rate	60%	60%	60%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	55%	57%	63%	69%	69%	68%	72%	66%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	7	7	7	7	5	5	5	4	4	4	—	—	—	—	—	—
Service Providers	2	2	2	1	3	2	2	4	3	2	3	2	1	1	1	2
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	25	10	50	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	13	10	10	—	—	—	—	—	—

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$574	\$2K	\$3K	—	—	—	—	—
Telecomm Services	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K
Internal Connections	\$17K	\$18K	\$50K	—	\$8K	\$402	\$6K	\$16K	\$740	\$2K	\$707	—	—	—	—	—
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	—	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$714	\$714	\$840	\$420	\$420	—	—	—	—	—	—
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Managed Internal Broadband Services	—	—	—	—	—	\$350	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Britton-Hecia Elementary School	\$9K	\$9K	\$15K	\$7K	\$9K	\$8K	\$11K	\$8K	\$5K	\$6K
Britton-Hecia High School	\$9K	\$9K	\$15K	\$7K	\$9K	\$8K	\$8K	\$8K	\$5K	\$6K
Britton-Hecia Middle School	\$9K	\$9K	\$15K	\$7K	\$9K	\$8K	\$11K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K
Sunset Colony Elementary	\$3K	\$3K	\$9K	\$930	\$3K	\$1K	\$901	\$928	\$925	\$1K
Sunset Colony High School	\$3K	\$3K	\$9K	\$133	—	—	—	—	—	—
Westwood Colony School (Nif)	\$356	\$356	\$554	\$930	\$945	\$183	\$187	—	—	—
Westwood Rural Elementary School	\$3K	\$3K	\$9K	\$133	—	—	—	—	—	—

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Britton-Hecia Elementary School	Britton	60%	174	32	—	Rural
Britton-Hecia High School	Britton	60%	132	16	—	Rural
Britton-Hecia Middle School	Britton	60%	85	15	—	Rural
Sunset Colony Elementary	Britton	60%	34	34	—	Rural
Sunset Colony High School	Britton	60%	8	8	—	Rural

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

*Recipient Demographics (latest profile) (continued)*

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Westwood Colony School (Nif)	Britton	60%	–	–	–	–
Westwood Rural Elementary School	Britton	60%	45	45	–	Rural

*Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)*

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Dickey Rural Telephone Cooperative	–	\$18K	\$50K	–	–	\$752	\$6K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Venture Communications Cooperative	–	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$714	\$714	\$840	\$994	\$2K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K
Riverside Technologies, Inc.	\$17K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Golden West Technologies	–	–	–	–	\$4K	–	–	\$9K	–	\$2K	\$707	–	–	–	–	–
Marco Technologies, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$8K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Commtech Inc	–	–	–	–	\$4K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Ultra, Inc. Of Watertown	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$740	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Verizon Wireless	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Rural Cellular Corporation Db a Cellular 2000	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Twotrees Technologies	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$2K	\$2K	\$5K	\$7K	\$10K	\$16K	\$16K	\$58K	\$14K	\$14K
Average Discount Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	90%	37%	59%	63%	79%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Service Providers	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	2	4	2	3	3	3	3	2	3
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	50	50	50	50	-	-	-	-	-	-
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	10	50	50	-	-	-	-	-	-

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$1K	\$3K	\$6K	\$9K	-	-	-	-	-
Telecomm Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$16K	\$16K	\$15K	\$14K	\$14K
Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$40K	-	-
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$2K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	-	-	-	-	-
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$2K	-	-
Managed Internal Broadband Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	
Canyon Hills Center	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$2K	\$2K	\$7K	\$9K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Canyon Hills Center	Speartfish	90%	35	35	- Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Midcontinent Communications	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$2K	\$2K	\$4K	\$6K	\$9K	\$11K	\$12K	\$11K	\$11K	\$10K
South Dakota Network, Llc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$43K	-	-
Verizon Wireless (Cellco Partnership)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$174	\$542	\$1K	\$2K	\$5K	\$5K	\$4K	\$3K	\$4K
Verizon Midwest Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Qwest Corporation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Windstream Communications, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
High Point Networks, Llc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Earthbend Llc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Clarity Telecom, Llc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	–	\$33K	\$44K	\$41K	\$11K	\$38K	\$12K	\$8K	\$28K	\$17K	\$48K	\$29K	\$24K	–	\$22K	\$16K
Average Discount Rate	–	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	80%	70%	46%	50%	70%	75%	75%	75%	75%	67%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	–	2	2	2	1	2	2	2	3	3	3	5	3	2	2	2
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	1,000	1,000	100	100	100	100	100	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	1,000	1,000	100	100	100	100	100	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	\$8K	\$19K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$23K	\$24K	–	\$13K	\$16K
Internal Connections	–	\$33K	\$36K	\$32K	–	–	–	–	\$7K	\$9K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	–	\$8K	\$8K	\$11K	\$10K	\$12K	\$8K	\$8K	–	–	\$6K	–	–	\$9K	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	\$28K	–	–	\$11K	–	\$29K	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Chamberlain High School	\$8K	\$19K	\$23K	\$22K	\$13K	\$22K	\$13K	\$12K	\$15K	\$13K
Chamberlain Junior High School	\$8K	\$19K	\$23K	\$22K	\$13K	\$22K	\$13K	\$12K	\$12K	\$13K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Chamberlain High School	Chamberlain	80%	256	114	– Rural
Chamberlain Junior High School	Chamberlain	80%	211	105	– Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Midstate Communications, Inc	–	–	\$8K	\$8K	\$11K	\$10K	\$12K	\$8K	\$9K	\$6K	\$12K	\$15K	\$15K	–	\$15K	\$13K
Golden West Technologies	–	\$18K	\$36K	\$32K	–	\$28K	–	–	\$18K	\$9K	\$29K	–	–	–	–	–
Us-West Communications, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
At&T Mobility	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$888	\$2K	\$7K	\$7K	\$9K	–	\$8K	\$3K
High Plains Technology	–	\$14K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Alltel Communications	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Alltel Communications Llc, By Management Trust	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Achieve3000	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$3K	–	–	–	–
Intrafinity Inc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$3K	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdump-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Qwest Communications Corporation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$385	\$1K	\$6K	\$6K	\$7K	\$8K	\$33K	\$4K	\$8K	\$14K	\$14K	\$16K	\$15K	\$16K	\$17K	\$21K
Average Discount Rate	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	75%	66%	57%	72%	76%	85%	85%	87%	87%	88%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	10	10	15	15	15	15	15	15	5	15	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	5	9	8	9	9	11	11	11	15	12	12	12	15	14	14	14
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	12	34	34	35	55	48	50	15	6	6	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	12	34	34	35	47	48	48	8	5	6	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$810	\$3K	\$5K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$8K	\$8K	\$12K	\$13K	\$19K
Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$30K	–	–	\$3K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	\$385	\$1K	\$6K	\$6K	\$7K	\$8K	\$2K	\$4K	\$7K	\$8K	\$8K	\$8K	\$7K	\$4K	\$4K	\$2K
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Chester Area Colony High School	\$8K	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	\$13K	\$6K	\$12K	\$6K
Chester Area Middle School	\$5K	\$3K	\$8K	\$8K	\$8K	\$9K	\$15K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K
Clark Colony	–	\$560	\$758	\$756	\$743	\$701	\$187	\$538	–	\$873
Clark Colony - Hillcrest	\$509	\$560	\$758	\$756	\$743	\$701	\$187	\$538	–	\$873
Clark Colony-Fordham	\$509	\$560	\$758	\$756	\$617	\$701	\$187	\$538	–	\$873
Colony Elementary School - Gracevale	\$2K	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	\$2K	\$2K
High Plains Alternative Hs	\$2K	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	\$217	\$864
Millerdale Colony High School	–	\$756	\$954	\$938	\$869	\$855	\$187	\$538	–	\$374
New Elm Spring Colony 9-12	\$294	\$639	\$837	\$308	\$879	\$813	\$187	\$88	–	\$931
Old Elm Spring Colony 9-12	\$965	\$630	\$828	\$770	\$701	\$687	\$187	\$1K	–	\$902
Plainview Colony Scholl	–	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	–	\$374
Platte Colony Elem School	–	\$630	\$828	\$770	\$701	\$687	\$187	\$418	–	\$782
Pleasant Valley Colony Sch	–	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	–	\$815
Rustic Acres	\$2K	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	\$603	\$815
Tschetter Colony	\$294	\$639	\$837	\$2K	\$879	\$813	\$187	\$988	–	\$912

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Chester Area Colony High School	Chester	70%	89	85	– Rural

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Recipient Demographics (latest profile) (continued)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Chester Area Middle School	Chester	70%	59	10	– Rural
Clark Colony	Raymond	70%	1	0	– Rural
Clark Colony - Hillcrest	Garden City	70%	1	0	– Rural
Clark Colony-Fordham	Carpenter	70%	1	0	– Rural
Colony Elementary School - Gracevale	Winfred	70%	16	16	– Rural
High Plains Alternative Hs	Chester	70%	76	14	– Rural
Millerdale Colony High School	Miller	70%	1	0	– Rural
New Elm Spring Colony 9-12	Parkston	70%	1	0	– Rural
Old Elm Spring Colony 9-12	Parkston	70%	1	0	– Rural
Plainview Colony Scholl	Leola	70%	23	0	– Rural
Platte Colony Elem School	Academy	70%	23	0	– Rural
Pleasant Valley Colony Sch	Flandreau	70%	1	0	– Rural
Rustic Acres	Madison	70%	28	28	– Rural
Tschetter Colony	Olivet	70%	1	0	– Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Interstate Telecommunications Cooperative, Inc.	–	–	\$2K	\$2K	\$1K	\$1K	–	\$1K	\$2K	\$4K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$4K	\$4K	\$6K
High Plains Technology	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$30K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Valley Telecommunications Cooperative Association, Inc.	–	–	\$596	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$330	\$452	\$604	\$695	\$1K	\$2K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K
At&T Mobility	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$354	\$916	\$2K	\$4K	\$3K	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K
Golden West Telecommunications Cooperative, Inc.	–	–	–	–	\$588	\$1K	\$588	\$839	\$588	\$1K	\$672	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K
Santel Communications Cooperative	–	–	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	–	\$627	\$877	\$749	\$538	\$302	\$605	\$626	\$936	\$1K
Triotel Communications, Inc.	\$385	\$420	\$420	\$420	\$462	\$462	–	\$330	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$593	\$593	\$593	\$593	\$593
Fort Randall Telephone Company	–	–	\$1K	\$1K	\$554	\$554	\$546	\$384	\$369	\$614	\$585	\$637	\$637	\$637	\$637	\$637
Dickey Rural Telephone Cooperative	–	–	–	\$84	\$84	\$84	–	\$60	\$460	\$515	\$707	\$906	\$877	\$865	\$862	\$861
Midstate Communications, Inc	–	\$605	\$524	\$479	\$462	\$504	–	\$330	\$407	\$408	\$384	\$431	\$431	\$399	\$399	\$399

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$8K	\$20K	\$21K	\$24K	\$46K	\$50K	\$49K	\$98K	\$207K	\$126K
Average Discount Rate	–	–	–	–	–	–	87%	56%	59%	69%	79%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	1	1	1	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	–	–	–	–	–	–	2	4	3	3	3	6	4	6	6	6
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	100	100	100	250	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	100	100	100	250	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	\$7K	\$18K	\$27K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$49K	\$49K	\$98K	\$133K	\$124K
Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	–	–	–	\$14K	–	–	–	\$73K	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$6K	\$18K	\$13K	\$6K	\$5K	\$540	–	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K	\$1K
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	
Childrens Care Hosp & School Db a Lifescape	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$8K	\$25K	\$23K	\$28K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Childrens Care Hosp & School Db a Lifescape	Sioux Falls	90%	122	109	–	Urban

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Midcontinent Communications, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$6K	\$20K	\$20K	\$20K	\$23K	\$29K	\$28K	\$25K	\$22K	\$23K
Us West Communications, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$52K	\$92K	\$79K
Clarity Telecom, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$9K
South Dakota Network, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$74K	\$1K
Verizon Wireless Fka Cellco Partnership	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$226	\$511	\$4K	\$9K	\$16K	\$16K	\$16K	\$15K	\$11K
Prairie Fire Communications, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Little Stewhen Inc. Db a Dakota Internet Services, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Vantek Communications, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$5K	\$4K	\$4K	\$3K	\$2K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Connecting Point Computer Center Db a Ultra, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dascom Systems, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	–	\$21K	\$18K	\$18K	\$19K	\$18K	\$16K	\$20K	\$26K	\$36K	\$45K	\$47K	\$46K	\$40K	\$42K	\$28K
Average Discount Rate	88%	88%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	40%	56%	68%	78%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	750	750	325	325	325	229	100	53	53	30	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	750	750	325	325	325	229	100	53	53	30	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$3K	\$8K	\$11K	\$15K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$30K	\$47K	\$41K	\$35K	\$37K	\$25K
Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	\$15K	\$18K	\$18K	\$19K	\$18K	\$16K	\$17K	\$18K	\$25K	–	–	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$4K
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	\$6K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Childrens Home Society - Loving School Sioux Falls	\$11K	\$13K	\$7K	\$7K	\$8K	\$8K	\$10K	\$18K	\$19K	\$23K
Childrens Home Society - Owen School Bh	\$11K	\$12K	\$11K	\$11K	\$11K	\$11K	\$7K	\$9K	\$12K	\$16K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Childrens Home Society - Loving School Sioux Falls	Sioux Falls	90%	85	85	–	Urban
Childrens Home Society - Owen School Bh	Rapid City	85%	47	47	–	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
South Dakota Network, Llc	–	\$21K	\$18K	\$18K	\$19K	\$18K	\$16K	\$17K	\$18K	\$25K	\$30K	\$30K	\$18K	\$18K	\$17K	\$14K
Qwest Corporation Fka Us West Communications	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K	\$3K	\$6K	\$8K	\$7K	\$14K	\$6K	\$15K	\$8K
Qwest Communications Corporation	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K	\$4K	\$4K	\$5K	\$5K	\$9K	\$11K	\$5K	\$4K
Windstream Communications, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
At&Amp;T Mobility	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$135	–	\$1K	\$2K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$2K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Alltel Communications	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Vantek Communications, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Alltel Communications Llc, By Management Trust	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Golden West Technologies, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Inter-Tel Technologies	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$723	–	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	–	\$1K	\$1K	\$2K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Average Discount Rate	70%	–	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	40%	50%	–	–	–	–	–	–
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	1	–	1	1	1	1	–	1	1	1	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	–	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	1,000	–	250	250	100	100	–	15	15	15	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	1,000	–	250	250	100	100	–	1	1	1	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$172	\$515	–	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	\$723	–	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	–	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Hot Springs Public Library	\$873	–	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	–	\$1K	\$1K	\$2K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Hot Springs Public Library	Hot Springs	70%	–	–	10,400	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Golden West Telecommunications Cooperative, Inc.	\$723	–	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	–	\$1K	\$1K	\$2K	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	–	\$324	\$324	\$324	–	\$2K	\$27K	\$2K	\$2K	\$12K	–	\$9K	\$7K	\$8K	\$8K	\$6K
Average Discount Rate	–	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	77%	70%	30%	55%	58%	67%	73%	72%	72%	69%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	–	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	–	1	2	2	1	2	3	1	2	3	3	3	3	3	4	2
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	8	8	8	1	1	1	1	1	1	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$371	\$7K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$8K	\$6K	\$7K	\$8K	\$6K
Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	\$27K	–	–	\$5K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	\$324	\$324	\$324	–	–	–	\$2K	\$1K	\$432	–	\$1K	\$965	\$790	\$375	\$383
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Fordham Colony Elementary	–	\$324	\$522	\$2K	\$635	\$899	\$5K	\$2K	\$759	–

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Fordham Colony Elementary	Carpenter	60%	31	0	–	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Interstate Telecommunications Cooperative, Inc.	–	\$324	\$324	\$324	–	–	–	\$2K	\$1K	\$7K	–	\$8K	\$6K	\$7K	\$7K	\$4K
Ultra, Inc. Of Watertown	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	\$20K	–	–	\$5K	–	–	–	–	–	–
At&T Mobility	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$47	\$414	–	\$1K	\$1K	\$790	\$882	\$2K
Dakota Structured Cabling	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$8K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Alltel Communications	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Mci Communications Corporation	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Dakota 2000, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Connecting Point Computer Center	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
South Dakota Dept. Of Education & Cultural Affairs	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Western Wireless Corporation Dba Cellular One	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	–	\$74K	\$59K	\$66K	–	–	\$61K	\$90K	\$66K	\$91K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Average Discount Rate	90%	89%	86%	88%	88%	87%	87%	39%	52%	59%	–	–	–	–	–	–
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	5	13	10	10	10	10	13	13	13	13	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	4	4	2	2	2	2	4	4	5	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	1,000	13,667	500	500	500	200	200	100	100	80	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	1,000	7,000	500	500	500	200	200	100	100	80	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$6K	\$26K	\$35K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Internal Connections	–	\$2K	–	\$18K	–	–	\$11K	\$26K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	\$72K	\$59K	\$47K	–	–	\$50K	\$40K	\$40K	\$42K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$18K	–	\$13K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Crow Creek Alternative	\$13K	\$15K	\$11K	\$9K	\$10K	\$4K	\$4K	\$8K	\$6K	\$9K
Crow Creek Dormitory	\$13K	\$15K	\$18K	\$9K	\$10K	\$4K	\$8K	\$14K	\$6K	\$9K
Crow Creek Elementary School	\$13K	\$15K	\$18K	\$9K	\$10K	\$4K	\$8K	\$14K	\$6K	\$9K
Crow Creek Facility Shop	–	\$377	\$7K	\$5K	\$6K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$6K	\$6K
Crow Creek Finance Modular	–	\$377	–	–	–	–	\$4K	\$4K	\$6K	\$6K
Crow Creek Gymnasium	–	\$377	\$7K	\$5K	\$6K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$6K	\$6K
Crow Creek It Modular	–	\$377	\$543	\$5K	\$6K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$6K	\$6K
Crow Creek Middle School	\$13K	\$15K	\$18K	\$9K	\$10K	\$4K	\$4K	\$12K	\$6K	\$9K
Crow Creek Recreation Center Modular	–	\$377	\$7K	\$5K	\$6K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$1K	\$6K
Crow Creek Sioux Tribal H S	\$13K	\$15K	\$18K	\$9K	\$10K	\$4K	\$8K	\$14K	\$17K	\$9K
Crow Creek Tag Modular	–	\$377	–	–	–	–	\$4K	\$4K	\$1K	\$6K
Crow Creek Transportation Modular	–	\$377	–	–	–	–	\$4K	\$4K	\$6K	\$6K
Crow Creek Water Tower/Shop	–	\$377	\$7K	\$5K	\$6K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$1K	\$6K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Crow Creek Alternative	Stephan	85%	25	25	– Rural
Crow Creek Dormitory	Stephan	85%	75	75	– Rural
Crow Creek Elementary School	Stephan	85%	180	180	– Rural

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Recipient Demographics (latest profile) (continued)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Crow Creek Facility Shop	Stephan	90%	—	—	— —
Crow Creek Finance Modular	Stephan	90%	—	—	— —
Crow Creek Gymnasium	Stephan	90%	—	—	— —
Crow Creek It Modular	Stephan	90%	—	—	— —
Crow Creek Middle School	Stephan	85%	120	120	— Rural
Crow Creek Recreation Center Modular	Stephan	90%	—	—	— —
Crow Creek Sioux Tribal H S	Stephan	85%	145	145	— Rural
Crow Creek Tag Modular	Stephan	90%	—	—	— —
Crow Creek Transportation Modular	Stephan	90%	—	—	— —
Crow Creek Water Tower/Shop	Stephan	90%	—	—	— —

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Venture Communications Cooperative	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$50K	\$42K	\$44K	\$54K	—	—	—	—	—	—
South Dakota Network, Llc	—	\$66K	\$59K	\$47K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Wind Circle Network, Inc	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$11K	\$48K	\$12K	\$32K	—	—	—	—	—	—
Golden West Technologies	—	\$2K	—	\$18K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
At&T Mobility	—	\$5K	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$7K	\$517	—	—	—	—	—	—
At&T Corp.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$479	\$3K	\$4K	—	—	—	—	—	—
Premier Wireless Business Technology Solutions	—	\$869	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Shoutpoint, Inc.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$345	—	—	—	—	—	—
Wyebo, Inc.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	–	\$8K	\$21K	–	\$77K	–	\$18K	\$31K	\$3K	\$20K	\$14K	\$21K	\$19K	\$19K	\$19K	\$21K
Average Discount Rate	–	60%	60%	–	70%	–	70%	70%	10%	38%	50%	70%	66%	65%	65%	66%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	–	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	–	1	1	–	1	–	1	1	4	5	4	5	6	4	4	4
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$3K	\$9K	\$14K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$21K	\$19K	\$19K	\$19K	\$21K
Internal Connections	–	\$8K	\$21K	–	\$77K	–	\$18K	\$31K	–	\$12K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Custer Elementary School	–	\$2K	\$6K	\$224	\$19K	\$183	\$5K	\$8K	\$733	\$5K						

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Custer Elementary School	Custer	60%	307	96	–	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Golden West Technologies	–	–	\$21K	–	\$77K	–	\$18K	\$31K	–	\$12K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Golden West Telecommunications Cooperative, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K	\$4K	\$7K	\$10K	\$10K	\$9K	\$10K	\$11K
Verizon Business Global Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$765	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$5K
Fort Randall Telephone Company	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$286	\$852	\$1K	\$2K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K
Verizon Wireless (Cellco Partnership)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$289	\$819	\$2K	\$4K	\$3K	\$2K	–	–
Riverside Technologies, Inc.	–	\$8K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Mt. Rushmore Tel. Co.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
At&T Mobility	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$435	\$2K
Alltel Communications	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
South Dakota Network, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$504	\$493	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$1K	\$3K	\$3K
Average Discount Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	60%	60%	40%	50%	70%	60%	60%	80%	70%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Service Providers	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	50	50	50	-	-	-	-	-	-
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	50	50	50	-	-	-	-	-	-

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$466	\$948	-	-	-	-	-
Telecomm Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$2K	\$1K	\$1K	\$2K	\$1K
Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$504	\$493	\$846	\$883	\$630	\$540	-	\$2K	\$1K
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Managed Internal Broadband Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Dakota Christian School	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$504	\$900	\$1K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Dakota Christian School	Corsica	60%	91	31	- Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Midstate Communications, Inc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$504	\$493	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$1K	\$3K	\$3K
Inpath Devices	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$3K	\$2K	-	-	-	-	-
Average Discount Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	90%	77%	80%	-	-	-	-	-
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Service Providers	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	2	1	-	-	-	-	-
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	145	143	-	-	-	-	-	-
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	140	140	-	-	-	-	-	-

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$298	\$714	-	-	-	-	-
Telecomm Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$2K	\$1K	-	-	-	-	-
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Managed Internal Broadband Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Dakota Club Library, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$2K	\$2K
Dakota Club Library, Inc. Bookmobile	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$2K	\$2K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Dakota Club Library, Inc.	Eagle Butte	90%	-	-	1,600	Rural
Dakota Club Library, Inc. Bookmobile	Eagle Butte	90%	-	-	300	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe Telephone Authority	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$1K	\$2K	-	-	-	-	-
Verizon Wireless (Cellco Partnership)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$2K	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qtmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$47K	–	–	–	–	\$34K	–	–	–	\$559	\$70K	\$7K	\$7K	\$8K	\$7K	\$6K
Average Discount Rate	40%	–	–	–	–	40%	–	–	–	13%	32%	57%	54%	60%	54%	50%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	1	–	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	–	–	–	–	1	–	–	–	3	4	4	3	3	3	2
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	60	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	60	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$7K	\$7K	\$8K	\$7K	\$6K
Internal Connections	\$47K	–	–	–	–	\$34K	–	–	–	–	\$66K	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$559	–	–	–	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Dakota Valley Elementary Sch	\$12K	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$9K	\$187	\$88	\$93	\$6K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Dakota Valley Elementary Sch	N Sioux City	40%	397	72	– Urban

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Riverside Technologies, Inc.	\$47K	–	–	–	–	\$34K	–	–	–	–	\$67K	–	–	–	–	–
Long Lines Metro, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$4K
Clarity Telecom, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Verizon Wireless (Cellco Partnership)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$356	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K
Windstream Communications, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Alltel Communications	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
South Dakota Network, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$771	\$596	–
Cable One, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$559	–	–	–	–	–	–
Dtg Datanet, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Hewlett Packard Company	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	–	\$9K	\$9K	\$9K	–	\$42K	\$30K	\$27K	\$19K	\$26K	\$28K	\$34K	–	–	–	–
Average Discount Rate	90%	90%	88%	90%	90%	88%	90%	63%	59%	69%	80%	90%	–	–	–	–
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	–	1	1	1	–	1	1	1	1	1	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	1	2	1	1	2	1	3	3	3	3	4	–	–	–	–
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	225	225	299	299	52	52	52	29	10	10	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	196	196	299	299	52	52	52	29	10	10	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K	\$9K	\$15K	\$24K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$34K	–	–	–	–
Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	\$11K	–	–	–	–	\$3K	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	\$9K	\$9K	\$9K	–	\$31K	\$30K	\$25K	\$10K	\$3K	\$972	–	–	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$8K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Dakotabilities	–	\$51K	\$33K	\$32K	–	\$44K	\$33K	\$54K	\$21K	\$36K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Dakotabilities	Sioux Falls	90%	132	124	–	Urban

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Midcontinent Communications	–	\$9K	\$9K	\$9K	–	\$31K	\$30K	\$27K	\$19K	\$16K	\$24K	\$30K	–	–	–	–
High Plains Technology	–	–	–	–	–	\$11K	–	–	–	\$8K	\$3K	–	–	–	–	–
Verizon Wireless (Cellco Partnership)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$95	\$204	\$2K	\$901	\$3K	–	–	–	–
Vantek Communications, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K	–	–	–	–
Sld Interim	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$10K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	–	–	–	\$3K
Average Discount Rate	60%	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	57%	–	60%	–	60%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	3	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	–	1	–	2
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	–	–	–	\$3K
Internal Connections	\$10K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
De Smet High School	\$5K	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K
De Smet Middle School	\$5K	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K
Laura Ingalls Wilder Elementary School	\$3K	–	\$109	\$133	\$147	\$183	\$187	\$88	\$93	\$247

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
De Smet High School	De Smet	60%	95	26	– Rural
De Smet Middle School	De Smet	60%	95	26	– Rural
Laura Ingalls Wilder Elementary School	De Smet	60%	180	64	– Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Ionex Dba Firstel/Advanced Communications	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Twotrees Technologies	\$10K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Vp Telecom, Inc. Dba Orbitcom	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	–	–	–	\$3K
Alltel Communications Llc, By Management Trust	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Alltel Communications	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qtmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
South Dakota Dept. Of Education & Cultural Affairs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Riverside Technologies, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	–	\$34K	\$15K	\$26K	–	–	–	\$23K	\$17K	\$28K	\$4K	\$7K	\$8K	\$8K	\$6K	\$6K
Average Discount Rate	–	50%	50%	50%	–	–	–	50%	50%	30%	40%	50%	44%	40%	40%	40%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	–	2	1	1	–	–	–	1	1	3	1	3	2	2	2	2
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$49	\$4K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$7K	\$8K	\$8K	\$6K	\$6K
Internal Connections	–	\$34K	\$15K	\$26K	–	–	–	\$23K	\$17K	\$28K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Dell Rapids Elementary School	–	\$12K	\$5K	\$11K	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$11K	\$6K	\$10K						
Dell Rapids High School	\$3K	\$15K	\$9K	\$15K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$17K	\$13K	\$14K						
Dell Rapids Middle School	\$3K	\$15K	\$9K	\$15K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$17K	\$13K	\$14K						

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Dell Rapids Elementary School	Dell Rapids	50%	408	76	–	Rural
Dell Rapids High School	Dell Rapids	50%	295	37	–	Rural
Dell Rapids Middle School	Dell Rapids	50%	317	46	–	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
High Plains Technology	–	\$34K	\$15K	\$26K	–	–	–	\$23K	\$17K	\$28K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Golden West Telecommunications Cooperative, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$4K	\$7K	\$7K	\$6K	\$6K	\$5K
Ionex Dba Firstel/Advanced Communications	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$784
Swiftel Communications	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Hewlett Packard Company	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdump-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
South Dakota Network, Llc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$133	\$2K	\$2K	\$575	-
Mcleod Usa Telecommunications Services, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Verizon Wireless Fka Cellco Partnership	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$49	-	-	-	-	-	-
Novell, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
South Dakota Dept. Of Education & Cultural Affairs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$6K	\$33K	\$33K	\$27K	\$22K	\$13K	\$8K	\$10K	\$18K	\$27K	\$326	\$25K	\$26K	\$26K	\$11K	\$15K
Average Discount Rate	60%	60%	55%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	40%	47%	65%	57%	61%	60%	61%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	4	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	3	—	—	—	—	—	—
Service Providers	4	2	2	4	3	3	2	3	3	4	3	3	4	4	3	2
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	200	250	250	175	100	56	50	40	11	2	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	200	250	250	135	60	51	30	30	11	2	—	—	—	—	—	—

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$3K	\$326	—	—	—	—	—
Telecomm Services	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$16K	\$26K	\$26K	\$11K	\$15K
Internal Connections	\$6K	\$23K	\$21K	\$15K	\$13K	\$4K	—	—	\$9K	\$15K	—	—	—	—	—	—
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	—	\$10K	\$11K	\$12K	\$9K	\$9K	\$8K	\$9K	\$9K	\$9K	—	\$9K	—	—	\$223	—
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$500	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Managed Internal Broadband Services	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Deubrook Elementary School Toronto	\$11K	\$17K	\$16K	\$17K	\$14K	\$10K	\$8K	\$10K	\$4K	\$247
Norfeld Colony School	\$2K	—	\$4K	\$764	\$779	\$739	\$733	\$856	\$2K	\$7K
Red Willow Colony School	\$1K	—	\$4K	\$224	\$239	\$199	\$187	\$316	\$2K	—
White Football Field	\$531	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Toronto Elementary	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$4K	\$7K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Deubrook Elementary School Toronto	Toronto	60%	224	37	— Rural
Norfeld Colony School	White	60%	27	26	— Rural
Red Willow Colony School	White	60%	26	26	— Rural
White Football Field	White	60%	—	—	— —
Toronto Elementary	White	60%	0	0	— Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Interstate Telecommunications Cooperative, Inc.	—	—	—	\$540	\$540	\$540	\$493	\$396	\$426	\$3K	—	\$14K	\$15K	\$14K	\$6K	\$13K
South Dakota Network, Llc	—	\$10K	\$11K	\$11K	\$8K	\$8K	\$8K	\$9K	\$9K	\$9K	—	\$8K	\$9K	\$10K	\$4K	—
It Outlet Inc.	\$5K	—	\$21K	\$5K	—	\$4K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Golden West Technologies	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$500	\$9K	\$15K	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bytespeed, Llc	\$921	\$23K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Marco Technologies, Llc	-	-	-	\$10K	\$13K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Verizon Wireless Fka Cellco Partnership	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$124	\$326	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$892	\$2K
Alltel Communications	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mci Communications Corporation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Novell, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	-	-	-	-	\$2K	\$2K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Average Discount Rate	-	-	60%	70%	70%	70%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	-	-	-	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Service Providers	-	-	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	250	200	150	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	250	200	150	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Telecomm Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	-	-	-	-	\$2K	\$2K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Managed Internal Broadband Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Dewey County Library	-	-	-	-	\$2K	\$2K	-	-	-	-

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Dewey County Library	Timber Lake	70%	-	-	2,400	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Lawien Sales Dba C&L Internet Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe Telephone Authority	-	-	-	-	\$2K	\$2K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
American Comm. Services Of Lexington, Inc. D/B/A E.Spire	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
U S West	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$6K	\$36K	\$21K	\$25K	\$52K	\$65K	\$32K	\$9K	\$23K	\$17K	\$6K	\$66K	\$53K	\$60K	\$51K	\$53K
Average Discount Rate	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	22%	36%	47%	46%	47%	45%	50%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	10	9	15	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	11	11	10	11	12	10	6	8	9	10	11	12	11	13	14	14
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	380	289	261	262	199	214	100	87	76	25	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	285	247	263	246	197	213	25	93	66	16	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	\$5K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$63K	\$36K	\$44K	\$26K	\$30K
Internal Connections	–	\$14K	\$8K	\$5K	\$30K	\$50K	\$28K	\$959	\$15K	\$4K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	\$6K	\$10K	\$12K	\$13K	\$15K	\$14K	\$4K	\$8K	\$8K	\$11K	\$1K	\$2K	\$17K	\$16K	\$26K	\$23K
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	\$1K	\$1K	–	\$6K	\$785	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	\$10K	–	\$7K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	
Holy Cross Elementary School	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$260	–	–	\$108
Holy Trinity School	\$999	\$1K	\$2K	\$274	\$616	\$1K	\$1K	\$20	\$2K	\$168	
Immaculate Conception School	\$2K	\$848	\$440	\$1K	\$4K	\$2K	\$1K	\$750	\$731	\$841	
John Paul II Elementary School	\$4K	\$9K	\$7K	\$7K	\$8K	\$1K	\$10K	–	\$2K	\$139	
Roncalli Elementary School	\$3K	\$4K	\$5K	\$6K	\$4K	\$5K	\$2K	\$5K	\$5K	\$4K	
Roncalli Middle And High School	\$4K	\$5K	\$6K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$2K	\$7K	\$12K	\$6K	
Roncalli Primary School	\$3K	\$4K	\$5K	\$2K	\$4K	\$6K	\$2K	\$5K	\$2K	\$4K	
Sacred Heart Elementary School	\$725	\$1K	\$440	\$274	\$10K	\$12K	\$1K	\$3K	–	\$191	
Sacred Heart Middle School	\$725	\$1K	\$440	\$274	\$10K	\$9K	\$1K	\$1K	–	\$990	
St Agnes School	\$2K	\$1K	\$440	\$274	\$1K	\$9K	\$1K	–	–	\$177	
St Joseph School	\$7K	\$5K	\$4K	\$2K	\$616	\$1K	\$10K	–	–	\$145	
St Mary High School	\$725	\$848	\$1K	\$724	\$1K	\$2K	\$1K	\$1K	\$636	\$891	
St Mary'S Grade School	\$725	\$848	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$2K	\$1K	\$1K	\$636	\$891	
St Mary'S School	\$2K	\$2K	\$1K	\$1K	\$2K	\$6K	\$1K	\$660	\$607	\$896	
St Thomas School	\$2K	\$848	\$1K	\$934	\$1K	\$5K	\$1K	–	–	\$53	
St. Thomas More Catholic School	\$1K	\$5K	\$878	\$712	\$1K	\$8K	–	–	–	–	

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Holy Cross Elementary School	Ipswich	50%	13	7	–	Rural

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Recipient Demographics (latest profile) (continued)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Holy Trinity School	Huron	50%	113	33	–	Rural
Immaculate Conception School	Watertown	50%	176	18	–	Rural
John Paul II Elementary School	Mitchell	50%	156	13	–	Rural
Roncalli Elementary School	Aberdeen	50%	172	14	–	Urban
Roncalli Middle And High School	Aberdeen	50%	247	18	–	Urban
Roncalli Primary School	Aberdeen	50%	93	8	–	Urban
Sacred Heart Elementary School	Yankton	50%	186	14	–	Rural
Sacred Heart Middle School	Yankton	50%	119	11	–	Rural
St Agnes School	Vermillion	50%	100	16	–	Rural
St Joseph School	Pierre	50%	185	4	–	Rural
St Mary High School	Dell Rapids	50%	98	4	–	Rural
St Mary'S Grade School	Dell Rapids	50%	130	7	–	Rural
St Mary'S School	Salem	50%	39	7	–	Rural
St Thomas School	Madison	50%	36	12	–	Rural
St. Thomas More Catholic School	Brookings	50%	59	5	–	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Qwest Corporation Fka Us West Communications, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$292	\$840	\$1K	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K
South Dakota Network, Llc	–	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$27K	\$21K	\$22K	\$21K	\$22K
Midcontinent Communications, Inc.	\$952	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$7K	\$7K	\$4K	\$735	\$731	\$10K	–	\$22K	\$16K	\$18K	\$14K	\$10K
Riverside Technologies, Inc.	–	\$11K	\$7K	–	\$19K	\$28K	\$7K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Northern Valley Communications Llc	\$4K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	–	\$6K	\$9K	–	–	–	–	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K
High Point Networks, Llc	–	\$6K	\$1K	\$495	\$5K	\$15K	\$12K	\$959	\$1K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Verizon Wireless Fka Cellco Partnership	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$9K	\$8K	\$8K	\$8K	\$8K
Golden West Telecommunications Cooperative, Inc.	\$827	\$902	\$902	\$900	\$901	\$900	–	\$958	\$958	\$2K	\$3K	\$3K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K
Santel Communications Cooperative	–	\$7K	–	\$7K	\$6K	–	\$9K	–	\$765	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Alltel Communications	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$8K	\$128K	\$77K	\$8K	\$89K	\$64K	\$116K	–	\$140K	\$4K	\$154K	\$9K	\$23K	\$25K	\$18K	\$22K
Average Discount Rate	70%	70%	60%	70%	70%	70%	70%	–	40%	30%	65%	59%	63%	63%	62%	62%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	4	4	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	–	3	2	3	2	2	2	2	2
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	\$4K	\$8K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$9K	\$12K	\$12K	\$7K	\$11K
Internal Connections	–	\$120K	\$70K	–	\$83K	\$57K	\$40K	–	\$131K	–	\$147K	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$77K	–	–	–	–	–	\$11K	\$12K	\$11K	\$11K
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	\$8K	\$8K	\$7K	\$8K	\$6K	\$7K	–	–	\$8K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Badger Clark Elementary School	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Douglas High School	\$2K	\$32K	\$15K	\$2K	\$15K	\$16K	\$15K	\$88	\$23K	\$806
Douglas Middle School	\$2K	\$32K	\$15K	\$2K	\$15K	\$16K	\$27K	\$88	\$23K	\$806
Douglas Pre-School (Aka Carrousel)	–	–	\$15K	\$2K	\$15K	\$2K	\$9K	\$88	\$23K	\$806
Francis Case Elementary School	\$2K	\$32K	\$15K	\$2K	\$15K	\$16K	\$10K	\$88	\$23K	\$806
Patriot Elementary Fka Badger Clark Elementary School	–	–	\$13K	\$224	\$14K	\$183	\$5K	\$88	\$23K	\$806
Vandenberg Elementary School	\$2K	\$32K	\$15K	\$2K	\$15K	\$16K	\$14K	\$88	\$23K	\$806

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Badger Clark Elementary School	Box Elder	70%	517	212	–	Rural
Douglas High School	Box Elder	70%	739	244	–	Rural
Douglas Middle School	Box Elder	70%	669	285	–	Rural
Douglas Pre-School (Aka Carrousel)	Box Elder	60%	42	6	–	Rural
Francis Case Elementary School	Box Elder	70%	494	193	–	Rural
Patriot Elementary Fka Badger Clark Elementary School	Box Elder	60%	0	0	–	Rural

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdump-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Recipient Demographics (latest profile) (continued)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Vandenberg Elementary School	Box Elder	70%	480	212	-	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Riverside Technologies, Inc.	\$8K	\$128K	\$77K	\$8K	\$89K	\$64K	\$116K	-	\$139K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Midcontinent Communications	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$1K	\$4K	\$6K	\$6K	\$19K	\$20K	\$18K	\$19K
Golden West Technologies	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$147K	-	-	-	-	-
Verizon Wireless (Cellco Partnership)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$207	\$673	\$2K	\$3K	\$4K	\$5K	-	-
U S West Communications, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Alltel Communications	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Alltel Communications LLC, By Management Trust	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
At&T Mobility	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$3K
Qwest Communications Corporation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
South Dakota Dept. Of Education & Cultural Affairs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$70K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K	\$6K	\$6K	\$5K	\$5K
Average Discount Rate	83%	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	70%	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	2	3	3	4	2	2
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K
Internal Connections	\$70K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	
Dupree Elementary School	\$29K	\$5K	\$6K	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	\$6K	\$7K	\$7K	\$11K
Dupree High School	\$29K	\$5K	\$6K	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	\$6K	\$7K	\$7K	\$11K
Dupree Jr High School	\$29K	\$5K	\$6K	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	\$6K	\$7K	\$7K	\$11K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Dupree Elementary School	Dupree	85%	182	159	–	Rural
Dupree High School	Dupree	85%	117	75	–	Rural
Dupree Jr High School	Dupree	85%	75	57	–	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Riverside Technologies, Inc.	\$70K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Cheyenne River StouX Tribe Telephone Authority	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K
First Byte Computers, Inc., DbA Edtech	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Verizon Business Global Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$976	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K
Jack D Anderson	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Edline, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	–	–
Alltel Communications	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Rapid Net, Inc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Verizon Wireless	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
South Dakota Network, Llc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	–	–	\$50K	–	–	\$7K	\$19K	–	\$19K	\$26K	\$5K	\$4K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K
Average Discount Rate	–	–	50%	–	–	60%	60%	–	60%	50%	50%	60%	62%	60%	60%	60%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	–	–	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	–	–	1	–	–	1	1	–	1	3	1	2	3	1	1	2
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K	\$293	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$4K	\$4K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K
Internal Connections	–	–	\$50K	–	–	\$7K	\$19K	–	\$19K	\$25K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Elk Point-Jefferson Elementary School	–	–	\$17K	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$12K	\$88	\$7K	\$9K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Elk Point-Jefferson Elementary School	Elk Point	50%	318	51	– Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Riverside Technologies, Inc.	–	–	\$50K	–	–	\$7K	\$19K	–	\$19K	\$17K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Clarity Telecom, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$5K
Clarity Telecom, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K	\$5K	\$4K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	–
Norcom Advanced Technologies, Inc (Bergman Telecomm Div)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
High Plains Technology	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$8K	–	–	–	–	–	–
U S West Communications, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Mci Communications Corporation	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Alltel Communications	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Firstel, Inc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Verizon Wireless Fka Cellco Partnership	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$30K	–	–	–	–	\$4K	\$24K	\$3K	\$6K	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	\$3K
Average Discount Rate	70%	–	–	–	60%	65%	60%	70%	50%	59%	50%	45%	68%	63%	77%	75%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	2	–	–	–	1	2	2	2	2	3	1	1	1	1	3	2
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	100	–	–	–	30	30	30	30	10	15	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	100	–	–	–	5	5	30	5	10	15	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$338	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K	\$2K
Internal Connections	\$30K	–	–	–	–	\$4K	\$24K	\$2K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$396	\$502	\$6K	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K	\$427
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Elkton Elementary School	\$12K	\$2K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K	\$11K	\$5K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K
Elkton High School	\$12K	\$2K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K	\$11K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K
Elkton Jr High School	\$12K	\$2K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K	\$11K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K
Rolland Colony School	\$462	–	\$198	\$224	\$635	\$578	\$618	\$590	\$6K	\$736						

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Elkton Elementary School	Elkton	60%	165	65	–	Rural
Elkton High School	Elkton	60%	140	39	–	Rural
Elkton Jr High School	Elkton	60%	58	14	–	Rural
Rolland Colony School	White	60%	9	9	–	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Riverside Technologies, Inc.	\$30K	–	–	–	–	–	\$24K	\$2K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Interstate Telecommunications Cooperative, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$396	\$502	\$6K	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	\$3K
High Plains Technology	–	–	–	–	–	\$4K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Cdw, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Sioux Valley Wireless	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Qwest Communications Company, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
First Byte Computers, Inc., Dba Edtech	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Multiple Zones International, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Marco Technologies, Llc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
High Point Networks, Llc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$675	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$2K	\$27K	\$4K	\$9K	\$10K	\$10K	\$10K	\$40K
Average Discount Rate	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	50%	69%	77%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	—	—	—	—	—	—
Service Providers	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	4	2	4	5	4	4	6
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	1,000	1,000	250	250	250	100	100	100	100	100	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	500	500	30	30	30	10	10	10	10	10	—	—	—	—	—	—

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	—	—	—	—	—
Telecomm Services	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$9K	\$9K	\$9K	\$9K	\$9K
Internal Connections	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$24K	—	—	—	—	—	\$31K
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	\$675	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$704	\$703	\$1K	\$1K	—
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Managed Internal Broadband Services	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Enemy Swim Day School	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$27K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Enemy Swim Day School	Waubay	90%	166	166	— Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Muth Electric, Inc.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Interstate Telecommunications Cooperative, Inc.	\$675	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$2K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$2K
Ultra, Inc. Of Watertown	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$31K
Dakota 2000, Inc.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
High Point Networks, Llc	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$24K	—	—	—	—	—	—
At&T Mobility	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$1K
Qwest Communications Corporation	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$380	\$620	\$781	—	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K
Verizon Wireless (Cellco Partnership)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K
Alltel Communications Llc, By Management Trust	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$3K
Alltel Communications	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	–	\$16K	\$10K	–	\$6K	–	\$13K	\$11K	\$212	–	\$2K	\$3K	\$4K	\$3K	\$1K	\$3K
Average Discount Rate	–	60%	60%	60%	60%	70%	60%	65%	10%	30%	40%	68%	69%	66%	67%	67%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	–	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	–	5	2	1	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	2	–	–	–	2	100	100	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	2	–	–	–	2	100	75	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$212	–	\$2K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$3K	\$4K	\$3K	\$1K	\$3K
Internal Connections	–	\$13K	\$10K	–	\$6K	–	\$13K	\$11K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	\$3K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$139	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Estelline Elementary School	–	\$5K	\$4K	\$224	\$2K	\$183	\$7K	\$9K	\$93	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Estelline School District 28-2	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$702	\$4K	–

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Estelline Elementary School	Estelline	60%	131	43	–	Rural
Estelline School District 28-2	Estelline	10%	278	97	–	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Interstate Telecommunications Cooperative, Inc.	–	\$3K	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$189	–	\$1K	\$3K	\$3K	\$2K	\$1K	\$2K
Dakota Structured Cabling	–	–	\$7K	–	–	–	–	\$11K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Ultra, Inc. Of Watertown	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$13K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Twotrees Technologies	–	\$10K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Golden West Technologies	–	–	–	–	\$4K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Alltel Communications	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
At&T Mobility	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$23	–	\$410	\$697	\$1K	\$681	–	\$679
High Plains Technology	–	–	\$3K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Shi International Corpo.	–	\$3K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

*Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)*

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Hewlett Packard Company	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$409	\$479	\$479	–	–	\$434	\$420	\$455	\$510	\$787	\$374	\$1K	\$672	\$954	–	\$325
Average Discount Rate	60%	70%	70%	–	–	70%	70%	70%	40%	50%	60%	80%	80%	80%	60%	60%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	1	1	1	–	–	1	1	1	1	1	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	1	1	–	–	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	175	25	25	–	–	750	750	750	2	1,000	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	175	25	25	–	–	750	750	750	2	1,000	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$72	\$360	\$129	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K	\$336	\$618	–	\$74
Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	\$409	\$479	\$479	–	–	\$434	\$420	\$455	\$438	\$427	\$245	–	\$336	\$336	–	\$252
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Faith Public Library	\$1K	\$479	\$479	–	–	\$840	\$840	\$840	\$926	\$864

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Faith Public Library	Faith	60%	–	–	1,200	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
City Of Faith Telephone Company	\$409	\$479	\$479	–	–	\$434	\$420	\$455	\$510	\$787	\$374	\$1K	\$672	\$954	–	\$325
Golden West Tel. Coop. Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Golden West Technologies	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$439	\$439	\$505	\$571	-	\$590	-	\$177	-
Average Discount Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	60%	60%	40%	50%	-	60%	-	60%	-
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Service Providers	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	1	-	1	-	1	-
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	50	10	10	-	-	-	-	-	-
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	50	10	10	-	-	-	-	-	-

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$66	\$132	-	-	-	-	-
Telecomm Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$151	-	\$177	-
Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$439	\$439	\$439	\$439	-	\$439	-	-	-
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Managed Internal Broadband Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Faulk County Library	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$439	\$439	\$505

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Faulk County Library	Faulkton	60%	-	-	0 Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Western Telephone Company	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$590	-	\$177	-
Venture Communications Cooperative	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$439	\$439	\$505	\$571	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	–	\$29K	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$14K	\$910	\$1K	\$2K	\$1K	\$3K	\$2K	\$454
Average Discount Rate	–	50%	–	–	–	–	–	–	60%	20%	40%	75%	62%	62%	76%	62%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	–	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	–	1	–	–	–	–	–	–	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	50	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	50	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$910	\$1K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	\$1K	\$3K	\$2K	–
Internal Connections	–	\$29K	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$14K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$505	–	–	–	\$454
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Thunderbird Colony School	–	\$4K	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	\$886	\$448	–	–	–	–	–	–

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Thunderbird Colony School	Faulkton	50%	32	0	– Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Marco Technologies, Llc	–	\$29K	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$14K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Western Telephone Company	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K	\$3K	\$2K	\$454
Venture Communications Cooperative	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$910	\$1K	\$2K	–	–	–	–
South Dakota Dept. Of Education & Cultural Affairs	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	–	\$52K	–	–	–	\$13K	–	\$13K	\$23K	\$11K	\$3K	\$3K	\$8K	\$8K	\$9K	\$11K
Average Discount Rate	–	70%	–	–	–	75%	–	70%	30%	43%	57%	67%	70%	77%	77%	75%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	–	1	–	–	–	1	–	2	3	3	3	3	4	3	4	5
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	2	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	2	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$885	\$2K	\$3K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	\$7K	\$7K	\$8K	\$8K
Internal Connections	–	\$52K	–	–	–	\$13K	–	\$13K	\$22K	\$9K	–	–	–	–	–	\$3K
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Flandreau Elementary School	–	\$17K	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$4K	\$187	\$7K	\$11K	\$5K
Flandreau High School	\$3K	\$20K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$9K	\$5K	\$12K	\$16K	\$6K
Flandreau Middle School	–	\$17K	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$4K	\$187	\$7K	\$11K	\$5K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Flandreau Elementary School	Flandreau	70%	298	165	–	Rural
Flandreau High School	Flandreau	70%	210	89	–	Rural
Flandreau Middle School	Flandreau	70%	212	96	–	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Riverside Technologies, Inc.	–	\$52K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Golden West Technologies	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$22K	\$9K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Clarity Telecom, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$5K
Clarity Telecom, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$715	\$1K	\$2K	–	\$5K	\$4K	\$5K	–
Verizon Wireless Fka Cellco Partnership	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$171	\$342	\$893	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K
Connecting Point Computer	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$13K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Ultra, Inc. Of Watertown	–	–	–	–	–	\$13K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

*Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)*

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Qwest Communications Corporation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Midwest Internet Consulting Group, Inc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	-
U S West	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$777	-	-	-	-	-	-
Average Discount Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	55%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Service Providers	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15	-	-	-	-	-	-
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$189	-	-	-	-	-	-
Telecomm Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$587	-	-	-	-	-	-
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Managed Internal Broadband Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Freeman Public Library	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$672

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Freeman Public Library	Freeman	40%	-	-	4,925	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Golden West Telecommunications Cooperative, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$777	-	-	-	-	-	-
3 Guys Computing DBA Business Computer Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$800	\$49K	\$21K	\$10K	\$16K	\$12K	\$582	\$914	\$2K	\$28K	\$6K	\$8K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K
Average Discount Rate	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	65%	60%	60%	40%	57%	60%	81%	65%	65%	65%	65%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	—	—	—	—	—	—
Service Providers	2	3	4	3	3	3	2	2	3	4	3	3	2	2	2	2
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	1,000	1,000	625	175	175	75	75	35	35	25	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	1,000	1,000	625	175	175	75	75	13	13	13	—	—	—	—	—	—

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$690	\$3K	\$4K	—	—	—	—	—
Telecomm Services	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$1K	\$6K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K
Internal Connections	—	\$47K	\$4K	\$8K	\$15K	\$10K	—	—	—	\$25K	—	—	—	—	—	—
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	\$800	\$2K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$582	\$914	\$1K	\$1K	—	\$1K	—	—	—	—
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	—	\$64	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Managed Internal Broadband Services	—	—	\$16K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Freeman Elementary School	\$1K	\$10K	\$6K	\$2K	\$3K	\$2K	\$187	\$88	\$366	\$12K						
Freeman High School	\$1K	\$11K	\$10K	\$6K	\$7K	\$7K	\$4K	\$7K	\$7K	\$12K						
Freeman Jr. High	\$1K	\$11K	\$5K	\$6K	\$7K	\$7K	\$4K	\$7K	\$7K	\$6K						
Tschetter Colony Elem School	\$966	\$11K	\$7K	\$2K	\$4K	\$3K	\$526	\$426	\$471	\$6K						
Wolf Creek Colony Elem School	\$873	\$11K	\$2K	\$3K	\$4K	\$3K	\$907	\$9K	\$907	\$7K						

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Freeman Elementary School	Freeman	70%	200	61	—	Rural
Freeman High School	Freeman	70%	100	28	—	Rural
Freeman Jr. High	Freeman	70%	53	16	—	Rural
Tschetter Colony Elem School	Olivet	70%	55	55	—	Rural
Wolf Creek Colony Elem School	Olivet	70%	34	34	—	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Golden West Telecommunications Cooperative, Inc.	\$800	\$873	\$873	\$873	\$887	\$921	\$244	\$576	\$1K	\$3K	\$4K	\$6K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K
Riverside Technologies, Inc.	—	\$47K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Ultra, Inc. Of Watertown	-	-	\$4K	-	\$15K	\$10K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Golden West Technologies	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$25K	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wyebot, Inc.	-	-	\$16K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dexon Computer, Inc	-	-	-	\$8K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
At&T Mobility	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$492	\$736	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K
Santel Communications Cooperative	-	\$676	\$546	\$504	\$504	\$504	\$338	\$338	\$378	\$432	\$306	-	-	-	-	-
Alltel Communications	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Alltel Communications Llc, By Management Trust	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	–	\$57K	\$8K	\$8K	\$8K	\$12K	\$8K	\$8K	\$16K	\$31K	\$944	\$5K	\$7K	\$13K	\$13K	\$19K
Average Discount Rate	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	46%	59%	57%	70%	80%	80%	70%	70%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	2	3	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	3	2	3	2	3	3	2
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	1,000	1,000	1,000	550	550	550	550	51	370	550	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	30	840	1,000	550	550	550	550	6	370	550	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$678	\$2K	\$944	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$5K	\$7K	\$13K	\$12K	\$12K
Internal Connections	–	\$43K	–	–	–	\$5K	–	–	–	\$23K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	\$13K	\$8K	\$8K	\$8K	\$7K	\$8K	\$8K	\$15K	\$6K	–	–	–	–	\$1K	\$7K
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Wi-Fi Hotspots Services and Equipment	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Gayville-Volin Elementary School	\$2K	\$21K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$13K	\$4K	\$4K	\$7K	\$16K
Gayville-Volin High School	\$2K	\$21K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$10K	\$4K	\$4K	\$7K	\$16K
Gayville-Volin Middle School	\$2K	\$21K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$8K	\$4K	\$4K	\$7K	\$16K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Gayville-Volin Elementary School	Gayville	70%	122	52	–	Rural
Gayville-Volin High School	Gayville	70%	103	35	–	Rural
Gayville-Volin Middle School	Gayville	70%	65	30	–	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Clarity Telecom, Llc	–	\$8K	\$8K	\$8K	\$8K	\$7K	\$8K	\$8K	\$16K	\$8K	\$844	\$4K	\$6K	\$11K	\$11K	\$18K
Twotrees Technologies	–	\$43K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Marco Technologies, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$23K	–	–	–	–	–	–
At&Amp;T Mobility	–	\$5K	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$87	\$233	\$100	\$1K	\$2K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qtmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
City Of Brookings Telephone Fund	-	-	-	-	-	\$5K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Alltel Communications	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
South Dakota Network, Llc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$2K	-
Gateway Companies Inc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Connecting Point Computer Center Db Ultra, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cdw-G	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$576	\$576	\$710	\$844	-	-	-	-	-	-
Average Discount Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	80%	80%	50%	60%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Service Providers	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	22	25	26	15	-	-	-	-	-	-
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	14	3	3	1	-	-	-	-	-	-

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$134	\$269	-	-	-	-	-	-
Telecomm Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$576	\$576	\$576	\$576	-	-	-	-	-	-
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Managed Internal Broadband Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	
Gregory Public Library	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$576	\$576	\$710	\$844

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Gregory Public Library	Gregory	80%	-	-	2,500	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Golden West Telecommunications Cooperative, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$576	\$576	\$710	\$844	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	-	\$17K	-	-	\$20K	\$28K	-	-	\$242	\$15K	-	-	-	-	-	-
Average Discount Rate	-	60%	60%	-	70%	70%	-	-	10%	50%	50%	-	-	-	-	-
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	-
Service Providers	-	2	1	-	1	1	-	-	1	2	1	-	-	-	-	-
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$242	\$2K	-	-	-	-	-	-
Telecomm Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Internal Connections	-	\$17K	-	-	\$20K	\$28K	-	-	-	\$13K	-	-	-	-	-	-
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Managed Internal Broadband Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Hamlin Elementary School	\$7K	\$13K	\$11K	\$8K	\$9K	\$21K	\$8K	\$5K	\$5K	\$8K	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hamlin High School	\$7K	\$13K	\$11K	\$8K	\$18K	\$21K	\$8K	\$5K	\$5K	\$8K	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hamlin Middle School	\$7K	\$13K	\$11K	\$8K	\$18K	\$12K	\$8K	\$5K	\$5K	\$8K	-	-	-	-	-	-

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Hamlin Elementary School	Hayiti	60%	489	139	-	Rural
Hamlin High School	Hayti	60%	228	53	-	Rural
Hamlin Middle School	Hayti	60%	188	46	-	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Shi International Corpo.	-	-	-	-	\$20K	\$28K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ultra, Inc. Of Watertown	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$13K	-	-	-	-	-	-
Interstate Telecommunications Cooperative, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$242	\$2K	-	-	-	-	-	-
Riverside Technologies, Inc.	-	\$17K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
South Dakota Dept. Of Education & Cultural Affairs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qtmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	–	\$182K	\$145K	\$19K	\$68K	\$102K	\$31K	\$31K	\$31K	\$212K	\$90K	\$23K	\$20K	\$7K	\$8K	\$8K
Average Discount Rate	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	26%	36%	42%	42%	42%	43%	43%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	11	11	11	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	4	6	4	1	3	4	1	1	1	3	3	2	3	3	4	3
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	1,375	929	1,375	917	917	517	400	744	744	744	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	1,375	929	1,375	917	917	517	400	744	744	744	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	\$4K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$6K	\$6K	\$7K	\$8K	\$8K
Internal Connections	–	\$103K	\$117K	–	\$50K	\$60K	–	–	–	\$183K	\$67K	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	\$79K	\$29K	\$19K	\$18K	\$42K	\$31K	\$31K	\$31K	\$27K	\$20K	\$17K	\$15K	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Wireless School Bus Services and Equipment	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Endeavor Elementary	\$18K	\$11K	\$2K	\$2K	\$8K	\$8K	\$8K	\$6K	\$6K	\$31K
Explorer Elementary	\$19K	\$13K	\$3K	\$6K	\$9K	\$5K	\$5K	\$4K	\$4K	\$28K
Freedom Elementary	\$16K	\$9K	\$198	\$224	\$6K	\$183	\$187	\$1K	\$1K	\$26K
Harrisburg East Middle School	\$18K	\$12K	\$57K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Harrisburg Heritage Elementary	\$16K	\$9K	\$198	\$224	\$6K	\$183	\$187	\$1K	\$1K	\$26K
Harrisburg High School	\$18K	\$11K	\$198	\$224	\$6K	\$66K	\$187	\$1K	\$1K	\$27K
Harrisburg High School Freshman Academy	–	–	\$59K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Harrisburg North Middle School	\$18K	\$11K	\$2K	\$2K	\$8K	\$183	\$187	\$1K	\$1K	\$26K
Harrisburg South Middle School	\$41K	\$57K	\$26K	\$13K	\$19K	\$30K	\$18K	\$6K	\$6K	\$12K
Horizon Elementary	\$19K	\$13K	\$3K	\$4K	\$9K	\$5K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$14K
Journey Elementary	\$19K	\$13K	\$3K	\$4K	\$9K	\$5K	\$5K	\$4K	\$4K	\$30K
Liberty Elementary	\$18K	\$34K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Endeavor Elementary	Sioux Falls	60%	295	30	–	Urban
Explorer Elementary	Sioux Falls	60%	319	117	–	Urban
Freedom Elementary	Harrisburg	60%	425	117	–	Rural

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdump-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Recipient Demographics (latest profile) (continued)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Harrisburg East Middle School	Sioux Falls	60%	453	104	–	Rural
Harrisburg Heritage Elementary	Harrisburg	60%	16	16	–	Rural
Harrisburg High School	Harrisburg	60%	1,902	350	–	Rural
Harrisburg High School Freshman Academy	Sioux Falls	50%	0	0	–	Urban
Harrisburg North Middle School	Sioux Falls	60%	554	86	–	Urban
Harrisburg South Middle School	Harrisburg	60%	522	110	–	Rural
Horizon Elementary	Sioux Falls	60%	529	176	–	Urban
Journey Elementary	Sioux Falls	60%	350	62	–	Urban
Liberty Elementary	Harrisburg	60%	566	90	–	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Riverside Technologies, Inc.	–	\$33K	\$57K	–	\$22K	–	–	–	–	\$183K	\$67K	–	–	–	–	–
Midcontinent Communications	–	\$26K	\$29K	\$19K	\$18K	\$42K	\$31K	\$31K	\$31K	\$29K	\$23K	\$22K	\$20K	\$4K	\$3K	–
Twotrees Technologies	–	\$62K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
U S West Communications, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$159	\$439	\$627	\$614	\$3K	\$4K	\$8K
Ultra, Inc. Of Watertown	–	–	\$49K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Builders Electric	–	\$46K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Marco Technologies, Llc	–	–	\$11K	–	–	\$28K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
High Plains Technology	–	–	–	–	\$29K	\$11K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Integrated Technology And Security	–	\$9K	–	–	–	\$21K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Bytespeed, Llc	–	\$7K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qtmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$648	\$580	-	-	-	-	-	-
Average Discount Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	60%	60%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Service Providers	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	3	-	-	-	-	-	-
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100	30	-	-	-	-	-	-
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	5	-	-	-	-	-	-

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Telecomm Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$648	\$580	-	-	-	-	-	-
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Managed Internal Broadband Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Hill City Public Library	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$727	\$1K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Hill City Public Library	Hill City	60%	-	-	1,700	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Golden West Telecommunications Cooperative, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$648	\$580	-	-	-	-	-	-
Golden West Technologies	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Jms Inc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$13K	–	–	–	\$27K	–	–	–	–	\$2K	\$4K	\$4K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$1K
Average Discount Rate	60%	–	–	60%	60%	60%	60%	–	70%	43%	57%	62%	65%	57%	67%	70%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	5	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	1	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	–	–	1	1	1	1	–	2	3	3	2	2	2	2	2
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	56	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	56	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K	\$3K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$4K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$1K
Internal Connections	\$13K	–	–	–	\$27K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$873	\$874	–	–	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Glendale Colony Elementary Sch	\$3K	–	\$198	\$3K	\$6K	\$561	\$187	\$6K	–	\$758
Hitchcock-Tulare Elementary School	\$20K	\$17K	\$20K	\$23K	\$26K	\$22K	\$22K	\$6K	\$14K	–
Hitchcock-Tulare High School	\$12K	\$9K	\$10K	\$13K	\$16K	\$11K	\$11K	\$6K	\$7K	–
Hitchcock-Tulare Junior High	\$12K	\$9K	\$10K	\$13K	\$16K	\$11K	\$11K	\$6K	\$7K	–
Spink Colony Elementary School	\$3K	–	\$198	\$3K	\$6K	\$183	\$187	\$6K	–	–

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Glendale Colony Elementary Sch	Frankfort	60%	22	22	–	Rural
Hitchcock-Tulare Elementary School	Hitchcock	60%	115	19	–	Rural
Hitchcock-Tulare High School	Tulare	60%	58	10	–	Rural
Hitchcock-Tulare Junior High	Tulare	60%	19	0	–	Rural
Spink Colony Elementary School	Frankfort	60%	21	21	–	Rural

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Riverside Technologies, Inc.	\$13K	-	-	-	\$27K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Venture Communications Cooperative	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$829	\$2K	\$3K	\$1K	\$915	\$973	\$1K
South Dakota Dept. Of Education & Cultural Affairs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
U S West Communications, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$342	\$530	\$744	\$702	\$616	\$716	-
Northern Wireless Communications	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$873	\$874	-	-	-	-	-
Mci Communications Corporation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Northern Valley Communications	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Basec.Net, Llc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
High Plains Technology	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$20K	-	-	-	-	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K
Average Discount Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	70%	-	-	-	50%	77%	60%	82%	76%	78%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	1	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	-
Service Providers	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	1	1	1	1	1
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Telecomm Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$3K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K
Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$20K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$366	\$658	\$585	\$585
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Managed Internal Broadband Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Hoven Elementary School	\$18K	\$17K	\$20K	\$20K	\$21K	\$22K	\$29K	\$10K	\$10K	\$5K
Hoven High School	-	-	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$7K	\$88	\$93	\$247
Hoven Junior High School	-	-	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$7K	\$88	\$93	\$247

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Hoven Elementary School	Hoven	60%	75	20	-	Rural
Hoven High School	Hoven	60%	23	7	-	Rural
Hoven Junior High School	Hoven	60%	14	3	-	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Venture Communications Cooperative	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K
Marco Technologies, Llc	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$20K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mci Communications Corporation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Verizon Wireless Fka Cellco Partnership	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Golden West Technologies	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
South Dakota Dept. Of Education & Cultural Affairs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qtmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	-	-	-	-	-	\$38K	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$4K
Average Discount Rate	-	50%	-	-	-	60%	-	-	-	-	-	59%	63%	64%	65%	68%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	-	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Service Providers	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	3	2	2	1
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Telecomm Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$4K
Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	\$38K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Managed Internal Broadband Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Shannon Colony School	-	\$12K	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	\$93	\$247

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Shannon Colony School	Winfred	50%	29	0	- Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Alliance Communications Cooperative, Inc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K
High Plains Technology	-	-	-	-	-	\$38K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Verizon Wireless (Cellco Partnership)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	-
South Dakota Network, Llc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ultra, Inc. Of Watertown	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
South Dakota Dept. Of Education & Cultural Affairs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Riverside Technologies, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$696	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Average Discount Rate	80%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Service Providers	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Telecomm Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	\$696	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Managed Internal Broadband Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Huron Public Library	\$1K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Huron Public Library	Huron	80%	-	-	28,000	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
U S West Communications, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Midcontinent Communications	\$696	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cisco Systems, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$87K	\$58K	\$94K	\$87K	\$137K	\$101K	\$66K	\$19K	\$13K	\$18K	\$24K	\$24K	\$20K	\$26K	\$28K	\$22K
Average Discount Rate	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	50%	63%	71%	74%	76%	75%	74%	67%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	14	11	12	12	11	11	11	11	11	11	—	—	—	—	—	—
Service Providers	4	3	4	3	4	3	2	2	5	6	6	7	8	8	7	7
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	814	814	691	706	353	397	669	33	13	115	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	814	814	679	691	297	391	653	28	8	115	—	—	—	—	—	—

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$3K	\$5K	\$8K	—	—	—	—	—
Telecomm Services	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$15K	\$20K	\$19K	\$22K	\$24K	\$19K
Internal Connections	\$87K	—	\$16K	\$10K	\$71K	\$35K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	—	\$58K	\$78K	\$77K	\$66K	\$66K	\$66K	\$19K	\$11K	\$13K	\$1K	\$4K	\$1K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Managed Internal Broadband Services	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Administrative Office	\$8K	\$8K	\$12K	\$12K	\$12K	\$12K	\$12K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K
Arena	\$2K	\$2K	\$198	\$224	\$269	\$213	\$187	\$88	\$335	\$2K
Buchanan Elementary School	\$16K	\$8K	\$13K	\$11K	\$13K	\$11K	\$11K	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K
Bus Barn	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K	\$944	\$989	\$933	\$907	\$2K	\$3K	\$3K
Huron Colony Elementary School	\$9K	\$1K	\$3K	\$224	\$2K	\$213	\$187	\$88	\$335	\$797
Huron High School	\$21K	\$13K	\$20K	\$19K	\$51K	\$55K	\$19K	\$16K	\$8K	\$9K
Huron Middle School	\$8K	—	\$2K	\$224	\$31K	\$213	\$187	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K
Huron Our Home Asap	\$8K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Huron Virtual High School	\$8K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Madison 2-3 Center	\$16K	\$8K	\$13K	\$11K	\$13K	\$11K	\$11K	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K
Mckinley Learning Center	\$16K	\$8K	\$13K	\$21K	—	—	—	—	—	—
Our Home Rediscovery Alt Sch	\$15K	\$7K	\$9K	\$9K	\$10K	\$8K	\$9K	\$9K	\$335	\$2K
Riverside Colony Elem School	\$9K	\$1K	\$2K	\$224	\$2K	\$213	\$187	\$88	\$1K	\$1K
Washington 4-5 Center	\$16K	\$8K	\$13K	\$11K	\$13K	\$11K	\$11K	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Administrative Office	Huron	80%	—	—	—	—
Arena	Huron	80%	—	—	—	—
Buchanan Elementary School	Huron	80%	476	323	—	Rural
Bus Barn	Huron	80%	—	—	—	—

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Recipient Demographics (latest profile) (continued)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Huron Colony Elementary School	Huron	80%	18	0	–	Rural
Huron High School	Huron	80%	885	516	–	Rural
Huron Middle School	Huron	80%	728	502	–	Rural
Huron Our Home Asap	Huron	80%	16	0	–	Rural
Huron Virtual High School	Huron	80%	0	0	–	Rural
Madison 2-3 Center	Huron	80%	440	323	–	Rural
Mckinley Learning Center	Huron	80%	0	0	–	Rural
Our Home Rediscovery Alt Sch	Huron	80%	6	0	–	Rural
Riverside Colony Elem School	Huron	80%	19	0	–	Rural
Washington 4-5 Center	Huron	80%	454	335	–	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Midcontinent Communications, Inc.	–	\$49K	\$70K	\$68K	\$57K	\$57K	\$57K	\$10K	\$10K	\$12K	\$9K	\$9K	\$6K	\$8K	\$8K	\$8K
Riverside Technologies, Inc.	\$87K	–	\$16K	\$10K	\$11K	\$35K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
U S West Communications, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	\$4K	\$6K	\$8K	\$8K	\$8K	\$9K	\$7K
South Dakota Network, Llc	–	\$7K	\$7K	\$9K	\$9K	\$9K	\$9K	\$9K	–	\$1K	\$7K	–	–	\$783	–	–
Marco Technologies, Llc	–	–	–	–	\$61K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
At&T Mobility	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$286	\$641	\$734	\$3K	\$4K	\$5K	\$7K	\$4K
Alltel Communications	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Midwest Internet Consulting Group, Inc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$3K	–	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K
Santel Communications Cooperative, Incorporated	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$373	\$672	\$722	\$442	\$518	\$507	\$572	\$410
Qwest Communications Corporation	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$215	\$178	\$622	\$757	\$782	\$682	\$832	\$753

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	-	\$65K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$16K	-	-	-	-	-
Average Discount Rate	-	70%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	80%	80%	-	-	-	-	-
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	-	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Service Providers	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	2	-	-	-	-	-
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Telecomm Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Internal Connections	-	\$65K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$16K	-	-	-	-	-
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Managed Internal Broadband Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Pearl Creek Colony Elem School	-	\$16K	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	\$93	\$247

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Pearl Creek Colony Elem School	Iroquois	70%	11	0	- Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Riverside Technologies, Inc.	-	\$65K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$16K	-	-	-	-	-
Marco Technologies, Llc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Verizon Wireless Fka Cellco Partnership	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mci Communications Corporation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
South Dakota Network, Llc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Qwest Corporation Fka Us West Communications	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
South Dakota Dept. Of Education & Cultural Affairs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$3K	\$9K	–	–	\$5K	\$4K	–	\$6K	\$11K	\$13K	\$4K	\$6K	\$6K	\$5K	–	–
Average Discount Rate	80%	70%	–	–	70%	70%	–	70%	50%	60%	57%	73%	71%	76%	–	–
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	2	1	–	–	1	2	–	1	3	3	2	4	2	2	–	–
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	15	15	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	1	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$972	\$2K	\$3K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$6K	\$6K	\$5K	–	–
Internal Connections	\$3K	\$9K	–	–	\$5K	\$4K	–	\$6K	\$8K	\$9K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	–	–	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Jones County (Murdo) Elementary School	\$15K	\$3K	\$198	\$224	\$2K	\$2K	\$187	\$6K	\$453	\$4K
Jones County High School	\$23K	\$12K	\$10K	\$10K	\$12K	\$12K	\$11K	\$6K	\$18K	\$10K
Jones County Ms.	\$15K	\$3K	\$198	\$224	\$2K	\$2K	\$187	\$6K	\$5K	\$4K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Jones County (Murdo) Elementary School	Murdo	80%	95	46	–	Rural
Jones County High School	Murdo	80%	53	23	–	Rural
Jones County Ms.	Murdo	80%	40	25	–	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Golden West Telecommunications Cooperative, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	\$3K	\$4K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	–	–
Golden West Technologies	\$379	\$9K	–	–	–	–	–	\$6K	–	\$9K	–	–	–	–	–	–
High Plains Technology	–	–	–	–	\$5K	\$4K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Commtech Inc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$8K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Verizon Business Global Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$123	\$431	\$529	\$948	\$996	\$805	–	–
Twotrees Technologies	\$2K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdump-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Verizon Wireless (Cellco Partnership)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cms Communications, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gaggle	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	–	\$1K	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	–	\$1K	\$4K	\$884	\$1K	–	–	–	–	\$411	\$354
Average Discount Rate	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	69%	60%	70%	90%	–	–	90%	90%	80%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	–	–	1	1	1
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	1,000	5,000	50	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	26	1,544	2	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	1,000	5,000	50	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	3	1,544	2	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$6	\$194	\$323	–	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$411	\$354
Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$3K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	\$1K	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	–	\$1K	\$1K	\$691	\$691	–	–	–	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	
Lake Andes Public Library	\$917	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$1K	\$5K	\$884	\$1K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Lake Andes Public Library	Lake Andes	90%	–	–	2,700	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Fort Randall Telephone Company	–	\$1K	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	–	\$1K	\$4K	\$884	\$1K	–	–	–	–	\$411	\$354
Mt. Rushmore Tel. Co.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Charles Mix Electric Association, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$67K	–	–	–	–	\$57K	\$27K	–	–	–	\$4K	\$12K	\$13K	\$14K	\$10K	\$10K
Average Discount Rate	60%	–	–	–	–	70%	70%	–	–	–	57%	77%	75%	75%	76%	70%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	–	–	–	–	2	2	–	–	–	2	2	2	2	3	2
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$4K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$12K	\$13K	\$14K	\$8K	\$8K
Internal Connections	\$67K	–	–	–	–	\$57K	\$27K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	\$2K
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Lead-Deadwood Elementary School	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$23K	\$12K	\$2K	\$3K	\$3K
Lead-Deadwood Middle School	–	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$19K	\$5K	\$88	\$93	\$247

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Lead-Deadwood Elementary School	Deadwood	70%	248	74	–	Rural
Lead-Deadwood Middle School	Lead	70%	143	46	–	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Riverside Technologies, Inc.	\$67K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Clarity Telecom, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$3K	\$8K	\$8K	\$8K	\$8K	\$5K
Marco Technologies, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	\$51K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Golden West Technologies	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$27K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Verizon Wireless (Cellco Partnership)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	\$4K	\$5K	\$6K	\$2K	–
Alltel Communications	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Commtech Inc	–	–	–	–	–	\$6K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
At&T Mobility	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$5K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qtmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Alltel Communications Llc, By Management Trust	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
South Dakota Network, Llc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$28K	\$25K	\$37K	–	\$14K	\$15K	\$25K	–	–	\$2K	\$20K	\$9K	\$9K	\$10K	\$11K	\$14K
Average Discount Rate	50%	50%	50%	–	50%	50%	50%	–	–	23%	40%	46%	44%	50%	47%	46%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	1	2	–	2	3	2	–	–	3	3	3	3	2	2	2
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	\$6K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$9K	\$9K	\$10K	\$11K	\$13K
Internal Connections	\$28K	\$25K	\$37K	–	\$14K	\$15K	\$24K	–	–	–	\$14K	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$42	\$248
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	\$438	–	–	–	–	\$1K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Lennox Elementary School	\$6K	\$5K	\$8K	\$224	\$3K	\$10K	\$18K	\$88	\$93	\$584
Lennox High School	\$8K	\$7K	\$11K	\$4K	\$7K	\$7K	\$13K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K
Lennox Intermediate School	\$6K	\$5K	\$8K	\$224	\$3K	\$3K	\$187	\$88	\$93	\$584
Lennox Junior High	\$8K	\$7K	\$11K	\$4K	\$7K	\$4K	\$4K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K
Worthing Elementary School	\$8K	\$8K	\$11K	\$4K	\$7K	\$5K	\$5K	\$3K	\$3K	\$2K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Lennox Elementary School	Lennox	50%	379	86	–	Rural
Lennox High School	Lennox	50%	357	56	–	Rural
Lennox Intermediate School	Lennox	50%	175	29	–	Rural
Lennox Junior High	Lennox	50%	183	24	–	Rural
Worthing Elementary School	Worthing	50%	98	21	–	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Clarity Telecom, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	\$6K	\$8K	\$8K	\$10K	\$10K	\$13K
It Outlet Inc.	\$28K	\$25K	\$24K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Sterling Computers Corporation	–	–	\$12K	–	\$7K	–	\$8K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
High Point Networks, Llc	–	–	–	–	\$7K	\$2K	\$17K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Sterling Computers Corporation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$14K	-	-	-	-	-
Ultra, Inc. Of Watertown	-	-	-	-	-	\$8K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
High Plains Technology	-	-	-	-	-	\$6K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Verizon Wireless (Cellco Partnership)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$56	\$182	\$531	\$433	\$490	\$452	\$567
Alltel Communications	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
South Dakota Network, Llc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$19K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$4K	\$17K	\$3K	–
Average Discount Rate	70%	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	80%	70%	84%	87%	84%	79%	–
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	2	–	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	2	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	2	3	3	5	4	–
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$4K	\$5K	\$3K	–
Internal Connections	\$19K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$12K	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$209	\$477	\$247	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Grassland Colony School	\$3K	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	–	\$374
Long Lake Colony School	\$3K	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	\$93	\$247

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Grassland Colony School	Westport	60%	13	0	–	Rural
Long Lake Colony School	Westport	60%	23	21	–	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Valley Telecommunications Cooperative. Assn., Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$4K	\$4K	\$2K	–
Twotrees Technologies	\$19K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Golden West Technologies	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$12K	–	–
Dickey Rural Telephone Cooperative	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$926	\$606	–
At&T Mobility	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Mci Communications Corporation	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
South Dakota Network, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Hewlett Packard Company	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
South Dakota Dept. Of Education & Cultural Affairs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Computer Specialists, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$792	\$863	\$863	\$863	\$863	\$2K	\$837	\$2K	\$3K	\$5K	\$23K	\$14K	\$14K	–	\$19K	\$4K
Average Discount Rate	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	88%	90%	63%	60%	70%	79%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	3	2	2	3	2	2
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	500	500	500	500	500	500	100	100	100	10	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	1,000	1,000	500	500	500	500	100	100	100	10	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$408	\$1K	\$2K	\$11K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$14K	\$14K	–	\$6K	\$4K
Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K	–	–	–	–	\$12K	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	\$792	\$863	\$863	\$863	\$863	\$863	\$837	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K	–	–	–	–	\$5K	\$425
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$9K	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Little Eagle Grant School	\$863	\$863	\$863	\$863	\$863	\$863	\$837	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K	–	–	–	–	\$5K	\$425

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Little Eagle Grant School	Little Eagle	90%	64	64	–	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
West River Telecommunications Cooperative	\$792	\$863	\$863	\$863	\$863	\$863	\$837	\$2K	\$3K	\$5K	\$11K	\$14K	\$14K	–	\$11K	\$4K
Dakota 2000, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Wind Circle Network, Inc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$12K	–	–	–	–	–
Ultra, Inc. Dba\Connecting Point Computer Center	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$9K	–
Gaggle.Net, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$425
Golden West Technologies	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Verizon Wireless (Cellco Partnership)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Edline Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Nextiraone Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

*Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)*

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Northern Nations Networking, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$69K	\$75K	\$75K	\$75K	\$73K	\$97K	\$55K	\$58K	\$68K	\$31K	\$78K	\$67K	\$46K	\$69K	\$59K	\$44K
Average Discount Rate	90%	90%	90%	90%	87%	88%	86%	88%	50%	60%	73%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	—	—	—	—	—	—
Service Providers	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	3	3	3	4	3	3	3	3
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	750	500	250	100	100	100	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	750	500	250	100	100	100	—	—	—	—	—	—

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$5K	\$5K	\$15K	—	—	—	—	—
Telecomm Services	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$22K	\$46K	\$22K	\$26K	\$27K
Internal Connections	—	—	—	—	\$41K	\$76K	\$15K	\$42K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	\$69K	\$75K	\$75K	\$75K	\$32K	\$22K	\$41K	\$16K	\$63K	\$26K	\$63K	\$45K	—	\$46K	\$33K	\$16K
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Managed Internal Broadband Services	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Little Wound Day School	\$75K	\$75K	\$75K	\$75K	\$73K	\$97K	\$55K	\$62K	\$71K	\$75K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Little Wound Day School	Kyle	90%	829	829	— Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Kt Connections Inc.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Golden West Technologies	—	—	—	—	\$73K	\$97K	\$55K	\$58K	\$63K	\$26K	\$63K	\$45K	\$27K	\$46K	\$33K	\$16K
Golden West Telecommunications Cooperative, Inc.	\$69K	\$75K	\$75K	\$75K	—	—	—	—	\$5K	\$3K	\$15K	\$22K	\$19K	\$22K	\$20K	\$22K
Alltel Communications	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
At&T Mobility	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$2K	\$289	—	—	—	\$6K	\$5K
Golden West Technologies, Inc.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
South Dakota Network, Llc	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Dell Marketing Lp	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$65K	\$11K	\$11K	\$11K	\$38K	\$32K	\$41K	\$21K	\$52K	\$68K	\$67K	\$75K	\$4K	–	\$48K	–
Average Discount Rate	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	86%	49%	59%	69%	81%	90%	25%	90%	90%	–
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	3	3	3	3	3	4	3	4	–
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	10,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	250	100	100	100	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	10,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	250	100	100	100	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K	\$5K	\$8K	\$11K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$30K	\$4K	–	\$35K	–
Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$8K	\$4K	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	\$65K	\$11K	\$11K	\$11K	\$38K	\$32K	\$41K	\$19K	\$47K	\$51K	\$53K	\$46K	–	–	\$13K	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$511	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Loneman Day School	\$71K	\$11K	\$11K	\$11K	\$38K	\$32K	\$41K	\$19K	\$47K	\$51K	\$53K	\$46K	–	–	\$13K	–

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Loneman Day School	Oglala	85%	256	256	–	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Kt Connections Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Golden West Technologies	–	–	–	–	\$38K	\$32K	\$41K	\$20K	\$47K	\$59K	\$55K	\$45K	–	–	–	–
Golden West Telecommunications Cooperative, Inc.	\$65K	\$11K	\$11K	\$11K	–	–	–	\$1K	\$5K	\$8K	\$12K	\$17K	\$4K	–	\$14K	–
Alltel Communications	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
At&T Mobility	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$14K	–	–	\$22K	–
Echalk Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Alltel Communications LLC, By Management Trust	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Golden West Technologies, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$13K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$4K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$70K	\$69K	\$30K	\$33K	\$82K	\$32K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Average Discount Rate	90%	90%	90%	90%	88%	89%	90%	62%	75%	70%	85%	–	–	–	–	–
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	1	2	1	2	2	1	3	3	2	1	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	2,500	2,500	2,500	1,000	1,000	367	100	100	17	17	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	2,500	2,500	2,500	1,000	1,000	367	100	100	17	17	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$516	\$2K	\$3K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	\$64K	\$9K	–	\$2K	\$41K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	\$4K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$6K	\$60K	\$30K	\$30K	\$40K	\$29K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Administration Building	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$5K	\$4K	\$16K	\$4K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Lower Brule Elementary School	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$2K	\$23K	\$49K	\$18K	\$14K	\$25K	\$10K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Lower Brule High School	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$2K	\$23K	\$8K	\$4K	\$2K	\$29K	\$11K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Lower Brule Jr. High School	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$2K	\$23K	\$8K	\$4K	\$276	\$25K	\$11K	–	–	–	–	–	–

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Administration Building	Lower Brule	90%	–	–	–	–
Lower Brule Elementary School	Lower Brule	90%	151	151	–	Rural
Lower Brule High School	Lower Brule	90%	103	103	–	Rural
Lower Brule Jr. High School	Lower Brule	90%	54	54	–	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Golden West Technologies	–	–	–	–	–	\$60K	\$30K	\$30K	\$29K	\$29K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Riverside Technologies, Inc.	–	–	–	–	\$64K	\$9K	–	\$2K	\$51K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Golden West Telecommunications Cooperative, Inc.	\$4K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$6K	–	–	\$516	\$2K	\$3K	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$106K	-	-	-	-	-	\$19K	\$24K	-	\$69K	\$6K	\$5K	\$945	-	-	\$2K
Average Discount Rate	60%	-	-	-	-	-	60%	60%	60%	40%	50%	59%	63%	63%	63%	63%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	3	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	-
Service Providers	3	-	-	-	-	-	1	2	1	5	4	3	4	2	2	2
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	0	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	0	1	-	-	-	-	-	-

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$809	\$698	-	-	-	-	-
Telecomm Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$5K	\$5K	\$945	-	-	\$2K
Internal Connections	\$106K	-	-	-	-	-	\$19K	\$24K	-	\$62K	-	-	-	-	-	-
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$6K	-	-	-	-	-	-
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Managed Internal Broadband Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Madison Elementary School	\$38K	\$2K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$19K	\$11K	\$4K	\$25K					
Madison High School	\$39K	\$4K	\$8K	\$8K	\$9K	\$9K	\$9K	\$12K	\$14K	\$8K	\$26K					
Madison Middle School	\$35K	-	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$7K	\$1K	\$23K						

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Madison Elementary School	Madison	60%	515	126	-	Rural
Madison High School	Madison	60%	385	63	-	Rural
Madison Middle School	Madison	60%	273	62	-	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Marco Technologies, Llc	\$106K	-	-	-	-	-	\$19K	\$24K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
High Point Networks, Llc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$62K	-	-	-	-	-	-
U S West	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$555	\$424	-	-	-	-	\$2K
Midcontinent Communications	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$6K	\$5K	\$5K	\$945	-	-	-
Alltel Communications	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Alltel Communications Llc, By Management Trust	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Verizon Wireless (Cellco Partnership)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$253	\$274	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

*Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)*

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
At&T Corp.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Verizon Business Global Llc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
South Dakota Network, Llc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$20K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$7K	\$6K	\$26K	–	–	\$9K	\$144K	–
Average Discount Rate	85%	–	85%	–	85%	–	–	–	44%	50%	74%	90%	–	90%	90%	–
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	1	–	–	–	1	–	1	1	1	1	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	–	1	–	1	–	–	–	4	3	4	4	–	4	5	–
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$4K	\$6K	\$8K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$9K	\$8K	–
Internal Connections	\$20K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$4K	–	\$18K	–	–	–	\$131K	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$5K	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Marty Indian School	\$20K	–	–	–	\$5K	–	\$48K	\$44K	\$55K	\$61K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Marty Indian School	Marty	85%	218	218	–	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Dakota 2000, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$131K	–
Fort Randall Telephone Company	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$3K	\$5K	\$7K	–	–	\$8K	\$7K	–
High Point Networks, Llc	\$20K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Riverside Technologies, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$18K	–	–	–	–	–
South Dakota Network, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$5K	–
Alltel Communications	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Connecting Point Computer Center Dba Ultra, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Echalk Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Einstein Enterprises	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$4K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
At&Amp;T Mobility	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$163	\$328	\$752	–	–	\$1K	\$928	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$4K	\$6K	\$8K	\$9K	\$17K	\$18K	\$28K	\$29K	\$33K
Average Discount Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	50%	45%	60%	75%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Service Providers	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	4	4	4	6	6	6	6	7
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	30	30	30	-	-	-	-	-	-
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	30	30	30	-	-	-	-	-	-

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$220	\$2K	\$4K	\$6K	-	-	-	-	-
Telecomm Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$14K	\$14K	\$15K	\$16K	\$13K
Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$10K
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$7K	\$7K	\$6K
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$5K	\$7K	\$4K
Managed Internal Broadband Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Mccrossan Boys Ranch School	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$5K	\$8K	\$10K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Mccrossan Boys Ranch School	Sioux Falls	90%	64	64	-	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Verizon Wireless Fka Cellco Partnership	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$220	\$2K	\$914	\$908	\$8K	\$9K	\$10K	\$10K	\$8K
Clarity Telecom, Llc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$6K
McLeod Usa Telecommunications Services, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Vp Telecom, Inc. Dba Orbitcom	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$4K
Networks Plus, Llc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$5K	\$6K	\$4K
South Dakota Network, Llc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	-	-	-
Firstel, Inc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Unitech, Inc. Dba United Technologies	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$1K	-
Electric Supply Company, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

*Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)*

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Windstream Communications, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	\$9K	\$11K	\$14K	\$19K	\$18K	\$16K	\$120K	\$30K
Average Discount Rate	85%	–	–	–	–	–	85%	10%	63%	68%	70%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	2	–	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	–	–	–	–	–	1	2	3	4	2	2	3	3	4	3
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	\$5K	\$6K	\$14K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$19K	\$18K	\$16K	\$16K	\$14K
Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$4K	\$6K	–	–	–	–	\$104K	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$15K
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Mclaughlin High School	\$5K	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$694	\$3K	\$8K
Mclaughlin Middle School	\$5K	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$694	\$3K	\$8K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Mclaughlin High School	Mc Laughlin	85%	156	150	–	Rural
Mclaughlin Middle School	Mclaughlin	85%	82	82	–	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Ultra, Inc. Dba\Connecting Point Computer Center	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$15K
West River Telecommunications Cooperative	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	\$4K	\$5K	\$14K	\$19K	\$18K	\$14K	\$14K	\$13K
Kt Connections Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$104K	–
Bytespeed, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$6K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Marco Technologies, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$4K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Verizon Wireless (Cellco Partnership)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$79	\$253	\$421	–	–	–	\$2K	–	\$1K
West River Long Distance Co.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

*Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)*

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
South Dakota Network, Llc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$2K	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$44K	\$39K	\$112K	\$42K	\$89K	\$62K	\$38K	\$50K	\$137K	\$46K	\$62K	\$32K	\$37K	\$39K	\$36K	\$37K
Average Discount Rate	60%	65%	60%	60%	60%	55%	60%	70%	41%	50%	59%	55%	55%	54%	55%	50%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	11	11	—	—	—	—	—	—
Service Providers	4	2	5	3	4	3	4	3	3	6	6	6	6	6	6	7
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	1,229	1,271	1,081	1,077	338	338	146	138	132	85	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	1,229	1,271	1,081	1,077	326	319	127	138	132	85	—	—	—	—	—	—

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$1K	\$5K	\$4K	—	—	—	—	—
Telecomm Services	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$25K	\$31K	\$36K	\$38K	\$34K	\$35K
Internal Connections	\$42K	—	\$71K	\$2K	\$48K	\$21K	\$6K	\$11K	\$102K	\$6K	\$29K	—	—	—	—	—
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	\$2K	\$39K	\$40K	\$40K	\$41K	\$41K	\$32K	\$39K	\$34K	\$30K	\$4K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$6K	—	—	—	—	—	—
Managed Internal Broadband Services	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Atall Elementary School	\$388	\$432	\$1K	\$627	\$887	\$2K	\$763	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K
Central Meade County School	\$676	\$360	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$2K	\$7K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K
Curriculum And Technology Office	\$13K	\$16K	\$20K	\$20K	\$21K	\$22K	\$17K	\$18K	\$14K	\$4K
Elm Springs Elem School	\$532	\$360	\$1K	\$771	\$887	\$2K	\$763	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K
Hereford Elementary School	\$388	\$432	\$1K	\$627	\$743	\$2K	\$619	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K
Opal Elementary School	\$388	\$432	\$1K	\$627	\$743	\$2K	\$619	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K
Piedmont Valley Elementary School	\$3K	\$6K	\$7K	\$7K	\$14K	\$7K	\$4K	\$8K	\$28K	\$8K
Stagebarn Middle School	\$3K	\$6K	\$6K	\$7K	\$14K	\$8K	\$4K	\$5K	—	—
Sturgis Brown High School	\$4K	\$10K	\$76K	\$8K	\$10K	\$9K	\$5K	\$9K	\$31K	\$10K
Sturgis Elementary	\$3K	\$6K	\$7K	\$7K	\$15K	\$7K	\$4K	\$8K	\$28K	\$8K
Sturgis Williams Middle School	\$3K	\$8K	\$7K	\$7K	\$17K	\$8K	\$4K	\$6K	\$37K	\$9K
Whitewood Elementary School	\$45K	\$5K	\$6K	\$5K	\$7K	\$5K	\$3K	\$6K	\$20K	\$7K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Atall Elementary School	Union Center	60%	12	0	— Rural
Central Meade County School	Union Center	60%	35	3	— Rural

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdump-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Recipient Demographics (latest profile) (continued)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Curriculum And Technology Office	Sturgis	60%	–	–	–	–
Elm Springs Elem School	Wasta	60%	9	3	–	Rural
Hereford Elementary School	Sturgis	60%	9	3	–	Rural
Opal Elementary School	Opal	60%	12	3	–	Rural
Piedmont Valley Elementary School	Piedmont	60%	491	105	–	Rural
Stagebarn Middle School	Summerset	60%	430	119	–	Rural
Sturgis Brown High School	Sturgis	60%	821	255	–	Rural
Sturgis Elementary	Sturgis	60%	523	215	–	Rural
Sturgis Williams Middle School	Sturgis	60%	489	197	–	Rural
Whitewood Elementary School	Whitewood	60%	127	55	–	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Midcontinent Communications	–	\$37K	\$37K	\$37K	\$38K	\$38K	\$30K	\$35K	\$31K	\$25K	\$25K	\$23K	\$21K	\$21K	\$354	\$1K
Clarity Telecom, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$3K	\$45	\$6K	\$11K	\$14K	\$27K	\$26K
Golden West Technologies	\$11K	–	–	–	–	\$21K	\$3K	\$11K	\$102K	\$12K	\$29K	–	–	–	–	–
Commtech Inc	\$30K	–	\$67K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Golden West Telecommunications Cooperative, Inc.	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K	\$2K	\$3K	\$3K	\$2K	\$4K	\$5K	\$6K	\$5K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K
U S West	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K	\$2K	\$706	\$2K	–	–	\$253
Riverside Technologies, Inc.	–	–	–	–	\$30K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Verizon Wireless Fka Cellco Partnership	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$273	\$669	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$6K	\$7K
Aercor Wireless, Inc.	–	–	\$5K	\$2K	\$17K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Alltel Communications	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	–	\$61K	–	\$1K	\$7K	\$1K	\$4K	\$3K	\$2K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K
Average Discount Rate	–	70%	–	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	56%	62%	65%	75%	78%	76%	78%	72%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	–	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	–	2	–	1	2	1	2	2	3	4	4	3	2	2	2	2
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	250	–	50	38	38	38	38	38	15	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	250	–	50	4	4	4	4	4	1	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K
Internal Connections	–	\$60K	–	–	\$5K	–	\$3K	\$2K	–	\$331	\$718	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	\$1K	–	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	–	–	\$648	\$648	\$576	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Jamesville Colony Elem School	–	\$12K	\$198	\$800	\$2K	\$816	\$1K	\$663	\$3K	\$2K						
Maxwell Colony Elem School	–	\$12K	\$198	\$800	\$2K	\$1K	\$2K	\$855	\$3K	\$2K						

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Jamesville Colony Elem School	Utica	70%	20	0	–	Rural
Maxwell Colony Elem School	Scotland	70%	43	43	–	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Riverside Technologies, Inc.	–	\$60K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$718	–	–	–	–	–
Golden West Telecommunications Cooperative, Inc.	–	\$1K	–	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K	\$4K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K
Golden West Technologies	–	–	–	–	\$5K	–	\$3K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Unidial Communications, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$621	\$724	\$720
Shi International Corpo.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Alltel Communications	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Verizon Wireless (Cellco Partnership)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Birch Communications, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$228	\$338	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qtmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
South Dakota Network, Llc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$331	-	-	-	-	-	-
Birch Telecom, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	–	–	\$49K	–	–	–	\$47K	–	\$15K	–	\$4K	\$6K	\$7K	\$4K	\$6K	\$6K
Average Discount Rate	–	–	60%	–	–	–	70%	–	67%	–	40%	64%	67%	64%	60%	64%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	–	–	3	3	2	2	2	1	1	1	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	–	–	1	–	–	–	1	–	1	–	2	2	2	3	2	2
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$4K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$6K	\$5K	\$4K	\$6K	\$6K
Internal Connections	–	–	\$49K	–	–	–	\$46K	–	\$15K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	\$38	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$652	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Blue Sky Colony	–	–	\$10K	\$133	–	–	–	–	–	–
Koch Elementary School	–	–	\$10K	\$224	\$239	\$3K	\$3K	\$2K	\$7K	\$3K
Milbank Elementary	–	–	\$10K	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$9K	–	–	–

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Blue Sky Colony	Milbank	60%	8	3	–	Rural
Koch Elementary School	Milbank	60%	0	0	–	Rural
Milbank Elementary	Milbank	60%	427	165	–	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Riverside Technologies, Inc.	–	–	\$49K	–	–	–	\$47K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Interstate Telecommunications Cooperative, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	\$4K	\$4K	\$2K	\$4K	\$4K
Vp Telecom, Inc. Dba Orbitcom	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Verizon Wireless (Cellco Partnership)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K
Golden West Technologies	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$15K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Ionex Dba Firstel/Advanced Communications	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Us-West Communications, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Rural Cellular Corporation Dba Cellular 2000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rc Technologies Corporation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
South Dakota Network, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	–	–	\$102K	\$236K	\$49K	\$13K	\$246K	\$6K	–	\$8K	\$15K	\$4K	\$15K	\$10K	\$5K	\$7K
Average Discount Rate	–	–	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	80%	–	50%	57%	25%	65%	65%	73%	73%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	3	3	7	7	7	7	7	7	4	4	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	–	–	2	2	3	3	2	1	–	4	3	3	3	3	2	2
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	63	5	4	2	–	–	2	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	12	2	2	2	–	–	2	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$3K	\$10K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$5K	\$4K	\$15K	\$10K	\$5K	\$7K
Internal Connections	–	–	\$102K	\$227K	\$39K	–	\$235K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	–	–	\$420	\$2K	\$5K	\$5K	–	–	\$5K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	\$8K	\$8K	\$8K	\$6K	\$6K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Abbott House Elementary School	–	–	\$198	\$26K	\$1K	\$1K	\$729	\$710	–	–
Abbott House High School	–	–	\$198	\$26K	\$1K	\$1K	\$729	\$710	–	–
L.B. Williams Elementary School	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K	\$29K	\$13K	\$5K	\$58K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K
Longfellow Elementary School	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K	\$29K	\$13K	\$5K	\$58K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K
Mitchell High School	\$6K	\$5K	\$112K	\$37K	\$21K	\$13K	\$66K	\$12K	\$7K	\$2K
Rockport Colony School	–	–	\$198	\$27K	\$2K	\$2K	\$729	\$710	–	–
Rosedale Colony School	–	–	\$198	\$26K	\$1K	\$1K	\$729	\$710	\$93	\$247

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Abbott House Elementary School	Mitchell	70%	13	0	–	Rural
Abbott House High School	Mitchell	70%	29	0	–	Rural
L.B. Williams Elementary School	Mitchell	70%	455	187	–	Rural
Longfellow Elementary School	Mitchell	70%	287	136	–	Rural
Mitchell High School	Mitchell	70%	832	303	–	Rural
Rockport Colony School	Alexandria	70%	9	0	–	Rural

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Recipient Demographics (latest profile) (continued)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Rosedale Colony School	Mitchell	70%	21	0	– Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Riverside Technologies, Inc.	–	–	–	\$235K	\$47K	\$8K	\$241K	\$6K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Muth Electric, Inc.	–	–	\$102K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Us West Communications, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Midcontinent Communications, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$3K	\$8K	\$4K	\$10K	\$5K	\$5K	\$7K
Sancom, Inc.	–	–	–	–	\$1K	\$5K	\$5K	–	–	\$5K	–	–	–	–	–	–
At&T Mobility	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$3K	–	\$5K	\$5K	–	–
Qwest Communications Corporation	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Santel Communications Cooperative	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$5K	–	–	–	–	–
Alltel Communications	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Cellco Partnership Db a Verizon Wireless	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$2K	\$62K	–	–	–	–	\$34K	–	\$4K	\$8K	\$13K	\$19K	\$20K	\$21K	\$20K	\$14K
Average Discount Rate	70%	70%	–	–	–	–	70%	–	30%	43%	50%	72%	75%	79%	75%	74%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	4	1	–	–	–	–	1	–	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	500	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	2	2	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	500	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	2	2	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	\$6K	\$13K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$19K	\$20K	\$21K	\$20K	\$14K
Internal Connections	–	\$62K	–	–	–	–	\$34K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	\$2K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	\$2K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Wireless School Bus Services and Equipment	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Freeman Davis Elementary Sch	\$4K	\$16K	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$9K	\$88	\$1K	\$2K
Mobridge-Pollock Bus Barn	\$672	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Mobridge-Pollock Tiger Stadium	\$672	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Freeman Davis Elementary Sch	Mobridge	70%	149	81	–	Rural
Mobridge-Pollock Bus Barn	Mobridge	70%	–	–	–	–
Mobridge-Pollock Tiger Stadium	Mobridge	70%	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
West River Telecommunications Cooperative	\$2K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$4K	\$8K	\$13K	\$19K	\$20K	\$21K	\$20K	\$14K
Marco Technologies, Llc	–	\$62K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Bytespeed, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	\$34K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Mobridge Telecommunications Company	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Verizon Wireless Fka Cellco Partnership	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
West River Long Distance Co.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Valley Telecommunications Cooperative Association, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$214
South Dakota Dept. Of Education & Cultural Affairs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ultra, Inc. Dba\Connecting Point Computer Center	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	-	-	-	\$11K	\$34K	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$450	\$1K	-	-	\$544
Average Discount Rate	-	-	60%	60%	60%	60%	-	-	-	-	50%	25%	70%	70%	70%	66%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	-
Service Providers	-	-	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	2	3	2	1	2	3
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Telecomm Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$450	\$1K	-	-	\$544
Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	\$15K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	\$18K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Managed Internal Broadband Services	-	-	-	\$11K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Mount Vernon High School	\$4K	\$4K	\$5K	\$9K	\$16K	\$15K	\$5K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K
Mount Vernon Middle School	\$4K	\$4K	\$5K	\$9K	\$16K	\$15K	\$5K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K
Mt Vernon Elementary School	\$4K	\$4K	\$5K	\$9K	\$16K	\$15K	\$5K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Mount Vernon High School	Mount Vernon	60%	120	49	-	Rural
Mount Vernon Middle School	Mount Vernon	60%	70	15	-	Rural
Mt Vernon Elementary School	Mount Vernon	60%	66	23	-	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Santel Communications Cooperative, Incorporated	-	-	-	\$11K	\$34K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Clarity Telecom, Llc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Verizon Wireless (Cellco Partnership)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$450	\$1K	-	-	-	-
Clarity Telecom, Llc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$544

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qtmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Mcleod Usa Telecommunications Services, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cdw-G	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
South Dakota Dept. Of Education & Cultural Affairs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Business & Education Institute	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Indexblue, Inc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$1K	\$2K	\$5K	\$7K	\$10K	\$15K	\$14K	\$55K	\$13K	\$12K
Average Discount Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	90%	37%	59%	63%	79%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Service Providers	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	2	4	2	3	3	3	3	2	3
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	50	50	50	50	-	-	-	-	-	-
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	10	10	50	-	-	-	-	-	-

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$1K	\$4K	\$6K	\$9K	-	-	-	-	-
Telecomm Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$15K	\$14K	\$15K	\$13K	\$12K
Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$38K	-	-
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	-	-	-	-	-
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$2K	-	-
Managed Internal Broadband Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	
New Beginnings Center School	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$2K	\$2K	\$8K	\$9K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
New Beginnings Center School	Aberdeen	90%	18	18	- Urban

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Midcontinent Communications	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$1K	\$2K	\$4K	\$6K	\$8K	\$11K	\$11K	\$11K	\$10K	\$9K
South Dakota Network, Llc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$40K	-	-
Verizon Wireless (Cellco Partnership)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$138	\$476	\$1K	\$2K	\$4K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K
Windstream Communications, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Vantek Communications, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
High Point Networks, Llc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Earthbend Llc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$10K	\$17K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$5K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Average Discount Rate	60%	60%	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	60%	–	–	–	–	–	–
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	1	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Internal Connections	\$10K	\$17K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$5K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
New Underwood Elem School	\$7K	\$7K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$6K	\$6K	\$8K
New Underwood High School	\$7K	\$7K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$6K	\$6K	\$8K
New Underwood Middle School	\$7K	\$7K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$6K	\$6K	\$8K
New Underwood School Junior High/High School Shop Building	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
New Underwood Elem School	New Underwood	60%	130	30	– Rural
New Underwood High School	New Underwood	60%	99	22	– Rural
New Underwood Middle School	New Underwood	60%	65	17	– Rural
New Underwood School Junior High/High School Shop Building	New Underwood	60%	0	0	– Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Golden West Technologies	\$10K	\$17K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$5K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Golden West Telecommunications Cooperative, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdump-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

*Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)*

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
South Dakota Dept. Of Education & Cultural Affairs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$53K	\$74K	\$64K	\$13K	\$13K	\$18K	\$84K	\$26K	\$39K	\$53K	\$195K	\$249K	\$120K	\$133K	\$922K	\$132K
Average Discount Rate	85%	85%	85%	85%	85%	90%	87%	26%	42%	63%	77%	90%	90%	87%	90%	90%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	3	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	7	7	6	7	9	8	8	8	7
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	13	13	13	6	9	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	13	13	13	6	9	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$8K	\$20K	\$32K	\$38K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$19K	\$243K	\$107K	\$114K	\$111K	\$109K
Internal Connections	\$53K	\$74K	\$52K	–	–	–	\$66K	–	–	–	\$136K	–	–	–	\$782K	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	–	–	–	–	\$18K	\$18K	\$18K	\$19K	\$21K	\$2K	\$6K	\$13K	\$13K	\$8K	\$11K
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$7K	\$20K	\$12K
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	\$13K	\$13K	\$13K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Batesland Elementary School	\$19K	\$10K	\$19K	\$10K	\$10K	\$14K	\$21K	\$41K	\$42K	\$51K
Lakota Tech High School	\$12K	\$28K	\$19K	\$10K	\$10K	–	–	–	–	–
Oglala Lakota County Virtual High School	\$9K	\$25K	\$11K	\$2K	\$2K	\$183	\$9K	\$645	\$2K	–
Rockyford Elementary School	\$17K	\$8K	\$20K	\$12K	\$12K	\$18K	\$25K	\$27K	\$30K	\$15K
Wolf Creek School	\$17K	\$33K	\$20K	\$12K	\$12K	\$13K	\$50K	\$5K	\$25K	\$26K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Batesland Elementary School	Batesland	85%	196	196	–	Rural
Lakota Tech High School	Pine Ridge	85%	449	311	–	Rural
Oglala Lakota County Virtual High School	Batesland	85%	90	90	–	Rural
Rockyford Elementary School	Porcupine	85%	396	396	–	Rural
Wolf Creek School	Pine Ridge	85%	624	624	–	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Kt Connections Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$782K	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Riverside Technologies, Inc.	\$53K	\$74K	\$64K	\$13K	\$13K	-	\$66K	-	-	-	\$136K	-	-	-	-	-
Golden West Telecommunications Cooperative, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$3K	\$10K	\$20K	\$26K	\$34K	\$45K	\$46K	\$47K	\$48K
South Dakota Network, Llc	-	-	-	-	-	\$11K	\$10K	\$10K	\$10K	\$10K	\$10K	\$172K	\$21K	\$20K	\$20K	\$20K
At&T Mobility	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$2K	\$5K	\$10K	\$4K	\$27K	\$31K	\$38K	\$35K	\$31K
Golden West Technologies	-	-	-	-	-	\$8K	\$8K	\$8K	\$9K	\$9K	\$9K	-	-	\$7K	\$20K	\$12K
Chris Supply Co., Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Great Plains Communications, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$846	\$3K	\$1K	\$6K	\$8K	\$11K	\$14K	\$13K	\$13K
Fort Randall Telephone Company	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$564	\$2K	\$3K	\$4K	\$5K	\$6K	\$6K	\$4K	\$4K
Alltel Communications Llc, By Management Trust	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$10K	\$15K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Average Discount Rate	60%	60%	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	2	2	2	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	1	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Internal Connections	\$7K	\$11K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	\$3K	\$4K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Cambridge Colony School	\$2K	\$2K	\$198	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Spring Lake Colony High School	\$3K	\$4K	\$1K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Cambridge Colony School	Volga	60%	21	0	–	Rural
Spring Lake Colony High School	Arlington	60%	0	0	–	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Riverside Technologies, Inc.	\$10K	\$15K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$11K	\$9K	\$9K	\$9K	\$12K	\$18K	\$44K	\$12K	\$3K	\$18K	\$20K	\$23K	\$23K	\$10K	–	\$33K
Average Discount Rate	88%	90%	90%	88%	88%	88%	86%	63%	60%	70%	80%	90%	90%	90%	–	90%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	2	1	1	2	2	1	1	2	2	2	2	3	4	4	–	5
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	1,000	500	500	250	250	100	100	18	10	10	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	1,000	500	500	250	250	100	100	18	10	10	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$938	\$3K	\$4K	\$6K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$9K	\$9K	\$9K	–	\$16K
Internal Connections	–	–	–	\$4K	\$6K	\$6K	\$28K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	\$11K	\$9K	\$9K	\$6K	\$6K	\$12K	\$16K	\$11K	–	\$14K	\$14K	\$14K	\$15K	\$1K	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$17K
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Wi-Fi Hotspots Services and Equipment	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Pahin Sinte Owayawa	\$31K	\$9K	\$9K	\$9K	\$12K	\$18K	\$44K	\$26K	\$17K	\$19K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Pahin Sinte Owayawa	Porcupine	90%	205	205	–	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Kt Connections Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$17K
Golden West Telecommunications Cooperative, Inc.	\$11K	\$9K	\$9K	\$6K	\$6K	–	–	\$938	\$3K	\$4K	\$6K	\$9K	\$9K	\$9K	–	\$9K
Golden West Technologies	–	–	–	\$4K	\$6K	\$18K	\$44K	\$11K	–	\$14K	\$14K	\$14K	\$14K	–	–	–
At&T Mobility	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$7K
Rmk Holdings, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$751	\$1K	–	–
Dakota 2000, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Alltel Communications	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Sld Interim	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$726	\$20K	\$20K	\$45K	–	\$1K	–	–	\$997	\$51	\$2K	\$2K	\$5K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K
Average Discount Rate	60%	60%	60%	60%	–	70%	70%	–	60%	36%	50%	73%	81%	72%	75%	74%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	6	6	6	6	5	5	5	5	5	4	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	2	2	2	2	–	2	1	–	2	2	2	3	2	4	2	3
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	200	200	5	40	–	63	10	–	10	10	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	200	200	10	10	–	52	5	–	10	5	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$51	\$2K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K	\$4K	\$4K	\$3K	\$3K
Internal Connections	–	–	–	\$23K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	\$726	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	–	\$1K	–	–	\$997	–	\$786	\$1K	\$1K	\$714	\$798	\$744
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	\$18K	\$17K	\$19K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Parkston Elementary School	\$18K	\$17K	\$21K	\$25K	\$18K	\$20K	\$20K	\$17K	\$93	\$560
Parkston High School	\$4K	\$3K	\$3K	\$7K	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	\$8K	\$6K
Parkston Jr. High School	\$4K	\$3K	\$3K	\$7K	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	\$8K	–
Parkston New Elm Springs Colony	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$8K	\$239	\$1K	\$557	\$88	\$813	\$965
Parkston Old Elm Springs Colony	\$4K	\$3K	\$4K	\$8K	\$239	\$644	\$649	\$88	\$705	\$931
Parkston Sd-Our Home	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$8K	–	–	–	–	–	–

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Parkston Elementary School	Parkston	60%	297	78	– Rural
Parkston High School	Parkston	60%	151	33	– Rural
Parkston Jr. High School	Parkston	60%	74	21	– Rural
Parkston New Elm Springs Colony	Ethan	60%	25	0	– Rural
Parkston Old Elm Springs Colony	Parkston	60%	34	0	– Rural
Parkston Sd-Our Home	Parkston	60%	27	0	– Rural

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Santel Communications Cooperative	-	\$20K	\$19K	\$44K	-	\$904	-	-	\$565	-	\$2K	\$1K	\$4K	\$4K	\$3K	\$3K
Triotel Communications, Inc.	\$726	\$317	\$792	\$396	-	\$462	-	-	\$432	\$51	\$570	\$352	\$907	\$306	\$896	\$850
Alltel Communications Llc, By Management Trust	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Alltel Communications	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Schoolwires Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$898	-	-	-	-
Five Star Telecom, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Alexander Open Systems, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Connecting Point Computer Center	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
At&T Mobility	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
High Point Networks, Llc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$7K	\$9K	\$12K	\$21K	–	\$40K	\$47K	\$47K	\$240K	\$61K
Average Discount Rate	–	–	–	–	–	90%	90%	30%	45%	57%	80%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	1	1	1	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	–	–	–	–	–	1	1	4	4	6	8	8	6	6	7	5
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	167	132	740	740	740	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	167	132	74	74	74	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K	\$2K	\$11K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$31K	\$38K	\$39K	\$37K	\$26K
Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$167K	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$7K	\$8K	\$9K	\$10K	–	\$9K	\$9K	\$8K	\$5K	\$4K
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$31K	\$30K
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	
Pierre Indian Learning Center	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$11K	\$15K	\$30K	\$32K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Pierre Indian Learning Center	Pierre	90%	168	157	– Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Dakota 2000, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$198K	\$30K
Windstream Communications, LLC	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K	–	\$7K	–	\$12K	\$13K	\$12K	\$12K	\$11K
At&T Mobility	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	\$3K	–	\$18K	\$25K	\$26K	–	\$14K
Midcontinent Communications	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$7K	\$8K	\$9K	\$10K	–	\$9K	\$9K	\$8K	\$5K	\$4K
Alltel Communications	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$24K	–
Alltel Communications LLC, By Management Trust	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Verizon Business Global LLC	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$128	\$475	–	\$608	\$595	\$614	\$565	\$779
Verizon Wireless (Cellco Partnership)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Centurylink Qwest Communications Company, LLC	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Nextiraone LLC	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	–	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	\$6K	\$7K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Average Discount Rate	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	2	2	2	2	2	2	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	1	1	1	1	1	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	175	175	175	175	167	167	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	175	38	175	175	21	22,367	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	\$6K	\$7K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Pierre Indian Elementary	\$4K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$4K	\$4K	–	–	–	–
Pierre Indian Jr. High School	\$4K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$4K	\$4K	–	–	–	–

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Pierre Indian Elementary	Pierre	90%	53	53	–	Rural
Pierre Indian Jr. High School	Pierre	90%	68	68	–	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Midcontinent Communications	–	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	\$6K	\$7K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$628	\$2K	\$65K	\$87K	\$100K	\$42K	\$29K	\$69K	\$45K	\$38K	\$74K	\$20K	\$15K	\$19K	\$19K	\$17K
Average Discount Rate	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	44%	52%	59%	60%	60%	59%	59%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	9	8	—	—	—	—	—	—
Service Providers	2	3	4	4	3	3	3	4	3	5	4	2	2	3	3	2
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	20	80	20	20	38	20	20	20	38	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	5	16	5	5	8	5	5	5	8	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$5K	\$14K	—	—	—	—	—
Telecomm Services	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$20K	\$15K	\$18K	\$19K	\$17K
Internal Connections	—	—	\$64K	\$85K	\$99K	\$38K	\$27K	\$65K	\$44K	\$33K	\$59K	—	—	—	—	—
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	\$628	\$2K	\$900	\$1K	\$1K	\$4K	\$1K	\$4K	\$1K	—	—	—	—	\$684	\$437	—
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Managed Internal Broadband Services	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Buchanan Elementary School	\$4K	\$3K	\$19K	\$20K	\$17K	\$4K	\$9K	\$22K	\$9K	\$10K
Georgia Morse Middle School	\$955	\$546	\$50K	\$6K	\$34K	\$18K	\$11K	\$10K	\$18K	\$8K
Jefferson Elementary School	\$4K	\$3K	\$8K	\$18K	\$17K	\$10K	\$7K	\$22K	\$9K	\$10K
Kennedy Elementary School	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$126	\$10K
Kennedy Elementary School	\$4K	\$3K	\$8K	\$9K	\$17K	\$10K	\$4K	\$13K	\$9K	—
Mckinley Elementary School	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$126	\$1K
Pierre Jdc-88	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Pierre Sd Administration Building	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K
T. F. Riggs High School	\$955	\$412	\$5K	\$45K	\$25K	\$24K	\$10K	\$12K	\$8K	\$8K
Washington Elementary School	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$126	\$1K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Buchanan Elementary School	Pierre	60%	367	137	— Rural
Georgia Morse Middle School	Pierre	60%	648	174	— Rural
Jefferson Elementary School	Pierre	60%	389	147	— Rural
Kennedy Elementary School	Pierre	60%	408	145	— Rural

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qtmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Recipient Demographics (latest profile) (continued)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Kennedy Elementary School	Pierre	60%	387	153	– Rural
Mckinley Elementary School	Pierre	60%	0	0	– Rural
Pierre Jde-88	Pierre	60%	0	0	– Rural
Pierre Sd Administration Building	Pierre	60%	–	–	– —
T. F. Riggs High School	Pierre	60%	835	201	– Rural
Washington Elementary School	Pierre	60%	0	0	– Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Marco Technologies, Llc	–	–	\$39K	\$55K	\$89K	\$38K	\$15K	\$55K	\$31K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
U S West Communications, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	\$3K	–	\$3K	–	\$3K	\$6K	\$8K	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K
Dlh Communications Inc.	–	–	\$25K	\$30K	\$11K	–	\$13K	\$10K	\$14K	\$7K	–	–	–	–	–	–
At&T Mobility	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	\$8K	\$13K	\$8K	\$11K	\$12K	\$9K
Alexander Open Systems, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$58K	–	–	–	–	–
Convergeone, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$26K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Alltel Communications	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Qwest Communications Corporation	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Midcontinent Communications	\$628	\$2K	\$900	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	–	–	–	–	\$684	\$437	–
Verizon Wireless Fka Cellco Partnership	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	–	\$43K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K
Average Discount Rate	70%	70%	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	50%	70%	70%	70%	70%	60%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	1	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	2	2	2	2	2	2
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K
Internal Connections	–	\$20K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	\$23K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Plankinton Elementary School	\$5K	\$17K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K
Plankinton High School	\$5K	\$17K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K
Plankinton Jr. High School	\$5K	\$17K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Plankinton Elementary School	Plankinton	70%	152	78	–	Rural
Plankinton High School	Plankinton	70%	99	30	–	Rural
Plankinton Jr. High School	Plankinton	70%	31	7	–	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Santel Communications Cooperative, Incorporated	–	\$43K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Golden West Telecommunications Cooperative, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$743	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$987
Ionex Dba Firstel/Advanced Communications	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Birch Telecom, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$475	\$865	\$870	\$898	\$1K	\$841

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Basec.Net, Llc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
South Dakota Network, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
First Byte Computers, Inc., DbA Edtech	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
South Dakota Dept. Of Education & Cultural Affairs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Business & Education Institute	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$147K	\$354K	\$379K	\$429K	\$366K	\$200K	\$198K	\$85K	\$689K	\$239K	\$150K	\$147K	\$134K	\$106K	\$108K	\$111K
Average Discount Rate	60%	73%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	54%	60%	53%	60%	64%	59%	59%	58%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	28	27	28	26	25	25	24	24	24	24	—	—	—	—	—	—
Service Providers	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	3	3	3	2	2	2	2	2
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	3,627	3,586	3,586	3,586	3,633	3,704	1,480	1,480	1,480	1,480	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	3,627	3,586	3,586	3,586	3,633	3,704	1,480	1,480	1,480	1,480	—	—	—	—	—	—

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$15K	\$15K	—	—	—	—	—
Telecomm Services	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$19K	\$146K	\$132K	\$104K	\$105K	\$109K
Internal Connections	\$147K	\$223K	\$246K	\$297K	\$240K	\$94K	\$109K	—	\$604K	\$152K	\$116K	—	—	—	—	—
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	—	\$131K	\$133K	\$133K	\$127K	\$106K	\$89K	\$85K	\$85K	\$73K	—	\$84	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K	\$2K
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Managed Internal Broadband Services	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Black Hawk Elementary School	\$9K	\$15K	\$40K	\$14K	\$5K	\$4K	\$11K	\$3K	\$25K	\$13K
Canyon Lake Elementary School	—	\$15K	\$5K	\$15K	\$5K	\$4K	\$6K	\$3K	\$26K	\$13K
Central High School	\$12K	\$17K	\$17K	\$40K	\$63K	\$61K	\$17K	\$4K	\$93K	\$14K
Corral Drive Elementary School	\$9K	\$15K	\$5K	\$16K	\$5K	\$4K	\$11K	\$3K	\$27K	\$13K
East Middle School	\$10K	\$15K	\$5K	\$17K	\$5K	\$4K	\$9K	\$3K	\$46K	\$13K
General Beadle Elementary Sch	\$9K	\$15K	\$5K	\$15K	\$5K	\$4K	\$11K	\$3K	\$26K	\$13K
Grandview Elementary School	\$9K	\$15K	\$40K	\$14K	\$5K	\$4K	\$11K	\$3K	\$20K	\$13K
Horace Mann Elementary School	\$9K	\$14K	\$39K	\$12K	\$4K	\$3K	\$4K	\$3K	\$21K	\$13K
Jdc	\$8K	\$13K	\$3K	\$3K	—	—	—	—	—	—
Jefferson Building	\$2K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$187	\$88	\$93	\$2K
Jefferson Building - 64	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Kibben-Custer Building - Office Of Student Nutrition	\$2K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Knollwood Heights Elementary School	\$9K	\$15K	\$40K	\$16K	\$5K	\$4K	\$13K	\$3K	\$21K	\$13K
Lincoln Building	\$8K	\$13K	\$3K	\$9K	\$3K	\$3K	—	—	—	—
Meadowbrook Elementary School	\$10K	\$15K	\$5K	\$16K	\$5K	\$4K	\$13K	\$3K	\$25K	\$13K
North Middle School	\$10K	\$15K	\$5K	\$20K	\$99K	\$4K	\$11K	\$4K	\$46K	\$14K
Pinedale Elementary School	\$9K	\$15K	\$40K	\$15K	\$5K	\$4K	\$4K	\$3K	\$26K	\$13K
Rapid City High School	—	—	\$4K	\$23K	\$4K	\$9K	\$4K	\$3K	\$27K	\$13K
Rapid City Online High School -92	\$6K	\$14K	\$109	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient (continued)

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Rapid Valley Elementary School	\$10K	\$15K	\$5K	\$16K	\$5K	\$4K	\$13K	\$3K	\$26K	\$13K
Robbinsdale Elementary School	\$9K	\$15K	\$40K	\$16K	\$5K	\$4K	\$13K	\$3K	\$22K	\$13K
Sioux Park Crows Nest - Track & Field	\$2K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
South Canyon Elementary School	\$9K	\$14K	\$4K	\$13K	\$4K	\$3K	\$4K	\$3K	\$25K	\$13K
South Middle School	\$10K	\$15K	\$5K	\$19K	\$87K	\$4K	\$9K	\$3K	\$49K	\$13K
South Park Elem School	\$9K	\$14K	\$4K	\$14K	\$16K	\$3K	\$10K	\$3K	\$28K	\$13K
Southwest Middle School	\$10K	\$15K	\$5K	\$27K	\$5K	\$4K	\$11K	\$3K	\$88K	\$13K
Stevens High School	\$14K	\$17K	\$7K	\$30K	\$52K	\$51K	\$6K	\$3K	\$93K	\$13K
Valley View Elementary School	\$10K	\$15K	\$5K	\$17K	\$5K	\$4K	\$11K	\$3K	\$32K	\$13K
Wellfully	\$6K	\$10K	\$109	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
West Middle School	\$10K	\$15K	\$5K	\$18K	\$99K	\$4K	\$4K	\$3K	\$49K	\$13K
Woodrow Wilson Elementary School	\$9K	\$15K	\$40K	\$13K	\$5K	\$4K	\$4K	\$3K	\$23K	\$13K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Black Hawk Elementary School	Black Hawk	60%	272	114	—	Urban
Canyon Lake Elementary School	Rapid City	60%	289	113	—	Urban
Central High School	Rapid City	60%	2,002	897	—	Urban
Corral Drive Elementary School	Rapid City	60%	444	21	—	Urban
East Middle School	Rapid City	60%	607	240	—	Urban
General Beadle Elementary Sch	Rapid City	60%	482	437	—	Urban
Grandview Elementary School	Rapid City	60%	436	177	—	Urban
Horace Mann Elementary School	Rapid City	60%	246	207	—	Urban
Jdc	Rapid City	60%	0	0	—	Urban
Jefferson Building	Rapid City	60%	—	—	—	—
Jefferson Building - 64	Rapid City	60%	54	0	—	Urban
Kibben-Custer Building - Office Of Student Nutrition	Rapid City	60%	—	—	—	—
Knollwood Heights Elementary School	Rapid City	60%	338	284	—	Urban
Lincoln Building	Rapid City	60%	24	0	—	Urban
Meadowbrook Elementary School	Rapid City	60%	453	128	—	Urban
North Middle School	Rapid City	60%	446	398	—	Urban

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Recipient Demographics (latest profile) (continued)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Pinedale Elementary School	Rapid City	60%	339	75	–	Urban
Rapid City High School	Rapid City	60%	356	170	–	Urban
Rapid City Online High School -92	Rapid City	60%	0	0	–	Urban
Rapid Valley Elementary School	Rapid City	60%	508	197	–	Urban
Robbinsdale Elementary School	Rapid City	60%	314	194	–	Urban
Sioux Park Crows Nest - Track & Field	Rapid City	60%	–	–	–	–
South Canyon Elementary School	Rapid City	60%	251	86	–	Urban
South Middle School	Rapid City	60%	628	339	–	Urban
South Park Elem School	Rapid City	60%	288	181	–	Urban
Southwest Middle School	Rapid City	60%	611	69	–	Urban
Stevens High School	Rapid City	60%	1,736	224	–	Urban
Valley View Elementary School	Rapid City	60%	622	267	–	Urban
Wellfully	Rapid City	60%	7	0	–	Urban
West Middle School	Rapid City	60%	557	162	–	Urban
Woodrow Wilson Elementary School	Rapid City	60%	315	143	–	Urban

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Riverside Technologies, Inc.	\$147K	\$223K	\$246K	\$297K	\$240K	\$94K	\$109K	–	\$604K	\$152K	\$116K	–	–	–	–	–
Clarity Telecom, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$89K	\$85K	\$85K	\$73K	\$19K	\$98K	\$102K	\$79K	\$80K	\$81K
Midcontinent Communications	–	\$131K	\$133K	\$133K	\$127K	\$106K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
At&T Mobility	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$27K	\$28K	\$30K
Verizon Wireless (Cellco Partnership)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$15K	\$15K	\$48K	\$32K	–	–	–
Alltel Communications	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
U S West Communications, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Rapid Net, Inc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
South Dakota Network, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Chris Supply Co., Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$2K	\$12K	\$10K	\$10K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Average Discount Rate	40%	40%	40%	40%	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	4	4	4	4	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	2	3	2	2	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	1,000	1,000	550	550	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	1,000	1,000	65	65	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Internal Connections	\$2K	–	–	\$239	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	\$4K	\$3K	\$3K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	\$316	\$245	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
St Thomas More High School	\$2K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	–	–	–	–	–	–
St. Elizabeth Seton At Terra Sancta Elementary	\$2K	\$3K	\$2K	\$2K	–	–	–	–	–	–
St. Elizabeth Seton At Terra Sancta Preschool	\$2K	\$3K	\$2K	\$2K	–	–	–	–	–	–
St. Thomas More Middle School	\$2K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	–	–	–	–	–	–

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
St Thomas More High School	Rapid City	40%	170	6	–	Urban
St. Elizabeth Seton At Terra Sancta Elementary	Rapid City	40%	259	15	–	Rural
St. Elizabeth Seton At Terra Sancta Preschool	Rapid City	40%	102	0	–	Rural
St. Thomas More Middle School	Rapid City	40%	180	2	–	Urban

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Riverside Technologies, Inc.	–	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Clarity Telecom, Llc	–	–	\$3K	\$3K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

*Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)*

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
South Dakota Network, Llc	-	\$4K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sterling Computers Corporation	\$2K	\$245	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$88K	\$18K	\$18K	\$27K	\$27K	\$45K	\$84K	\$84K	\$91K	\$96K	\$160K	\$73K	\$69K	\$595K	\$53K	\$39K
Average Discount Rate	80%	60%	60%	90%	90%	85%	80%	80%	40%	53%	72%	88%	90%	90%	90%	88%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	—	—	—	—	—	—
Service Providers	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	3	3	4	3	4	5	3	4
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	923	923	923	750	500	300	300	300	108	108	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	923	923	923	750	500	300	300	300	108	108	—	—	—	—	—	—

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$4K	\$9K	\$11K	—	—	—	—	—
Telecomm Services	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$19K	\$31K	\$30K	\$53K	\$39K
Internal Connections	\$70K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$67K	—	—	\$538K	—	—
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	\$19K	\$18K	\$18K	\$27K	\$27K	\$45K	\$84K	\$84K	\$87K	\$87K	\$82K	\$54K	\$38K	\$27K	—	—
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Managed Internal Broadband Services	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Our Lady Of Lourdes Bus Garage	\$1K	\$729	\$729	\$2K	\$2K	\$4K	\$8K	\$8K	\$14K	\$14K
Our Lady Of Lourdes School	\$32K	\$8K	\$6K	\$2K	\$2K	\$4K	\$8K	\$8K	\$14K	\$15K
Red Cloud Elementary	\$26K	\$729	\$729	\$2K	\$2K	\$4K	\$8K	\$8K	\$7K	\$8K
Red Cloud Indian School Administration	\$8K	\$8K	\$6K	\$2K	\$2K	\$4K	\$8K	\$8K	\$7K	\$7K
Red Cloud Indian School Bus Garage	\$1K	\$729	\$729	\$2K	\$2K	\$4K	\$8K	\$8K	\$7K	\$7K
Red Cloud Indian School Development	\$1K	\$729	\$729	\$2K	\$2K	\$4K	\$8K	\$8K	\$7K	\$7K
Red Cloud Indian School Eben Hall	\$1K	\$729	\$729	\$2K	\$2K	\$4K	\$8K	\$8K	\$7K	\$7K
Red Cloud Indian School Heritage Center	\$1K	\$729	\$729	\$2K	\$2K	\$4K	\$8K	\$8K	\$7K	\$7K
Red Cloud Indian School High School	\$26K	\$729	\$729	\$2K	\$2K	\$4K	\$8K	\$8K	\$7K	\$8K
Red Cloud Indian School Maintenance	\$1K	\$729	\$729	\$2K	\$2K	\$4K	\$8K	\$8K	\$7K	\$7K
Red Cloud Indian School Serena Hall/ Food Service	\$1K	\$729	\$729	\$2K	\$2K	\$4K	\$8K	\$8K	\$7K	\$7K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdump-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Our Lady Of Lourdes Bus Garage	Porcupine	80%	–	–	–	–
Our Lady Of Lourdes School	Porcupine	80%	107	58	–	Rural
Red Cloud Elementary	Pine Ridge	80%	179	120	–	Rural
Red Cloud Indian School Administration	Pine Ridge	80%	–	–	–	–
Red Cloud Indian School Bus Garage	Pine Ridge	80%	–	–	–	–
Red Cloud Indian School Development	Pine Ridge	80%	–	–	–	–
Red Cloud Indian School Eben Hall	Pine Ridge	80%	–	–	–	–
Red Cloud Indian School Heritage Center	Pine Ridge	80%	–	–	–	–
Red Cloud Indian School High School	Pine Ridge	80%	154	49	–	Rural
Red Cloud Indian School Maintenance	Pine Ridge	80%	–	–	–	–
Red Cloud Indian School Serena Hall/ Food Service	Pine Ridge	80%	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Golden West Technologies, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	\$45K	\$84K	\$84K	\$87K	\$87K	\$82K	\$54K	\$49K	\$38K	\$37K	\$23K
Kt Connections Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$538K	–	–
Golden West Telecommunications Cooperative, Inc.	\$19K	\$18K	\$18K	\$27K	\$27K	–	–	–	\$4K	\$8K	\$11K	\$19K	\$18K	\$17K	\$17K	\$16K
Riverside Technologies, Inc.	\$70K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Inetworks Services, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$67K	–	–	–	–	–
At&T Mobility	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K	–	–	\$2K	\$2K	–	–
Alltel Communications	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
At&T Corp.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
South Dakota Network, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Verizon Wireless (Cellco Partnership)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$3K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$4K	\$14K	\$22K	\$3K	\$3K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Average Discount Rate	60%	60%	60%	60%	70%	60%	70%	60%	60%	60%	–	60%	–	–	–	–
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	5	4	5	5	5	5	6	5	5	5	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	2	2	1	1	1	2	2	3	1	1	–	1	–	–	–	–
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	100	100	100	100	100	100	92	92	92	92	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	73	73	73	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	\$11K	\$19K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	\$2K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Gs Mickelson Alternative School	\$2K	–	\$198	\$809	\$1K	\$885	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K
Gsm Alt. Elementary School	\$2K	\$630	\$828	\$809	\$1K	\$885	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K
Redfield Armory	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$714	\$700	\$633	\$540
Redfield Armory	\$626	\$626	\$825	\$710	\$920	\$766	\$187	–	–	–
Redfield Elementary School	\$3K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$3K	\$12K	\$10K	\$2K	\$2K
Redfield High School	\$4K	\$2K	\$2K	\$1K	\$1K	\$3K	\$1K	\$7K	\$2K	\$2K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Gs Mickelson Alternative School	Redfield	60%	10	0	– Rural
Gsm Alt. Elementary School	Redfield	60%	0	0	– Rural
Redfield Armory	Redfield	70%	0	0	– Rural
Redfield Armory	Redfield	60%	–	–	– –
Redfield Elementary School	Redfield	60%	244	74	– Rural
Redfield High School	Redfield	60%	148	24	– Rural

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
South Dakota Dept. Of Education & Cultural Affairs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
High Plains Technology	-	-	-	-	-	\$2K	\$11K	\$18K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Northern Valley Communications Llc	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Midcontinent Communications	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	-	-	-	-	-	-
Twotrees Technologies	\$2K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Marco Technologies, Llc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$2K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
U S West	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Qwest Communications Corporation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$3K	–	–	\$9K	\$43K	–	\$2K	–	\$232	\$3K	\$8K	–	–	\$2K	\$2K	–
Average Discount Rate	70%	70%	–	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	40%	33%	52%	–	67%	67%	67%	72%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	1	–	2	2	2	2	2	4	3	4	–	1	2	2	2
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$632	\$2K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	\$2K	–
Internal Connections	\$3K	–	–	\$9K	\$43K	–	\$2K	–	\$232	\$3K	\$5K	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$37	\$128	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$360	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Rosholt Elementary School	\$7K	\$6K	\$7K	\$9K	\$18K	\$8K	\$8K	\$7K	\$5K	\$6K
Rosholt High School	\$7K	\$6K	\$7K	\$9K	\$18K	\$8K	\$8K	\$6K	\$5K	\$6K
Rosholt Jr High School	\$7K	\$6K	\$7K	\$9K	\$18K	\$8K	\$8K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K
White Rock Colony Elem School	\$716	–	\$198	\$2K	\$11K	\$304	\$1K	\$88	\$151	\$1K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Rosholt Elementary School	Rosholt	70%	88	34	–	Rural
Rosholt High School	Rosholt	70%	74	23	–	Rural
Rosholt Jr High School	Rosholt	70%	52	20	–	Rural
White Rock Colony Elem School	Rosholt	70%	21	21	–	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Golden West Technologies	\$3K	–	–	\$9K	\$43K	–	\$2K	–	\$232	\$3K	\$3K	–	–	–	–	–
Venture Communications Cooperative	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$555	\$2K	–	–	\$2K	\$2K	–
Foss Communications	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	–	–	–	–	–
Rural Cellular Corporation Db	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Cellular 2000	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Alltel Communications Llc, By Management Trust	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Verizon Wireless (Cellco Partnership)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$77	\$192	-	-	-	-	-
Alltel Communications	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
At&T Mobility	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dickey Rural Telephone Cooperative	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
South Dakota Dept. Of Education & Cultural Affairs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$74K	\$53K	\$72K	-	-	-	-
Average Discount Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	69%	81%	90%	-	-	-	-
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Service Providers	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	3	4	-	-	-	-
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	250	-	-	-	-	-	-
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	250	-	-	-	-	-	-

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$37K	\$14K	-	-	-	-	-
Telecomm Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$72K	-	-	-	-
Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$9K	-	-	-	-	-
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$32K	\$31K	-	-	-	-	-
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$4K	-	-	-	-	-	-
Managed Internal Broadband Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Sd Achieve DbA Lifescape	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$81K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Sd Achieve DbA Lifescape	Sioux Falls	50%	392	360	-	Urban

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Midcontinent Communications	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$48K	\$45K	\$45K	-	-	-	-
Verizon Wireless (Cellco Partnership)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$22K	-	\$27K	-	-	-	-
Earthbend Llc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$9K	-	-	-	-	-
South Dakota Network, Llc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$4K	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$24K	\$6K	\$11K	\$4K	\$5K	–	–	\$27K	\$3K	\$1K	\$2K	\$7K	\$5K	\$6K	\$5K	\$5K
Average Discount Rate	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	–	–	40%	40%	40%	27%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	1	1	1	1	1	–	–	1	1	1	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	2	2	2	2	2	–	–	4	2	1	2	3	3	4	3	2
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	–	–	200	200	200	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	–	–	200	20	20	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$6K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K
Internal Connections	\$21K	\$1K	\$6K	–	–	–	–	\$25K	\$2K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	–	–	\$2K	\$1K	\$1K	\$792	\$270	\$222	\$198	\$222	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	\$776	\$510	–	\$635	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Unspecified	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Sioux Falls Christian School	\$26K	\$6K	\$11K	\$7K	\$5K	–	–	\$29K	\$3K	\$1K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Sioux Falls Christian School	Sioux Falls	40%	1,442	70	–	Urban

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Midcontinent Communications, Inc.	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	–	–	\$2K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$3K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K
High Plains Technology	–	–	–	–	\$635	–	–	\$23K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
It Outlet Inc.	\$21K	\$2K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Verizon Wireless Fka Cellco Partnership	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$379	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K
Golden West Technologies	–	–	\$7K	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
U S West	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Foss Communications, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$3K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Dynamic Internet Solutions, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$270	\$222	\$198	\$222	–
Verizon Midwest Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Computers Ta Boot	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$10K	-	-	-	-	-	\$984	-	-	-
Average Discount Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	40%	-	-	-	-	-	40%	-	40%	-
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Service Providers	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Telecomm Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$984	-	-	-
Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$10K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Managed Internal Broadband Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Sioux Falls Lutheran School	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$10K	-	-	-

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Sioux Falls Lutheran School	Sioux Falls	40%	160	12	-	Urban

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Foss Tech, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$10K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Qwest Corporation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$984	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	–	\$2.1M	\$9K	\$9K	–	\$429K	\$2K	–	–	\$2.2M	\$46K	\$96K	\$107K	\$130K	\$145K	\$77K
Average Discount Rate	60%	70%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	–	–	30%	50%	60%	63%	65%	72%	60%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	6	6	7	6	3	3	4	4	4	4	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	2	3	2	2	1	1	2	–	–	4	4	5	6	6	6	4
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	250	250	357	325	–	–	197	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	250	203	317	281	–	–	154	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$21K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$76K	\$96K	\$130K	–	\$77K
Internal Connections	–	\$2.1M	–	–	–	\$429K	–	–	–	\$2.2M	–	–	–	–	\$144K	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	\$6K	\$9K	\$9K	–	–	\$2K	–	–	–	\$25K	\$20K	\$10K	–	\$2K	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Arise Shelter Care	\$626	\$54K	\$608	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Howard Wood Field	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	\$93	\$247
Jdc - 61	\$626	\$54K	\$785	\$676	–	–	–	–	–	–
New Technology High School	–	–	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$10K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$760
Sioux Falls School Dist. 49-5 Central Services Center	\$45K	\$44K	\$21K	\$22K	\$29K	\$31K	\$26K	\$53K	\$53K	\$53K
Sioux Falls Sd Community Campus	\$626	\$54K	\$608	\$748	–	–	–	–	–	–
Summit Oaks School	\$626	\$54K	\$608	\$748	–	–	\$3K	\$22K	\$28K	\$34K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Arise Shelter Care	Sioux Falls	80%	1	0	–	Urban
Howard Wood Field	Sioux Falls	80%	–	–	–	–
Jdc - 61	Sioux Falls	80%	0	0	–	Urban
New Technology High School	Sioux Falls	60%	300	110	–	Urban
Sioux Falls School Dist. 49-5 Central Services Center	Sioux Falls	80%	–	–	–	–
Sioux Falls Sd Community Campus	Sioux Falls	60%	30	11	–	Urban
Summit Oaks School	Sioux Falls	80%	21	0	–	Urban

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdump-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Riverside Technologies, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	\$429K	-	-	-	\$2.2M	-	-	-	-	-	-
Marco Technologies, Llc	-	\$2.1M	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
U S West	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$47K	\$50K	\$49K	-	\$42K
South Dakota Network, Llc	-	\$4K	\$6K	\$6K	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$25K	\$20K	\$10K	\$9K	\$145K	-
Verizon Wireless (Cellco Partnership)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$21K	\$26K	\$43K	\$67K	-	\$32K
Alltel Communications	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Vantek Communications, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	-	\$3K
Midcontinent Communications	-	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	-	-	\$2K	-	-	-	-	-	\$416	\$528	-	-
Sioux Falls Two Way Radio Service, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Alliance Communications Cooperative, Inc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$603	\$593	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdump-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	–	\$29K	–	–	–	–	\$4K	–	\$22K	\$5K	\$18K	\$4K	\$7K	\$7K	–	–
Average Discount Rate	50%	50%	–	–	–	50%	50%	–	60%	40%	53%	57%	57%	60%	–	–
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	2	2	–	–	–	3	2	–	3	4	6	6	4	4	–	–
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	\$2K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$4K	\$7K	\$6K	–	–
Internal Connections	–	\$29K	–	–	–	–	\$3K	–	\$22K	\$3K	\$15K	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$881	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$487	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Sioux Valley Elem School	\$9K	\$13K	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$9K	\$3K	\$2K	\$17K	\$4K
Sioux Valley High School	\$9K	\$13K	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$8K	\$3K	\$2K	\$7K	\$4K
Sioux Valley Middle School	\$9K	\$13K	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$5K	\$3K	\$2K	\$4K	\$4K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Sioux Valley Elem School	Volga	50%	357	52	–	Rural
Sioux Valley High School	Volga	50%	206	19	–	Rural
Sioux Valley Middle School	Volga	50%	159	24	–	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Riverside Technologies, Inc.	–	\$29K	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$22K	–	\$2K	–	–	–	–	–
Orbitcom, Inc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	–	–
Aercor Wireless, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$3K	–	–	–	\$6K	–	–	–	–	–
City Of Brookings Telephone Fund	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K	–	–	–	\$7K	–	–	–	–	–
At&T Mobility	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$4K	\$2K	–	–
Birch Communications, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	\$2K	–	–	–	–	–
Cdw Government Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$3K	–	–	–	–	–	–
South Dakota Network, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$881	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Sprint Communications Co. L.P.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$280	-	-	-	-
Sprint Spectrum, L.P.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$60	\$204	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	-	-	-	-	\$4K	\$16K	\$7K	\$53K	-	\$47K	-	-	-	-	-	-
Average Discount Rate	-	-	-	-	70%	70%	70%	70%	-	60%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	-	-	-	-	6	14	3	3	-	14	-	-	-	-	-	-
Service Providers	-	-	-	-	3	1	4	3	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	-	90	-	758	612	-	62	-	-	-	-	-	-
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	-	23	-	758	602	-	60	-	-	-	-	-	-

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$928	-	-	-	-	-	-
Telecomm Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	\$16K	\$7K	-	-	\$35K	-	-	-	-	-	-
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	-	-	-	-	\$4K	-	\$325	\$53K	-	\$10K	-	-	-	-	-	-
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Managed Internal Broadband Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Baltic Branch	-	-	-	-	\$924	\$1K	-	-	-	\$11K
Bookmobile	-	-	-	-	\$386	\$1K	-	-	-	\$3K
Brandon Branch	-	-	-	-	-	\$1K	-	-	-	\$12K
Caille Branch	-	-	-	-	-	\$1K	-	-	-	\$12K
Colton Branch	-	-	-	-	\$924	\$1K	-	-	-	\$11K
Crooks Branch	-	-	-	-	-	\$1K	-	-	-	\$12K
Downtown Library	-	-	-	-	-	\$1K	-	-	-	\$12K
Garretson Branch	-	-	-	-	\$504	\$1K	\$462	\$378	-	\$11K
Hartford Branch	-	-	-	-	-	\$1K	-	-	-	\$11K
Humboldt Branch	-	-	-	-	\$924	\$1K	-	-	-	\$11K
Oak View Branch	-	-	-	-	-	\$1K	\$7K	-	-	\$12K
Prairie West Branch	-	-	-	-	-	\$1K	-	\$52K	-	\$11K
Ronning Branch	-	-	-	-	-	\$1K	-	-	-	\$12K
Valley Springs Branch	-	-	-	-	\$588	\$1K	\$378	\$294	-	\$11K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Baltic Branch	Baltic	70%	-	-	735 Rural
Bookmobile	Sioux Falls	70%	-	-	0 Urban
Brandon Branch	Brandon	70%	-	-	10,500 Rural
Caille Branch	Sioux Falls	70%	-	-	12,500 Urban
Colton Branch	Colton	70%	-	-	1,770 Rural

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Recipient Demographics (latest profile) (continued)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Crooks Branch	Crooks	70%	–	–	4,000	Rural
Downtown Library	Sioux Falls	70%	–	–	66,000	Urban
Garretson Branch	Garretson	70%	–	–	1,260	Rural
Hartford Branch	Hartford	70%	–	–	384	Rural
Humboldt Branch	Humboldt	70%	–	–	600	Rural
Oak View Branch	Sioux Falls	70%	–	–	14,900	Urban
Prairie West Branch	Sioux Falls	70%	–	–	15,719	Urban
Ronning Branch	Sioux Falls	70%	–	–	14,400	Urban
Valley Springs Branch	Valley Springs	70%	–	–	708	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Engelstad Electric	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$52K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Calhoun Communications, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$7K	–	–	\$42K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Oneneck It Solutions Llc	–	–	–	–	–	\$16K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Marco Technologies, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$3K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Midcontinent Communications	–	–	–	–	\$3K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Alliance Communications Cooperative, Inc	–	–	–	–	\$619	–	\$325	\$671	–	\$676	–	–	–	–	–	–
Gov Connection, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$610	–	–	–	–	–	–
Verizon New York Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$589	–	–	–	–	–	–
Verizon Wireless (Cellco Partnership)	–	–	–	–	\$383	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Decker Drilling & Excavating Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	–	\$1.6M	\$2.1M	\$2.1M	\$2.1M	\$2.3M	\$2.2M	\$2.3M	\$2.2M	\$1.8M	\$549K	\$2.6M	\$2.4M	\$1.7M	\$1.2M	\$1.9M
Average Discount Rate	64%	63%	63%	66%	66%	66%	66%	67%	67%	67%	67%	67%	67%	68%	72%	69%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	343	352	438	441	437	438	435	422	412	398	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	2	2
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	38,316	33,293	33,706	38,537	23,964	17,403	17,388	9,798	7,397	14,530	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	38,316	33,288	33,706	38,537	23,964	17,403	17,388	9,798	7,397	14,530	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$198K	\$2.3M	\$2.2M	\$1.5M	\$935K	\$1.1M
Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	\$1.6M	\$2.1M	\$2.1M	\$2.1M	\$2.3M	\$2.2M	\$2.3M	\$2.2M	\$1.8M	\$351K	\$262K	\$168K	\$175K	\$215K	\$741K
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$12K	\$19K
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Aberdeen Alternative School	–	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	\$93	–
Administration Building	–	–	\$198	\$224	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$2K	\$3K	\$4K
Administration Building	–	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$343	\$441	\$1K
Administrative Building	–	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	\$93	\$364
Adventure Elementary School	\$18K	\$11K	\$3K	\$133	–	–	–	–	–	–
Alcester Hudson Jr High	\$17K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K	\$3K	\$10K	\$6K	\$5K	\$2K
Alcester Public Library	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	–	–	–
Alcester-Hudson High School	\$17K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K	\$3K	\$10K	\$6K	\$5K	\$5K
All City Elementary School	\$1K	\$55K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$9K	\$2K	\$4K	\$2K	\$50K
Alternative/Central Services	–	–	\$198	\$224	\$4K	\$183	\$187	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K
Andes Central Jr-Sr High Sch	\$27K	\$13K	\$27K	\$14K	\$14K	\$21K	\$24K	\$14K	\$11K	\$9K
Anne Sullivan Elem School	\$3K	\$56K	\$4K	\$4K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$66K
Arise Shelter Care	–	–	\$109	\$133	–	–	–	–	–	–
Arlington Elementary School	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K
Arlington High School	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K
Arlington Jr. High School	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K
Armour High School	\$22K	\$8K	\$10K	\$10K	\$10K	\$11K	\$16K	\$15K	\$12K	\$6K
Athletic Building	–	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	\$93	\$364
Austin Elementary School	–	\$2K	\$10K	\$19K	\$3K	\$3K	\$12K	\$2K	\$3K	\$16K
Axtell Park Middle School	\$1K	\$55K	\$109	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Baltic Elementary School	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$6K	–
Baltic High School	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$6K	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient (continued)

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Baltic Middle School	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$6K	–
Baltic School District 49-1	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K
Belle Fourche District Maintenance Bldg	–	–	\$198	\$224	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$93	\$247
Ben Reifel Middle School	\$3K	\$56K	\$4K	\$4K	\$3K	\$85K	–	–	–	–
Bennett County Middle School	\$4K	\$4K	\$5K	\$15K	\$23K	\$13K	\$8K	\$17K	\$17K	\$7K
Bennett County Public Library	\$4K	\$4K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$6K	–	–	–
Bennett County School District Bus Garage	–	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$343	\$441	\$1K
Beresford Elementary School	\$8K	\$8K	\$34K	\$11K	\$11K	\$11K	\$11K	\$10K	\$8K	\$26K
Big Stone City Elem School	–	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$1K	\$1K	–
Big Stone City Middle School	–	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$1K	\$1K	–
Bison Elementary School	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K
Bison High School	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K
Bison Jr High School	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K
Blumengard Colony School	–	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	\$93	\$247
Blunt Elementary School	–	\$3K	\$7K	\$8K	\$8K	\$8K	\$8K	\$10K	\$10K	\$6K
Bobcat Plains Academy High School	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Bobcat Plains Academy Middle School	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Bowdle High School	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$8K	\$904	\$2K
Bowdle Elementary School	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$8K	\$904	\$2K
Bowdle Jr. High School	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$8K	\$904	\$2K
Brentwood Colony School	–	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	\$93	\$448
Bridges At Horace Mann	\$3K	\$56K	\$4K	\$4K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$66K
Bridgewater Emery Elementary School	\$3K	\$7K	\$8K	\$8K	\$20K	\$9K	\$14K	\$14K	\$10K	\$5K
Bridgewater-Emery Middle School	\$4K	\$7K	\$11K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$10K	\$3K	\$3K	\$5K
Bridgewater-Emery High School	\$4K	\$7K	\$11K	\$4K	\$14K	\$4K	\$10K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K
Brookings Bus Garage	\$2K	\$2K	\$4K	\$4K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K	\$6K
Brookings High School	\$17K	\$27K	\$25K	\$25K	\$24K	\$20K	\$23K	\$10K	\$12K	\$8K
Brookings School Administration	\$4K	\$4K	\$6K	\$6K	\$4K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$8K
Buffalo Elementary School	\$12K	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K
Burke Elementary School	–	\$356	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$6K	\$88	\$93	\$247
Burke High School	\$4K	\$4K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$9K	\$8K	\$8K	\$6K
Burke Middle School	\$4K	\$4K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$7K	\$8K	\$8K	\$6K
Burke School Shop	–	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	\$93	\$247
Bus Barn	–	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	\$93	\$364
Camelot Intermediate School	\$4K	\$14K	\$11K	\$11K	\$8K	\$5K	\$31K	\$5K	\$5K	\$10K
Cameron Colony Elementary	–	–	\$198	\$224	\$750	\$694	\$656	\$88	\$2K	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdump-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient (continued)

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Camp Crook Elementary School	–	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	\$93	\$247
Camridge Colony School	–	–	–	\$224	\$1K	\$3K	\$930	\$88	\$2K	\$247
Camrose Colony Elementary School	–	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$421	–	–
Canistota Elementary	\$1K	\$1K	\$5K	\$8K	\$4K	\$4K	\$5K	\$7K	\$5K	\$5K
Canistota High School	\$1K	\$1K	\$5K	\$8K	\$4K	\$4K	\$5K	\$7K	\$5K	\$5K
Canistota Middle School	\$1K	\$1K	\$5K	\$8K	\$4K	\$4K	\$5K	\$7K	\$5K	\$5K
Canton High School	\$1K	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$8K	\$4K
Canton Middle School	\$1K	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$8K	\$4K
Career And Technology Academy	\$3K	\$56K	\$4K	\$4K	\$3K	\$10K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$50K
Castlewood Elementary School	\$1K	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$6K	\$3K	\$3K
Castlewood High School	\$1K	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$6K	\$3K	\$3K
Castlewood Middle/Jr. High	\$1K	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$6K	\$3K	\$3K
Cedar Grove Colony School	–	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	\$93	\$1K
Centerville Community Library	\$3K	\$3K	\$2K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	–	–	–
Centerville Elementary School	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K
Centerville High School	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K
Centerville Ms	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K
Central Copy Office	–	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	\$189	\$605
Challenge Center	\$1K	\$55K	\$109	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Chamberlain Elementary School	–	\$11K	\$15K	\$14K	\$5K	\$13K	\$4K	\$4K	\$8K	\$7K
Chester Area Elementary School	\$5K	\$3K	\$8K	\$9K	\$9K	\$10K	\$22K	\$7K	\$9K	\$6K
Chester Area High School	\$5K	\$3K	\$9K	\$8K	\$8K	\$8K	\$15K	\$6K	\$6K	\$9K
Cheyenne Elementary School	–	\$16K	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	–	–
Claremont Colony School	–	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	\$93	\$567
Clark Elementary School	\$6K	\$6K	\$7K	\$7K	\$6K	\$6K	\$11K	\$6K	\$7K	\$11K
Clark High School	\$8K	\$8K	\$9K	\$10K	\$8K	\$9K	\$14K	\$8K	\$9K	\$11K
Clark Middle School	\$8K	\$8K	\$9K	\$10K	\$8K	\$9K	\$14K	\$8K	\$9K	\$11K
Clear Lake Elementary School	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K
Clear Lake Middle School	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K
Cleveland Elementary School	\$2K	\$55K	\$4K	\$4K	\$3K	\$10K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$66K
Colman Elementary School - 03	\$17K	\$1K	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$10K	\$2K
Colman-Egan High School - 01	\$17K	\$1K	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$9K	\$2K
Colman-Egan Jr. High School - 02	\$17K	\$1K	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$11K	\$2K
Colman-Egan School District 50-5 Admin	–	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	\$93	\$428
Colome Elementary School	\$4K	\$12K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$7K	\$6K	\$4K	\$6K
Colome High School	\$4K	\$14K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$7K	\$6K	\$4K	\$6K
Colome Jr High School	\$4K	\$12K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$7K	\$6K	\$4K	\$6K
Core Educational Cooperative	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	\$19K	–	–
Corsica-Stickney Elementary School 21-3	\$15K	\$15K	\$16K	\$17K	\$17K	\$18K	\$27K	\$23K	\$23K	\$15K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient (continued)

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Corsica-Stickney High School 21-3	\$4K	\$4K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$16K	\$8K	\$8K	\$6K
Corsica-Stickney Jr. High 21-3	\$4K	\$4K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$13K	\$8K	\$8K	\$6K
Custer High School	\$4K	\$6K	\$9K	\$4K	\$23K	\$4K	\$9K	\$16K	\$17K	\$11K
Custer Jr High School	\$4K	\$6K	\$9K	\$4K	\$23K	\$4K	\$9K	\$16K	\$733	\$6K
Custer School Administration Building	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$9K	\$10K	\$9K
Dakota Prairie Elementary	\$4K	\$14K	\$11K	\$11K	\$8K	\$5K	\$7K	\$5K	\$5K	\$12K
Dakota Valley High School	\$13K	\$2K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$12K	\$3K	\$8K	\$8K	\$6K
Dakota Valley Middle School	\$13K	\$2K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$12K	\$3K	\$8K	\$8K	\$6K
Dakota Valley Upper Elementary School	\$13K	\$2K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$12K	\$3K	\$8K	\$8K	—
Dave Broadie Field (Stadium)	—	—	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$4K	—	—	—
Deep Creek Rural Elementary School	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$247
Deerfield Colony School	—	—	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	\$93	\$2K
Deubrook High School	\$5K	\$11K	\$8K	\$9K	\$9K	\$6K	\$5K	\$4K	\$8K	\$10K
Deubrook Jhs	\$5K	\$11K	\$8K	\$9K	\$9K	\$6K	\$5K	\$4K	\$8K	\$10K
Deuel High School	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K
Discovery Elementary	\$3K	\$56K	\$4K	\$4K	\$3K	\$10K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$66K
District Education Center (Administrator)	—	—	\$109	\$133	—	—	—	—	—	—
Doland Elementary School	\$1K	\$923	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$421	\$892	—
Doland High School	\$1K	\$923	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$421	\$892	—
Doland Jr High School	\$1K	\$923	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$421	\$892	—
Doland School District 56-2	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$6K
Doug Kraft Field	—	—	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	—	—	—
Douglas Administration Building	—	—	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$5K	\$88	\$267	\$806
Douglas Computer Center	\$3K	\$5K	\$7K	\$8K	\$8K	\$8K	\$30K	\$11K	\$7K	\$4K
E.A.G.L.E. Center High School -07	—	—	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	—	—
E.A.G.L.E. Center Middle School - 09	—	—	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	—	—
Eagle Butte High School	—	—	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$2K	\$2K	\$12K
Eagle Butte Jr High School	—	—	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	\$93	\$247
Eagle Butte Primary	—	—	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	\$93	\$247
Eagle Butte Upper Elem School	\$15K	\$15K	\$19K	\$19K	\$20K	\$21K	\$17K	\$22K	\$22K	\$11K
Eagle Pride Alternative School	—	—	—	—	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	\$908	\$2K
Edgemont Elementary School	\$4K	\$4K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$16K	\$6K
Edgemont High School	\$4K	\$4K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$16K	\$6K
Edison Middle School	\$3K	\$56K	\$4K	\$4K	\$3K	\$10K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$66K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient (continued)

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Edmunds Central Elementary School	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$865	\$904	\$2K
Edmunds Central High Sch	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$865	\$904	\$2K
Edmunds Central Middle School	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$865	\$904	\$2K
Elementary Immersion Center	\$1K	\$55K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$5K	\$2K	\$88	\$2K	\$50K
Elk Mountain Elem School	\$4K	\$4K	\$8K	\$9K	\$9K	\$9K	\$10K	\$10K	\$19K	–
Elk Mountain High School	\$4K	\$4K	\$8K	\$9K	\$9K	\$9K	\$10K	\$10K	–	–
Elk Point City Library	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	–	–	–
Elk Point-Jefferson High Sch	\$858	\$1K	\$18K	\$2K	\$2K	\$5K	\$9K	\$3K	\$9K	\$11K
Elk Point-Jefferson Middle School	\$1K	\$1K	\$18K	\$2K	\$2K	\$5K	\$2K	\$3K	\$8K	\$11K
Elkton Colony-Newdale	–	–	\$109	\$133	–	–	–	–	–	–
Emery Elementary School	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$93	\$6K
Enning Elementary School	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K
Estelline High School	\$3K	\$9K	\$8K	\$4K	\$6K	\$5K	\$9K	\$9K	\$7K	–
Estelline Junior High School	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$93	–
Estelline Middle School	\$3K	\$9K	\$8K	\$4K	\$6K	\$5K	\$9K	\$9K	–	–
Ethan Elementary School	\$18K	\$5K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$7K	\$7K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K
Ethan High School	\$18K	\$5K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$7K	\$7K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K
Ethan Jr. High School	\$18K	\$5K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$7K	\$7K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K
Eugene Field A+ Elementary School	\$3K	\$56K	\$4K	\$4K	\$3K	\$10K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$66K
Eureka Elementary School	\$1K	\$9K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$5K	\$3K	\$2K	\$2K
Eureka High School	\$1K	\$9K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K	\$2K	\$2K
Eureka Middle School	\$1K	\$9K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K	\$2K	\$2K
Eureka School Athletic Complex	–	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	–	–	–	–
Eureka School Bus Shed	–	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	–	–	–	–
Faith Elementary School	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	\$12K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K
Faith High School	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	\$12K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K
Faith Jr High School	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	\$12K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K
Faulkton Elementary School	\$6K	\$14K	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	\$8K	\$8K	\$5K	\$10K	\$5K
Faulkton High School	\$6K	\$14K	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	\$8K	\$8K	\$5K	\$10K	\$5K
Faulkton Jr High School	\$6K	\$14K	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	\$8K	\$8K	\$5K	\$10K	\$5K
Flex	–	–	–	\$2K	\$1K	\$4K	\$2K	\$88	\$1K	\$50K
Florence Elementary School	\$5K	\$5K	\$10K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$16K	\$6K	\$6K
Florence High School	\$5K	\$5K	\$10K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$13K	\$6K	\$6K
Florence Middle School	\$5K	\$5K	\$10K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$13K	\$6K	\$6K
Frederick Elementary School	\$1K	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$6K	\$7K	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K
Frederick High School	\$1K	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$6K	\$7K	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K
Frederick Junior High School	\$1K	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$6K	\$7K	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K
G.B. Rogers Elementary School	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K	\$29K	\$13K	\$5K	\$58K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K
Garfield Elementary School	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$426
Garfield Elementary School	\$3K	\$56K	\$4K	\$4K	\$3K	\$10K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$66K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient (continued)

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Garretson Elementary School	\$3K	\$7K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$5K	\$3K	\$8K	\$4K	\$4K
Garretson High School	\$3K	\$7K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$5K	\$3K	\$8K	\$4K	\$4K
Garretson Middle School	\$3K	\$7K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$5K	\$3K	\$8K	\$4K	\$4K
George MCGovern Middle School	\$3K	\$55K	\$4K	\$4K	\$3K	\$10K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$66K
Gettysburg Elementary School	\$6K	\$12K	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	\$8K	\$12K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K
Gettysburg High School	\$6K	\$12K	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	\$8K	\$12K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K
Gettysburg Junior High School	\$6K	\$12K	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	\$8K	\$12K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K
Golden View Colony School	–	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	\$93	\$3K
Grant-Deuel School District 25-3	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$8K
Grass Ranch Colony Hg Schl	–	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$724	\$172	\$487
Grass Ranch Colony School	–	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$724	\$172	\$487
Grassland Elementary School	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$93	\$247
Gregory Elementary School	\$7K	\$3K	\$9K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$16K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K
Gregory High School	\$7K	\$3K	\$9K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$16K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K
Gregory Jr High School	\$7K	\$3K	\$9K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$16K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K
Groton Elementary School	–	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	\$93	\$247
Groton High School	\$2K	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K
Groton Middle School	\$2K	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K
Gs Mickelson Middle	\$4K	\$14K	\$11K	\$11K	\$8K	\$5K	\$7K	\$5K	\$5K	\$8K
Handley Recreation Center	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$247
Hanson Colony Alternative	–	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	\$93	\$247
Hanson Elementary School	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$3K	\$4K	\$3K
Hanson High School	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$3K	\$4K	\$3K
Hanson Middle School	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$3K	\$4K	\$3K
Harding County High School	\$12K	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K
Harding County Ms	\$12K	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K
Harrisburg Schools Bus Garage	–	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K
Harvey Dunn Elementary School	\$3K	\$56K	\$4K	\$4K	\$3K	\$10K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$66K
Hawthorne Elementary School	\$3K	\$56K	\$4K	\$4K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$66K
Hayward Elementary School	\$3K	\$56K	\$4K	\$4K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$66K
Henry Elementary School	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$9K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$4K	\$4K	\$6K
Henry High School	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$9K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$4K	\$4K	\$6K
Henry Middle School	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$9K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$4K	\$4K	\$6K
Hermosa School	\$3K	\$6K	\$19K	\$14K	\$33K	\$15K	\$19K	\$18K	\$11K	\$10K
Herreid Elementary School	\$2K	\$13K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$4K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K
Herreid High School	\$2K	\$13K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$4K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K
Herreid Middle School	\$2K	\$13K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$4K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K
Highmore Elementary School	\$1K	\$1K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K
Highmore High School	\$1K	\$1K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K
Highmore Junior High School	\$1K	\$1K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K
Hill City Elementary School	\$3K	\$21K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$15K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K
Hill City High School	\$3K	\$21K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$15K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient (continued)

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Hill City Middle School	\$3K	\$21K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$15K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K
Hillcrest Colony Elementary	–	–	\$198	\$1K	\$239	\$510	\$5K	\$421	\$759	–
Hillcrest Elementary School	\$4K	\$14K	\$11K	\$11K	\$8K	\$5K	\$7K	\$5K	\$5K	\$8K
Hillside Colony Elementary School	–	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$421	–	\$374
Hillside Colony High School	–	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$421	–	–
Hitchcock-Tulare School District 56-6	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$7K
Hot Springs Elementary School	–	–	\$198	\$13K	\$18K	\$183	\$187	\$88	\$93	\$6K
Hot Springs High School	–	–	\$198	\$13K	\$18K	\$183	\$187	\$88	\$93	\$247
Hot Springs Middle School	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	\$20K	\$25K	\$8K	\$8K	\$23K	\$17K	\$247
Howard Elementary School	\$5K	\$18K	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	\$20K	\$7K	\$6K	\$6K	\$3K
Howard High School	\$3K	\$15K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$17K	\$4K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K
Howard Jr High School	\$3K	\$15K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$17K	\$4K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K
Interior Elementary School	\$7K	\$9K	\$21K	\$8K	\$8K	\$9K	\$9K	\$12K	\$13K	\$7K
Ipswich Elementary School	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K
Ipswich High School	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K
Ipswich Middle School	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K
Irene Public Library	\$1K	\$1K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	–	–	–
Irene-Wakonda Elementary School	\$7K	\$1K	\$10K	\$10K	\$14K	\$9K	\$22K	\$17K	\$20K	\$19K
Irene-Wakonda High School	\$17K	\$1K	\$3K	\$4K	\$7K	\$7K	\$11K	\$7K	\$9K	\$9K
Irene-Wakonda Junior High School	\$17K	\$1K	\$3K	\$4K	\$7K	\$7K	\$11K	\$7K	\$9K	\$9K
Iroquois Elementary School	\$1K	\$17K	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$7K
Iroquois High School	\$1K	\$17K	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$8K
Iroquois Middle School	\$1K	\$17K	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K
Jefferson High School	\$6K	\$59K	\$8K	\$9K	\$7K	\$168K	–	–	–	–
Joe Foss School	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$93	\$247
John F. Kenndey Elementary School	\$3K	\$56K	\$4K	\$4K	\$3K	\$10K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$66K
John Harris Elementary School	\$3K	\$56K	\$4K	\$4K	\$3K	\$10K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$66K
Jolley Elementary School	–	\$2K	\$10K	\$19K	\$3K	\$3K	\$13K	\$2K	\$3K	\$16K
Kadoka Area High School	\$3K	\$5K	\$18K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$6K
Kadoka Area Middle School	\$3K	\$5K	\$18K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	–
Kadoka Elementary School	\$3K	\$5K	\$18K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$6K
Kennebec Elementary	\$12K	\$6K	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	\$8K	\$10K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K
Kimball Elementary School	\$5K	\$4K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$7K	\$6K	\$5K	\$6K
Kimball High School	\$5K	\$4K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$7K	\$6K	\$5K	\$6K
Kimball Ms	\$5K	\$4K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$7K	\$6K	\$5K	\$6K
Klein Elementary School	–	–	\$198	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$93	\$2K
Lake Preston Elementary School	\$1K	\$9K	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$6K	\$2K	\$6K	\$1K	\$2K
Lake Preston High School	\$1K	\$9K	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$6K	\$2K	\$6K	\$1K	\$2K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient (continued)

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Lake Preston Middle School	\$1K	\$9K	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$6K	\$2K	\$6K	\$1K	\$2K
Langford Elementary School	\$6K	\$6K	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	\$8K	\$17K	\$5K	\$3K	\$5K
Langford High School	\$6K	\$6K	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	\$8K	\$17K	\$5K	\$3K	\$5K
Langford Middle School	\$6K	\$6K	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	\$8K	\$17K	\$5K	\$3K	\$5K
Laura B Anderson Elementary School	\$3K	\$56K	\$4K	\$4K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$66K
Laura Wilder Elementary School	\$3K	\$56K	\$4K	\$4K	\$3K	\$10K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$66K
Lawrence Elementary School	–	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	\$5K	\$1K
Lead-Deadwood High School	\$70K	\$2K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$23K	\$18K	\$5K	\$6K	\$3K
Lead/Deadwood Career & Technical Campus	–	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	–	–
Lemmon High School	\$4K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$22K	\$5K	\$5K	\$4K	\$4K	\$3K
Lemmon Elementary School	–	–	\$198	\$224	\$18K	\$183	\$187	\$88	\$93	\$567
Lemmon Jr. High School	\$4K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$22K	\$5K	\$5K	\$4K	\$4K	\$3K
Leola Elementary School	\$6K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$1K	\$1K
Leola High School	\$6K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$1K	\$1K
Leola Ms	\$6K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$1K	\$1K
Lincoln High School	\$6K	\$59K	\$8K	\$9K	\$8K	\$16K	\$8K	\$7K	\$8K	\$69K
Littleburg Elementary School	–	–	\$198	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$93	\$2K
Longvalley Elementary School	\$7K	\$9K	\$21K	\$8K	\$8K	\$9K	\$9K	\$12K	\$12K	\$6K
Lowell Elementary School	\$3K	\$56K	\$4K	\$4K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$66K
Ludlow Elementary School	–	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	\$93	\$247
Lyman High School	\$6K	\$12K	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	\$8K	\$8K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K
Lyman Middle School	\$6K	\$6K	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	\$8K	\$8K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K
Madison Central School District 39-2 Admin	–	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	\$1K	\$2K
Maintenance Shop	–	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$3K	–	–	–
Maintenance Shop	–	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	\$189	\$605
Marcella Lebeau Elementary School	\$3K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Marion Elementary School	\$939	\$892	\$16K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$4K	\$4K	\$7K
Marion High School	\$939	\$892	\$16K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$4K	\$4K	\$7K
Marion Middle School	\$939	\$892	\$16K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$4K	\$4K	\$7K
Marion Public Library	\$939	\$892	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	–	–	–
Maurine Elementary School	–	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	\$142	\$1K
Mccook Central Elementary School	\$1K	\$1K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$11K	\$3K	\$4K	\$6K
Mccook Central High School	\$1K	\$1K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$11K	\$3K	\$4K	\$6K
Mccook Central Middle School	\$1K	\$1K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$11K	\$3K	\$4K	\$6K
Mcintosh Elem School	\$16K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$15K	\$3K	\$3K	\$2K	\$4K
Mcintosh High School	\$16K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$15K	\$3K	\$3K	\$2K	\$4K
Mcintosh Jr High	\$16K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$15K	\$3K	\$3K	\$2K	\$4K
Mclaughlin Elementary School	\$10K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$8K	\$10K	\$10K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient (continued)

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Meade Business Office	–	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	\$189	\$2K
Medary Elementary School	\$4K	\$14K	\$11K	\$11K	\$8K	\$5K	\$7K	\$5K	\$5K	\$8K
Memorial Middle School	\$3K	\$56K	\$4K	\$4K	\$3K	\$10K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$66K
Menno Elementary School	\$1K	\$13K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K	\$3K	\$4K	\$6K	\$8K	\$6K
Menno High School	\$1K	\$13K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K	\$3K	\$4K	\$6K	\$8K	\$6K
Menno Middle School	\$1K	\$13K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K	\$3K	\$4K	\$6K	\$8K	\$6K
Middle School Immersion Center	–	\$43K	\$1K	\$1K	\$147	\$651	\$187	\$88	\$93	\$46K
Midland Elementary School	\$2K	\$5K	\$19K	\$6K	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	\$12K	\$13K	\$7K
Milbank High School	\$2K	\$1K	\$14K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$21K	\$2K	\$8K	\$3K
Milbank Middle School	\$2K	\$1K	\$14K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$21K	\$2K	\$8K	\$3K
Milesville Elementary School	–	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	\$93	–
Millbrook Colony Elem School	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$2K	\$3K	\$2K
Miller Elementary School	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$9K	\$2K	\$93	\$404
Miller High School	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$9K	\$2K	\$4K	\$4K
Miller Junior High	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$9K	\$2K	\$4K	\$4K
Millerdale Colony Elem School	–	\$134	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	\$93	\$404
Mitchell Middle School	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K	\$29K	\$13K	\$5K	\$58K	\$5K	\$4K	\$5K
Mobridge High School	\$4K	\$17K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$10K	\$2K	\$3K	\$4K
Mobridge Middle School	\$4K	\$17K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$10K	\$2K	\$3K	\$4K
Mobridge Upper Elementary School	\$5K	\$17K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$10K	\$2K	\$1K	\$2K
Montrose 4-6	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$5K	\$6K
Montrose Elementary School	\$1K	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$5K	\$93	\$6K
Montrose High School	\$1K	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K
Montrose Junior High School	\$1K	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K
Newell Elementary School	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$11K	\$2K	\$5K	\$3K
Newell High School	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$11K	\$2K	\$3K	\$3K
Newell Middle School	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$11K	\$2K	\$5K	\$3K
Newell School Bus Garage	–	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	\$372	\$785
Newport Colony Elementary School	–	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	\$93	\$247
Norris Elementary School	\$15K	\$8K	\$10K	\$10K	\$10K	\$11K	\$12K	\$13K	\$14K	\$8K
Northwestern Elementary School	\$2K	\$6K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$6K	\$4K	\$2K	\$3K
Northwestern High School	\$2K	\$6K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$6K	\$4K	\$2K	\$3K
Northwestern Middle School	\$2K	\$6K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$6K	\$4K	\$2K	\$3K
Oahe Headstart- Jones County Pre-School	–	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	\$1K	\$1K
Oaklane Colony Elem School	–	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	\$93	\$247
Oelrichs Elementary School	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$25K	\$6K	\$4K	\$4K	\$5K	\$15K
Oelrichs High School	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$25K	\$6K	\$4K	\$4K	\$5K	\$15K
Oelrichs Jr. High School	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$25K	\$6K	\$4K	\$4K	\$5K	\$15K
Oglala Lakota County Alternative School	–	–	–	\$224	\$2K	\$183	\$187	\$9K	\$2K	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient (continued)

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Oldham-Ramona Elementary	–	–	–	\$3K	\$6K	\$11K	\$3K	\$2K	\$93	\$4K
Oldham-Ramona High School	–	–	–	\$3K	\$6K	\$11K	\$3K	\$2K	\$6K	\$4K
Oldham-Ramona Junior High	–	–	–	\$3K	\$6K	\$11K	\$3K	\$2K	\$93	\$4K
Onida Elementary School	\$6K	\$6K	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	\$8K	\$8K	\$3K	\$3K	–
Orland Colony	–	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$813	\$187	\$507	–	\$2K
Orr Elementary School	\$9K	\$10K	\$8K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Orr High School	\$9K	\$10K	\$8K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Orr Jr. High School	\$9K	\$10K	\$8K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Oscar Howe Elementary School	\$3K	\$56K	\$4K	\$4K	\$3K	\$10K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$66K
Parker Elementary School	\$1K	\$4K	\$1K	\$2K	\$3K	\$2K	\$9K	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K
Parker High School	\$1K	\$4K	\$1K	\$2K	\$3K	\$2K	\$9K	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K
Parker Junior High School	\$1K	\$4K	\$1K	\$2K	\$3K	\$2K	\$9K	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K
Patrick Henry Middle School	\$3K	\$56K	\$4K	\$4K	\$3K	\$10K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$66K
Pease Creek Colony	–	–	–	–	\$239	\$183	\$6K	\$3K	\$908	\$247
Pembroke Colony School	–	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	\$93	\$696
Philip Elementary School	\$1K	\$1K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$6K	\$6K	\$7K
Philip High School	\$1K	\$1K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$6K	\$6K	\$7K
Philip Jr. High School	\$1K	\$1K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K
Pierre Sd Mnt Blg At Erskine	\$3K	\$3K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$2K	\$3K
Plankinton Community Library	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	–	–	–
Platte Colony School	–	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	\$93	\$892
Platte-Geddes Elementary School	–	–	\$198	\$224	\$8K	\$3K	\$187	\$88	\$93	\$6K
Platte-Geddes High School	\$8K	\$8K	\$8K	\$9K	\$16K	\$12K	\$9K	\$9K	\$11K	\$21K
Platte-Geddes Junior High School	\$8K	\$8K	\$8K	\$9K	\$16K	\$12K	\$9K	\$9K	\$11K	\$21K
Pleasant Valley Colony Elementary	–	–	\$109	\$133	–	–	–	–	–	–
Presho Elementary School	–	–	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	\$8K	\$8K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K
Project Search - Avera Mckennan	–	–	\$109	\$133	–	–	–	–	–	–
R.F. Pettigrew Elementary	\$3K	\$56K	\$4K	\$4K	\$3K	\$10K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$66K
Rambler Stop	–	–	–	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	\$178	\$430
Rcas It Department	\$9K	\$9K	\$14K	\$14K	\$15K	\$9K	\$13K	\$3K	\$3K	\$12K
Red Shirt Elem School	\$21K	\$12K	\$24K	\$16K	\$16K	\$15K	\$22K	\$15K	\$17K	\$13K
Redfield Middle School	\$3K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$3K	\$1K	\$7K	\$2K	\$2K
Renberg Elementary School	\$3K	\$56K	\$9K	\$9K	\$8K	\$11K	\$8K	\$4K	\$4K	\$66K
Robert Frost Elementary School	\$1K	\$55K	\$4K	\$4K	\$3K	\$6K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$66K
Roosevelt High School	\$6K	\$59K	\$8K	\$9K	\$8K	\$16K	\$8K	\$7K	\$14K	\$69K
Rosa Parks Elementary	\$3K	\$56K	\$4K	\$4K	\$3K	\$10K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$66K
Rosette Colony School	–	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	\$93	\$696
Rutland Elementary School	–	–	–	\$6K	\$7K	\$9K	\$7K	\$5K	\$5K	\$7K
Rutland High School	–	–	–	\$6K	\$7K	\$9K	\$7K	\$5K	\$5K	\$7K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient (continued)

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Rutland Jr High School	–	–	–	\$6K	\$7K	\$9K	\$7K	\$5K	\$5K	\$7K
Sanborn Central Elementary School	\$4K	\$3K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$4K	\$6K
Sanborn Central High School	\$4K	\$3K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$4K	\$6K
Sanborn Central Middle School	\$4K	\$3K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$4K	\$6K
Scotland Elementary School	\$5K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$11K	\$6K	\$6K
Scotland High School	\$5K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$11K	\$6K	\$6K
Scotland Middle School	\$5K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$11K	\$6K	\$6K
Scotland Public Library	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	–	–	–
Selby Elementary School	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$865	\$904	\$2K
Selby High School	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$865	\$904	\$2K
Selby Jr High School	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$865	\$904	\$2K
Sequel Transition And Falls Academy	–	–	\$109	\$133	–	–	–	–	–	–
Shannon Co School Admin Offices	–	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$2K	\$4K	–
Sherwood Memorial Library	\$3K	\$923	\$109	\$133	\$147	\$183	\$187	–	–	–
Silver Lake Colony	–	–	\$198	\$1K	\$239	\$510	\$5K	\$88	\$665	\$374
Sioux Falls School Dist. 49-5 Instructional Planning Center	\$3K	\$2K	\$6K	\$6K	\$3K	\$3K	\$5K	\$4K	\$4K	–
Sisseton High School	\$9K	\$9K	\$10K	\$10K	\$10K	\$11K	\$11K	\$38K	\$7K	\$7K
Sisseton Middle School	\$9K	\$9K	\$10K	\$10K	\$10K	\$11K	\$11K	\$27K	\$7K	\$7K
Sonia Sotomayor Elementary	\$3K	\$56K	\$4K	\$4K	\$3K	\$10K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$62K
South Central Elementary School	\$9K	\$8K	\$10K	\$10K	\$10K	\$11K	\$11K	\$4K	\$4K	\$6K
South Central High School	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$187	\$4K	\$4K	\$6K
South Central Middle School	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$187	\$4K	\$4K	\$6K
South Elementary School	–	–	\$198	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K	\$93	\$3K
Spring Lake Colony High School	–	–	–	\$2K	\$5K	\$2K	\$187	\$88	\$93	\$2K
Spring Lake Colony School	\$3K	\$4K	\$1K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Spring Lake Colony School	–	–	–	\$2K	\$5K	\$2K	\$187	\$88	\$93	\$3K
Stanley County High School	\$1K	\$17K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	–
Stanley County Middle School	\$1K	\$17K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	–
Stanley County School District 57-1	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$3K
Structured Teaching Program	\$1K	\$55K	\$2K	\$2K	\$1K	\$9K	\$2K	\$88	\$1K	\$46K
Sturgis Intermediate School	–	–	–	–	\$239	\$3K	\$187	\$88	\$189	–
Success Academy	–	–	\$2K	\$2K	\$1K	\$9K	\$2K	\$4K	\$1K	\$46K
Sully Buttes Elementary School	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$6K
Sully Buttes High School	\$6K	\$6K	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	\$8K	\$8K	\$3K	\$3K	\$6K
Sully Buttes Jr High School	\$6K	\$6K	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	\$8K	\$8K	\$3K	\$3K	\$6K
Summit Elementary K-8	\$7K	\$6K	\$13K	\$8K	\$8K	\$8K	\$26K	\$7K	\$8K	\$8K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient (continued)

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Susan B. Anthony Elementary School	\$3K	\$56K	\$4K	\$4K	\$3K	\$10K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$66K
Teachwell	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$842	\$899	\$843	\$847	\$1K	–	–
Teachwell Academy High School	–	–	\$198	\$842	\$899	\$843	\$847	\$88	–	\$1K
Teachwell Academy Ms	–	–	\$198	\$842	\$899	\$843	\$847	\$1K	–	–
Terry Redlin Elementary	\$3K	\$56K	\$4K	\$4K	\$3K	\$10K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$66K
Tiger Stadium	–	–	\$109	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Timber Lake Elementary School	\$5K	\$10K	\$16K	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	\$8K	\$10K	\$15K	\$8K
Timber Lake High School	\$5K	\$10K	\$16K	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	\$8K	\$10K	\$15K	\$8K
Todd County Elementary School	–	–	\$198	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K	\$93	\$3K
Todd County High School	\$23K	\$22K	\$26K	\$47K	\$29K	\$31K	\$13K	\$25K	\$17K	\$19K
Todd County Middle School	–	–	\$198	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K	\$93	\$3K
Transportation / Maintenance	–	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$3K	\$88	\$267	\$806
Tri Valley Elementary School	\$1K	\$1K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$18K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K
Tri Valley High School	\$1K	\$36K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$18K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K
Tri-Valley Elementary In Crooks	\$3K	\$2K	\$5K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Tri-Valley Jr. High School	\$1K	\$1K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$18K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K
Tripp (City Of) Public Library	\$4K	\$4K	\$109	\$133	\$147	\$5K	–	–	–	–
Upland Colony	–	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	–	–
Vermillion Alternative School	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$3K
Vermillion High School	\$9K	\$5K	\$17K	\$26K	\$11K	\$11K	\$25K	\$7K	\$10K	\$22K
Vermillion Middle School	\$7K	\$2K	\$10K	\$19K	\$3K	\$3K	\$13K	\$2K	\$3K	\$16K
Viborg-Hurley Elementary School	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$13K	\$5K	\$7K	\$9K
Viborg-Hurley High School	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$13K	\$5K	\$7K	\$9K
Viborg-Hurley Ms	\$3K	\$2K	\$10K	\$10K	\$10K	\$11K	\$14K	\$10K	\$12K	\$9K
Wagner Early Learning Center	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$31K	\$6K	\$2K	–
Wagner Elementary School	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$31K	\$6K	\$7K	\$9K
Wagner High School	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$31K	\$6K	\$6K	\$9K
Wagner Middle School	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$31K	\$6K	\$7K	\$9K
Wakonda Public Library	\$1K	\$1K	\$109	–	–	\$6K	\$6K	–	–	–
Wakpala Elementary School	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$7K	\$8K	\$14K	\$2K	\$3K	\$4K
Wakpala High School	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$7K	\$8K	\$14K	\$2K	\$3K	\$4K
Wakpala Junior High	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$7K	\$8K	\$14K	\$2K	\$3K	\$4K
Warner School District 6-5	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$3K
Washington High School	\$6K	\$59K	\$8K	\$9K	\$8K	\$16K	\$9K	\$7K	\$93	\$69K
Watertown High School	\$33K	\$5K	\$7K	\$8K	\$8K	\$8K	\$12K	\$16K	\$6K	\$18K
Waubay Elementary School	\$21K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$8K	\$865	\$2K	\$3K
Waubay High School	\$21K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$8K	\$865	\$2K	\$3K
Waubay Middle School	\$21K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$8K	\$865	\$2K	\$3K
Waverly School District 14-5	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$3K
Waverly-South Shore Elementary	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$10K	\$2K	\$2K	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient (continued)

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Waverly-South Shore Hs	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$6K	\$2K	\$2K	–
Waverly-South Shore Ms	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$6K	\$2K	\$2K	–
Webster Area Elementary School	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$19K	\$2K	\$1K	–
Webster Area High School	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$15K	\$2K	\$1K	–
Webster Area Middle School	\$1K	\$1K	\$56K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$11K	\$2K	\$1K	–
Webster Area School District 18-4	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$3K
West Central Administrative Office	–	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	\$93	\$608
West Central Hartford Elementary School	–	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K
West Central High School	\$2K	\$2K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$19K	\$19K	\$11K
West Central Humbolt Elementary School	–	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K
West Central Middle School	\$2K	\$2K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$16K	\$16K	\$7K
West Central School District 49-7 Athletic Building	–	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	\$93	\$608
West Central School District 49-7 Bus Barn	–	–	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	\$93	\$608
Westside Elementary School	\$18K	\$17K	\$20K	\$20K	\$21K	\$22K	\$22K	\$46K	\$14K	\$7K
White Lake Elementary School	\$5K	\$4K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K
White Lake High School	\$5K	\$4K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K
White Lake Junior High School	\$5K	\$4K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K
White River Elementary School	\$10K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$5K	\$9K	\$8K
White River High School	\$10K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$5K	\$6K	\$8K
White River Middle School	\$10K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$5K	\$5K	\$9K	\$8K
Whittier Middle School	\$3K	\$56K	\$4K	\$4K	\$3K	\$10K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$66K
Willow Lake Elementary School	\$5K	\$5K	\$19K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$7K	\$6K	\$7K	\$7K
Willow Lake High School	\$5K	\$5K	\$19K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$7K	\$6K	\$7K	\$7K
Willow Lake Jr. High School	\$5K	\$5K	\$19K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$7K	\$6K	\$7K	\$7K
Wilmot Elementary School	\$7K	\$18K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$10K	\$5K	\$4K	\$6K
Wilmot High School	\$7K	\$18K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$10K	\$5K	\$4K	\$6K
Wilmot Ms	\$7K	\$18K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$10K	\$5K	\$4K	\$6K
Winner Middle School	\$4K	\$36K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$6K	\$10K	\$10K	\$24K
Wolsey-Wessington Elementary	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$2K	\$3K
Wolsey-Wessington High School	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$2K	\$3K
Wolsey-Wessington Middle School	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$2K	\$3K
Wood Elementary School	–	–	\$8K	\$8K	\$8K	\$9K	\$11K	\$12K	\$12K	\$6K
Woonsocket Elementary School	\$5K	\$5K	\$9K	\$9K	\$9K	\$10K	\$10K	\$8K	\$8K	\$6K
Woonsocket High School	\$5K	\$5K	\$9K	\$9K	\$9K	\$10K	\$10K	\$8K	\$8K	\$6K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdump-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Aberdeen Alternative School	Aberdeen	63%	2	2	– Urban
Administration Building	Vermillion	63%	–	–	– —
Administration Building	Martin	63%	–	–	– —
Administrative Building	Lennox	63%	–	–	– —
Adventure Elementary School	Sioux Falls	64%	483	45	– Rural
Alcester Hudson Jr High	Alcester	64%	53	15	– Rural
Alcester Public Library	Alcester	64%	–	–	3,400 Rural
Alcester-Hudson High School	Alcester	64%	99	18	– Rural
All City Elementary School	Sioux Falls	64%	150	32	– Urban
Alternative/Central Services	Harrisburg	63%	–	–	– —
Andes Central Jr-Sr High Sch	Lake Andes	64%	125	125	– Rural
Anne Sullivan Elem School	Sioux Falls	64%	607	511	– Urban
Arise Shelter Care	Sioux Falls	63%	–	–	– —
Arlington Elementary School	Arlington	64%	150	22	– Rural
Arlington High School	Arlington	64%	76	13	– Rural
Arlington Jr. High School	Arlington	64%	49	11	– Rural
Armour High School	Armour	64%	56	9	– Rural
Athletic Building	Lennox	63%	–	–	– —
Austin Elementary School	Vermillion	63%	232	84	– Rural
Axtell Park Middle School	Sioux Falls	64%	35	32	– Urban
Baltic Elementary School	Baltic	64%	280	42	– Rural
Baltic High School	Baltic	64%	143	11	– Rural
Baltic Middle School	Baltic	64%	123	24	– Rural
Baltic School District 49-1	Baltic	67%	477,495	10,795	– Urban
Belle Fourche District Maintenance Bldg	Belle Fourche	63%	–	–	– —
Ben Reifel Middle School	Sioux Falls,	64%	911	407	– Urban

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Recipient Demographics (latest profile) (continued)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Bennett County Middle School	Martin	64%	89	78	–	Rural
Bennett County Public Library	Martin	64%	–	–	3,263	Rural
Bennett County School District Bus Garage	Martin	63%	–	–	–	–
Beresford Elementary School	Beresford	64%	278	63	–	Rural
Big Stone City Elem School	Big Stone City	63%	55	34	–	Rural
Big Stone City Middle School	Big Stone City	63%	16	5	–	Rural
Bison Elementary School	Bison	64%	61	21	–	Rural
Bison High School	Bison	64%	37	4	–	Rural
Bison Jr High School	Bison	64%	26	3	–	Rural
Blumengard Colony School	Faulkton	63%	31	0	–	Rural
Blunt Elementary School	Blunt	63%	14	7	–	Rural
Bobcat Plains Academy High School	Brookings	64%	0	0	–	Rural
Bobcat Plains Academy Middle School	Brookings	64%	0	0	–	Rural
Bowdle High School	Bowdle	64%	24	7	–	Rural
Bowdle Elementary School	Bowdle	64%	45	24	–	Rural
Bowdle Jr. High School	Bowdle	64%	20	14	–	Rural
Brentwood Colony School	Faulkton	63%	19	0	–	Rural
Bridges At Horace Mann	Sioux Falls	64%	71	64	–	Urban
Bridgewater Emery Elementary School	Bridgewater	64%	135	34	–	Rural
Bridgewater-Emery Middle School	Emery	64%	86	24	–	Rural
Bridgewater-Emery High School	Emery	64%	95	23	–	Rural
Brookings Bus Garage	Brookings	64%	–	–	–	–
Brookings High School	Brookings	64%	985	172	–	Rural
Brookings School Administration	Brookings	64%	–	–	–	–
Buffalo Elementary School	Buffalo	64%	102	28	–	Rural
Burke Elementary School	Burke	63%	98	29	–	Rural
Burke High School	Burke	64%	90	15	–	Rural

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmf-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Recipient Demographics (latest profile) (continued)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Burke Middle School	Burke	64%	59	23	– Rural
Burke School Shop	Burke	63%	–	–	– —
Bus Barn	Lennox	63%	–	–	– —
Camelot Intermediate School	Brookings	64%	537	126	– Rural
Cameron Colony Elementary	Viborg	63%	13	0	– Rural
Camp Crook Elementary School	Buffalo	63%	8	0	– Rural
Camridge Colony School	Rutland	65%	22	7	– Rural
Camrose Colony Elementary School	Frankfort	63%	12	12	– Rural
Canistota Elementary	Canistota	64%	143	36	– Rural
Canistota High School	Canistota	64%	101	23	– Rural
Canistota Middle School	Canistota	64%	66	23	– Rural
Canton High School	Canton	64%	285	48	– Rural
Canton Middle School	Canton	64%	200	45	– Rural
Career And Technology Academy	Sioux Falls	64%	1	0	– Urban
Castlewood Elementary School	Castlewood	64%	159	30	– Rural
Castlewood High School	Castlewood	64%	117	14	– Rural
Castlewood Middle/Jr. High	Castlewood	64%	81	13	– Rural
Cedar Grove Colony School	Platte	63%	42	0	– Rural
Centerville Community Library	Centerville	64%	–	–	4,800 Rural
Centerville Elementary School	Centerville	64%	112	29	– Rural
Centerville High School	Centerville	64%	89	11	– Rural
Centerville Ms	Centerville	64%	50	11	– Rural
Central Copy Office	Sturgis	63%	–	–	– —
Challenge Center	Sioux Falls	64%	150	27	– Urban
Chamberlain Elementary School	Chamberlain	64%	411	227	– Rural
Chester Area Elementary School	Chester	64%	146	39	– Rural
Chester Area High School	Chester	64%	207	45	– Rural

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Recipient Demographics (latest profile) (continued)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Cheyenne Elementary School	Hayes	63%	10	0	– Rural
Claremont Colony School	Castlewood	63%	5	3	– Rural
Clark Elementary School	Clark	64%	214	58	– Rural
Clark High School	Clark	64%	128	38	– Rural
Clark Middle School	Clark	64%	78	27	– Rural
Clear Lake Elementary School	Clear Lake	64%	268	64	– Rural
Clear Lake Middle School	Clear Lake	64%	105	27	– Rural
Cleveland Elementary School	Sioux Falls	64%	474	401	– Urban
Colman Elementary School - 03	Colman	64%	170	34	– Rural
Colman-Egan High School - 01	Colman	64%	93	29	– Rural
Colman-Egan Jr. High School - 02	Colman	64%	43	12	– Rural
Colman-Egan School District 50-5 Admin	Colman	63%	–	–	– —
Colome Elementary School	Colome	64%	79	43	– Rural
Colome High School	Colome	64%	43	22	– Rural
Colome Jr High School	Colome	64%	37	26	– Rural
Core Educational Cooperative	Platte	64%	20	0	– Rural
Corsica-Stickney Elementary School 21-3	Stickney	64%	124	34	– Rural
Corsica-Stickney High School 21-3	Corsica	64%	72	12	– Rural
Corsica-Stickney Jr. High 21-3	Corsica	64%	52	16	– Rural
Custer High School	Custer	64%	277	60	– Rural
Custer Jr High School	Custer	64%	98	27	– Rural
Custer School Administration Building	Custer	64%	–	–	– —
Dakota Prairie Elementary	Brookings	64%	449	58	– Rural
Dakota Valley High School	North Sioux City	64%	418	44	– Rural
Dakota Valley Middle School	North Sioux City	64%	324	41	– Rural

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdump-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Recipient Demographics (latest profile) (continued)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Dakota Valley Upper Elementary School	North Sioux City	64%	174	25	—	Urban
Dave Broadie Field (Stadium)	Box Elder	63%	—	—	—	—
Deep Creek Rural Elementary School	Midland	67%	2	0	—	Rural
Deerfield Colony School	Leola	63%	23	0	—	Rural
Deubrook High School	White	64%	104	10	—	Rural
Deubrook Jhs	White	64%	62	10	—	Rural
Deuel High School	Clear Lake	64%	165	35	—	Rural
Discovery Elementary	Sioux Falls	64%	843	184	—	Urban
District Education Center (Administrator)	Tea	63%	—	—	—	—
Doland Elementary School	Doland	64%	39	13	—	Rural
Doland High School	Doland	64%	25	7	—	Rural
Doland Jr High School	Doland	64%	17	3	—	Rural
Doland School District 56-2	Doland	67%	169	103	—	Rural
Doug Kraft Field	Timber Lake	63%	—	—	—	—
Douglas Administration Building	Box Elder	63%	—	—	—	—
Douglas Computer Center	Box Elder	64%	—	—	—	—
E.A.G.L.E. Center High School -07	Eagle Butte	63%	2	2	—	Rural
E.A.G.L.E. Center Middle School -09	Eagle Butte	63%	10	10	—	Rural
Eagle Butte High School	Eagle Butte	63%	9	9	—	Rural
Eagle Butte Jr High School	Eagle Butte	63%	5	5	—	Rural
Eagle Butte Primary	Eagle Butte	63%	86	78	—	Rural
Eagle Butte Upper Elem School	Eagle Butte	64%	472	462	—	Rural
Eagle Pride Alternative School	Lake Andes	66%	0	0	—	Rural
Edgemont Elementary School	Edgemont	64%	80	41	—	Rural
Edgemont High School	Edgemont	64%	26	15	—	Rural
Edison Middle School	Sioux Falls	64%	891	453	—	Urban

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Recipient Demographics (latest profile) (continued)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Edmunds Central Elementary School	Roscoe	64%	63	31	—	Rural
Edmunds Central High Sch	Roscoe	64%	65	24	—	Rural
Edmunds Central Middle School	Roscoe	64%	49	23	—	Rural
Elementary Immersion Center	Sioux Falls	64%	79	79	—	Urban
Elk Mountain Elem School	Dewey	64%	11	0	—	Rural
Elk Mountain High School	Dewey	64%	3	0	—	Rural
Elk Point City Library	Elk Point	64%	—	—	5,458	Rural
Elk Point-Jefferson High Sch	Elk Point	64%	159	20	—	Rural
Elk Point-Jefferson Middle School	Elk Point	64%	159	20	—	Rural
Elkton Colony-Newdale	Elkton	63%	23	0	—	Rural
Emery Elementary School	Emery	67%	0	0	—	Rural
Enning Elementary School	Sturgis	67%	25	0	—	Rural
Estelline High School	Estelline	64%	83	16	—	Rural
Estelline Junior High School	Estelline	67%	39	11	—	Rural
Estelline Middle School	Estelline	64%	63	19	—	Rural
Ethan Elementary School	Ethan	64%	148	39	—	Rural
Ethan High School	Ethan	64%	84	12	—	Rural
Ethan Jr. High School	Ethan	64%	50	10	—	Rural
Eugene Field A+ Elementary School	Sioux Falls	64%	428	191	—	Urban
Eureka Elementary School	Eureka	64%	105	41	—	Rural
Eureka High School	Eureka	64%	55	9	—	Rural
Eureka Middle School	Eureka	64%	24	6	—	Rural
Eureka School Athletic Complex	Eureka	63%	—	—	—	—
Eureka School Bus Shed	Eureka	63%	—	—	—	—
Faith Elementary School	Faith	64%	85	27	—	Rural
Faith High School	Faith	64%	64	18	—	Rural
Faith Jr High School	Faith	64%	14	6	—	Rural
Faulkton Elementary School	Faulkton	64%	184	52	—	Rural
Faulkton High School	Faulkton	64%	79	20	—	Rural
Faulkton Jr High School	Faulkton	64%	58	23	—	Rural

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Recipient Demographics (latest profile) (continued)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Flex	Sioux Falls	65%	44	37		– Urban
Florence Elementary School	Florence	64%	197	61		– Rural
Florence High School	Florence	64%	114	26		– Rural
Florence Middle School	Florence	64%	95	28		– Rural
Frederick Elementary School	Frederick	64%	105	19		– Rural
Frederick High School	Frederick	64%	57	17		– Rural
Frederick Junior High School	Frederick	64%	25	4		– Rural
G.B. Rogers Elementary School	Mitchell	64%	382	179		– Rural
Garfield Elementary School	Watertown	67%	9	6		– Rural
Garfield Elementary School	Sioux Falls	64%	525	454		– Urban
Garretson Elementary School	Garretson	64%	253	61		– Rural
Garretson High School	Garretson	64%	131	26		– Rural
Garretson Middle School	Garretson	64%	114	19		– Rural
George Mcgovern Middle School	Sioux Falls	64%	838	631		– Urban
Gettysburg Elementary School	Gettysburg	64%	83	18		– Rural
Gettysburg High School	Gettysburg	64%	71	14		– Rural
Gettysburg Junior High School	Gettysburg	64%	58	11		– Rural
Golden View Colony School	Salem	63%	26	0		– Rural
Grant-Deuel School District 25-3	Reville	67%	71	36		– Rural
Grass Ranch Colony Hg Schl	Kimball	63%	12	12		– Rural
Grass Ranch Colony School	Kimball	63%	47	47		– Rural
Grassland Elementary School	Westport	67%	32	32		– Rural
Gregory Elementary School	Gregory	64%	238	87		– Rural
Gregory High School	Gregory	64%	116	36		– Rural
Gregory Jr High School	Gregory	64%	87	34		– Rural
Groton Elementary School	Groton	63%	268	52		– Rural

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Recipient Demographics (latest profile) (continued)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Groton High School	Groton	64%	158	16	– Rural
Groton Middle School	Groton	64%	140	25	– Rural
Gs Mickelson Middle	Brookings	64%	785	174	– Rural
Handley Recreation Center	Lead	67%	10	7	– Rural
Hanson Colony Alternative	Mitchell	63%	11	0	– Rural
Hanson Elementary School	Alexandria	64%	158	25	– Rural
Hanson High School	Alexandria	64%	117	12	– Rural
Hanson Middle School	Alexandria	64%	60	11	– Rural
Harding County High School	Buffalo	64%	68	14	– Rural
Harding County Ms	Buffalo	64%	63	20	– Rural
Harrisburg Schools Bus Garage	Sioux Falls	63%	–	–	– —
Harvey Dunn Elementary School	Sioux Falls	64%	654	232	– Urban
Hawthorne Elementary School	Sioux Falls	64%	344	279	– Urban
Hayward Elementary School	Sioux Falls	64%	692	584	– Urban
Henry Elementary School	Henry	64%	74	39	– Rural
Henry High School	Henry	64%	44	13	– Rural
Henry Middle School	Henry	64%	38	14	– Rural
Hermosa School	Hermosa	64%	188	52	– Rural
Herreid Elementary School	Herreid	64%	83	27	– Rural
Herreid High School	Herreid	64%	38	10	– Rural
Herreid Middle School	Herreid	64%	32	0	– Rural
Highmore Elementary School	Highmore	64%	156	42	– Rural
Highmore High School	Highmore	64%	64	15	– Rural
Highmore Junior High School	Highmore	64%	22	6	– Rural
Hill City Elementary School	Hill City	64%	229	68	– Rural
Hill City High School	Hill City	64%	162	29	– Rural
Hill City Middle School	Hill City	64%	124	34	– Rural
Hillcrest Colony Elementary	Garden City	63%	29	0	– Rural
Hillcrest Elementary School	Brookings	64%	254	90	– Rural

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Recipient Demographics (latest profile) (continued)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Hillside Colony Elementary School	Doland	63%	2	2	– Rural
Hillside Colony High School	Doland	63%	11	11	– Rural
Hitchcock-Tulare School District 56-6	Tulare	67%	216	80	– Rural
Hot Springs Elementary School	Hot Springs	63%	361	162	– Rural
Hot Springs High School	Hot Springs	63%	250	73	– Rural
Hot Springs Middle School	Hot Springs	64%	170	89	– Rural
Howard Elementary School	Howard	64%	169	31	– Rural
Howard High School	Howard	64%	96	10	– Rural
Howard Jr High School	Howard	64%	63	8	– Rural
Interior Elementary School	Interior	64%	19	16	– Rural
Ipswich Elementary School	Ipswich	64%	183	41	– Rural
Ipswich High School	Ipswich	64%	110	21	– Rural
Ipswich Middle School	Ipswich	64%	84	28	– Rural
Irene Public Library	Irene	64%	–	–	3,000 Rural
Irene-Wakonda Elementary School	Wakonda	64%	163	49	– Rural
Irene-Wakonda High School	Irene	64%	86	17	– Rural
Irene-Wakonda Junior High School	Irene	64%	46	9	– Rural
Iroquois Elementary School	Iroquois	64%	108	49	– Rural
Iroquois High School	Iroquois	64%	41	12	– Rural
Iroquois Middle School	Iroquois	64%	56	29	– Rural
Jefferson High School	Sioux Falls,	64%	1,784	835	– Urban
Joe Foss School	Sioux Falls	67%	0	0	– Urban
John F. Kenndey Elementary School	Sioux Falls	64%	641	256	– Urban
John Harris Elementary School	Sioux Falls	64%	691	145	– Urban
Jolley Elementary School	Vermillion	63%	409	142	– Rural
Kadoka Area High School	Kadoka	64%	69	24	– Rural
Kadoka Area Middle School	Kadoka	64%	47	17	– Rural

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Recipient Demographics (latest profile) (continued)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Kadoka Elementary School	Kadoka	64%	115	53	– Rural
Kennebec Elementary	Kennebec	64%	159	159	– Rural
Kimball Elementary School	Kimball	64%	126	38	– Rural
Kimball High School	Kimball	64%	86	27	– Rural
Kimball Ms	Kimball	64%	75	20	– Rural
Klein Elementary School	Mission	63%	18	18	– Rural
Lake Preston Elementary School	Lake Preston	64%	105	24	– Rural
Lake Preston High School	Lake Preston	64%	41	9	– Rural
Lake Preston Middle School	Lake Preston	64%	39	18	– Rural
Langford Elementary School	Langford	64%	125	38	– Rural
Langford High School	Langford	64%	64	24	– Rural
Langford Middle School	Langford	64%	47	20	– Rural
Laura B Anderson Elementary School	Sioux Falls	64%	310	267	– Urban
Laura Wilder Elementary School	Sioux Falls	64%	443	299	– Urban
Lawrence Elementary School	Canton	63%	409	80	– Rural
Lead-Deadwood High School	Lead	64%	171	49	– Rural
Lead/Deadwood Career & Technical Campus	Nemo	63%	87	0	– Rural
Lemmon High School	Lemmon	64%	140	57	– Rural
Lemmon Elementary School	Lemmon	63%	143	66	– Rural
Lemmon Jr. High School	Lemmon	64%	60	18	– Rural
Leola Elementary School	Leola	64%	60	12	– Rural
Leola High School	Leola	64%	42	12	– Rural
Leola Ms	Leola	64%	22	8	– Rural
Lincoln High School	Sioux Falls	64%	1,937	699	– Urban
Littleburg Elementary School	Mission	63%	38	38	– Rural
Longvalley Elementary School	Longvalley	64%	23	4	– Rural
Lowell Elementary School	Sioux Falls	64%	390	340	– Urban
Ludlow Elementary School	Ludlow	63%	8	0	– Rural
Lyman High School	Presho	64%	89	23	– Rural
Lyman Middle School	Presho	64%	77	39	– Rural

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Recipient Demographics (latest profile) (continued)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Madison Central School District 39-2 Admin	Madison	63%	–	–	– –
Maintenance Shop	Box Elder	63%	–	–	– –
Maintenance Shop	Sturgis	63%	–	–	– –
Marcella Lebeau Elementary School	Sioux Falls	64%	281	146	– Urban
Marion Elementary School	Marion	64%	98	36	– Rural
Marion High School	Marion	64%	59	17	– Rural
Marion Middle School	Marion	64%	38	12	– Rural
Marion Public Library	Marion	64%	–	–	900 Rural
Maurine Elementary School	Faith	63%	21	0	– Rural
Mccook Central Elementary School	Salem	64%	196	53	– Rural
Mccook Central High School	Salem	64%	114	12	– Rural
Mccook Central Middle School	Salem	64%	82	12	– Rural
Mcintosh Elem School	Mc Intosh	64%	43	26	– Rural
Mcintosh High School	Mc Intosh	64%	42	24	– Rural
Mcintosh Jr High	Mc Intosh	64%	44	27	– Rural
Mclaughlin Elementary School	Mc Laughlin	64%	186	186	– Rural
Meade Business Office	Sturgis	63%	–	–	– –
Medary Elementary School	Brookings	64%	360	121	– Rural
Memorial Middle School	Sioux Falls	64%	1,121	364	– Urban
Menno Elementary School	Menno	64%	97	31	– Rural
Menno High School	Menno	64%	71	29	– Rural
Menno Middle School	Menno	64%	33	13	– Rural
Middle School Immersion Center	Sioux Falls	63%	60	60	– Urban
Midland Elementary School	Midland	64%	8	1	– Rural
Milbank High School	Milbank	64%	328	80	– Rural
Milbank Middle School	Milbank	64%	246	79	– Rural
Milesville Elementary School	Milesville	63%	16	0	– Rural
Millbrook Colony Elem School	Mitchell	64%	6	0	– Rural
Miller Elementary School	Miller	64%	233	46	– Rural
Miller High School	Miller	64%	130	15	– Rural
Miller Junior High	Miller	64%	71	10	– Rural

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Recipient Demographics (latest profile) (continued)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Millerdale Colony Elem School	Miller	63%	33	0	-	Rural
Mitchell Middle School	Mitchell	64%	541	207	-	Rural
Mobridge High School	Mobridge	64%	170	64	-	Rural
Mobridge Middle School	Mobridge	64%	137	70	-	Rural
Mobridge Upper Elementary School	Mobridge	64%	141	66	-	Rural
Montrose 4-6	Montrose	68%	47	9	-	Rural
Montrose Elementary School	Montrose	64%	105	17	-	Rural
Montrose High School	Montrose	64%	63	4	-	Rural
Montrose Junior High School	Montrose	64%	49	5	-	Rural
Newell Elementary School	Newell	64%	107	55	-	Rural
Newell High School	Newell	64%	54	25	-	Rural
Newell Middle School	Newell	64%	41	21	-	Rural
Newell School Bus Garage	Newell	63%	-	-	-	-
Newport Colony Elementary School	Claremont	63%	40	40	-	Rural
Norris Elementary School	Norris	64%	44	44	-	Rural
Northwestern Elementary School	Mellette	64%	2,020	12	-	Rural
Northwestern High School	Mellette	64%	1,763	113	-	Rural
Northwestern Middle School	Mellette	64%	1,193	7	-	Rural
Oahe Headstart- Jones County Pre-School	Murdo	63%	0	0	-	Rural
Oaklane Colony Elem School	Alexandria	63%	31	0	-	Rural
Oelrichs Elementary School	Oelrichs	64%	55	54	-	Rural
Oelrichs High School	Oelrichs	64%	39	37	-	Rural
Oelrichs Jr. High School	Oelrichs	64%	23	23	-	Rural
Oglala Lakota County Alternative School	Pine Ridge	65%	0	0	-	Rural
Oldham-Ramona Elementary	Ramona	65%	66	16	-	Rural
Oldham-Ramona High School	Ramona	65%	36	7	-	Rural
Oldham-Ramona Junior High	Ramona	65%	21	2	-	Rural
Onida Elementary School	Onida	64%	86	23	-	Rural

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Recipient Demographics (latest profile) (continued)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Orland Colony	Montrose	63%	36	0	—	Rural
Orr Elementary School	Rutland	64%	79	13	—	Rural
Orr High School	Rutland	64%	55	25	—	Rural
Orr Jr. High School	Rutland	64%	34	10	—	Rural
Oscar Howe Elementary School	Sioux Falls	64%	582	293	—	Urban
Parker Elementary School	Parker	64%	252	48	—	Rural
Parker High School	Parker	64%	152	26	—	Rural
Parker Junior High School	Parker	64%	77	23	—	Rural
Patrick Henry Middle School	Sioux Falls	64%	957	359	—	Urban
Pease Creek Colony	Lake Andes	66%	23	23	—	Rural
Pembroke Colony School	Ipswich	63%	34	0	—	Rural
Philip Elementary School	Philip	64%	125	31	—	Rural
Philip High School	Philip	64%	115	21	—	Rural
Philip Jr. High School	Philip	64%	63	13	—	Rural
Pierre Sd Mnt Blg At Erskine	Pierre	64%	—	—	—	—
Plankinton Community Library	Plankinton	64%	—	—	750	Rural
Platte Colony School	Platte	63%	23	0	—	Rural
Platte-Geddes Elementary School	Platte	63%	220	50	—	Rural
Platte-Geddes High School	Platte	64%	123	15	—	Rural
Platte-Geddes Junior High School	Platte	64%	102	19	—	Rural
Pleasant Valley Colony Elementary	Flandreau	63%	32	0	—	Rural
Presho Elementary School	Presho	63%	103	39	—	Rural
Project Search - Avera Mckennan	Sioux Falls	63%	3	3	—	Urban
R.F. Pettigrew Elementary	Sioux Falls	64%	824	275	—	Urban
Rambler Stop	Rutland	65%	—	—	—	—
Rcas It Department	Rapid City	64%	—	—	—	—
Red Shirt Elem School	Hermosa	64%	43	43	—	Rural
Redfield Middle School	Redfield	64%	103	25	—	Rural
Renberg Elementary School	Renner	64%	103	71	—	Rural
Robert Frost Elementary School	Sioux Falls	64%	464	203	—	Urban

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Recipient Demographics (latest profile) (continued)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Roosevelt High School	Sioux Falls	64%	1,815	759	—	Urban
Rosa Parks Elementary	Sioux Falls	64%	642	375	—	Urban
Rosette Colony School	Leola	63%	24	0	—	Rural
Rutland Elementary School	Rutland	65%	79	31	—	Rural
Rutland High School	Rutland	65%	39	15	—	Rural
Rutland Jr High School	Rutland	65%	35	17	—	Rural
Sanborn Central Elementary School	Forestburg	64%	96	17	—	Rural
Sanborn Central High School	Forestburg	64%	38	8	—	Rural
Sanborn Central Middle School	Forestburg	64%	41	13	—	Rural
Scotland Elementary School	Scotland	64%	116	21	—	Rural
Scotland High School	Scotland	64%	65	24	—	Rural
Scotland Middle School	Scotland	64%	86	29	—	Rural
Scotland Public Library	Scotland	64%	—	—	4,200	Rural
Selby Elementary School	Selby	64%	82	20	—	Rural
Selby High School	Selby	64%	52	8	—	Rural
Selby Jr High School	Selby	64%	45	9	—	Rural
Sequel Transition And Falls Academy	Sioux Falls	63%	23	0	—	Rural
Shannon Co School Admin Offices	Batesland	63%	—	—	—	—
Sherwood Memorial Library	Doland	64%	—	—	1,056	Rural
Silver Lake Colony	Clark	63%	1	0	—	Rural
Sioux Falls School Dist. 49-5 Instructional Planning Center	Sioux Falls	64%	—	—	—	—
Sisseton High School	Sisseton	64%	325	186	—	Rural
Sisseton Middle School	Sisseton	64%	282	185	—	Rural
Sonia Sotomayor Elementary	Sioux Falls	64%	695	101	—	Urban
South Central Elementary School	Bonesteel	64%	55	42	—	Rural
South Central High School	Bonesteel	66%	27	17	—	Rural
South Central Middle School	Bonesteel	66%	27	20	—	Rural

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Recipient Demographics (latest profile) (continued)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
South Elementary School	Mission	63%	0	0	– Rural
Spring Lake Colony High School	Arlington	65%	18	18	– Rural
Spring Lake Colony School	Arlington	64%	18	0	– Rural
Spring Lake Colony School	Arlington	65%	19	19	– Rural
Stanley County High School	Fort Pierre	64%	135	28	– Rural
Stanley County Middle School	Fort Pierre	64%	99	24	– Rural
Stanley County School District 57-1	Fort Pierre	67%	513	170	– Rural
Structured Teaching Program	Sioux Falls	64%	17	13	– Urban
Sturgis Intermediate School	Sturgis	66%	108	37	– Rural
Success Academy	Sioux Falls	63%	21	15	– Urban
Sully Buttes Elementary School	Onida	67%	120	24	– Rural
Sully Buttes High School	Onida	64%	80	13	– Rural
Sully Buttes Jr High School	Onida	64%	60	13	– Rural
Summit Elementary K-8	Summit	64%	127	72	– Rural
Susan B. Anthony Elementary School	Sioux Falls	64%	483	321	– Urban
Teachwell	Sioux Falls	64%	39	11	– Urban
Teachwell Academy High School	Sioux Falls	63%	63	15	– Urban
Teachwell Academy Ms	Sioux Falls	63%	13	5	– Urban
Terry Redlin Elmentary	Sioux Falls	64%	383	333	– Urban
Tiger Stadium	Huron	63%	–	–	– –
Timber Lake Elementary School	Timber Lake	64%	190	69	– Rural
Timber Lake High School	Timber Lake	64%	105	34	– Rural
Todd County Elementary School	Mission	63%	565	565	– Rural
Todd County High School	Mission	64%	464	446	– Rural
Todd County Middle School	Mission	63%	392	392	– Rural

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Recipient Demographics (latest profile) (continued)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Transportation / Maintenance	Box Elder	63%	–	–	– –
Tri Valley Elementary School	Colton	64%	227	49	– Rural
Tri Valley High School	Colton	64%	308	53	– Rural
Tri-Valley Elementary In Crooks	Crooks	64%	234	65	– Rural
Tri-Valley Jr. High School	Colton	64%	238	62	– Rural
Tripp (City Of) Public Library	Tripp	64%	–	–	1,200 Rural
Upland Colony	Artesian	63%	36	0	– Rural
Vermillion Alternative School	Vermillion	67%	30	0	– Rural
Vermillion High School	Vermillion	64%	412	108	– Rural
Vermillion Middle School	Vermillion	64%	329	103	– Rural
Viborg-Hurley Elementary School	Viborg	64%	170	39	– Rural
Viborg-Hurley High School	Viborg	64%	133	29	– Rural
Viborg-Hurley Ms	Hurley	64%	109	30	– Rural
Wagner Early Learning Center	Wagner	64%	103	83	– Rural
Wagner Elementary School	Wagner	64%	347	300	– Rural
Wagner High School	Wagner	64%	233	116	– Rural
Wagner Middle School	Wagner	64%	264	212	– Rural
Wakonda Public Library	Wakonda	64%	–	–	1,945 Rural
Wakpala Elementary School	Wakpala	64%	80	80	– Rural
Wakpala High School	Wakpala	64%	37	37	– Rural
Wakpala Junior High	Wakpala	64%	41	41	– Rural
Warner School District 6-5	Warner	67%	315	36	– Rural
Washington High School	Sioux Falls	64%	1,810	950	– Urban
Watertown High School	Watertown	64%	1,220	255	– Rural
Waubay Elementary School	Waubay	64%	94	57	– Rural
Waubay High School	Waubay	64%	64	40	– Rural
Waubay Middle School	Waubay	64%	42	27	– Rural
Waverly School District 14-5	Waverly	67%	270	87	– Rural

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Recipient Demographics (latest profile) (continued)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Waverly-South Shore Elementary	Waverly	64%	122	52	—	Rural
Waverly-South Shore Hs	Waverly	64%	95	25	—	Rural
Waverly-South Shore Ms	Waverly	64%	76	29	—	Rural
Webster Area Elementary School	Webster	64%	260	84	—	Rural
Webster Area High School	Webster	64%	165	33	—	Rural
Webster Area Middle School	Webster	64%	106	33	—	Rural
Webster Area School District 18-4	Webster	67%	518	138	—	Rural
West Central Administrative Office	Hartford	63%	—	—	—	—
West Central Hartford Elementary School	Hartford	63%	287	56	—	Rural
West Central High School	Hartford	64%	398	49	—	Rural
West Central Humbolt Elementary School	Humboldt	63%	385	67	—	Rural
West Central Middle School	Hartford	64%	308	48	—	Rural
West Central School District 49-7 Athletic Building	Hartford	63%	—	—	—	—
West Central School District 49-7 Bus Barn	Hartford	63%	—	—	—	—
Westside Elementary School	Sisseton	64%	392	273	—	Rural
White Lake Elementary School	White Lake	64%	63	28	—	Rural
White Lake High School	White Lake	64%	32	12	—	Rural
White Lake Junior High School	White Lake	64%	27	10	—	Rural
White River Elementary School	White River	64%	167	126	—	Rural
White River High School	White River	64%	112	84	—	Rural
White River Middle School	White River	64%	92	92	—	Rural
Whittier Middle School	Sioux Falls	64%	671	555	—	Urban

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Recipient Demographics (latest profile) (continued)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Willow Lake Elementary School	Willow Lake	64%	132	65	–	Rural
Willow Lake High School	Willow Lake	64%	70	17	–	Rural
Willow Lake Jr. High School	Willow Lake	64%	63	25	–	Rural
Wilmot Elementary School	Wilmot	64%	112	46	–	Rural
Wilmot High School	Wilmot	64%	66	32	–	Rural
Wilmot Ms	Wilmot	64%	60	30	–	Rural
Winner Middle School	Winner	64%	153	73	–	Rural
Wolsey-Wessington Elementary	Wolsey	64%	115	31	–	Rural
Wolsey-Wessington High School	Wolsey	64%	97	29	–	Rural
Wolsey-Wessington Middle School	Wolsey	64%	77	23	–	Rural
Wood Elementary School	Wood	63%	5	5	–	Rural
Woonsocket Elementary School	Woonsocket	64%	192	71	–	Rural
Woonsocket High School	Woonsocket	64%	81	16	–	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
South Dakota Network, Llc	–	\$1.5M	\$1.8M	\$1.9M	\$1.8M	\$1.9M	\$1.9M	\$2.0M	\$1.9M	\$1.6M	\$351K	\$2.4M	\$2.2M	\$1.5M	\$1.1M	\$1.7M
Qwest Corporation Fka Us West Communications, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$11K	\$76K	\$195K
Midcontinent Communications	–	\$117K	\$270K	\$279K	\$284K	\$348K	\$343K	\$271K	\$254K	\$221K	\$198K	\$199K	\$198K	\$182K	–	–
Sprint Communications Company L.P. (Long Distance Division)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
At&T Corp.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Qwest Communications Corporation	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Video Guidance.Com, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Golden West Technologies	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$1K	\$2K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K
Average Discount Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20%	40%	61%	61%	63%	59%	59%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Service Providers	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	2	3	2	2	2	2
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$1K	\$2K	-	-	-	-	-
Telecomm Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K
Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Managed Internal Broadband Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Southeast Area Cooperative	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$2K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Southeast Area Cooperative	Beresford	20%	3,412	1,029	- Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
City Of Beresford	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$289	\$682	\$1K	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K
Verizon Wireless (Cellco Partnership)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$970	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K
Alltel Communications	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Clarity Telecom, Llc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Level 3 Communications, Llc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mci Communications Corporation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
At&T Mobility	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Workplace Technology Center Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$22K	\$24K	\$74K	\$76K	\$42K	\$21K	\$111K	\$38K	\$26K	–	\$1K	\$21K	\$25K	\$29K	\$33K	\$31K
Average Discount Rate	50%	70%	50%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	62%	62%	62%	65%	57%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	8	6	8	6	6	6	6	5	5	5	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	2	1	2	2	1	1	2	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	3	2
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	2,000	2,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	438	438	–	1,244	1,244	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	2,000	2,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	438	438	–	1,244	1,244	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K	\$10K	\$5K	\$11K	\$13K	\$12K
Internal Connections	\$22K	–	\$39K	\$34K	–	–	\$90K	\$38K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	\$24K	\$35K	\$42K	\$42K	\$21K	\$21K	–	\$26K	–	–	\$12K	\$20K	\$18K	\$21K	\$18K
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Creekside Elementary School	\$9K	\$6K	\$13K	\$15K	\$9K	\$4K	\$21K	\$88	\$5K	\$5K
Mountain View Elementary	\$9K	\$6K	\$13K	\$15K	\$9K	\$183	\$16K	\$88	\$5K	\$5K
Spearfish Academy At Canyon Hills Elementary - 09	\$3K	–	\$6K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Spearfish Academy At Canyon Hills High School - 10	\$3K	–	\$6K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Spearfish Administrative Office	\$6K	\$6K	\$7K	\$9K	\$9K	\$183	\$187	–	–	–
Spearfish High School	\$14K	\$11K	\$22K	\$25K	\$18K	\$19K	\$44K	\$30K	\$12K	\$9K
Spearfish Middle School	\$9K	\$6K	\$6K	\$7K	\$239	\$4K	\$20K	\$19K	\$5K	\$5K
West Elementary School	\$9K	\$6K	\$13K	\$15K	\$9K	\$4K	\$21K	\$88	\$5K	\$5K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Creekside Elementary School	Spearfish	50%	534	96	– Rural
Mountain View Elementary	Spearfish	50%	147	26	– Rural
Spearfish Academy At Canyon Hills Elementary - 09	Spearfish	50%	2	0	– Rural
Spearfish Academy At Canyon Hills High School - 10	Spearfish	50%	22	0	– Rural

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmf-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Recipient Demographics (latest profile) (continued)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Spearfish Administrative Office	Spearfish	50%	–	–	– –
Spearfish High School	Spearfish	50%	749	111	– Rural
Spearfish Middle School	Spearfish	50%	526	91	– Rural
West Elementary School	Spearfish	50%	321	60	– Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Clarity Telecom, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$26K	–	\$1	\$15K	\$25K	\$23K	\$24K	\$23K
Riverside Technologies, Inc.	\$22K	–	\$39K	\$34K	–	–	\$90K	\$38K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Midcontinent Communications	–	\$24K	\$35K	\$42K	\$42K	\$21K	\$21K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Clarity Telecom, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
At&T Mobility	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K	\$7K	–	\$6K	\$9K	\$8K
Alltel Communications	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
U S West Communications, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Direct Technology	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Alltel Communications Llc, By Management Trust	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Qwest Communications Corporation	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$40K	\$24K	\$37K	\$12K	\$12K	\$38K	\$122K	\$68K	\$168K	\$151K	\$119K	\$86K	\$87K	\$84K	\$62K	\$87K
Average Discount Rate	90%	90%	88%	90%	90%	90%	88%	37%	54%	72%	81%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Service Providers	1	1	2	1	1	1	2	2	3	4	3	3	3	3	3	8
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	5,833	1,750	1,000	1,000	1,000	875	575	400	200	200	-	-	-	-	-	-
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	5,833	1,750	1,000	1,000	1,000	875	575	400	200	200	-	-	-	-	-	-

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$3K	\$9K	\$11K	\$18K	-	-	-	-	-
Telecomm Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$29K	\$29K	\$26K	\$24K	\$24K	\$24K
Internal Connections	-	-	\$25K	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$6K	\$9K	-	-	-	-	\$38K
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	\$40K	\$24K	\$12K	\$12K	\$12K	\$38K	\$122K	\$65K	\$159K	\$134K	\$92K	\$57K	\$58K	\$58K	\$37K	\$25K
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Managed Internal Broadband Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
St Francis Indian School	\$43K	\$36K	\$37K	\$12K	\$12K	\$38K	\$151K	\$68K	\$176K	\$165K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
St Francis Indian School	Saint Francis	90%	564	564	- Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Golden West Technologies	-	-	-	-	-	\$38K	\$122K	\$65K	\$159K	\$134K	\$101K	\$57K	\$58K	\$58K	\$37K	\$43K
Golden West Telecommunications Cooperative, Inc.	\$40K	\$24K	\$12K	\$12K	\$12K	-	-	\$3K	\$9K	\$11K	\$18K	\$29K	\$29K	\$26K	\$24K	\$25K
Dakota 2000, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wincomp LLC Dba All Native Systems	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cdw, Inc.	-	-	\$25K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Alltel Communications	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kt Connections Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$19K
Alltel Communications Llc, By Management Trust	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cablexpress Corporation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$6K	-	-	-	-	-	-
South Dakota Network, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$25K	\$56K	\$44K	\$45K	\$179K	\$97K	\$27K
Average Discount Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	63%	79%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Service Providers	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	3	2	3	4	5	3
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20	-	-	-	-	-	-
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15	-	-	-	-	-	-

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$12K	\$22K	-	-	-	-	-
Telecomm Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$29K	\$30K	\$28K	\$18K	\$18K
Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$19K	-	-	\$134K	\$69K	-
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$13K	\$16K	\$15K	\$15K	\$16K	\$11K	\$9K
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Managed Internal Broadband Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
St Joseph'S Indian School	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$30K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
St Joseph'S Indian School	Chamberlain	50%	167	162	- Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Midstate Telephone Co.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$25K	\$36K	\$42K	\$41K	\$41K	\$25K	\$24K
McLeod Usa Telecommunications Services, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Alexander Open Systems, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$19K	-	-	\$134K	-	-
Ban-Koe Systems Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
South Dakota Network, Llc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$61K	-
Midcontinent Communications, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
At&T Mobility	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K
Alltel Communications	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Clarity Telecom, Llc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kt Connections Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$8K	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	–	\$63K	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$360	\$1K	\$3K	\$3K	\$2K	\$673	\$199	\$2K
Average Discount Rate	–	60%	–	–	–	–	–	–	60%	30%	54%	63%	41%	75%	39%	45%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	–	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	–	1	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	3	4	2	2	2	2	3
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	56	56	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	56	56	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$725	\$2K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	\$1K	\$224	\$49	\$1K
Internal Connections	–	\$63K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$360	\$360	\$336	\$223	\$88	\$450	\$150	\$150
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Stanley County Elementary School	–	\$16K	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	\$120	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Stanley County Elementary School	Fort Pierre	60%	181	48	–	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Wind Circle Network, Inc	–	\$63K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Qwest Corporation Fka Us West Communications, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$651	\$2K	\$2K	\$1K	–	–	\$908
Golden West Telecommunications Cooperative, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$360	\$434	\$511	\$453	\$175	\$673	\$199	\$224
Alltel Communications	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
At&T Corp.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
At&T Mobility	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$460	–	–	–	–	\$501
Qwest Communications Corporation	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Verizon Wireless (Cellco Partnership)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
South Dakota Dept. Of Education & Cultural Affairs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$22K	-	\$579	\$585	\$2K	-	-	-	\$371	\$2K
Average Discount Rate	-	-	70%	-	-	-	80%	-	50%	50%	70%	77%	-	80%	25%	74%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Service Providers	-	-	1	-	-	-	2	-	1	1	1	1	-	1	1	1
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	50	50	-	-	-	-	-	-
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	50	50	-	-	-	-	-	-

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$579	\$585	\$2K	-	-	-	-	-
Telecomm Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$371	\$2K
Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$22K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Managed Internal Broadband Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Summit High School	\$7K	\$6K	\$13K	\$8K	\$8K	\$8K	\$13K	\$7K	\$8K	\$6K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Summit High School	Summit	80%	48	19	- Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Roberts County Telephone Cooperative Association	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$579	\$585	\$2K	-	-	-	\$371	\$2K
Ultra, Inc. Of Watertown	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$12K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ekman, Inc. D/B/A Corporate Technologies	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
South Dakota Dept. Of Education & Cultural Affairs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dakota Structured Cabling	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$11K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rc Technologies Corporation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Firstel, Inc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Twotrees Technologies	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Alltel Communications	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Riverside Technologies, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

## Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$7K	\$6K	\$119K	\$6K	\$6K	\$13K	\$92K	\$10K	–	\$12K	\$3K	\$10K	\$11K	\$14K	\$16K	\$16K
Average Discount Rate	60%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	60%	60%	28%	43%	50%	46%	48%	47%	50%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	1	2	1	1	2	2	2	2	5	3	1	3	3	3	2
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

## Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$4K	\$3K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$10K	\$10K	\$13K	\$14K	\$12K
Internal Connections	–	–	\$113K	–	–	\$7K	\$86K	\$10K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$985	\$645	\$2K	\$5K
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$7K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	\$7K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

## Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Tea Area Frontier Elementary School	\$4K	\$3K	\$34K	\$4K	\$4K	\$6K	\$22K	\$6K	\$3K	\$2K
Tea Area High School	\$7K	\$5K	\$39K	\$9K	\$9K	\$11K	\$27K	\$11K	\$3K	\$5K
Tea Area Legacy Elementary School	\$1K	\$1K	\$31K	\$1K	\$1K	\$3K	\$19K	\$5K	\$93	\$9K
Tea Area Middle School	\$1K	\$1K	\$31K	\$1K	\$1K	\$3K	\$19K	\$5K	\$3K	\$9K
Tea Area Venture Elementary School	\$1K	\$1K	\$31K	\$1K	\$1K	\$3K	\$19K	–	–	–

## Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Tea Area Frontier Elementary School	Sioux Falls	60%	296	109	–	Urban
Tea Area High School	Tea	60%	726	123	–	Rural
Tea Area Legacy Elementary School	Tea	60%	560	83	–	Rural
Tea Area Middle School	Tea	60%	586	126	–	Rural
Tea Area Venture Elementary School	Tea	60%	349	71	–	Rural

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

## Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Riverside Technologies, Inc.	\$7K	\$6K	\$17K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$64K	-	-	\$7K	-	-	-	-	-	-
High Plains Technology	-	-	\$103K	-	-	\$7K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Clarity Telecom, Llc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$16K
Clarity Telecom, Llc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$3K	\$3K	\$10K	\$10K	\$13K	\$14K	-
Golden West Technologies	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$27K	\$10K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Alltel Communications	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Schoolwires Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$638	\$645	\$640	-
At&T Mobility	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$166	-	-	-	-	-	\$300
South Dakota Network, Llc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$347	-	\$677	-
Midcontinent Communications	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$900	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmf-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	–	\$15K	\$29K	–	–	–	\$5K	\$9K	\$23K	\$1K	\$3K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$4K	\$3K
Average Discount Rate	–	70%	60%	–	–	–	70%	70%	70%	30%	50%	78%	77%	77%	80%	80%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	–	1	1	–	–	–	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K	\$3K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$4K	\$3K
Internal Connections	–	\$15K	\$29K	–	–	–	\$5K	\$9K	\$23K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$393	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Timber Lake Middle School	\$5K	\$10K	\$16K	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	\$10K	\$15K	\$8K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Timber Lake Middle School	Timber Lake	70%	70	26	–	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
U S West Communications, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$3K
Golden West Technologies	–	–	\$29K	–	–	–	–	\$9K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Ultra Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$5K	–	\$23K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Orbitcom, Inc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$4K	–
It Outlet Inc.	–	\$15K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Birch Communications, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K	\$3K	–	–	–	–	–
West River Telecommunications Cooperative	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Alltel Communications	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
At&T Corp.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Qwest Communications Corporation	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	–	\$80K	\$69K	\$125K	\$116K	\$101K	\$63K	\$66K	–	–	–	–	\$68K	\$76K	\$68K	–
Average Discount Rate	90%	90%	90%	88%	88%	88%	88%	65%	85%	–	81%	87%	90%	90%	90%	80%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	3	1	–	4	2	3	2	2	4
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	5,000	5,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	383	75	75	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	5,000	5,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	372	58	58	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$32K	\$76K	\$68K	–
Internal Connections	–	–	–	\$42K	\$33K	\$50K	\$18K	\$20K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	\$80K	\$69K	\$83K	\$83K	\$52K	\$45K	\$44K	–	–	–	–	\$35K	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Tiospa Zina Tribal School	\$80K	\$80K	\$83K	\$125K	\$116K	\$124K	\$65K	\$70K	\$16K	\$11K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Tiospa Zina Tribal School	Agency Village	90%	520	441	– Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Venture Communications Cooperative	–	–	–	–	–	\$52K	\$45K	\$45K	–	–	–	–	\$68K	\$49K	\$68K	–
South Dakota Network, Llc	–	\$80K	\$69K	\$83K	\$83K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Ultra, Inc. Of Watertown	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Bendix Imaging, Inc.	–	–	–	–	\$33K	\$50K	\$18K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
William McMahon	–	–	–	\$42K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
At&T Mobility	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	–	–	–	–	–	\$27K	–	–
Wincomp Llc DbA All Native Systems	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Marco Technologies, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$20K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Alltel Communications Llc, By Management Trust	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Lightyear Network Solutions, Llc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	–	\$75K	–	\$16K	\$59K	–	\$68K	\$64K	–	\$35K	\$80K	\$61K	\$57K	–	–	\$70K
Average Discount Rate	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	28%	43%	59%	75%	88%	87%	87%	87%	87%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	6	6	6	6	6	7	6	5	5
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	1,000	1,000	1,000	203	213	213	213	210	210	87	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	1,000	1,000	1,000	203	213	213	213	210	210	87	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$5K	–	\$10K	\$34K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$46K	\$61K	\$26K	–	–	\$27K
Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	\$75K	–	\$16K	\$59K	–	\$68K	\$59K	–	\$25K	–	–	\$31K	–	–	\$43K
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
He Dog Elementary School	\$19K	\$19K	\$198	\$21K	\$19K	\$19K	\$19K	\$18K	\$93	\$12K
Okreek Elementary School	\$19K	\$19K	\$198	\$21K	\$19K	\$19K	\$19K	\$18K	\$93	\$12K
Rosebud Elementary School	\$19K	\$19K	\$198	\$21K	\$19K	\$19K	\$19K	\$18K	\$93	\$12K
Spring Creek Elementary School	\$19K	\$19K	\$198	\$21K	\$19K	\$19K	\$19K	\$18K	\$93	\$12K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
He Dog Elementary School	Paramelee	90%	164	164	– Rural
Okreek Elementary School	Okreek	90%	38	38	– Rural
Rosebud Elementary School	Rosebud	90%	267	267	– Rural
Spring Creek Elementary School	Saint Francis	90%	62	62	– Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Kt Connections Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Golden West Telecommunications Cooperative, Inc.	–	\$75K	–	\$16K	–	–	–	\$5K	–	\$10K	\$33K	\$42K	\$25K	–	–	\$25K
Cdw, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Golden West Technologies	–	–	–	–	\$59K	–	\$68K	\$59K	–	\$25K	\$46K	\$18K	\$31K	–	–	\$43K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Convenience Learning International, Corp.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Picturatel Corp.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Alltel Communications	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Apple Computer, Inc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Alexander Open Systems, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wire One Communications, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$847	\$924	\$924	\$924	\$844	\$756	\$608	\$490	\$588	\$758	-	-	-	-	\$751	-
Average Discount Rate	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	50%	-	-	-	-	70%	-
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Service Providers	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	-
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	1,000	1,000	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	-	-	-	-	-	-
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	1,000	1,000	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$170	-	-	-	-	-	-
Telecomm Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$751	-
Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	\$847	\$924	\$924	\$924	\$844	\$756	\$608	\$490	\$588	\$588	-	-	-	-	-	-
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Managed Internal Broadband Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Tripp County Library	\$924	\$924	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$875	\$1K	\$2K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Tripp County Library	Winner	70%	-	-	6,670 Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Golden West Telecommunications Cooperative, Inc.	\$847	\$924	\$924	\$924	\$844	\$756	\$608	\$490	\$588	\$758	-	-	-	-	\$751	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	–	\$21K	\$21K	\$20K	\$24K	\$20K	–	–	–	\$660	\$1K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$2K
Average Discount Rate	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	–	–	40%	53%	50%	77%	78%	77%	74%	75%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	2	1	1	1	1	1	–	–	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	3
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	2	2	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	2	2	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$252	\$1K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$2K
Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	\$4K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$408	–	–	–	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	\$21K	\$21K	\$20K	\$20K	\$20K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Clearfield Colony Elementary	–	\$4K	\$198	\$4K	\$5K	\$4K	\$187	\$88	–	\$500
Greenwood Colony Elem School	–	\$4K	\$198	\$4K	\$5K	\$4K	\$187	\$88	\$93	\$781
Tripp Elementary Sch	–	\$4K	\$7K	\$4K	\$5K	\$4K	\$187	\$4K	\$93	\$6K
Tripp-Delmont High School	\$4K	\$8K	\$14K	\$11K	\$12K	\$9K	\$8K	\$4K	\$6K	\$6K
Tripp-Delmont Middle School	\$4K	\$8K	\$14K	\$11K	\$12K	\$9K	\$8K	\$4K	\$6K	\$6K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Clearfield Colony Elementary	Delmont	70%	19	0	–	Rural
Greenwood Colony Elem School	Delmont	70%	5	0	–	Rural
Tripp Elementary Sch	Tripp	70%	88	45	–	Rural
Tripp-Delmont High School	Tripp	70%	40	15	–	Rural
Tripp-Delmont Middle School	Tripp	70%	16	6	–	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Santel Communications Cooperative	–	\$21K	\$21K	\$20K	\$24K	\$20K	–	–	–	–	\$494	\$1K	\$1K	\$849	\$2K	–
Midstate Telephone Co.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$660	\$522	\$853	\$827	\$763	\$713	\$703

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Sancom, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
At&T Mobility	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$882	\$897	\$896	\$846	\$874
Alltel Communications	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Alltel Communications Llc, By Management Trust	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
First Byte Computers, Inc., Db a Edtech	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
South Dakota Dept. Of Education & Cultural Affairs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Optimus Technology Company	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$8K	–	\$36K	\$62K	–	–	\$39K	–	–	\$50K	\$844	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K
Average Discount Rate	60%	–	60%	60%	–	–	60%	–	–	50%	55%	65%	69%	66%	65%	65%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	1	–	1	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	–	1	1	–	–	1	–	–	5	4	4	4	3	3	3
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	2	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	2	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$844	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K
Internal Connections	\$8K	–	\$36K	\$62K	–	–	\$39K	–	–	\$43K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$705	\$749	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$6K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Vermillion Elementary School	\$3K	–	\$7K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Vermillion Elementary School	Vermillion	60%	593	206	–	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Ultra, Inc. Of Watertown	–	–	\$36K	\$62K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Riverside Technologies, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$39K	–	–	\$50K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Qwest Corporation Fka Us West Communications, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$3K	\$4K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K
Verizon Wireless (Cellco Partnership)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$844	\$1K	\$2K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K
Twotrees Technologies	\$8K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Qwest Communications Corporation	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$696	\$506	\$600	\$691	\$848
Alltel Communications	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
At&T Corp.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Schoolwires Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$705	\$749	–	–	–
South Dakota Network, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

## Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	–	\$593	\$593	\$593	\$572	\$572	\$475	\$524	\$618	\$699	\$378	\$684	\$749	\$702	\$703	\$700
Average Discount Rate	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	50%	60%	70%	80%	80%	90%	80%	80%	80%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	29	29	29	29	28	25	13	10	10	4	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	5	5	5	5	5	3	1	1	1	1	–	–	–	–	–	–

## Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$49	\$144	\$225	\$157	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$323	\$360	\$328	\$329	\$327
Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	\$593	\$593	\$593	\$572	\$572	\$475	\$475	\$475	\$475	\$221	\$360	\$389	\$374	\$374	\$374
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

## Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Wagner Public Library	\$593	\$593	\$593	\$593	\$572	\$572	\$475	\$524	\$618	\$699

## Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Wagner Public Library	Wagner	90%	–	–	2,880 Rural

## Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Fort Randall Telephone Company	–	\$593	\$593	\$593	\$572	\$572	\$475	\$524	\$618	\$699	\$378	\$684	\$749	\$702	\$703	\$700
Charles Mix Electric Association, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$382	\$504	-	-	-	-	-	-
Average Discount Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	70%	70%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Service Providers	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25	15	-	-	-	-	-	-
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	1	-	-	-	-	-	-

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Telecomm Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$382	\$504	-	-	-	-	-	-
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Managed Internal Broadband Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Wall Community Library	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$504	\$504

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Wall Community Library	Wall	70%	-	-	671 Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Golden West Telecommunications Cooperative, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$382	\$504	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	–	–	\$32K	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	\$3K	\$4K	\$7K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$4K
Average Discount Rate	–	–	60%	–	–	–	–	–	40%	50%	60%	69%	59%	62%	67%	61%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	–	–	1	–	–	–	–	–	3	3	3	2	2	2	2	2
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	53	53	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	53	53	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$634	\$2K	\$3K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$6K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K
Internal Connections	–	–	\$32K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$920	\$966	\$1K	\$804
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Big White Elementary School	–	–	\$8K	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$187	\$88	\$93	\$247
Wall Elementary School	\$1K	\$1K	\$11K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$6K	\$8K	\$7K
Wall High School	\$1K	\$1K	\$11K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$6K	\$8K	\$7K
Wall Middle School	\$1K	\$1K	\$11K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$6K	\$8K	\$7K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Big White Elementary School	Wall	60%	0	0	–	Rural
Wall Elementary School	Wall	60%	96	26	–	Rural
Wall High School	Wall	60%	124	45	–	Rural
Wall Middle School	Wall	60%	70	23	–	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Golden West Telecommunications Cooperative, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	\$3K	\$4K	\$5K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K
Golden West Technologies	–	–	\$32K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Verizon Wireless (Cellco Partnership)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$85	\$255	\$590	\$2K	\$1K	\$815	\$863	\$556
Alltel Communications	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Midcontinent Communications	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
South Dakota Network, Llc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Chris Supply Co., Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
South Dakota Dept. Of Education & Cultural Affairs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$25K	-	-	\$1K	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K
Average Discount Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	50%	-	50%	23%	47%	60%	60%	54%	55%	55%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Service Providers	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	1	3	3	2	2	2	3	4
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$304	\$1K	-	-	-	-	-
Telecomm Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K
Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$25K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$840	-	-	-	-	-	-
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Managed Internal Broadband Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	
Warner Elementary School - 02	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$10K	\$1K	\$2K	-
Warner High School - 01	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$11K	\$1K	\$2K	-
Warner Middle School - 03	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$8K	\$1K	\$2K	-

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Warner Elementary School - 02	Warner	50%	149	10	-	Rural
Warner High School - 01	Warner	50%	88	10	-	Rural
Warner Middle School - 03	Warner	50%	74	9	-	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Dakota Structured Cabling	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$17K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
U S West Communications, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
High Plains Technology	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$8K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Midcontinent Communications, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$203	\$814	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K
Verizon Wireless (Cellco Partnership)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$100	\$534	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$806	\$776
Alltel Communications	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
South Dakota Network, Llc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$840	-	-	-	-	-	-
At&T Corp.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Avaya Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Twotrees Technologies	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$183K	-	-	-	-	-	\$14K	-	-	\$82K	-	\$7K	-	-	-	-
Average Discount Rate	60%	-	-	-	-	-	60%	-	-	46%	55%	64%	57%	25%	63%	63%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	8	-	8	8	7	7	7	7	6	6	-	-	-	-	-	-
Service Providers	2	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	3	3	3	4	5	4	5
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	-

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Telecomm Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$7K	-	-	-	-
Internal Connections	\$183K	-	-	-	-	-	\$14K	-	-	\$82K	-	-	-	-	-	-
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Managed Internal Broadband Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Garfield Education Center	\$1K	-	\$109	\$133	-	-	-	-	-	-
Jefferson Elementary School	\$27K	-	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$1K	\$88	\$93	\$12K
Lincoln Elementary School	\$27K	-	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$2K	\$88	\$93	\$12K
Mckinley Elementary School	\$27K	-	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$2K	\$88	\$93	\$12K
Mellette Elementary School	\$27K	-	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$1K	\$88	\$93	\$12K
Roosevelt Elementary School	\$1K	-	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$1K	\$88	\$93	\$12K
Watertown Intermediate School	\$27K	-	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$2K	\$88	-	-
Watertown Middle School	\$27K	-	\$198	\$224	\$239	\$183	\$2K	\$88	\$93	\$12K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Garfield Education Center	Watertown	60%	75	21	-	Rural
Jefferson Elementary School	Watertown	60%	332	55	-	Rural
Lincoln Elementary School	Watertown	60%	337	76	-	Rural
Mckinley Elementary School	Watertown	60%	257	119	-	Rural
Mellette Elementary School	Watertown	60%	240	97	-	Rural

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Recipient Demographics (latest profile) (continued)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Roosevelt Elementary School	Watertown	60%	148	86	– Rural
Watertown Intermediate School	Watertown	60%	589	170	– Rural
Watertown Middle School	Watertown	60%	557	180	– Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Twotrees Technologies	\$183K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Ultra, Inc. Of Watertown	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$82K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Us West Communications, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$7K	–	–	–	–
Norcom Advanced Technologies, Inc (Bergman Telecomm Div)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
High Point Networks, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$14K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Alltel Communications	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Qwest Communications Corporation	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Rural Cellular Corporation	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Verizon Wireless (Cellco Partnership)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
At&T Mobility	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	–	\$556	\$496	\$460	\$460	\$460	\$442	\$512	\$555	\$525	\$609	\$789	\$722	\$722	\$657	–
Average Discount Rate	65%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	70%	40%	40%	50%	70%	70%	70%	70%	–
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	–
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	100	100	50	50	50	50	50	50	10	10	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	100	100	50	50	50	50	50	50	10	10	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$44	\$86	\$170	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$277	\$210	\$210	\$216	–
Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	\$556	\$496	\$460	\$460	\$460	\$442	\$512	\$512	\$439	\$439	\$512	\$512	\$512	\$440	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Wessington Public Library	\$712	\$712	\$496	\$460	\$460	\$460	\$460	\$512	\$556	\$525

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Wessington Public Library	Wessington	60%	–	–	1,200	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Venture Communications Cooperative	–	\$556	\$496	\$460	\$460	\$460	\$442	\$512	\$555	\$525	\$609	\$789	\$722	\$722	\$657	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	–	\$8K	\$27K	\$15K	\$15K	\$6K	–	–	\$10K	\$17K	\$19K	\$4K	\$6K	\$6K	\$4K	\$7K
Average Discount Rate	–	60%	60%	70%	70%	70%	–	–	35%	50%	55%	67%	71%	69%	65%	75%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	–	1	1	1	1	1	–	–	3	3	2	2	2	2	2	2
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	15	20	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	15	20	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$440	\$2K	\$2K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$4K	\$6K	\$6K	\$4K	\$7K
Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$772	\$679	–	–	–	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	\$8K	\$27K	\$15K	\$15K	\$6K	–	–	\$8K	\$14K	\$16K	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Hebron Colony Elementary School-07	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Spring Valley Colony Elem Sch	–	\$2K	\$7K	\$4K	\$4K	\$2K	\$187	\$88	\$4K	\$5K
Wessington Springs Elementary School	\$18K	\$19K	\$26K	\$24K	\$24K	\$23K	\$22K	\$10K	\$13K	\$9K
Wessington Springs High School	\$9K	\$11K	\$17K	\$14K	\$14K	\$12K	\$11K	\$5K	\$6K	\$9K
Wessington Springs Junior High School	\$9K	\$11K	\$17K	\$14K	\$14K	\$12K	\$11K	\$5K	\$8K	\$9K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Hebron Colony Elementary School-07	Wessington Springs	60%	17	9	–	Rural
Spring Valley Colony Elem Sch	Wessington Springs	60%	12	0	–	Rural
Wessington Springs Elementary School	Wessington Springs	60%	142	45	–	Rural
Wessington Springs High School	Wessington Springs	60%	92	18	–	Rural
Wessington Springs Junior High School	Wessington Springs	60%	34	14	–	Rural

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qtmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Santel Communications Cooperative	-	\$8K	\$27K	\$15K	\$15K	\$6K	-	-	\$8K	\$14K	\$16K	-	-	-	-	-
Venture Communications Cooperative	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K	\$5K	\$5K	\$4K	\$5K
Verizon Wireless Fka Cellco Partnership	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$326	-	\$966	\$1K	\$2K	-	\$2K
Dakota 2000, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mci Communications Corporation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
At&T Corp.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cdw-G	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Creative Computer DbA Elek-Tek	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
South Dakota Dept. Of Education & Cultural Affairs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Business & Education Institute	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$546	\$559	\$406	\$437	\$500	\$488	\$552	\$465	\$389	\$443	\$500	\$549	\$526	\$515	\$668	\$673
Average Discount Rate	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	80%	80%	40%	50%	60%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	200	200	100	50	25	25	25	10	10	10	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	200	200	100	50	25	25	25	10	10	10	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$32	\$87	\$144	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$213	\$190	\$179	\$357	\$363
Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	\$546	\$559	\$406	\$437	\$500	\$488	\$552	\$465	\$357	\$357	\$357	\$336	\$336	\$336	\$310	\$310
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
White Lake Community Library	\$693	\$693	\$479	\$437	\$500	\$533	\$552	\$465	\$389	\$443

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
White Lake Community Library	White Lake	70%	–	–	1,900	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Midstate Communications, Inc.	\$546	\$559	\$406	\$437	\$500	\$488	\$552	\$465	\$389	\$443	\$500	\$549	\$526	\$515	\$668	\$673

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	–	–	\$80K	\$1K	–	\$1K	\$5K	\$936	\$5K	\$3K	\$13K	\$3K	\$5K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K
Average Discount Rate	–	–	60%	60%	70%	80%	80%	80%	65%	60%	72%	77%	84%	75%	72%	72%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	–	–	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	–	–	2	1	1	2	5	6	5	3	4	2	2	2	1	1
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	100	50	50	42	42	8,367	43	9	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	20	10	10	8	8	1,007	8	1	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K	\$1K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$3K	\$4K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K
Internal Connections	–	–	\$80K	–	–	–	\$3K	\$936	\$2K	\$2K	\$13K	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	–	–	\$1K	–	\$1K	\$2K	–	\$2K	\$420	–	\$432	\$540	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Collins Colony	–	–	\$14K	\$800	\$335	\$902	\$1K	\$156	\$993	\$677
Mayfield Colony School	–	–	\$14K	\$800	\$335	\$902	\$1K	\$156	\$993	\$1K
Shamrock Colony	–	–	\$14K	\$224	\$239	\$662	\$667	\$128	\$573	\$667

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Collins Colony	Iroquois	60%	16	0	–	Rural
Mayfield Colony School	Willow Lake	60%	28	0	–	Rural
Shamrock Colony	Carpenter	60%	20	0	–	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Interstate Telecommunications Cooperative, Inc.	–	–	\$56K	\$1K	–	\$1K	\$2K	–	\$3K	\$1K	–	\$3K	\$4K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K
Golden West Technologies	–	–	\$25K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Aercor Wireless, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$13K	–	–	–	–	–
Hintz Consulting, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
High Point Networks, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$3K	–	\$2K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Ultra, Inc. Of Watertown	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$605	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Gateway 2000 Major Accounts, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Dell Marketing Lp	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Marco Technologies, Llc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$2K	-	-	-	-	-	-
Northern Wireless Communications	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$420	-	\$432	\$540	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	–	\$99K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$5K	\$54K	\$11K	\$30K	\$29K	\$30K	\$32K	\$29K
Average Discount Rate	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	40%	54%	57%	72%	75%	75%	75%	69%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	3	2	4	5	5	4	3
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	10,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	10,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K	\$4K	\$8K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$14K	\$14K	\$15K	\$16K	\$17K
Internal Connections	–	\$95K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$46K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$16K	\$15K	\$15K	\$16K	\$12K
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	
Winner Elementary School	–	\$33K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$2K	\$19K
Winner High School	\$4K	\$36K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$6K	\$10K	\$10K	\$24K	

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Winner Elementary School	Winner	70%	411	186	– Rural
Winner High School	Winner	70%	210	78	– Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Golden West Technologies, Inc.	–	\$95K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$46K	–	\$16K	\$15K	\$14K	\$14K	\$12K
Golden West Telecommunications Cooperative, Inc.	–	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$5K	\$7K	\$9K	\$9K	\$9K	\$9K	\$9K	\$11K
Verizon Wireless Fka Cellco Partnership	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K	\$2K	\$5K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K
South Dakota Network, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$3K	–
John Hohn Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K	–	–
Vivian Telephone Company	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Apple Computer, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
First Byte Computers, Inc., Dba Edtech	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
South Dakota Dept. Of Education & Cultural Affairs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Distributed Website Corporation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	–	\$24K	\$204K	\$96K	\$138K	\$38K	\$88K	\$81K	\$89K	\$81K	\$266K	\$47K	\$53K	\$67K	\$68K	\$66K
Average Discount Rate	70%	60%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	55%	50%	63%	65%	67%	65%	65%	64%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	1	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	2	2	2	3	1	1	1	4	2	3	2	2	1	2	1
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	813	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	500	500	500	500	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	813	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	500	500	500	500	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	\$5K	\$7K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$47K	\$53K	\$67K	\$68K	\$66K
Internal Connections	–	–	\$166K	\$58K	\$101K	–	–	–	–	–	\$183K	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	\$24K	\$38K	\$38K	\$38K	\$38K	\$88K	\$81K	\$86K	\$76K	\$76K	–	–	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$585	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Beadle Elementary School	–	\$6K	\$30K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$13K	\$13K	\$13K	\$12K
Lincoln Elementary School	–	\$6K	\$30K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$13K	\$13K	\$13K	\$12K
Stewart Elementary School	–	\$6K	\$30K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$13K	\$13K	\$13K	\$12K
Trailhead Learning Center	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Webster Elementary School	–	\$6K	\$30K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$13K	\$13K	\$13K	\$12K
Yankton High School	\$6K	\$7K	\$41K	\$6K	\$106K	\$6K	\$13K	\$27K	\$20K	\$16K
Yankton Middle School	–	\$8K	\$48K	\$71K	\$13K	\$14K	\$21K	\$13K	\$13K	\$12K
Yankton School District Administration Building	–	\$3K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$13K	\$13K	\$13K	\$12K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Beadle Elementary School	Yankton	60%	353	120	– Rural
Lincoln Elementary School	Yankton	60%	336	134	– Rural
Stewart Elementary School	Yankton	60%	346	155	– Rural
Trailhead Learning Center	Yankton	60%	250	104	– Rural
Webster Elementary School	Yankton	60%	205	121	– Rural

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Recipient Demographics (latest profile) (continued)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Yankton High School	Yankton	60%	889	212	– Rural
Yankton Middle School	Yankton	60%	605	220	– Rural
Yankton School District Administration Building	Yankton	60%	–	–	– –

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Clarity Telecom, Llc	–	–	\$38K	\$38K	\$38K	\$38K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$66K
South Dakota Network, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$88K	\$81K	\$86K	\$76K	\$76K	\$37K	\$38K	–	\$936	–
High Point Networks, Llc	–	–	\$166K	\$58K	\$99K	–	–	–	\$585	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Earthbend Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$183K	–	–	–	–	–
Clarity Telecom, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	\$5K	\$7K	\$9K	\$15K	\$67K	\$68K	–
Us-West Communications, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Midcontinent Communications	–	\$24K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Qwest Communications Corporation	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Cms Communications, Inc.	–	–	–	–	\$2K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
3 Guys Computing DbA Business Computer Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	–	–	\$2K	\$3K	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$4K	\$3K	\$3K	\$360	\$3K	–	–	–	–
Average Discount Rate	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	27%	40%	40%	–	–	–	–
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	1	–	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	1	2	–	–	–	–
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	500	–	200	200	100	100	100	25	25	25	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	500	–	200	200	100	100	100	24	24	24	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$329	–	–	–	–
Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	\$642	–	–	\$1K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	–	\$2K	\$2K	–	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	–	\$3K	–	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	\$360	\$360	–	–	–	–	–	\$360	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Zion Lutheran School	\$4K	–	\$2K	\$3K	\$3K	\$2K	\$2K	\$5K	\$3K	\$3K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Zion Lutheran School	Rapid City	40%	130	17	–	Urban

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
South Dakota Network, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	–	\$3K	–	–	–	–
Clarity Telecom, Llc	–	–	\$2K	\$2K	–	\$2K	\$2K	–	–	–	–	\$329	–	–	–	–
Golden West Technologies	–	–	–	\$360	\$1K	–	–	\$1K	–	–	\$360	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.