

E-RATE IN WYOMING

Schools & Libraries Report, 2016–2025

Summary	Value
Recipient sites (schools, libraries, NIFs)	478
Billed entities	40
Estimated E-rate support, FY2025	\$5,947,154

Part I — Billed Entities Ranking · Part II — School & Library Directory · Part III — Billed Entity Reports

Technology Policy Institute

GENERATED 2026-06-10

Wyoming — Part I: Billed Entities by Estimated E-Rate Support

WY

Billed Entity	Sites	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	5-yr Total	Average
Enterprise Technology Services	297	\$4.6M	\$3.2M	\$2.8M	\$2.7M	\$2.7M	\$2.7M	\$16M	\$3.2M
Sheridan Co School District 02	9	\$159K	\$217K	\$135K	\$103K	\$157K	\$256K	\$771K	\$154K
Sweetwater Co School Dist 01	12	\$200K	\$34K	\$110K	\$29K	\$10K	\$86K	\$383K	\$77K
Uinta County School District 1	8	\$31K	\$29K	\$210K	\$30K	\$33K	\$27K	\$333K	\$67K
Park County School District 01	8	\$25K	\$135K	\$56K	\$53K	\$58K	\$44K	\$327K	\$65K
Converse Co School District 1	5	\$59K	\$58K	\$69K	\$56K	\$50K	\$48K	\$292K	\$58K
Sublette County School District #1	5	\$128K	\$16K	\$12K	\$37K	\$8K	\$24K	\$201K	\$40K
Fremont Co School District 25	4	\$2K	\$227K	\$3K	\$4K	\$15K	\$48K	\$251K	\$50K
Carbon County School District #1	3	\$25K	\$40K	\$11K	\$142K	\$9K	\$8K	\$226K	\$45K
Goshen Co School District #1	7	\$84K	\$9K	\$9K	\$9K	\$57K	\$18K	\$167K	\$33K
Bie Cntrl Ofc/Dpt Of Interior	1	\$85K	\$56K	\$28K	\$19K	\$19K	\$19K	\$207K	\$41K
Crook County School District 1	3	\$68K	\$47K	\$30K	\$22K	\$19K	\$44K	\$186K	\$37K
Park County School District 06	4	\$30K	\$11K	\$11K	\$35K	\$44K	\$31K	\$131K	\$26K
Laramie County School District #2	2	\$10K	\$21K	\$24K	\$25K	\$57K	\$26K	\$136K	\$27K
Teton County School District #1	3	\$29K	\$4K	\$29K	\$32K	\$30K	\$23K	\$124K	\$25K
Fremont Co School District 14	2	\$26K	\$81K	\$8K	\$15K	\$19K	\$15K	\$149K	\$30K
Campbell County School Dist 1	3	\$26K	\$32K	\$47K	\$18K	\$23K	\$35K	\$145K	\$29K
Converse Co School District 2	3	\$31K	\$26K	\$21K	\$18K	\$21K	\$8K	\$117K	\$23K
Albany Co School District 1	2	\$20K	\$2K	\$1K	\$28K	\$17K	\$17K	\$69K	\$14K
Fremont Co School District #38	1	\$54K	\$22K	\$11K	\$11K	\$1K	\$19K	\$101K	\$20K
Big Horn Co School District 3	2	\$20K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$27K	\$15K	\$58K	\$12K
Sheridan County School District #3	1	\$29K	\$18K	\$24K	\$16K	\$18K	\$19K	\$104K	\$21K
Sweetwater Co School Dist 02	2	\$17K	\$9K	\$31K	\$7K	\$10K	\$15K	\$74K	\$15K
Johnson Co School District 1	3	\$569	\$66K	\$15K	\$7K	\$5K	\$5K	\$94K	\$19K
Fremont Co School District 06	3	\$32K	\$11K	\$10K	\$10K	\$15K	\$8K	\$77K	\$15K
Niobrara Co School District 1	2	\$44K	\$19K	\$19K	\$7K	\$12K	\$5K	\$102K	\$20K
Cathedral Home For Children	1	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$11K	\$11K	\$11K	\$41K	\$8K
Weston County School Dist 7	2	\$7K	\$22K	\$17K	\$12K	\$16K	\$16K	\$74K	\$15K
Washakie County School Dist 1	2	\$13K	\$9K	\$9K	\$7K	\$18K	\$12K	\$57K	\$11K
St Joseph'S Children'S Home	1	—	\$5K	—	\$8K	\$9K	\$21K	\$22K	\$4K
Rite Of Passage - Meadowlark Academy	1	\$26K	\$4K	\$10K	\$9K	\$9K	\$9K	\$58K	\$12K
Laramie County School Dist 1	3	\$22K	\$4K	\$5K	\$569	\$7K	\$329	\$39K	\$8K
Prairie View Community School	1	\$12K	\$24K	—	—	—	—	\$36K	\$7K
Laramie County Library System	3	—	—	\$19K	—	\$28K	—	\$47K	\$9K
St Anthony'S School	1	\$9K	\$10K	—	\$3K	—	\$12K	\$22K	\$4K
Teton Science Schools	1	—	—	\$8K	\$11K	\$8K	—	\$28K	\$6K
Cheyenne Classical Academy	1	\$2K	\$12K	—	—	—	—	\$14K	\$3K
Holy Name Catholic School (Administrative, Library And Grades 4-8 Building)	1	—	—	—	—	—	\$10K	—	—
Uinta County Public Library	3	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Wyoming — Part I: Billed Entities by Estimated E-Rate Support

WY

Billed Entity	Sites	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	5-yr Total	Average
Lincoln County Library	6	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

School / Library	City	Type	Students	NSLP	Down Mbps	Up Mbps	Support FY2025
ALBANY CO SCHOOL DISTRICT 1							
Laramie High School	Laramie	School	1,094	287	100,000	100,000	\$20K
Notch Peak Elementary	Wheatland	School	2	2	100,000	100,000	\$153
BIE CNTRL OFC/DPT OF INTERIOR							
St Stephens Indian School	Saint Stephens	School	301	189	10,000	10,000	\$85K
BIG HORN CO SCHOOL DISTRICT 3							
Greybull Elementary School	Greybull	School	192	105	100,000	100,000	\$10K
Greybull Middle School	Greybull	School	102	56	100,000	100,000	\$10K
CAMPBELL COUNTY SCHOOL DIST 1							
Buffalo Ridge Elementary	Gillette	School	413	117	1,000	1,000	\$9K
Prairie Wind Elementary	Gillette	School	404	178	1,000	1,000	\$9K
Thunder Basin High School	Gillette	School	1,206	338	1,000	1,000	\$9K
CARBON COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT #1							
Rawlins Elementary School	Rawlins	School	665	336	10,000	10,000	\$8K
Rawlins High School	Rawlins	School	439	158	10,000	10,000	\$8K
Rawlins Middle School	Rawlins	School	308	134	10,000	10,000	\$8K
Sinclair Elementary School	Sinclair	School	0	0	2,000	2,000	—
CATHEDRAL HOME FOR CHILDREN							
Cathedral Home For Children	Laramie	School	35	35	100	100	\$6K
CHEYENNE CLASSICAL ACADEMY							
Cheyenne Classical Academy	Cheyenne	School	183	0	1,000	1,000	\$2K
CONVERSE CO SCHOOL DISTRICT 1							
Douglas High School	Douglas	School	511	173	10,000	10,000	\$12K
Douglas Intermediate School	Douglas	School	229	108	10,000	10,000	\$12K
Douglas Middle School	Douglas	School	389	149	10,000	10,000	\$12K
Douglas Primary School	Douglas	School	210	206	10,000	10,000	\$12K
Douglas Upper Elementary School	Douglas	School	251	121	10,000	10,000	\$12K
Walker Creek Elementary School	Douglas	School	9	5	100	100	—
CONVERSE CO SCHOOL DISTRICT 2							
Glenrock Intermediate School	Glenrock	School	144	70	1,000	1,000	\$20K
Glenrock Jrsr High School	Glenrock	School	305	128	100,000	100,000	\$5K
Glenrock Middle School	Glenrock	School	95	39	100,000	100,000	—
Grant Elementary School	Glenrock	School	185	78	100,000	100,000	\$5K
CROOK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT 1							

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

School / Library	City	Type	Students	NSLP	Down Mbps	Up Mbps	Support FY2025
Moorcroft Secondary	Moorcroft	School	273	120	100,000	100,000	\$23K
Sundance Elementary School	Sundance	School	250	65	100,000	100,000	\$23K
Sundance Secondary School	Sundance	School	203	51	100,000	100,000	\$23K
ENTERPRISE TECHNOLOGY SERVICES							
4-J School	Gillette	School	35	4	1,000	1,000	\$17K
Administration Building	Pine Bluffs	NIF	—	—	100,000	100,000	\$153
Administration Building	Thermopolis	NIF	—	—	10,000	10,000	\$34K
Administrative Building	Gillette	NIF	—	—	1,000	1,000	\$6K
Afferbach Elementary School	Cheyenne	School	303	303	1,000	1,000	\$11K
Afton Elementary School	Afton	School	452	158	1,000	1,000	\$8K
Albany County School District #1 Administration Building	Laramie	NIF	—	—	1,000	1,000	\$6K
Albin Elementary School	Albin	School	43	26	1,000	1,000	\$19K
Alcova Elementary School	Alcova	School	1	0	100	100	—
Alta Elementry	Alta	School	33	5	1,000	1,000	\$36K
Alta Vista Elementary School	Cheyenne	School	197	147	1,000	1,000	\$11K
Anderson Elementary School	Cheyenne	School	290	100	1,000	1,000	\$11K
Antelope Creek School	Garrett	School	2	2	100,000	100,000	\$153
Aquatic Center	Gillette	School	0	0	100,000	100,000	—
Arapahoe Elementary School	Arapahoe	School	334	334	1,000	1,000	\$49K
Arp Elementary School	Cheyenne	School	324	324	1,000	1,000	\$11K
Arvada Elementary School	Arvada	NIF	—	—	100,000	100,000	—
Arvada Elementary School	Arvada	School	0	0	1,000	1,000	—
Arvada-Clearmont High School	Clearmont	School	31	8	1,000	1,000	—
Baggs Elementary School	Cheyenne	School	273	176	1,000	1,000	\$11K
Bain Elementary School	Cheyenne	School	221	221	1,000	1,000	\$11K
Baldwin Creek	Lander	School	197	83	100,000	100,000	\$588
Bar Nunn Elementary School	Bar Nunn	School	158	94	1,000	1,000	\$48K
Bear Lodge High School	Sundance	School	10	6	100,000	100,000	—
Bearcat Den	Douglas	NIF	—	—	100,000	100,000	\$190
Beitel Elementary School	Laramie	School	0	0	1,000	1,000	\$6K
Big Horn County School District #1 Administration Building	Cowley	NIF	—	—	100,000	100,000	\$1K
Big Horn County School District #3 Administration Building	Greybull	NIF	—	—	100,000	100,000	\$1K
Big Horn Elementary School	Big Horn	School	189	30	100,000	100,000	\$822
Big Horn High School	Big Horn	School	149	26	1,000	1,000	\$10K
Big Horn Middle School	Big Horn	School	126	17	100,000	100,000	\$822
Big Piney Elementary School	Big Piney	School	208	74	100,000	100,000	\$672
Big Piney High School	Big Piney	School	192	45	1,000	1,000	\$14K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

School / Library	City	Type	Students	NSLP	Down Mbps	Up Mbps	Support FY2025
Big Piney Middle School	Big Piney	School	147	45	100,000	100,000	\$672
Boxelder Elementary School	Glenrock	School	0	0	100,000	100,000	—
Buff Gym	Grey Bull	NIF	—	—	100,000	100,000	\$1K
Buffalo Ridge Elem School	Cheyenne	School	161	70	1,000	1,000	\$11K
Building & Grounds Building	Gillette	NIF	—	—	1,000	1,000	\$6K
Burlington Elementary School	Burlington	School	108	64	100,000	100,000	\$1K
Burlington High School	Burlington	School	62	27	1,000	1,000	\$25K
Burlington Middle School	Burlington	School	66	33	100,000	100,000	\$1K
Burns Jr & Sr High School	Burns	School	307	104	1,000	1,000	\$9K
Bus Barn	Lovell	NIF	—	—	100,000	100,000	\$1K
Bus Barn	Mountain View	NIF	—	—	100	100	\$3K
Bus Barn	Jackson	NIF	—	—	10,000	10,000	—
Bus Garage	Aston	NIF	—	—	10,000	10,000	—
C Y Middle School	Casper	School	755	300	1,000	1,000	\$48K
Campbell County High School	Gillette	School	1,212	470	1,000	1,000	\$9K
Canyon Elementary School	Kemmerer	School	301	95	100,000	100,000	\$672
Carbon County School District #2 Administration Building	Saratoga	NIF	—	—	10,000	10,000	\$38K
Carey Junior High School	Cheyenne	School	741	307	1,000	1,000	\$11K
Carpenter Elementary School	Carpenter	School	69	31	1,000	1,000	\$26K
Casper Classical Academy	Casper	School	508	313	1,000	1,000	\$51K
Centennial Elementary School	Centennial	School	4	4	1,000	1,000	\$8K
Centennial Junior High School A.K.A. Centennial Middle School	Casper	School	732	260	1,000	1,000	\$48K
Central High School	Cheyenne	School	1,257	250	1,000	1,000	\$11K
Chugwater Elementary School	Chugwater	School	23	17	100,000	100,000	—
Chugwater Junior High School	Chugwater	School	7	0	100,000	100,000	—
Chugwater K-12	Chugwater	School	28	8	100,000	100,000	—
Clark Elementary School	Powell	School	11	9	1,000	1,000	\$8K
Clawson School	Horse Creek	School	6	0	100	100	\$20K
Cloud Peak Middle School	Manderson	School	69	25	100,000	100,000	—
Cody High School	Cody	School	565	121	10,000	10,000	\$27K
Cody Middle School	Cody	School	461	187	100,000	100,000	\$7K
Cokeville Elementary School	Cokeville	School	140	54	1,000	1,000	\$14K
Cokeville High School	Cokeville	School	110	27	1,000	1,000	\$14K
Cole Elementary School	Cheyenne	School	143	143	1,000	1,000	\$11K
Conestoga Elementary School	Gillette	School	348	143	1,000	1,000	\$9K
Converse County School District #1 Administration Building	Douglas	NIF	—	—	10,000	10,000	\$9K
Converse County School District #1 Maintenance Building	Douglas	NIF	—	—	10,000	10,000	—

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

School / Library	City	Type	Students	NSLP	Down Mbps	Up Mbps	Support FY2025
Converse County School District #1 Transportation Building	Douglas	NIF	—	—	10,000	10,000	—
Converse County School District #2 Administration Building	Glenrock	NIF	—	—	100,000	100,000	\$190
Cottonwood Elementary	Casper	School	276	206	1,000	1,000	\$48K
Cottonwood Elementary School	Wright	School	198	97	1,000	1,000	\$9K
Coyote Ridge Elementary School	Cheyenne	School	406	103	1,000	1,000	\$11K
Cozy Hollow School	Garrett	School	1	0	100,000	100,000	—
Crest Hill Elementary School	Casper	School	217	110	1,000	1,000	\$48K
Crook County School District #1 Administration Building	Sundance	NIF	—	—	10,000	10,000	\$82K
Crowheart Elementary School	Crowheart	School	2	2	100	100	\$26K
Davis Elementary School	Cheyenne	School	213	74	1,000	1,000	\$11K
Dean Morgan Middle School	Casper	School	623	363	1,000	1,000	\$48K
Deming Elementary School	Cheyenne	School	78	35	1,000	1,000	\$11K
Desert Elementary School	Wamsutter	School	27	20	1,000	1,000	\$26K
Desert Middle School	Wamsutter	School	8	0	1,000	1,000	\$26K
Dildine Elementary School	Cheyenne	School	279	140	1,000	1,000	\$11K
District Office	Lusk	NIF	—	—	100,000	100,000	\$153
Dry Creek Elementary School	Douglas	School	8	0	100	100	\$17K
Dubois Elementary	Dubois	School	80	1	1,000	1,000	\$8K
Dubois High School	Dubois	School	56	1	1,000	1,000	\$8K
Dubois Middle School	Dubois	School	35	1	1,000	1,000	\$8K
East High School	Cheyenne	School	1,515	483	1,000	1,000	\$11K
East Side Elementary School	Worland	School	141	67	1,000	1,000	\$23K
Eastside Elementary	Rock Springs	School	541	348	1,000	1,000	\$32K
Educational Annex	Cheyene	NIF	—	—	1,000	1,000	\$6K
Educational Resource Center	Worland	NIF	—	—	100,000	100,000	\$3K
Elk Mountain Elementary School	Elk Mountain	School	0	0	1,000	1,000	—
Encampment K-12 School	Encampment	School	122	52	1,000	1,000	\$14K
Etna Elementary School (4-6)	Etna	School	277	100	1,000	1,000	\$23K
Evansville Elementary School	Evansville	School	207	146	1,000	1,000	\$48K
Expedition Academy	Green River	School	35	17	100,000	100,000	\$9K
Facilities Management	Cheyenne	NIF	—	—	100,000	100,000	—
Fairview Elementary School	Cheyenne	School	90	90	1,000	1,000	\$11K
Farson-Eden Elementary School	Farson	School	45	14	1,000	1,000	\$24K
Farson-Eden High School	Farson	School	53	17	1,000	1,000	\$24K
Farson-Eden Middle School	Farson	School	39	14	1,000	1,000	\$24K
Fort Caspar Academy	Casper	School	334	147	1,000	1,000	\$48K
Fort Washakie Elementary Sch	Fort Washakie	School	293	293	1,000	1,000	\$4K
Fort Washakie Middle School	Fort Washakie	School	96	96	1,000	1,000	\$4K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

School / Library	City	Type	Students	NSLP	Down Mbps	Up Mbps	Support FY2025
Freedom Elementary School	Cheyenne	School	345	118	1,000	1,000	\$11K
Fremont County School District #1 Administration Building	Lander	NIF	—	—	1,000	1,000	\$6K
Fremont County School District #24 Administrative Office	Shoshoni	NIF	—	—	1,000	1,000	\$21K
Fremont County School District #24 Bus Garage	Shoshoni	NIF	—	—	100,000	100,000	\$588
Fremont County School District #25 Administration Building	Riverton	NIF	—	—	100,000	100,000	\$588
Frontier Learning Center	Cheyenne	NIF	—	—	10,000	10,000	—
Frontier Middle School	Casper	School	0	0	100,000	100,000	—
Ft. Washakie High School	Ft. Washakie	School	105	105	1,000	1,000	\$4K
Gannett Peak Elementary	Lander	School	412	174	1,000	1,000	\$6K
Gilchrist Elementary School	Cheyenne	School	127	28	1,000	1,000	\$19K
Glendo Elementary School	Glendo	School	30	14	1,000	1,000	\$7K
Glendo High School	Glendo	School	13	7	1,000	1,000	\$7K
Glendo K-12	Glendo	School	12	5	100,000	100,000	—
Gms Gym	Grey Bull	NIF	—	—	100,000	100,000	\$1K
Goins Elementary School	Cheyenne	School	260	260	1,000	1,000	\$11K
Goshen County School District #1 Administration Building	Torrington	NIF	—	—	10,000	10,000	\$7K
Granger Elementary School	Granger	School	3	0	100	100	\$11K
Grant Elementary School	Casper	School	177	128	100,000	100,000	—
Green River High School	Green River	School	757	244	1,000	1,000	\$32K
Greybull High School	Greybull	School	137	72	1,000	1,000	\$20K
Guernsey-Sunrise Elem School	Guernsey	School	137	70	10,000	10,000	\$5K
Guernsey-Sunrise High School	Guernsey	School	64	27	10,000	10,000	\$5K
Guernsey-Sunrise Jr High Sch	Guernsey	School	43	21	10,000	10,000	\$5K
Hanna Elementary School	Hanna	School	71	56	1,000	1,000	\$14K
Harmony Elementary School	Laramie	School	15	15	1,000	1,000	\$14K
Harrison Elementary School	Green River	School	208	68	100,000	100,000	\$9K
Hebard Elementary School	Cheyenne	School	113	113	1,000	1,000	\$11K
Hem Junior/Senior High	Hanna	School	79	48	1,000	1,000	\$14K
Henderson Elementary School	Cheyenne	School	222	136	1,000	1,000	\$11K
Hillcrest Elementary School	Gillette	School	370	272	1,000	1,000	\$9K
Hobbs Elementary School	Cheyenne	School	230	55	1,000	1,000	\$11K
Hot Springs Co High School	Thermopolis	School	184	80	100,000	100,000	\$19K
Hot Springs Co School Dist 1 Bus Barn	Thermopolis	NIF	—	—	100,000	100,000	\$588
Hulett School	Hulett	School	160	62	1,000	1,000	\$48K
Indian Paintbrush Elem School	Laramie	School	273	66	1,000	1,000	\$6K
Jackson Elementary	Jackson	School	319	82	1,000	1,000	\$15K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

School / Library	City	Type	Students	NSLP	Down Mbps	Up Mbps	Support FY2025
Jackson Hole High School	Jackson	School	861	220	10,000	10,000	\$30K
Jeffrey City School	Jeffrey City	School	0	0	100,000	100,000	—
Jessup Elementary School	Cheyenne	School	99	22	1,000	1,000	\$11K
Johnson Co School Dist Special Education Center	Buffalo	NIF	—	—	100,000	100,000	\$190
Johnson County School District #1 Administration Building	Buffalo	NIF	—	—	1,000	1,000	\$8K
Johnson County School District #1 Bus Barn	Buffalo	NIF	—	—	100,000	100,000	\$190
Johnson Junior High School	Cheyenne	School	596	596	1,000	1,000	\$11K
Journey Elementary School	Casper	School	264	193	1,000	1,000	\$48K
Juvenile Detention Center	Cheyenne	NIF	—	—	1,000	1,000	\$6K
Kaycee School	Kaycee	School	115	43	1,000	1,000	\$27K
Kelly Elementary School	Kelly	School	39	0	1,000	1,000	\$23K
Kelly Walsh High School	Casper	School	1,954	762	1,000	1,000	\$48K
Kemmerer Elementary School	Kemmerer	School	141	29	100,000	100,000	—
Kemmerer Junior-Senior High School	Kemmerer	School	314	47	1,000	1,000	\$7K
La Barge Elementary School	La Barge	School	58	19	1,000	1,000	\$14K
Lagrange Elementary School	Lagrange	School	17	9	1,000	1,000	\$25K
Lakeview Elementary School	Gillette	School	378	221	1,000	1,000	\$9K
Lakeway Learning Center	Gillette	NIF	—	—	10,000	10,000	\$21K
Lakeway Learning Center	Gillette	School	0	0	100,000	100,000	—
Lance Creek Elementary School	Lance Creek	School	8	0	1,000	1,000	\$20K
Lander Middle School	Lander	School	291	113	1,000	1,000	\$6K
Lander Valley High School	Lander	School	516	183	10,000	10,000	\$41K
Laramie County School District #1 Administration Building	Cheyenne	NIF	—	—	10,000	10,000	\$7K
Laramie Middle School	Laramie	School	741	260	10,000	10,000	\$6K
Laramie Montessori Charter School	Laramie	School	97	37	1,000	1,000	\$6K
Laura Irwin Elementary School	Basin	School	99	51	100,000	100,000	\$1K
Lebhart Elementary School	Cheyenne	School	66	66	1,000	1,000	\$11K
Libbey Elementary School	Wheatland	School	213	81	100,000	100,000	\$153
Lincoln County School District #1 Administration Building	Diamondville	NIF	—	—	100,000	100,000	\$672
Lincoln County School District #2 Administration Building	Afton	NIF	—	—	1,000	1,000	\$8K
Lincoln Elementary	Rock Springs	School	0	0	100,000	100,000	—
Lincoln Elementary School	Casper	School	379	306	1,000	1,000	\$48K
Lincoln Middle School	Green River	School	504	187	100,000	100,000	\$9K
Lingle Ft Laramie Elementary School	Lingle	School	107	56	1,000	1,000	\$13K
Lingle-Fort Laramie High School	Lingle	School	106	56	1,000	1,000	\$13K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

School / Library	City	Type	Students	NSLP	Down Mbps	Up Mbps	Support FY2025
Lingle-Ft Laramie Middle School	Fort Laramie	School	67	35	1,000	1,000	\$13K
Little Powder Elementary Sch	Weston	School	19	6	1,000	1,000	\$11K
Little Snake River Valley Sch	Baggs	School	200	55	500	500	\$14K
Lovell Elementary School	Lovell	School	326	173	100,000	100,000	\$1K
Lovell High School	Lovell	School	193	83	100,000	100,000	\$1K
Lovell Middle School	Lovell	School	179	76	1,000	1,000	\$25K
Lusk Middle School	Lusk	School	62	17	100,000	100,000	\$31K
Lyman High School	Lyman	School	254	69	1,000	1,000	\$14K
Lyman Intermediate School	Lyman	School	228	57	10,000	10,000	\$25K
Maintenance And Operation	Laramie	NIF	—	—	1,000	1,000	\$6K
Maintenance Building	Grey Bull	NIF	—	—	100,000	100,000	\$1K
Maintenance Office	Sheridan	NIF	—	—	100	100	\$5K
Maintenance Shed	Jackson	NIF	—	—	10,000	10,000	—
Manderson Elementary School	Manderson	School	22	11	100,000	100,000	—
Manor Heights Elem School	Casper	School	299	187	1,000	1,000	\$48K
Mccormick Junior High School	Cheyenne	School	678	183	1,000	1,000	\$11K
Mckinnon Elementary School	Mc Kinnon	School	12	7	100	100	\$11K
Meadowlark Elementary	Cheyenne	School	434	160	1,000	1,000	\$11K
Meadowlark Elementary School	Buffalo	School	211	92	10,000	10,000	\$20K
Meadowlark Elementary School	Gillette	School	239	169	1,000	1,000	\$9K
Medicine Bow Elementary	Medicine Bow	School	4	2	1,000	1,000	\$8K
Meeteetse School	Meeteetse	School	109	43	1,000	1,000	\$25K
Midwest School	Midwest	School	111	78	500	500	\$59K
Miller Elementary School	Cheyenne	School	0	0	1,000	1,000	\$11K
Monroe Elementary School	Green River	School	296	109	100,000	100,000	\$9K
Moorcroft Elementary	Moorcroft	School	314	145	1,000	1,000	\$48K
Moran Elementary School	Moran	School	10	0	1,000	1,000	\$23K
Moss Agate Elementary School	Douglas	School	13	0	100	100	\$12K
Mountain View Elem School	Casper	School	0	0	100,000	100,000	—
Mountain View High School	Mountain View	School	230	53	10,000	10,000	\$25K
Mountain View K-8	Mountain View	School	454	114	1,000	1,000	\$14K
Munger Mountain Elementary School	Jackson	School	355	168	1,000	1,000	\$23K
Natrona County High School	Casper	School	1,636	645	1,000	1,000	\$48K
Natrona County School District #1 Administration Building	Caper	NIF	—	—	10,000	10,000	\$23K
New Frontier High School	Kemmerer	School	18	7	100,000	100,000	\$672
New West High School	Buffalo	School	29	16	1,000	1,000	\$8K
Newcastle Elementary 3-5	Newcastle	School	206	50	1,000	1,000	\$11K
Newcastle Elementary K-2	Newcastle	School	170	48	1,000	1,000	\$11K
Newcastle High School	Newcastle	School	224	45	1,000	1,000	\$11K
Newcastle Middle School	Newcastle	School	178	47	1,000	1,000	\$11K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

School / Library	City	Type	Students	NSLP	Down Mbps	Up Mbps	Support FY2025
Nutrition Services	Cheyenne	NIF	—	—	100,000	100,000	—
Oregon Trail Elementary School	Casper	School	272	123	1,000	1,000	\$48K
Osmond Elementary School	Afton	School	298	111	1,000	1,000	\$8K
Overland Elementary School	Rock Springs	School	246	95	100,000	100,000	—
Paintbrush Elementary School	Gillette	School	266	85	1,000	1,000	\$9K
Paradise Valley Elem School	Casper	School	300	125	1,000	1,000	\$48K
Park Elementary School	Casper	School	342	128	1,000	1,000	\$48K
Pathfinder High School	Lander	School	54	29	100,000	100,000	\$588
Peak High School	Wheatland	School	14	4	100,000	100,000	\$153
Physical Plant	Powell	NIF	—	—	10,000	10,000	\$20K
Pine Bluffs Jr & Sr High School	Pine Bluffs	School	189	84	10,000	10,000	\$41K
Pineview Elementary School	Casper	School	184	112	1,000	1,000	\$48K
Pioneer Park Elementary School	Cheyenne	School	409	166	1,000	1,000	\$11K
Planetarium	Casper	NIF	—	—	1,000	1,000	\$6K
Poison Spider Elem School	Casper	School	191	90	500	500	\$52K
Powder River Elementary School	Powder River	School	0	0	100,000	100,000	—
Prairie Wind Elementary	Cheyenne	School	287	38	1,000	1,000	\$11K
Pronghorn Elementary School	Gillette	School	334	92	1,000	1,000	\$9K
Quigg Building	Grey Bull	NIF	—	—	100,000	100,000	\$1K
Ralph Witters Elementary School	Thermopolis	School	214	102	100,000	100,000	\$19K
Rawhide Elementary School	Gillette	School	107	72	1,000	1,000	\$17K
Recluse School	Recluse	School	16	4	100	100	\$9K
Red Creek Elementary School	Casper	School	0	0	100	100	\$47K
Rendevous Elementary	Riverton	School	324	155	100,000	100,000	\$588
Riverside High School	Basin	School	155	69	100,000	100,000	\$1K
Riverton Middle School	Riverton	School	559	210	100,000	100,000	\$588
Rock River Elementary	Rock River	School	36	15	100	100	\$1K
Rock River High School	Rock River	School	41	21	100	100	\$1K
Rock River Junior High School	Rock River	School	32	18	100	100	\$1K
Rocky Mountain Elementary School	Cowley	School	288	99	100,000	100,000	\$1K
Rocky Mountain High School	Cowley	School	359	65	10,000	10,000	\$48K
Rocky Mountain Middle School	Cowley	School	232	68	100,000	100,000	\$1K
Roosevelt High School	Casper	School	198	142	1,000	1,000	\$48K
Rossman Elementary School	Cheyenne	School	292	292	1,000	1,000	\$11K
Rozet Elementary School	Rozet	School	225	109	1,000	1,000	\$17K
Saddle Ridge Elementary School	Cheyenne	School	331	89	1,000	1,000	\$11K
Sage Valley Junior High School	Gillette	School	589	210	1,000	1,000	\$9K
Sagewood Elementary School	Casper	School	305	177	1,000	1,000	\$48K
Saratoga Elementary School	Saratoga	School	166	62	100,000	100,000	\$672
Saratoga Middle/High School	Saratoga	School	139	37	125	125	\$30K
Shawnee Elementary School	Douglas	School	12	0	100	100	\$9K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

School / Library	City	Type	Students	NSLP	Down Mbps	Up Mbps	Support FY2025
Sheridan County School District #1 Administration Building	Ranchester	NIF	—	—	10,000	10,000	\$41K
Shoshoni Elementary School	Shoshoni	School	220	0	100,000	100,000	\$588
Shoshoni High School	Shoshoni	School	117	0	100,000	100,000	\$588
Shoshoni Junior High School	Shoshoni	School	50	0	100,000	100,000	\$588
Slack Elementary School	Parkman	School	6	2	100	100	\$13K
Slade Elementary School	Laramie	School	449	184	1,000	1,000	\$6K
Snow Range Academy	Laramie	School	263	80	1,000	1,000	\$6K
South High School	Cheyenne	School	1,016	1,016	1,000	1,000	\$11K
South Side Elementary School	Worland	School	136	64	1,000	1,000	\$23K
Southridge Elementary School	Casper	School	315	158	1,000	1,000	\$48K
Special Services	Laramie	School	450	0	100,000	100,000	—
Spring Creek Elementary School	Laramie	School	309	109	1,000	1,000	\$6K
Star Lane Center	Casper	NIF	—	—	1,000	1,000	\$6K
Star Valley High School	Afton	School	704	183	10,000	10,000	\$30K
Star Valley Jr Middle School	Afton	School	374	119	10,000	10,000	—
Stocktrail Elementary	Gillette	School	554	229	1,000	1,000	\$9K
Storey Gym	Cheyenne	NIF	—	—	100,000	100,000	—
Story Elementary School	Story	School	29	18	1,000	1,000	\$26K
Student Support Service Building	Casper	NIF	—	—	1,000	1,000	\$6K
Sublette County School District #9 Administration Building	Big Piney	NIF	—	—	10,000	10,000	—
Summit Elementary	Casper	School	423	108	1,000	1,000	\$48K
Sunflower Elementary School	Gillette	School	311	182	1,000	1,000	\$9K
Sunrise Elementary	Cheyenne	School	303	303	1,000	1,000	\$11K
Sweetwater County School District #2 Central Office	Green River	NIF	—	—	10,000	10,000	\$26K
Sweetwater County School District #2 Maintenance Building	Green River	NIF	—	—	100,000	100,000	\$672
Sweetwater County School District #2 Transportation Building	Green River	NIF	—	—	100,000	100,000	\$672
Swift Creek High School	Afton	School	44	28	1,000	1,000	\$8K
Technology Building	Green River	NIF	—	—	100,000	100,000	\$672
Ten Sleep School	Ten Sleep	School	115	33	1,000	1,000	\$26K
Teton County School District #1 Administration Building	Jackson	NIF	—	—	10,000	10,000	—
Thayne Elementary School (K-3)	Thayne	School	385	154	1,000	1,000	\$23K
The Bridge House	Douglas	NIF	—	—	100,000	100,000	—
The Bridge School	Dayton	School	24	4	100,000	100,000	—
Thermopolis Middle School	Thermopolis	School	186	74	1,000	1,000	\$35K
Thoman Ranch School	Kemmerer	School	0	0	100	100	—

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

School / Library	City	Type	Students	NSLP	Down Mbps	Up Mbps	Support FY2025
Tongue River Elementary School	Ranchester	School	254	110	1,000	1,000	\$13K
Tongue River Middle School	Ranchester	School	119	44	100,000	100,000	\$822
Tongue River Sr High School	Dayton	School	137	43	1,000	1,000	\$13K
Trail Elementary School	Torrington	School	236	124	1,000	1,000	\$17K
Transportation Building	Greybull	NIF	—	—	100,000	100,000	\$1K
Transportation Complex	Cheyenne	NIF	—	—	1,000	1,000	\$6K
Transportation Facility	Big Piney	NIF	—	—	10	10	—
Transportation Office	Sheridan	NIF	—	—	100	100	\$5K
Triumph High School	Cheyenne	School	188	188	1,000	1,000	\$11K
Twin Spruce Jr High School	Gillette	School	667	317	1,000	1,000	\$9K
Uinta County School District #4 Administration Building	Mountain View	NIF	—	—	1,000	1,000	\$14K
Uinta County School District #6 Administration Building	Lyman	NIF	—	—	100,000	100,000	\$672
Unita County School District #6 Bus Barn	Lyman	NIF	—	—	100,000	100,000	\$672
University Park Elem School	Casper	School	0	0	100,000	100,000	—
Upton High School	Upton	School	79	0	1,000	1,000	\$25K
Urie Elementary School	Lyman	School	242	73	1,000	1,000	\$14K
Uw Laboratory School	Laramie	School	229	59	100,000	100,000	\$153
Valley Elementary School	Cody	School	8	2	1,000	1,000	\$20K
Valley View Elementary School	Laramie	School	0	0	100,000	100,000	—
Velma Linford Elementary School	Laramie	School	253	241	1,000	1,000	\$6K
Verda James Elementary School	Casper	School	441	133	1,000	1,000	\$48K
Victory High School	Rawlins	School	29	28	10,000	10,000	\$42K
Wagonwheel Elementary School	Gillette	School	244	121	1,000	1,000	\$9K
Wapiti Elementary School	Cody	School	18	18	100	100	\$12K
Warehouse	Casper	NIF	—	—	1,000	1,000	\$6K
Washakie County District Office	Ten Sleep	NIF	—	—	100,000	100,000	\$3K
Wcsd#1 Central Office	Worland	NIF	—	—	100,000	100,000	\$3K
West Elementary School	Wheatland	School	192	74	1,000	1,000	\$6K
West Side Elementary School	Worland	School	159	62	1,000	1,000	\$23K
Weston County School District #7 Administration Building	Upton	NIF	—	—	100,000	100,000	\$3K
Weston County School District #7 Bus Barn	Upton	NIF	—	—	100,000	100,000	\$3K
Westridge Elementary School	Rock Springs	School	324	106	100,000	100,000	—
Westwood High School	Gillette	School	150	79	1,000	1,000	\$9K
Wheatland High School	Wheatland	School	264	62	100,000	100,000	\$20K
Wheatland Middle School	Wheatland	School	228	81	1,000	1,000	\$6K
White Elementary School	Douglas	School	16	0	100	100	\$10K
Whiting High School	Laramie	School	51	49	1,000	1,000	\$6K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

School / Library	City	Type	Students	NSLP	Down Mbps	Up Mbps	Support FY2025
Willadsen Elementary School	Granite Canon	School	9	0	100	100	\$17K
Willard Elementary School	Casper	School	0	0	100,000	100,000	—
Willow Creek School	Kaycee	School	0	0	10,000	10,000	—
Wilson Elementary School	Wilson	School	192	12	1,000	1,000	\$15K
Wind River High School	Pavillion	School	102	102	1,000	1,000	\$27K
Woods Learning Center	Casper	School	170	96	1,000	1,000	\$48K
Worland High School	Worland	School	325	117	10,000	10,000	\$40K
Wright Jr-Sr High School	Wright	School	174	67	1,000	1,000	\$9K
Wyoming Boys' School	Worland	School	66	0	1,000	1,000	\$11K
Wyoming Girls' School	Sheridan	School	40	40	1,000	1,000	\$7K
Wyoming Indian Middle School	Ethete	School	143	109	1,000	1,000	\$28K
FREMONT CO SCHOOL DISTRICT #38							
Arapahoe Charter High School	Riverton	School	65	65	1,000	1,000	\$54K
FREMONT CO SCHOOL DISTRICT 06							
Wind River Elementary School	Pavillion	School	135	135	100,000	100,000	\$11K
Wind River Learning Academy	Pavillion	School	8	0	100,000	100,000	\$11K
Wind River Middle School	Pavillion	School	75	75	100,000	100,000	\$11K
FREMONT CO SCHOOL DISTRICT 14							
Wyoming Indian Elem School	Ethete	School	252	252	1,000	1,000	\$13K
Wyoming Indian High School	Ethete	School	172	172	1,000	1,000	\$13K
FREMONT CO SCHOOL DISTRICT 25							
Ashgrove Elementary School	Riverton	School	0	0	100,000	100,000	—
Aspen Elementary	Riverton	School	241	124	100,000	100,000	\$588
Frontier Academy	Riverton	School	42	15	100,000	100,000	\$588
Jackson Elementary School	Riverton	School	173	98	100,000	100,000	—
Riverton High School	Riverton	School	701	226	100,000	100,000	\$588
Willow Creek Elementary	Riverton	School	269	105	100,000	100,000	\$588
GOSHEN CO SCHOOL DISTRICT #1							
Lincoln Elementary School	Torrington	School	224	117	100,000	100,000	\$11K
Platte River School	Torrington	School	12	7	100,000	100,000	\$11K
Southeast Elementary School	Yoder	School	115	60	100,000	100,000	\$13K
Southeast High School	Yoder	School	82	43	100,000	100,000	\$13K
Southeast Junior High School	Yoder	School	40	21	100,000	100,000	\$13K
Torrington High School	Torrington	School	327	171	100,000	100,000	\$11K
Torrington Middle School	Torrington	School	238	125	100,000	100,000	\$11K
HOLY NAME CATHOLIC SCHOOL (ADMINISTRATIVE, LIBRARY AND GRADES 4-8 BUILDING)							

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

School / Library	City	Type	Students	NSLP	Down Mbps	Up Mbps	Support FY2025
Holy Name Catholic School (Administrative, Library And Grades 4-8 Building)	Sheridan	School	120	36	—	—	—
JOHNSON CO SCHOOL DISTRICT 1							
Buffalo High School	Buffalo	School	317	76	100,000	100,000	\$190
Clear Creek Middle School	Buffalo	School	240	89	100,000	100,000	\$190
Cloud Peak Elementary	Buffalo	School	225	81	100,000	100,000	\$190
LARAMIE COUNTY LIBRARY SYSTEM							
Laramie County Library - Main Branch	Cheyenne	Library	—	—	—	—	—
Laramie County Library System - Burns	Burns	Library	—	—	—	—	—
Laramie County Library System - Pine Bluffs	Pine Bluffs	Library	—	—	—	—	—
LARAMIE COUNTY SCHOOL DIST 1							
Eastridge Facility	Cheyenne	School	0	0	100,000	100,000	\$11K
Poder Academy	Cheyenne	School	214	118	100,000	100,000	\$5K
Poder Academy Secondary School	Cheyenne	School	120	62	10,000	10,000	\$5K
LARAMIE COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT #2							
Burns Elementary School	Burns	School	245	95	100,000	100,000	\$9K
Pine Bluffs Elementary School	Pine Bluffs	School	177	82	100,000	100,000	\$153
LINCOLN COUNTY LIBRARY							
Alpine Branch Library	Alpine	Library	—	—	—	—	—
Cokeville Branch Library	Cokeville	Library	—	—	—	—	—
Labarge Branch Library	La Barge	Library	—	—	—	—	—
Lincoln County Library	Kemmerer	Library	—	—	—	—	—
Star Valley Branch Library	Afton	Library	—	—	—	—	—
Thayne Branch Library	Thayne	Library	—	—	—	—	—
NIORARA Co SCHOOL DISTRICT 1							
Lusk Elementary School	Lusk	School	160	46	100,000	100,000	\$31K
Niobrara County High School	Lusk	School	121	28	100,000	100,000	\$14K
PARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT 01							
Park County School District #1 Administration Building	Powell	NIF	—	—	1,000	1,000	\$190
Parkside Elementary School	Powell	School	202	115	1,000	1,000	\$4K
Powell High School	Powell	School	549	210	1,000	1,000	\$4K
Powell Middle School	Powell	School	403	185	1,000	1,000	\$4K
Shoshone Learning Center	Powell	School	22	15	1,000	1,000	\$4K
Southside Elementary School	Powell	School	280	159	1,000	1,000	\$4K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

School / Library	City	Type	Students	NSLP	Down Mbps	Up Mbps	Support FY2025
Support Services Building	Powell	School	0	0	1,000	1,000	\$4K
Westside Elementary School	Powell	School	283	121	1,000	1,000	\$4K
PARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT 06							
Eastside Elementary School	Cody	School	285	150	100,000	100,000	\$7K
Glenn Livingston Elementary	Cody	School	277	112	100,000	100,000	\$7K
Heart Mountain Academy	Cody	School	43	43	100,000	100,000	\$7K
Sunset Elementary School	Cody	School	286	122	100,000	100,000	\$7K
PRAIRIE VIEW COMMUNITY SCHOOL							
Prairie View Community School	Chugwater	School	66	10	1,000	1,000	\$12K
RITE OF PASSAGE - MEADOWLARK ACADEMY							
Rite Of Passage - Meadowlark Academy	Cheyenne	School	22	22	1,000	1,000	\$26K
SHERIDAN CO SCHOOL DISTRICT #3							
Arvada-Clearmont Junior High School	Clearmont	School	11	5	1,000	1,000	—
SHERIDAN CO SCHOOL DISTRICT 02							
Henry A. Coffeen Elementary School	Sheridan	School	310	175	10,000	10,000	\$18K
Highland Park Elem School	Sheridan	School	310	175	10,000	10,000	\$18K
John C. Schiffer Collaborative School	Sheridan	School	68	38	10,000	10,000	\$18K
Meadowlark Elementary School	Sheridan	School	290	164	10,000	10,000	\$18K
Sagebrush Elementary School	Sheridan	School	336	190	10,000	10,000	\$18K
Sheridan High School	Sheridan	School	1,013	230	10,000	10,000	\$18K
Sheridan Junior High School	Sheridan	School	769	207	10,000	10,000	\$18K
The Wright Place	Sheridan	School	18	10	100,000	100,000	\$12K
Woodland Park Elem School	Sheridan	School	292	165	10,000	10,000	\$18K
SHERIDAN COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT #3							
Clearmont K-12 School	Clearmont	School	68	17	1,000	1,000	\$29K
ST ANTHONY'S SCHOOL							
St Anthony'S School	Casper	School	268	39	2,000	2,000	\$9K
ST JOSEPH'S CHILDREN'S HOME							
St Joseph'S Children'S Home	Torrington	School	62	62	1,000	1,000	—
SUBLETTE COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT #1							
Bondurant Elementary School	Bondurant	School	7	0	100,000	100,000	\$37K
Pinedale Elementary School	Pinedale	School	411	113	100,000	100,000	\$23K
Pinedale High School	Pinedale	School	305	51	100,000	100,000	\$23K
Pinedale Middle School	Pinedale	School	231	46	100,000	100,000	\$23K
Skyline Academy	Pinedale	School	28	12	100,000	100,000	\$23K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

School / Library	City	Type	Students	NSLP	Down Mbps	Up Mbps	Support FY2025
SWEETWATER CO SCHOOL DIST 01							
Black Butte High School	Rock Springs	School	103	50	100	100	\$19K
Desert View Elementary School	Rock Springs	School	181	126	100	100	\$19K
Facilities Department	Rock Springs	NIF	—	—	100	100	\$2K
Northpark Elementary School	Rock Springs	School	165	83	100	100	\$19K
Pilot Butte Elementary	Rock Springs	School	547	213	100	100	\$19K
Rock Springs High School	Rock Springs	School	1,393	563	100	100	\$19K
Rock Springs Junior High School	Rock Springs	School	746	353	100	100	\$19K
Sage Elementary	Rock Springs	School	352	163	100	100	\$19K
Stagecoach Elementary	Rock Springs	School	394	199	100	100	\$19K
Sweetwater County School District #1 Administration Building	Rock Springs	NIF	—	—	1,000	1,000	\$21K
Transportation Department	Rock Springs	NIF	—	—	100	100	\$2K
Walnut Elementary School	Rock Springs	School	195	101	100	100	\$19K
SWEETWATER CO SCHOOL DIST 02							
Jackson Elementary School	Green River	School	0	0	100,000	100,000	—
Truman Elementary School	Green River	School	263	128	100,000	100,000	\$9K
Washington Elementary School	Green River	School	208	68	100,000	100,000	\$9K
TETON COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT #1							
Colter Elementary School	Jackson	School	318	58	10,000	10,000	\$10K
Jackson Hole Middle School	Jackson	School	664	186	10,000	10,000	\$10K
Summit Innovations School	Jackson	School	36	23	10,000	10,000	\$10K
TETON SCIENCE SCHOOLS							
Teton Science Schools	Jackson	School	171	0	1,000	1,000	—
TETON YOUTH & FAMILY SERVICES DBA RED TOP MEADOWS							
Teton Youth & Family Services DbA Red Top Meadows	Wilson	School	15	15	100	100	—
UINTA COUNTY PUBLIC LIBRARY							
Lyman Branch Library	Lyman	Library	—	—	5	5	—
Mountain View Branch Library	Mountain View	Library	—	—	5	5	—
Uinta County Public Library	Evanston	Library	—	—	20	20	—
UINTA COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT 1							
Aspen Elementary	Evanston	School	287	143	1,000	1,000	\$1K
Clark Elementary	Evanston	School	194	105	1,000	1,000	\$1K
Davis Middle School	Evanston	School	309	172	1,000	1,000	\$1K
District Office	Evanston	NIF	—	—	1,000	1,000	—

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

School / Library	City	Type	Students	NSLP	Down Mbps	Up Mbps	Support FY2025
Evanston High School	Evanston	School	771	335	1,000	1,000	\$1K
Evanston Middle School	Evanston	School	324	174	1,000	1,000	\$1K
Horizon Alternative School	Evanston	School	51	45	10,000	10,000	\$22K
North Evanston Elementary	Evanston	School	268	166	1,000	1,000	\$1K
Uinta Meadows Elementary	Evanston	School	408	223	1,000	1,000	\$1K
WASHAKIE COUNTY SCHOOL DIST 1							
White Hawk Academy	Worland	School	19	10	100,000	100,000	\$6K
Worland Middle School	Worland	School	270	106	100,000	100,000	\$6K
WESTON COUNTY SCHOOL DIST 7							
Upton Elementary School	Upton	School	574	0	100,000	100,000	\$5K
Upton Middle School	Upton	School	206	0	100,000	100,000	\$2K
WYOMING CLASSICAL ACADEMY							
Wyoming Classical Academy	Mills	School	396	99	1,000	35	—

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Part III: Billed Entity Reports

Albany Co School District 1	20	Laramie County School District #2	78
Bie Cntrl Ofc/Dpt Of Interior	22	Lincoln County Library	80
Big Horn Co School District 3	23	Niobrara Co School District 1	82
Campbell County School Dist 1	25	Park County School District 01	84
Carbon County School District #1	27	Park County School District 06	86
Cathedral Home For Children	29	Prairie View Community School	87
Cheyenne Classical Academy	31	Rite Of Passage - Meadowlark Academy	88
Converse Co School District 1	32	Sheridan Co School District 02	89
Converse Co School District 2	34	Sheridan County School District #3	91
Crook County School District 1	36	St Anthony'S School	92
Enterprise Technology Services	38	St Joseph'S Children'S Home	93
Fremont Co School District #38	61	Sublette County School District #1	94
Fremont Co School District 06	63	Sweetwater Co School Dist 01	96
Fremont Co School District 14	65	Sweetwater Co School Dist 02	98
Fremont Co School District 25	67	Teton County School District #1	100
Goshen Co School District #1	69	Teton Science Schools	102
Holy Name Catholic School (Administrative, Library And Grades 4-8 ... Building)	71	Uinta County Public Library	103
Johnson Co School District 1	72	Uinta County School District 1	104
Laramie County Library System	74	Washakie County School Dist 1	106
Laramie County School Dist 1	76	Weston County School Dist 7	107

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	–	\$92K	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$16K	\$2K	\$225K	\$8K	\$47K	–	\$47K	\$48K
Average Discount Rate	50%	50%	–	–	–	–	–	–	57%	28%	48%	61%	67%	–	63%	62%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	2	2	–	–	–	–	–	–	3	5	6	6	5	–	3	3
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	100	104	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	100	104	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K	\$31K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$8K	\$44K	–	\$47K	\$48K
Internal Connections	–	\$92K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$188K	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$16K	\$777	\$2K	–	\$3K	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$3K	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Laramie High School	\$20K	\$2K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$990	\$5K	\$4K	\$11K	\$7K
Notch Peak Elementary	\$153	\$488	\$166	\$27K	\$16K	\$16K	\$17K	\$26K	\$33K	\$28K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Laramie High School	Laramie	60%	1,094	287	–	Rural
Notch Peak Elementary	Wheatland	50%	2	2	–	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
U S West Communications, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$26K	–	\$40K	–	\$41K	\$43K
Information Systems Consulting	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$191K	–	–	–	–	–
Advanced Network Management, Inc.	–	\$87K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Union Telephone Company	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$452	\$2K	\$3K	\$2K	–	\$5K	\$5K
Easton Telecom Services, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$425	\$2K	–	\$3K	–	\$3K	\$3K
Qwest Communications Corporation	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Wyoming.Com	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Century Link Centurytel Of Lake Dallas, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$14K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Convergeone, Inc.	–	\$5K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
At&T Mobility	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$5K	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$11M	\$12M	\$1.0M	\$7.8M	\$9.5M	\$9.9M	\$8.8M	\$7.7M	\$6.8M	\$6.3M	–	\$3.4M	\$2.7M	\$1.6M	\$1.7M	\$2.4M
Average Discount Rate	89%	89%	87%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	87%	89%	89%	87%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	3
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	5,345	684	827	836	222	99	1,791	98	914	134	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	5,345	696	827	836	995	99	2,566	98	914	135	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$3.4M	\$2.7M	\$1.6M	\$1.7M	\$2.4M
Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	\$11M	\$12M	\$1.0M	\$7.8M	\$9.5M	\$9.9M	\$8.8M	\$7.7M	\$6.8M	\$6.3M	–	–	–	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
St Stephens Indian School	\$85K	\$56K	\$28K	\$19K	\$19K	\$19K	\$19K	\$19K	\$19K	\$23K	\$31K	\$29K				

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
St Stephens Indian School	Saint Stephens	84%	301	189	– Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Verizon Business Global Llc	\$11M	\$12M	\$1.0M	\$7.8M	\$9.5M	\$9.9M	\$8.8M	\$7.7M	\$6.8M	\$6.3M	–	\$3.4M	\$2.7M	\$1.6M	\$1.7M	\$2.4M
Ultra Technologies, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Technical And Management Services Corp.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
At&T Corp.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Navajo Communications Co. Db a Citizens Communications	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
A & T Systems, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Dell Marketing Lp	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Golden West Tel. Coop. Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Navajo Communications Co. - Nm	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
U S West Communications, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$25K	–	–	–	\$37K	–	–	\$2K	\$13K	\$10K	\$28K	\$6K	\$17K	\$4K	\$5K	\$8K
Average Discount Rate	80%	–	–	–	70%	–	–	70%	58%	57%	63%	74%	74%	67%	67%	71%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	–	–	–	1	–	–	1	5	3	2	3	3	2	2	2
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	1	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	1	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$554	\$2K	\$3K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$5K	\$8K
Internal Connections	\$25K	–	–	–	\$37K	–	–	\$2K	\$13K	\$9K	\$25K	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$323	\$3K	\$13K	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Greybull Elementary School	\$10K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$14K	\$8K	\$1K	\$10K	\$13K	\$12K
Greybull Middle School	\$10K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$14K	\$8K	\$1K	\$10K	\$16K	\$26K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Greybull Elementary School	Greybull	80%	192	105	– Rural
Greybull Middle School	Greybull	80%	102	56	– Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Tct West, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$3K	\$4K	\$14K	\$3K	\$4K	\$7K
Bytespeed, Llc	–	–	–	–	\$37K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Dell Marketing Lp	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$25K	–	–	–	–	–
Pine Cove Consulting, Llc	\$25K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Cablexpress Corporation	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$924	\$9K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Speedlink Solutions	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$8K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Verizon Wireless Fka Cellco Partnership	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$469	\$741	\$999	\$786
Edline, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$3K	\$3K	–	–	–
Cdw Government Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	\$3K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Tri County Telephone Assoc., Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$554	\$2K	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$63K	\$106K	\$155K	–	–	–	–	\$25K	–	\$16K	\$29K	\$2K	\$1K	–	–	–
Average Discount Rate	60%	50%	60%	–	–	60%	–	60%	60%	20%	40%	60%	60%	–	–	–
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	2	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	4	2	1	–	–	1	–	1	1	1	2	2	2	–	–	–
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	1,000	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	1,000	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$16K	\$29K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	\$1K	–	–	–
Internal Connections	\$63K	\$101K	\$155K	–	–	–	–	\$25K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	\$3K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	\$2K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Wireless School Bus Services and Equipment	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Buffalo Ridge Elementary	\$9K	\$11K	\$16K	\$6K	\$8K	\$12K	\$2K	\$6K	\$2K	\$2K
Prairie Wind Elementary	\$9K	\$11K	\$16K	\$6K	\$8K	\$12K	\$9K	\$12K	\$8K	\$9K
Thunder Basin High School	\$9K	\$11K	\$16K	\$6K	\$8K	\$12K	\$761	\$8K	–	–

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Buffalo Ridge Elementary	Gillette	60%	413	117	–	Urban
Prairie Wind Elementary	Gillette	60%	404	178	–	Urban
Thunder Basin High School	Gillette	60%	1,206	338	–	Urban

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Slappay Communications, Llc	\$12K	–	\$155K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Advanced Network Management, Inc.	\$6K	\$103K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Center Warehouse	\$45K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
U S West	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$28K	\$2K	\$864	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Cdw Government Llc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$25K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Centurylink Corporation (Fka Embarq)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$16K	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kajeet, Inc.	-	\$3K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Centurylink Qwest Communications Company, Llc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$1K	\$101	\$242	-	-	-
Net Partners Internet Solutions, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Energy Electric Co.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$33K	\$28K	–	\$218K	–	–	\$57K	\$57K	\$57K	\$59K	\$192K	\$41K	\$46K	–	–	–
Average Discount Rate	70%	60%	–	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	36%	47%	62%	67%	68%	–	–	–
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	1	–	2	1	1	3	6	5	5	7	7	7	–	–	–
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	10,000	10,000	–	2,000	2,000	2,000	513	743	701	701	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	10,000	10,000	–	2,000	2,000	2,000	513	729	684	684	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$43K	–	–	–
Internal Connections	–	–	–	\$218K	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$149K	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	\$33K	\$28K	–	–	–	–	\$57K	\$57K	\$57K	\$57K	\$43K	\$41K	\$2K	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Rawlins Elementary School	\$8K	\$13K	\$4K	\$47K	\$3K	\$3K	\$5K	\$14K	\$5K	\$11K
Rawlins High School	\$8K	\$13K	\$4K	\$47K	\$3K	\$3K	\$5K	\$14K	\$5K	\$11K
Rawlins Middle School	\$8K	\$13K	\$4K	\$47K	\$3K	\$3K	\$5K	\$14K	\$5K	\$11K
Sinclair Elementary School	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$8K	\$10K	\$16K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Rawlins Elementary School	Rawlins	70%	665	336	–	Rural
Rawlins High School	Rawlins	70%	439	158	–	Rural
Rawlins Middle School	Rawlins	70%	308	134	–	Rural
Sinclair Elementary School	Sinclair	70%	0	0	–	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Fatbeam, Llc	\$33K	\$28K	–	–	–	–	\$57K	\$57K	\$57K	\$57K	\$43K	\$41K	\$32K	–	–	–
High Point Networks, Llc	–	–	–	\$218K	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$149K	–	–	–	–	–
Union Tel. Co.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Union Telephone Company	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$11K	–	–	–
Dubois Telephone Exchange, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$312	–	–	\$2K	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Edline Llc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$2K	-	-	-
At&T Corp.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Telephone Utilities Of Wyoming, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Verizon Wireless (Cellco Partnership)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$100	-	-	-	-	-	-
Qwest Communications Corporation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	–	\$6K	\$6K	\$11K	\$11K	\$11K	\$4K	\$7K	\$32K	\$44K	\$57K	\$57K	\$59K	\$117K	\$135K	\$32K
Average Discount Rate	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	50%	55%	58%	74%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	4	3	3	4	4	5	5	4
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	2	2	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	2	2	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	\$9K	\$14K	\$27K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$29K	\$32K	\$35K	\$31K	\$30K
Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$80K	\$103K	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	\$6K	\$6K	\$11K	\$11K	\$11K	\$4K	\$4K	\$23K	\$31K	\$31K	\$28K	\$28K	\$2K	\$1K	\$3K
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Cathedral Home For Children	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$11K	\$11K	\$11K	\$4K	\$7K	\$40K	\$46K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Cathedral Home For Children	Laramie	90%	35	35	– Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Simonsen Mader Tschacher & Co.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Qwest Corporation Fka Us West Communications	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	\$9K	\$14K	\$25K	\$25K	\$27K	\$29K	\$19K	\$21K
Qwest Communications Corporation	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$23K	\$31K	\$31K	\$28K	\$28K	\$2K	\$9K	\$9K
Medicine Bow Technologies, Inc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$50K	\$103K	–
Windstream Communications, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Charter Communications Operating, Llc	–	\$6K	\$6K	\$11K	\$11K	\$11K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Vital Support Systems, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$29K	–	–
Alltel Communications	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
At&T Mobility	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$4K	\$4K	\$6K	\$5K	\$3K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Weres Communications Inc	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$4K	\$4K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	–	\$10K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Average Discount Rate	40%	50%	50%	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	1	1	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	2	1	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	1,000	1,000	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	1,000	1,000	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Internal Connections	–	\$7K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	\$3K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Cheyenne Classical Academy	\$2K	\$12K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Cheyenne Classical Academy	Cheyenne	20%	183	0	– Urban

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
801 Technology Llc	–	\$7K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Clarity Telecom, Llc	–	\$3K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Cdw Government Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Questivity Inc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$71K	\$65K	\$70K	\$69K	\$66K	\$66K	\$124K	\$63K	\$66K	\$81K	\$90K	\$54K	\$27K	\$23K	\$23K	\$22K
Average Discount Rate	70%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	50%	50%	52%	62%	62%	62%	60%	60%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	5	6	6	6	5	5	6	6	6	6	-	-	-	-	-	-
Service Providers	3	2	4	3	3	3	3	3	4	3	4	4	3	3	3	3
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	10,000	10,000	10,000	3,187	8,751	8,751	8,750	9,375	5,001	9,333	-	-	-	-	-	-
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	10,000	10,000	10,000	3,187	8,751	8,751	8,750	9,375	5,000	9,333	-	-	-	-	-	-

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$7K	\$16K	-	-	-	-	-
Telecomm Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$44K	\$54K	\$26K	\$23K	\$23K	\$22K
Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$59K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	\$41K	\$39K	\$44K	\$44K	\$44K	\$44K	\$44K	\$44K	\$44K	\$44K	\$348	-	\$934	-	-	-
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Managed Internal Broadband Services	\$30K	\$26K	\$26K	\$25K	\$22K	\$22K	\$20K	\$19K	\$22K	\$30K	\$30K	-	-	-	-	-

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Douglas High School	\$12K	\$11K	\$12K	\$9K	\$10K	\$10K	\$19K	\$8K	\$8K	\$7K
Douglas Intermediate School	\$12K	\$11K	\$12K	\$10K	\$10K	\$10K	\$16K	\$8K	\$8K	\$7K
Douglas Middle School	\$12K	\$11K	\$12K	\$10K	\$10K	\$10K	\$17K	\$8K	\$8K	\$7K
Douglas Primary School	\$12K	\$11K	\$12K	\$10K	\$10K	\$10K	\$17K	\$8K	\$8K	\$8K
Douglas Upper Elementary School	\$12K	\$11K	\$12K	\$10K	\$10K	\$10K	\$17K	\$8K	\$8K	\$7K
Walker Creek Elementary School	-	\$3K	\$8K	\$8K	-	-	\$15K	\$13K	\$13K	\$13K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Douglas High School	Douglas	70%	511	173	- Rural
Douglas Intermediate School	Douglas	70%	229	108	- Rural
Douglas Middle School	Douglas	70%	389	149	- Rural
Douglas Primary School	Douglas	70%	210	206	- Rural
Douglas Upper Elementary School	Douglas	70%	251	121	- Rural
Walker Creek Elementary School	Douglas	60%	9	5	- Rural

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Wanrack Llc	\$41K	\$39K	\$44K	\$44K	\$44K	\$44K	\$44K	\$44K	\$44K	\$44K	\$44K	\$30K	-	-	-	-
358 Tech	-	-	-	-	\$22K	\$22K	\$79K	\$19K	\$22K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Vp Telecom, Inc. Dba Orbitcom	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$21K	\$24K	\$21K	\$19K	\$18K
Qwest Corporation Fka Us West Communications	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$3K	\$2K
Ptolemy Group	\$30K	\$26K	\$26K	\$25K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pine Cove Consulting, Llc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$30K	\$30K	-	-	-	-	-
Birch Telecom, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$277	\$422	\$8K	\$16K	-	-	-	-	-
Verizon Wireless Fka Cellco Partnership	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$693	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K
Alltel Communications	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ionex Dba Firstel/Advanced Communications	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	–	\$16K	\$13K	\$13K	\$13K	–	–	–	\$18K	\$33K	\$6K	\$13K	\$17K	\$21K	\$23K	\$31K
Average Discount Rate	70%	70%	60%	60%	60%	–	–	–	65%	33%	40%	60%	60%	64%	67%	67%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	1	1	1	1	–	–	–	1	5	2	5	4	4	4	4
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$6K	\$6K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$12K	\$17K	\$21K	\$23K	\$31K
Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$18K	\$27K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$803	–	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	\$16K	\$13K	\$13K	\$13K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Glenrock Intermediate School	\$20K	\$9K	\$7K	\$6K	\$5K	\$2K	\$3K	\$4K	\$3K	\$6K
Glenrock Jrsr High School	\$5K	\$9K	\$7K	\$6K	\$5K	\$2K	\$3K	\$4K	\$3K	\$15K
Glenrock Middle School	–	–	–	–	\$5K	\$2K	\$3K	\$4K	\$3K	\$12K
Grant Elementary School	\$5K	\$9K	\$7K	\$6K	\$5K	\$2K	\$3K	\$4K	\$21K	\$9K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Glenrock Intermediate School	Glenrock	70%	144	70	–	Rural
Glenrock Jrsr High School	Glenrock	70%	305	128	–	Rural
Glenrock Middle School	Glenrock	60%	95	39	–	Rural
Grant Elementary School	Glenrock	70%	185	78	–	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
U S West	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	–	\$6K	\$11K	\$12K	\$13K	\$21K
Pine Cove Consulting, Llc	–	\$16K	\$13K	\$13K	\$13K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Information Systems Consulting	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$18K	\$27K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Vp Telecom, Inc. Db a Orbitcom	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$4K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Verizon Wireless Fka Cellco Partnership	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$399	\$608	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K
Qwest Communications Corporation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Verizon Business Global Llc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K
Wyoming.Com	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Birch Telecom, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$3K	\$5K	-	-	-	-	-
Hewlett Packard Company	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$99K	–	–	–	–	\$40K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Average Discount Rate	70%	–	–	–	–	70%	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	–	–	–	–	1	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Internal Connections	\$99K	–	–	–	–	\$40K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Moorcroft Secondary	\$23K	\$16K	\$10K	\$7K	\$6K	\$15K	\$8K	\$7K	\$6K	\$7K
Sundance Elementary School	\$23K	\$16K	\$10K	\$7K	\$6K	\$13K	\$8K	\$7K	\$6K	\$7K
Sundance Secondary School	\$23K	\$16K	\$10K	\$7K	\$6K	\$15K	\$8K	\$7K	\$6K	\$7K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Moorcroft Secondary	Moorcroft	70%	273	120	–	Rural
Sundance Elementary School	Sundance	70%	250	65	–	Rural
Sundance Secondary School	Sundance	70%	203	51	–	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Golden West Technologies	\$99K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Pine Cove Consulting, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	\$40K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Mci Communications Corporation	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Rt Communications, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Range Telephone. Cooperative. Inc. - Wy	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Range Tel. Coop., Inc. - Mt	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Cellco Partnership Dba Verizon Wireless	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dtn Corp.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pahlke Pipe & Rod, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Alltel Communications	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	–	\$2.4M	\$2.4M	\$2.2M	\$2.4M	\$2.4M	\$2.1M	\$1.8M	\$2.5M	\$3.1M	\$2.5M	\$2.2M	\$1.6M	–	–	–
Average Discount Rate	64%	60%	59%	60%	60%	61%	62%	63%	66%	67%	66%	67%	66%	–	–	–
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	297	304	304	311	316	309	304	290	298	299	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	10	10	10	10	9	10	6	16	16	14	11	10	15	–	–	–
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	59,968	39,756	38,753	42,383	38,184	35,525	81,104	39,794	73,000	40,257	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	59,968	39,756	38,753	42,383	38,183	35,567	81,103	39,800	73,026	36,564	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2.5M	\$2.1M	\$1.5M	–	–	–
Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	\$2.4M	\$2.4M	\$2.2M	\$2.4M	\$2.4M	\$2.1M	\$1.8M	\$2.5M	\$3.1M	\$1K	\$68K	\$76K	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
4-J School	\$17K	\$18K	\$22K	\$13K	\$9K	\$13K	\$10K	\$12K	\$8K	\$8K
Administration Building	\$153	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$7K	\$6K	\$7K	\$3K	\$4K	–
Administration Building	\$34K	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	\$3K	\$12K	–	–	–	–
Administrative Building	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$1K	\$7K	\$2K	\$6K	\$2K	\$834
Afflerbach Elementary School	\$11K	\$7K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$5K	\$12K	\$16K	\$8K	\$9K
Afton Elementary School	\$8K	\$10K	\$10K	\$12K	\$9K	\$11K	\$8K	\$8K	\$35K	\$11K
Albany County School District #1 Administration Building	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$8K	\$8K	\$8K	\$5K	\$7K	\$7K
Albin Elementary School	\$19K	\$24K	\$31K	\$31K	\$33K	\$33K	\$35K	\$23K	\$24K	\$26K
Alcova Elementary School	–	–	–	\$15K	\$9K	\$8K	\$9K	\$3K	\$3K	\$35K
Alta Elementry	\$36K	\$26K	\$32K	\$35K	\$34K	\$32K	\$15K	\$28K	\$26K	\$35K
Alta Vista Elementary School	\$11K	\$7K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$5K	\$12K	\$17K	\$8K	\$9K
Anderson Elementary School	\$11K	\$6K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$5K	\$12K	\$18K	\$8K	\$9K
Antelope Creek School	\$153	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Aquatic Center	–	–	–	–	\$8K	\$8K	\$9K	\$10K	\$6K	\$5K
Arapahoe Elementary School	\$49K	\$6K	\$11K	\$11K	\$1K	\$6K	\$8K	\$5K	\$5K	\$12K
Arp Elementary School	\$11K	\$7K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$5K	\$12K	\$17K	\$8K	\$9K
Arvada Elementary School	–	\$16K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Arvada Elementary School	–	–	\$14K	\$7K	\$8K	\$8K	\$10K	\$6K	\$5K	\$15K
Arvada-Clearmont High School	–	–	\$14K	\$8K	\$9K	\$9K	\$12K	\$11K	\$10K	\$22K
Baggs Elementary School	\$11K	\$7K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$5K	\$14K	\$20K	\$8K	\$9K
Bain Elementary School	\$11K	\$7K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$5K	\$12K	\$17K	\$8K	\$9K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient (continued)

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Baldwin Creek	\$588	\$22K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K	\$2K	\$31K	\$7K	\$7K	\$4K
Bar Nunn Elementary School	\$48K	\$6K	\$5K	\$6K	\$6K	\$5K	\$7K	\$7K	\$8K	\$9K
Bear Lodge High School	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$6K	\$7K
Bearcat Den	\$190	\$802	\$882	\$805	\$1K	\$921	\$985	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K
Beitel Elementary School	\$6K	\$21K	\$6K	\$6K	\$8K	\$8K	\$10K	\$10K	\$17K	\$14K
Big Horn County School District #1 Administration Building	\$1K	\$8K	\$8K	\$8K	\$5K	\$6K	\$6K	\$3K	\$2K	–
Big Horn County School District #3 Administration Building	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$1K	\$8K	–	–	\$70	\$220
Big Horn Elementary School	\$822	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K	\$5K	\$2K	\$4K	\$8K	\$5K	\$3K
Big Horn High School	\$10K	\$11K	\$15K	\$16K	\$13K	\$10K	\$12K	\$19K	\$18K	\$16K
Big Horn Middle School	\$822	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K	\$5K	\$2K	\$4K	\$8K	\$5K	\$3K
Big Piney Elementary School	\$672	\$7K	\$6K	\$6K	\$3K	\$3K	\$1K	\$13K	\$13K	\$24K
Big Piney High School	\$14K	\$20K	\$18K	\$19K	\$3K	\$3K	\$1K	\$13K	\$13K	\$24K
Big Piney Middle School	\$672	\$7K	\$6K	\$6K	\$3K	\$3K	\$1K	\$13K	\$13K	\$24K
Boxelder Elementary School	–	–	\$3K	\$6K	\$5K	\$25K	\$3K	\$6K	\$6K	\$18K
Buff Gym	\$1K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$70	\$220
Buffalo Ridge Elem School	\$11K	\$7K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$5K	\$12K	\$19K	\$8K	\$9K
Building & Grounds Building	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$698	–	–	–	–	–
Burlington Elementary School	\$1K	\$8K	\$8K	\$8K	\$5K	\$6K	\$11K	\$9K	\$8K	\$21K
Burlington High School	\$25K	\$28K	\$30K	\$30K	\$5K	\$28K	\$35K	\$28K	\$27K	\$26K
Burlington Middle School	\$1K	\$8K	\$8K	\$8K	\$5K	\$6K	\$11K	\$9K	\$8K	\$7K
Burns Jr & Sr High School	\$9K	\$15K	\$18K	\$19K	\$20K	\$20K	\$22K	\$23K	\$24K	\$33K
Bus Barn	\$1K	–	–	–	\$7K	–	\$661	\$5K	\$5K	–
Bus Barn	\$3K	\$9K	\$9K	\$9K	\$5K	\$4K	\$4K	\$648	\$612	\$1K
Bus Barn	–	\$1K	\$3K	\$4K	\$3K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	–	\$867
Bus Garage	–	\$3K	\$4K	\$5K	\$3K	\$2K	–	–	–	\$618
C Y Middle School	\$48K	\$6K	\$5K	\$6K	\$6K	\$5K	\$7K	\$7K	\$8K	\$9K
Campbell County High School	\$9K	\$11K	\$16K	\$6K	\$8K	\$12K	\$2K	\$13K	\$2K	\$4K
Canyon Elementary School	\$672	\$2K	\$1K	\$623	\$3K	\$2K	\$12K	\$4K	\$3K	\$7K
Carbon County School District #2 Administration Building	\$38K	\$17K	\$16K	\$16K	\$5K	\$4K	\$4K	–	–	–
Carey Junior High School	\$11K	\$12K	\$5K	\$10K	\$6K	\$5K	\$9K	\$8K	\$85K	\$9K
Carpenter Elementary School	\$26K	\$31K	\$31K	\$31K	\$33K	\$33K	\$35K	\$23K	\$24K	\$26K
Casper Classical Academy	\$51K	\$9K	\$5K	\$6K	\$7K	\$14K	\$16K	\$8K	\$1K	\$2K
Centennial Elementary School	\$8K	\$9K	\$8K	\$8K	\$9K	\$9K	\$11K	\$10K	\$12K	\$14K
Centennial Junior High School A.K.A. Centennial Middle School	\$48K	\$6K	\$5K	\$6K	\$6K	\$5K	\$7K	\$7K	\$8K	\$75K
Central High School	\$11K	\$25K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$5K	\$9K	\$8K	\$84K	\$9K
Chugwater Elementary School	–	–	–	\$206	–	\$1K	\$5K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K
Chugwater Junior High School	–	–	–	\$206	–	\$1K	\$5K	\$3K	\$3K	\$2K
Chugwater K-12	–	–	\$11K	\$12K	\$19K	\$19K	\$23K	\$10K	\$9K	\$9K
Clark Elementary School	\$8K	\$23K	\$12K	\$11K	\$8K	\$11K	\$24K	\$11K	\$6K	\$15K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient (continued)

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Clawson School	\$20K	\$15K	\$12K	\$13K	\$13K	\$13K	\$18K	\$28K	\$23K	\$26K
Cloud Peak Middle School	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$24K	\$40K
Cody High School	\$27K	\$3K	\$3K	\$9K	\$11K	\$8K	\$8K	\$10K	\$9K	\$14K
Cody Middle School	\$7K	\$3K	\$3K	\$9K	\$11K	\$8K	\$8K	\$10K	\$9K	\$834
Cokeville Elementary School	\$14K	\$16K	\$16K	\$18K	\$12K	\$13K	\$16K	\$11K	\$20K	\$13K
Cokeville High School	\$14K	\$16K	\$16K	\$18K	\$12K	\$13K	\$12K	\$11K	\$23K	\$13K
Cole Elementary School	\$11K	\$7K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$5K	\$12K	\$13K	\$8K	\$9K
Conestoga Elementary School	\$9K	\$11K	\$16K	\$6K	\$8K	\$12K	\$9K	\$10K	\$8K	\$1K
Converse County School District #1 Administration Building	\$9K	\$609	–	\$805	\$1K	\$921	\$2K	\$6K	\$5K	\$16K
Converse County School District #1 Maintenance Building	–	\$609	–	\$7K	\$8K	\$7K	\$7K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K
Converse County School District #1 Transportation Building	–	\$609	–	\$7K	\$8K	\$7K	\$7K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K
Converse County School District #2 Administration Building	\$190	\$4K	\$3K	\$3K	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K	\$4K	\$3K	\$4K
Cottonwood Elementary	\$48K	\$6K	\$5K	\$6K	\$6K	\$5K	\$38K	\$2K	\$8K	\$9K
Cottonwood Elementary School	\$9K	\$11K	\$16K	\$6K	\$9K	\$14K	\$10K	\$10K	\$7K	\$10K
Coyote Ridge Elementary School	\$11K	\$10K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Cozy Hollow School	–	–	–	–	\$18K	\$19K	–	–	–	–
Crest Hill Elementary School	\$48K	\$6K	\$5K	\$6K	\$6K	\$5K	\$7K	\$7K	\$8K	\$9K
Crook County School District #1 Administration Building	\$82K	\$16K	\$10K	\$7K	\$6K	\$7K	\$7K	\$5K	\$5K	–
Crowheart Elementary School	\$26K	\$18K	\$18K	\$18K	\$23K	\$22K	\$34K	\$17K	\$20K	\$19K
Davis Elementary School	\$11K	\$7K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$5K	\$10K	\$8K	\$8K	\$9K
Dean Morgan Middle School	\$48K	\$6K	\$5K	\$6K	\$6K	\$5K	\$7K	\$7K	\$8K	\$9K
Deming Elementary School	\$11K	\$7K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$5K	\$14K	\$13K	\$8K	\$9K
Desert Elementary School	\$26K	\$9K	\$17K	\$8K	\$8K	\$7K	\$8K	\$9K	\$8K	\$7K
Desert Middle School	\$26K	\$9K	\$17K	\$8K	\$8K	\$7K	\$8K	\$4K	\$8K	\$7K
Dildine Elementary School	\$11K	\$7K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$5K	\$14K	\$20K	\$8K	\$9K
District Office	\$153	\$6K	\$166	\$206	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K	\$3K	\$3K	\$6K
Dry Creek Elementary School	\$17K	\$15K	\$15K	\$15K	\$8K	\$8K	\$10K	\$13K	\$13K	\$13K
Dubois Elementary	\$8K	\$8K	\$8K	\$9K	\$13K	\$11K	\$13K	\$7K	\$9K	\$11K
Dubois High School	\$8K	\$8K	\$8K	\$9K	\$13K	\$11K	\$13K	\$7K	\$9K	\$11K
Dubois Middle School	\$8K	\$8K	\$8K	\$9K	\$13K	\$11K	\$13K	\$7K	\$9K	\$11K
East High School	\$11K	\$25K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$5K	\$9K	\$8K	\$81K	\$9K
East Side Elementary School	\$23K	\$26K	\$25K	\$23K	\$18K	\$29K	\$21K	\$23K	\$26K	\$29K
Eastside Elementary	\$32K	\$14K	\$22K	\$13K	\$9K	\$8K	\$10K	\$10K	\$12K	\$12K
Educational Annex	\$6K	\$6K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$5K	\$6K	\$5K	\$7K	\$7K
Educational Resource Center	\$3K	\$7K	\$7K	\$5K	–	\$6K	–	\$4K	\$9K	\$978
Elk Mountain Elementary School	–	\$17K	\$16K	\$16K	\$5K	\$8K	\$6K	\$11K	\$10K	\$22K
Encampment K-12 School	\$14K	\$17K	\$16K	\$16K	\$5K	\$7K	\$6K	\$14K	\$13K	\$12K
Etna Elementary School (4-6)	\$23K	\$23K	\$23K	\$26K	\$26K	\$27K	\$51K	\$22K	\$24K	\$11K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient (continued)

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Evansville Elementary School	\$48K	\$6K	\$5K	\$6K	\$6K	\$5K	\$31K	\$7K	\$8K	\$9K
Expedition Academy	\$9K	\$4K	\$15K	\$3K	\$3K	\$1K	\$4K	\$4K	\$11K	\$4K
Facilities Management	–	\$304	\$286	\$327	\$1K	\$329	\$603	–	–	–
Fairview Elementary School	\$11K	\$7K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$5K	\$12K	\$13K	\$8K	\$9K
Farson-Eden Elementary School	\$24K	\$7K	\$15K	\$6K	\$7K	\$4K	\$6K	\$12K	\$13K	\$31K
Farson-Eden High School	\$24K	\$7K	\$15K	\$6K	\$7K	\$4K	\$6K	\$12K	\$13K	\$10K
Farson-Eden Middle School	\$24K	\$7K	\$15K	\$6K	\$7K	\$4K	\$6K	\$12K	\$13K	\$10K
Fort Caspar Academy	\$48K	\$6K	\$5K	\$6K	\$6K	\$501	\$1K	\$7K	\$8K	\$9K
Fort Washakie Elementary Sch	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$7K	\$9K	\$6K	\$8K	\$11K	\$11K
Fort Washakie Middle School	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$7K	\$9K	\$6K	\$8K	\$11K	\$11K
Freedom Elementary School	\$11K	\$7K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$5K	\$12K	\$19K	\$8K	\$9K
Fremont County School District #1 Administration Building	\$6K	\$11K	\$8K	\$8K	\$11K	\$8K	\$3K	–	–	–
Fremont County School District #24 Administrative Office	\$21K	\$5K	\$21K	\$21K	\$9K	\$6K	\$8K	\$6K	\$6K	\$7K
Fremont County School District #24 Bus Garage	\$588	\$5K	\$538	\$607	\$9K	\$6K	\$6K	–	–	\$1K
Fremont County School District #25 Administration Building	\$588	\$547	\$538	\$607	\$1K	–	–	–	–	–
Frontier Learning Center	–	–	\$119	\$121	\$890	–	–	–	–	–
Frontier Middle School	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$5K	\$7K	\$8K	\$9K
Ft. Washakie High School	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$7K	\$9K	\$6K	\$8K	\$11K	\$11K
Gannett Peak Elementary	\$6K	\$27K	\$8K	\$3K	\$11K	\$9K	\$6K	\$41K	\$17K	\$15K
Gilchrist Elementary School	\$19K	\$15K	\$12K	\$13K	\$6K	\$5K	\$12K	\$12K	\$8K	\$9K
Glendo Elementary School	\$7K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$6K	\$5K	\$10K	\$5K	\$4K	\$3K
Glendo High School	\$7K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$6K	\$5K	\$10K	\$5K	\$4K	\$3K
Glendo K-12	–	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	\$6K	\$5K	\$10K	\$5K	\$4K	\$3K
Gms Gym	\$1K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$70	\$220
Goins Elementary School	\$11K	\$7K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$5K	\$12K	\$17K	\$8K	\$9K
Goshen County School District #1 Administration Building	\$7K	\$670	\$624	\$680	\$943	\$552	\$1K	\$3K	\$3K	\$16K
Granger Elementary School	\$11K	\$7K	\$18K	\$30K	\$6K	\$5K	\$7K	\$7K	\$12K	\$14K
Grant Elementary School	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$8K	\$9K
Green River High School	\$32K	\$25K	\$15K	\$3K	\$3K	\$7K	\$43K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K
Greybull High School	\$20K	\$10K	\$11K	\$11K	\$14K	\$8K	\$1K	\$12K	\$13K	\$26K
Guernsey-Sunrise Elem School	\$5K	\$11K	\$4K	\$10K	\$5K	\$4K	\$6K	\$4K	\$3K	\$3K
Guernsey-Sunrise High School	\$5K	\$11K	\$4K	\$10K	\$5K	\$4K	\$6K	\$4K	\$3K	\$3K
Guernsey-Sunrise Jr High Sch	\$5K	\$11K	\$4K	\$10K	\$5K	\$4K	\$6K	\$4K	\$3K	\$3K
Hanna Elementary School	\$14K	\$17K	\$16K	\$16K	\$5K	\$7K	\$6K	\$9K	\$7K	\$7K
Harmony Elementary School	\$14K	\$14K	\$13K	\$13K	\$9K	\$9K	\$3K	\$10K	\$12K	\$14K
Harrison Elementary School	\$9K	\$4K	\$15K	\$3K	\$3K	\$7K	\$22K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K
Hebard Elementary School	\$11K	\$7K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$5K	\$12K	\$20K	\$8K	\$9K
Hem Junior/Senior High	\$14K	\$17K	\$16K	\$16K	\$5K	\$7K	\$5K	\$15K	\$13K	\$13K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient (continued)

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Henderson Elementary School	\$11K	\$7K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$5K	\$12K	\$25K	\$8K	\$9K
Hillcrest Elementary School	\$9K	\$11K	\$16K	\$6K	\$8K	\$12K	\$2K	\$6K	\$2K	\$2K
Hobbs Elementary School	\$11K	\$7K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$5K	\$12K	\$19K	\$8K	\$9K
Hot Springs Co High School	\$19K	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	\$2K	\$38K	\$1K	\$12K	\$12K	\$12K
Hot Springs Co School Dist 1 Bus Barn	\$588	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	\$1K	\$507	–	–	–	–
Hulett School	\$48K	\$41K	\$35K	\$33K	\$24K	\$33K	\$27K	\$23K	\$22K	\$23K
Indian Paintbrush Elem School	\$6K	\$7K	\$6K	\$6K	\$8K	\$8K	\$3K	\$10K	\$17K	\$14K
Jackson Elementary	\$15K	\$6K	\$7K	\$8K	\$8K	\$6K	\$8K	\$11K	\$9K	\$50K
Jackson Hole High School	\$30K	\$1K	\$10K	\$11K	\$10K	\$8K	\$10K	\$14K	\$2K	\$18K
Jeffrey City School	–	\$24K	\$5K	\$21K	\$17K	\$16K	\$33K	\$14K	\$14K	\$11K
Jessup Elementary School	\$11K	\$7K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$5K	\$12K	\$19K	\$8K	\$9K
Johnson Co School Dist Special Education Center	\$190	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	–	–	\$667
Johnson County School District #1 Administration Building	\$8K	\$10K	\$9K	\$9K	\$2K	\$7K	\$8K	\$7K	\$6K	\$7K
Johnson County School District #1 Bus Barn	\$190	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	–	–	\$667
Johnson Junior High School	\$11K	\$25K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$5K	\$9K	\$8K	\$85K	\$9K
Journey Elementary School	\$48K	\$6K	\$5K	\$6K	\$6K	\$13K	\$1K	\$21K	\$8K	\$9K
Juvenile Detention Center	\$6K	\$6K	\$5K	\$5K	\$1K	\$329	\$329	–	–	–
Kaycee School	\$27K	\$47K	\$28K	\$2K	\$23K	\$21K	\$3K	\$43K	\$43K	\$52K
Kelly Elementary School	\$23K	\$13K	\$21K	\$22K	\$22K	\$20K	\$34K	\$12K	\$9K	\$18K
Kelly Walsh High School	\$48K	\$6K	\$5K	\$6K	\$6K	\$5K	\$7K	\$7K	\$8K	\$9K
Kemmerer Elementary School	–	–	–	–	\$3K	\$2K	\$4K	\$4K	\$3K	\$7K
Kemmerer Junior-Senior High School	\$7K	\$2K	\$1K	\$6K	\$3K	\$2K	\$4K	\$4K	\$3K	\$7K
La Barge Elementary School	\$14K	\$20K	\$18K	\$19K	\$8K	\$8K	\$6K	\$28K	\$31K	\$22K
Lagrange Elementary School	\$25K	\$14K	\$12K	\$13K	\$15K	\$9K	\$10K	\$13K	\$22K	\$16K
Lakeview Elementary School	\$9K	\$11K	\$16K	\$6K	\$8K	\$12K	\$9K	\$17K	\$13K	\$12K
Lakeway Learning Center	\$21K	\$722	\$656	\$913	–	–	–	–	–	–
Lakeway Learning Center	–	–	–	–	\$2K	\$761	\$2K	\$6K	\$2K	\$834
Lance Creek Elementary School	\$20K	\$15K	\$9K	\$10K	\$11K	\$7K	\$13K	\$5K	\$4K	\$5K
Lander Middle School	\$6K	\$27K	\$8K	\$8K	\$11K	\$8K	\$24K	\$17K	\$28K	\$15K
Lander Valley High School	\$41K	\$22K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K	\$2K	\$22K	\$7K	\$29K	\$4K
Laramie County School District #1 Administration Building	\$7K	\$304	\$286	\$327	\$1K	\$329	\$603	–	\$40K	\$7K
Laramie Middle School	\$6K	\$7K	\$6K	\$6K	\$8K	\$8K	\$3K	\$10K	\$17K	\$14K
Laramie Montessori Charter School	\$6K	\$7K	\$6K	\$6K	\$8K	\$8K	\$3K	\$10K	\$17K	\$14K
Laura Irwin Elementary School	\$1K	\$2K	\$8K	\$2K	\$1K	–	\$9K	\$2K	\$1K	\$16K
Lebhart Elementary School	\$11K	\$7K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$5K	\$12K	\$15K	\$8K	\$9K
Libbey Elementary School	\$153	\$171	\$166	\$206	\$2K	\$1K	\$5K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient (continued)

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Lincoln County School District #1 Administration Building	\$672	\$2K	\$1K	\$623	\$3K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	–
Lincoln County School District #2 Administration Building	\$8K	\$10K	\$10K	\$12K	\$9K	\$7K	\$7K	–	–	–
Lincoln Elementary	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$4K	\$6K	\$5K
Lincoln Elementary School	\$48K	\$6K	\$5K	\$6K	\$6K	\$5K	\$7K	\$8K	\$8K	\$2K
Lincoln Middle School	\$9K	\$4K	\$15K	\$3K	\$3K	\$7K	\$45K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K
Lingle Ft Laramie Elementary School	\$13K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$12K	\$5K	\$1K	\$5K	\$3K	\$10K
Lingle-Fort Laramie High School	\$13K	\$670	\$2K	\$680	\$12K	\$5K	\$1K	\$3K	\$3K	\$10K
Lingle-Ft Laramie Middle School	\$13K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$12K	\$5K	\$1K	\$3K	\$3K	\$10K
Little Powder Elementary Sch	\$11K	\$13K	\$18K	\$8K	\$8K	\$12K	\$2K	\$11K	\$7K	\$7K
Little Snake River Valley Sch	\$14K	\$28K	\$17K	\$61K	\$28K	\$26K	\$5K	\$33K	\$24K	\$30K
Lovell Elementary School	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$9K	\$10K	\$17K	\$14K	\$15K	\$33K
Lovell High School	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$9K	\$10K	\$18K	\$14K	\$15K	\$50K
Lovell Middle School	\$25K	\$23K	\$24K	\$24K	\$9K	\$10K	\$17K	\$14K	\$15K	\$44K
Lusk Middle School	\$31K	\$9K	\$17K	\$3K	\$6K	\$3K	\$12K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K
Lyman High School	\$14K	\$21K	\$24K	\$25K	\$23K	\$25K	\$19K	\$23K	\$35K	\$34K
Lyman Intermediate School	\$25K	\$8K	\$12K	\$12K	\$10K	\$10K	\$6K	\$37K	\$35K	\$34K
Maintenance And Operation	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$9K	\$9K	–	–	–	–
Maintenance Building	\$1K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$70	\$220
Maintenance Office	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$4K	\$1K	–	–	–	–
Maintenance Shed	–	\$1K	\$3K	\$4K	\$3K	\$2K	\$2K	–	–	\$1K
Manderson Elementary School	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K	\$16K
Manor Heights Elem School	\$48K	\$6K	\$5K	\$6K	\$7K	\$5K	\$33K	\$7K	\$8K	\$9K
Mccormick Junior High School	\$11K	\$25K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$5K	\$9K	\$8K	\$85K	\$9K
Mckinnon Elementary School	\$11K	\$7K	\$18K	\$3K	\$13K	\$11K	\$24K	\$4K	\$16K	\$16K
Meadowlark Elementary	\$11K	\$7K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$5K	\$10K	\$7K	\$288	–
Meadowlark Elementary School	\$20K	\$22K	\$5K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K	\$4K	\$3K	\$28K
Meadowlark Elementary School	\$9K	\$11K	\$16K	\$6K	\$8K	\$12K	\$2K	\$6K	\$2K	\$1K
Medicine Bow Elementary	\$8K	\$11K	\$22K	\$24K	\$36K	\$8K	\$3K	\$12K	\$44K	\$44K
Meeteetse School	\$25K	\$23K	\$24K	\$24K	\$31K	\$30K	\$33K	\$23K	\$10K	\$23K
Midwest School	\$59K	\$17K	\$17K	\$17K	\$11K	\$11K	\$26K	\$16K	\$1K	\$17K
Miller Elementary School	\$11K	\$7K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$5K	\$11K	\$19K	\$8K	\$9K
Monroe Elementary School	\$9K	\$4K	\$15K	\$3K	\$3K	\$5K	\$3K	\$34K	\$4K	\$4K
Moorcroft Elementary	\$48K	\$41K	\$35K	\$33K	\$6K	\$52K	\$48K	\$42K	\$41K	\$42K
Moran Elementary School	\$23K	\$13K	\$21K	\$22K	\$22K	\$20K	\$22K	\$12K	\$9K	\$16K
Moss Agate Elementary School	\$12K	\$11K	\$10K	\$10K	\$8K	\$8K	\$15K	\$12K	\$17K	\$17K
Mountain View Elem School	–	–	–	–	\$2K	\$416	\$1K	\$7K	\$8K	\$9K
Mountain View High School	\$25K	\$7K	\$6K	\$29K	\$11K	\$8K	\$5K	\$7K	\$16K	\$15K
Mountain View K-8	\$14K	\$20K	\$19K	\$42K	\$24K	\$17K	\$50K	\$25K	\$35K	\$32K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient (continued)

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Munger Mountain Elementary School	\$23K	\$14K	\$22K	\$23K	\$18K	\$16K	\$16K	–	–	–
Natrona County High School	\$48K	\$6K	\$5K	\$6K	\$6K	\$5K	\$7K	\$7K	\$8K	\$9K
Natrona County School District #1 Administration Building	\$23K	\$844	\$6K	\$834	\$2K	\$416	\$152	–	–	–
New Frontier High School	\$672	\$2K	\$1K	\$623	\$9K	\$2K	\$9K	\$4K	\$3K	\$7K
New West High School	\$8K	\$29K	\$12K	\$9K	\$1K	–	–	–	–	–
Newcastle Elementary 3-5	\$11K	\$15K	\$15K	\$12K	\$38K	\$18K	\$7K	\$9K	\$12K	\$12K
Newcastle Elementary K-2	\$11K	\$15K	\$15K	\$12K	\$38K	\$18K	\$7K	\$9K	\$12K	\$12K
Newcastle High School	\$11K	\$7K	\$7K	\$4K	\$26K	\$6K	\$7K	\$9K	\$12K	\$12K
Newcastle Middle School	\$11K	\$7K	\$7K	\$4K	\$26K	\$6K	\$7K	\$9K	\$12K	\$12K
Nutrition Services	–	\$304	\$286	\$327	\$1K	\$329	\$329	–	–	–
Oregon Trail Elementary School	\$48K	\$6K	\$5K	\$834	\$6K	\$5K	\$7K	\$7K	\$8K	\$9K
Osmond Elementary School	\$8K	\$10K	\$10K	\$12K	\$9K	\$10K	\$19K	\$10K	\$29K	\$18K
Overland Elementary School	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K	\$4K	\$6K	\$5K
Paintbrush Elementary School	\$9K	\$11K	\$16K	\$6K	\$8K	\$12K	\$2K	\$6K	\$2K	\$1K
Paradise Valley Elem School	\$48K	\$6K	\$5K	\$6K	\$6K	\$45K	\$7K	\$7K	\$8K	\$9K
Park Elementary School	\$48K	\$6K	\$613	\$834	\$6K	\$5K	\$7K	\$7K	\$8K	\$9K
Pathfinder High School	\$588	\$22K	\$8K	\$8K	\$4K	\$2K	\$16K	\$17K	\$17K	\$21K
Peak High School	\$153	–	–	–	\$1K	–	–	–	–	–
Physical Plant	\$20K	\$4K	\$4K	\$5K	\$5K	\$4K	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K	–
Pine Bluffs Jr & Sr High School	\$41K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$102K	\$6K	\$8K	\$5K	\$5K	\$26K
Pineview Elementary School	\$48K	\$6K	\$5K	\$6K	\$6K	\$5K	\$7K	\$7K	\$8K	\$9K
Pioneer Park Elementary School	\$11K	\$7K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$5K	\$14K	\$17K	\$8K	\$9K
Planetarium	\$6K	\$6K	\$5K	\$6K	\$6K	\$5K	–	–	–	–
Poison Spider Elem School	\$52K	\$9K	\$9K	\$27K	\$13K	\$12K	\$1K	\$13K	\$13K	\$16K
Powder River Elementary School	–	–	–	–	\$2K	\$416	\$1K	\$4K	\$3K	\$4K
Prairie Wind Elementary	\$11K	\$7K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$5K	\$9K	\$40K	\$16K	–
Pronghorn Elementary School	\$9K	\$11K	\$16K	\$6K	\$8K	\$12K	\$2K	\$6K	\$2K	\$2K
Quigg Building	\$1K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$70	\$220
Ralph Witters Elementary School	\$19K	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	\$2K	\$32K	\$1K	\$12K	\$12K	\$12K
Rawhide Elementary School	\$17K	\$18K	\$23K	\$13K	\$9K	\$13K	\$10K	\$12K	\$8K	\$8K
Recluse School	\$9K	\$11K	\$16K	\$7K	\$5K	\$10K	\$6K	\$9K	\$6K	\$7K
Red Creek Elementary School	\$47K	\$5K	\$4K	\$23K	\$11K	\$10K	\$1K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K
Rendezvous Elementary	\$588	\$38K	\$538	\$607	\$2K	\$14K	\$13K	\$2K	\$4K	\$143K
Riverside High School	\$1K	\$2K	\$8K	\$2K	\$1K	–	\$9K	\$2K	\$18K	\$16K
Riverton Middle School	\$588	\$38K	\$538	\$607	\$2K	\$13K	\$13K	\$2K	\$1K	\$1K
Rock River Elementary	\$1K	\$17K	\$2K	\$6K	\$1K	\$2K	\$3K	\$5K	\$5K	\$9K
Rock River High School	\$1K	\$17K	\$2K	\$6K	\$1K	\$2K	\$3K	\$5K	\$5K	\$9K
Rock River Junior High School	\$1K	\$17K	\$2K	\$6K	\$1K	\$2K	\$3K	\$5K	\$5K	\$3K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient (continued)

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Rocky Mountain Elementary School	\$1K	\$8K	\$8K	\$8K	\$5K	\$6K	\$11K	\$9K	\$8K	\$21K
Rocky Mountain High School	\$48K	\$8K	\$8K	\$8K	\$5K	\$6K	\$11K	\$9K	\$8K	\$21K
Rocky Mountain Middle School	\$1K	\$8K	\$8K	\$8K	\$5K	\$6K	\$11K	\$9K	\$8K	\$21K
Roosevelt High School	\$48K	\$6K	\$5K	\$6K	\$6K	\$5K	\$7K	\$8K	\$8K	\$7K
Rossman Elementary School	\$11K	\$7K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$5K	\$12K	\$22K	\$8K	\$9K
Rozet Elementary School	\$17K	\$18K	\$23K	\$13K	\$9K	\$13K	\$10K	\$12K	\$8K	\$8K
Saddle Ridge Elementary School	\$11K	\$7K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$5K	\$12K	\$22K	\$8K	\$9K
Sage Valley Junior High School	\$9K	\$11K	\$16K	\$6K	\$8K	\$12K	\$2K	\$8K	\$2K	\$2K
Sagewood Elementary School	\$48K	\$6K	\$5K	\$6K	\$6K	\$5K	\$7K	\$7K	\$8K	\$9K
Saratoga Elementary School	\$672	\$4K	\$3K	\$3K	\$2K	\$5K	\$3K	\$9K	\$7K	\$7K
Saratoga Middle/High School	\$30K	\$4K	\$15K	\$17K	\$2K	\$4K	\$3K	\$9K	\$7K	\$7K
Shawnee Elementary School	\$9K	\$8K	\$8K	\$8K	\$8K	\$8K	\$15K	\$13K	\$13K	\$13K
Sheridan County School District #1 Administration Building	\$41K	\$21K	\$20K	\$21K	\$5K	\$2K	\$2K	\$6K	\$4K	\$9K
Shoshoni Elementary School	\$588	\$18K	\$538	\$607	\$9K	\$6K	\$8K	\$6K	\$6K	\$24K
Shoshoni High School	\$588	\$18K	\$538	\$607	\$9K	\$6K	\$8K	\$6K	\$6K	\$14K
Shoshoni Junior High School	\$588	\$18K	\$538	\$607	\$9K	\$6K	\$8K	\$6K	\$6K	\$14K
Slack Elementary School	\$13K	\$14K	\$13K	\$14K	\$17K	\$12K	\$4K	\$22K	\$19K	\$18K
Slade Elementary School	\$6K	\$7K	\$6K	\$6K	\$8K	\$8K	\$10K	\$10K	\$17K	\$14K
Snow Range Academy	\$6K	\$7K	\$6K	\$6K	\$8K	\$8K	\$10K	\$10K	\$17K	\$14K
South High School	\$11K	\$25K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$5K	\$9K	\$8K	\$81K	\$9K
South Side Elementary School	\$23K	\$26K	\$25K	\$23K	\$18K	\$29K	\$19K	\$21K	\$26K	\$29K
Southridge Elementary School	\$48K	\$6K	\$5K	\$6K	\$6K	\$32K	\$7K	\$7K	\$8K	\$9K
Special Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Spring Creek Elementary School	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$8K	\$8K	\$10K	\$10K	\$17K	\$14K
Star Lane Center	\$6K	\$6K	\$5K	\$6K	\$6K	\$5K	–	–	–	–
Star Valley High School	\$30K	\$3K	\$4K	\$5K	\$3K	\$8K	\$45K	\$3K	\$28K	\$28K
Star Valley Jr Middle School	–	\$3K	\$4K	\$5K	\$3K	\$4K	\$26K	\$3K	\$16K	\$4K
Stocktrail Elementary	\$9K	\$11K	\$16K	\$6K	\$8K	\$12K	\$9K	\$6K	\$2K	\$834
Storey Gym	–	\$304	\$286	\$327	\$1K	\$329	\$329	–	–	–
Story Elementary School	\$26K	\$31K	\$22K	\$14K	\$26K	\$23K	\$26K	\$19K	\$13K	\$22K
Student Support Service Building	\$6K	\$6K	\$5K	\$599	\$1K	–	–	–	–	–
Sublette County School District #9 Administration Building	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Summit Elementary	\$48K	\$6K	\$5K	\$6K	\$6K	\$49K	\$7K	\$7K	\$8K	\$9K
Sunflower Elementary School	\$9K	\$11K	\$16K	\$6K	\$8K	\$12K	\$2K	\$6K	\$2K	\$1K
Sunrise Elementary	\$11K	\$7K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$5K	\$13K	\$22K	\$8K	\$9K
Sweetwater County School District #2 Central Office	\$26K	\$801	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$1K	\$2K	\$742	\$3K	\$1K
Sweetwater County School District #2 Maintenance Building	\$672	\$801	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$1K	\$2K	–	–	\$19K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient (continued)

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Sweetwater County School District #2 Transportation Building	\$672	\$801	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$1K	\$2K	–	–	\$1K
Swift Creek High School	\$8K	\$10K	\$10K	\$12K	\$9K	\$9K	\$8K	\$10K	\$18K	\$18K
Technology Building	\$672	\$801	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$1K	\$2K	–	–	–
Ten Sleep School	\$26K	\$23K	\$24K	\$22K	\$15K	\$30K	\$33K	\$13K	\$13K	\$38K
Teton County School District #1 Administration Building	–	\$1K	\$3K	\$4K	\$3K	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K	\$1K	\$867
Thayne Elementary School (K-3)	\$23K	\$23K	\$23K	\$26K	\$26K	\$27K	\$61K	\$22K	\$24K	\$30K
The Bridge House	–	–	\$882	\$805	\$1K	\$1K	\$2K	\$3K	–	–
The Bridge School	–	\$192	–	\$4K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Thermopolis Middle School	\$35K	\$24K	\$23K	\$24K	\$25K	\$60K	\$26K	\$26K	\$26K	\$26K
Thoman Ranch School	–	\$4K	\$25K	\$14K	\$13K	\$11K	\$14K	\$17K	\$17K	\$15K
Tongue River Elementary School	\$13K	\$14K	\$13K	\$15K	\$13K	\$10K	\$12K	\$8K	\$11K	\$9K
Tongue River Middle School	\$822	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K	\$5K	\$2K	\$4K	\$8K	\$5K	\$3K
Tongue River Sr High School	\$13K	\$14K	\$13K	\$15K	\$13K	\$10K	\$12K	\$19K	\$16K	\$14K
Trail Elementary School	\$17K	\$6K	\$5K	\$6K	\$13K	\$7K	\$8K	\$5K	\$3K	\$16K
Transportation Building	\$1K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Transportation Complex	\$6K	\$6K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$5K	\$6K	–	–	–
Transportation Facility	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Transportation Office	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$3K	\$1K	–	–	–	–
Triumph High School	\$11K	\$25K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$5K	\$11K	\$8K	\$36K	\$9K
Twin Spruce Jr High School	\$9K	\$11K	\$16K	\$6K	\$8K	\$12K	\$2K	\$8K	\$2K	\$2K
Uinta County School District #4 Administration Building	\$14K	\$20K	\$19K	\$20K	\$17K	\$16K	\$16K	\$23K	\$34K	\$30K
Uinta County School District #6 Administration Building	\$672	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$3K	\$3K	\$6K	\$4K	\$16K	\$15K
Unita County School District #6 Bus Barn	\$672	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$3K	\$3K	\$5K	\$2K	\$3K	\$4K
University Park Elem School	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$7K	\$8K	\$9K
Upton High School	\$25K	\$11K	\$8K	\$6K	\$8K	\$8K	\$9K	\$5K	\$5K	\$11K
Urie Elementary School	\$14K	\$21K	\$24K	\$25K	\$23K	\$25K	\$19K	\$23K	\$35K	\$34K
Uw Laboratory School	\$153	\$2K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$990	\$3K	\$10K	\$13K	\$7K
Valley Elementary School	\$20K	\$14K	\$13K	\$19K	\$16K	\$12K	\$17K	\$15K	\$14K	\$6K
Valley View Elementary School	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$3K	\$15K	\$22K	\$19K
Velma Linford Elementary School	\$6K	\$21K	\$6K	\$6K	\$8K	\$8K	\$10K	\$10K	\$17K	\$14K
Verda James Elementary School	\$48K	\$6K	\$5K	\$6K	\$7K	\$5K	\$7K	\$7K	\$8K	\$9K
Victory High School	\$42K	\$13K	\$4K	\$47K	\$3K	\$3K	\$5K	\$14K	\$5K	\$11K
Wagonwheel Elementary School	\$9K	\$11K	\$16K	\$6K	\$8K	\$12K	\$2K	\$6K	\$2K	\$1K
Wapiti Elementary School	\$12K	\$6K	\$7K	\$9K	\$15K	\$8K	\$8K	\$12K	\$9K	\$834
Warehouse	\$6K	\$6K	\$5K	\$6K	\$6K	\$5K	–	–	–	–
Washakie County District Office	\$3K	–	–	–	\$15K	–	–	\$11K	\$12K	\$1K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient (continued)

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Wesd#1 Central Office	\$3K	\$7K	\$7K	\$5K	–	\$6K	–	\$4K	\$9K	\$978
West Elementary School	\$6K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$8K	\$8K	\$12K	\$13K	\$11K	\$13K
West Side Elementary School	\$23K	\$26K	\$25K	\$23K	\$18K	\$29K	\$19K	\$23K	\$26K	\$29K
Weston County School District #7 Administration Building	\$3K	\$7K	\$7K	\$4K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$3K	\$4K	\$824
Weston County School District #7 Bus Barn	\$3K	\$7K	\$7K	\$4K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	–	–	\$824
Westridge Elementary School	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$26K	\$4K	\$6K	\$5K
Westwood High School	\$9K	\$11K	\$16K	\$6K	\$8K	\$12K	\$9K	\$13K	\$7K	\$8K
Wheatland High School	\$20K	\$171	\$17K	\$206	\$2K	\$1K	\$5K	\$3K	\$3K	\$15K
Wheatland Middle School	\$6K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$8K	\$8K	\$12K	\$13K	\$3K	\$13K
White Elementary School	\$10K	\$9K	\$9K	\$9K	\$8K	\$8K	\$15K	\$13K	\$13K	\$13K
Whiting High School	\$6K	\$21K	\$6K	\$6K	\$8K	\$8K	\$10K	\$10K	\$17K	\$14K
Willadsen Elementary School	\$17K	\$12K	\$10K	\$10K	\$10K	\$10K	\$6K	\$19K	\$14K	\$17K
Willard Elementary School	–	–	–	–	\$2K	\$416	\$1K	\$2K	\$8K	\$9K
Willow Creek School	–	–	–	–	\$1K	–	\$1K	\$2K	\$13K	\$14K
Wilson Elementary School	\$15K	\$6K	\$14K	\$15K	\$15K	\$12K	\$22K	\$13K	\$12K	\$22K
Wind River High School	\$27K	\$4K	\$3K	\$3K	\$5K	\$3K	\$15K	\$3K	\$6K	\$5K
Woods Learning Center	\$48K	\$6K	\$5K	\$6K	\$6K	\$5K	\$7K	\$7K	\$8K	\$9K
Worland High School	\$40K	\$9K	\$9K	\$7K	\$18K	\$8K	\$26K	\$8K	\$10K	\$14K
Wright Jr-Sr High School	\$9K	\$11K	\$16K	\$6K	\$9K	\$13K	\$10K	\$16K	\$8K	\$8K
Wyoming Boys' School	\$11K	\$11K	\$19K	\$16K	\$13K	\$13K	\$14K	\$16K	\$15K	\$16K
Wyoming Girls' School	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	\$8K	\$7K	\$4K	\$5K	\$4K	\$3K	\$6K
Wyoming Indian Middle School	\$28K	\$40K	\$4K	\$7K	\$10K	\$7K	\$9K	\$8K	\$8K	\$13K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
4-J School	Gillette	69%	35	4	–	Rural
Administration Building	Pine Bluffs	64%	–	–	–	–
Administration Building	Thermopolis	58%	–	–	–	–
Administrative Building	Gillette	69%	–	–	–	–
Afflerbach Elementary School	Cheyenne	69%	303	303	–	Urban
Afton Elementary School	Afton	60%	452	158	–	Rural
Albany County School District #1 Administration Building	Laramie	69%	–	–	–	–
Albin Elementary School	Albin	58%	43	26	–	Rural
Alcova Elementary School	Alcova	55%	1	0	–	Urban
Alta Elementry	Alta	60%	33	5	–	Rural

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Recipient Demographics (latest profile) (continued)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Alta Vista Elementary School	Cheyenne	69%	197	147	—	Urban
Anderson Elementary School	Cheyenne	69%	290	100	—	Urban
Antelope Creek School	Garrett	64%	2	2	—	Rural
Aquatic Center	Gillette	62%	0	0	—	Urban
Arapahoe Elementary School	Arapahoe	90%	334	334	—	Rural
Arp Elementary School	Cheyenne	69%	324	324	—	Urban
Arvada Elementary School	Arvada	58%	—	—	—	—
Arvada Elementary School	Arvada	57%	0	0	—	Rural
Arvada-Clearmont High School	Clearmont	57%	31	8	—	Rural
Baggs Elementary School	Cheyenne	69%	273	176	—	Urban
Bain Elementary School	Cheyenne	69%	221	221	—	Urban
Baldwin Creek	Lander	64%	197	83	—	Rural
Bar Nunn Elementary School	Bar Nunn	69%	158	94	—	Rural
Bear Lodge High School	Sundance	66%	10	6	—	Rural
Bearcat Den	Douglas	64%	—	—	—	—
Beitel Elementary School	Laramie	69%	0	0	—	Urban
Big Horn County School District #1 Administration Building	Cowley	64%	—	—	—	—
Big Horn County School District #3 Administration Building	Greybull	64%	—	—	—	—
Big Horn Elementary School	Big Horn	64%	189	30	—	Rural
Big Horn High School	Big Horn	69%	149	26	—	Rural
Big Horn Middle School	Big Horn	64%	126	17	—	Rural
Big Piney Elementary School	Big Piney	64%	208	74	—	Rural
Big Piney High School	Big Piney	63%	192	45	—	Rural
Big Piney Middle School	Big Piney	64%	147	45	—	Rural
Boxelder Elementary School	Glenrock	58%	0	0	—	Rural
Buff Gym	Grey Bull	64%	—	—	—	—
Buffalo Ridge Elem School	Cheyenne	69%	161	70	—	Urban
Building & Grounds Building	Gillette	69%	—	—	—	—

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Recipient Demographics (latest profile) (continued)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Burlington Elementary School	Burlington	64%	108	64	–	Rural
Burlington High School	Burlington	67%	62	27	–	Rural
Burlington Middle School	Burlington	64%	66	33	–	Rural
Burns Jr & Sr High School	Burns	58%	307	104	–	Rural
Bus Barn	Lovell	64%	–	–	–	–
Bus Barn	Mountain View	63%	–	–	–	–
Bus Barn	Jackson	60%	–	–	–	–
Bus Garage	Aston	55%	–	–	–	–
C Y Middle School	Casper	69%	755	300	–	Urban
Campbell County High School	Gillette	69%	1,212	470	–	Urban
Canyon Elementary School	Kemmerer	64%	301	95	–	Rural
Carbon County School District #2 Administration Building	Saratoga	63%	–	–	–	–
Carey Junior High School	Cheyenne	69%	741	307	–	Urban
Carpenter Elementary School	Carpenter	58%	69	31	–	Rural
Casper Classical Academy	Casper	69%	508	313	–	Urban
Centennial Elementary School	Centennial	69%	4	4	–	Rural
Centennial Junior High School A.K.A. Centennial Middle School	Casper	69%	732	260	–	Urban
Central High School	Cheyenne	69%	1,257	250	–	Urban
Chugwater Elementary School	Chugwater	62%	23	17	–	Urban
Chugwater Junior High School	Chugwater	62%	7	0	–	Urban
Chugwater K-12	Chugwater	58%	28	8	–	Urban
Clark Elementary School	Powell	67%	11	9	–	Rural
Clawson School	Horse Creek	69%	6	0	–	Rural
Cloud Peak Middle School	Manderson	66%	69	25	–	Rural
Cody High School	Cody	67%	565	121	–	Rural
Cody Middle School	Cody	64%	461	187	–	Rural
Cokeville Elementary School	Cokeville	60%	140	54	–	Rural
Cokeville High School	Cokeville	60%	110	27	–	Rural
Cole Elementary School	Cheyenne	69%	143	143	–	Urban

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Recipient Demographics (latest profile) (continued)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Conestoga Elementary School	Gillette	69%	348	143	–	Urban
Converse County School District #1 Administration Building	Douglas	69%	–	–	–	–
Converse County School District #1 Maintenance Building	Douglas	57%	–	–	–	–
Converse County School District #1 Transportation Building	Douglas	57%	–	–	–	–
Converse County School District #2 Administration Building	Glenrock	64%	–	–	–	–
Cottonwood Elementary	Casper	69%	276	206	–	Urban
Cottonwood Elementary School	Wright	69%	198	97	–	Rural
Coyote Ridge Elementary School	Cheyenne	69%	406	103	–	Urban
Cozy Hollow School	Garrett	62%	1	0	–	Rural
Crest Hill Elementary School	Casper	69%	217	110	–	Urban
Crook County School District #1 Administration Building	Sundance	58%	–	–	–	–
Crowheart Elementary School	Crowheart	58%	2	2	–	Rural
Davis Elementary School	Cheyenne	69%	213	74	–	Urban
Dean Morgan Middle School	Casper	69%	623	363	–	Urban
Deming Elementary School	Cheyenne	69%	78	35	–	Urban
Desert Elementary School	Wamsutter	69%	27	20	–	Rural
Desert Middle School	Wamsutter	69%	8	0	–	Rural
Dildine Elementary School	Cheyenne	69%	279	140	–	Urban
District Office	Lusk	64%	–	–	–	–
Dry Creek Elementary School	Douglas	69%	8	0	–	Rural
Dubois Elementary	Dubois	58%	80	1	–	Rural
Dubois High School	Dubois	58%	56	1	–	Rural
Dubois Middle School	Dubois	58%	35	1	–	Rural
East High School	Cheyenne	69%	1,515	483	–	Urban
East Side Elementary School	Worland	58%	141	67	–	Rural

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Recipient Demographics (latest profile) (continued)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Eastside Elementary	Rock Springs	69%	541	348	– Urban
Educational Annex	Cheyene	69%	–	–	– —
Educational Resource Center	Worland	64%	–	–	– —
Elk Mountain Elementary School	Elk Mountain	63%	0	0	– Rural
Encampment K-12 School	Encampment	63%	122	52	– Rural
Etna Elementary School (4-6)	Etna	60%	277	100	– Rural
Evansville Elementary School	Evansville	69%	207	146	– Urban
Expedition Academy	Green River	64%	35	17	– Rural
Facilities Management	Cheyenne	60%	–	–	– —
Fairview Elementary School	Cheyenne	69%	90	90	– Urban
Farson-Eden Elementary School	Farson	69%	45	14	– Rural
Farson-Eden High School	Farson	69%	53	17	– Rural
Farson-Eden Middle School	Farson	69%	39	14	– Rural
Fort Caspar Academy	Casper	69%	334	147	– Urban
Fort Washakie Elementary Sch	Fort Washakie	90%	293	293	– Rural
Fort Washakie Middle School	Fort Washakie	90%	96	96	– Rural
Freedom Elementary School	Cheyenne	69%	345	118	– Urban
Fremont County School District #1 Administration Building	Lander	69%	–	–	– —
Fremont County School District #24 Administrative Office	Shoshoni	58%	–	–	– —
Fremont County School District #24 Bus Garage	Shoshoni	64%	–	–	– —
Fremont County School District #25 Administration Building	Riverton	64%	–	–	– —
Frontier Learning Center	Cheyenne	58%	–	–	– —
Frontier Middle School	Casper	58%	0	0	– Urban
Ft. Washakie High School	Ft. Washakie	90%	105	105	– Rural
Gannett Peak Elementary	Lander	69%	412	174	– Rural

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Recipient Demographics (latest profile) (continued)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Gilchrist Elementary School	Cheyenne	69%	127	28	– Rural
Glendo Elementary School	Glendo	69%	30	14	– Rural
Glendo High School	Glendo	69%	13	7	– Rural
Glendo K-12	Glendo	60%	12	5	– Rural
Gms Gym	Grey Bull	64%	–	–	– —
Goins Elementary School	Cheyenne	69%	260	260	– Urban
Goshen County School District #1 Administration Building	Torrington	69%	–	–	– —
Granger Elementary School	Granger	63%	3	0	– Rural
Grant Elementary School	Casper	66%	177	128	– Urban
Green River High School	Green River	69%	757	244	– Rural
Greybull High School	Greybull	67%	137	72	– Rural
Guernsey-Sunrise Elem School	Guernsey	69%	137	70	– Rural
Guernsey-Sunrise High School	Guernsey	69%	64	27	– Rural
Guernsey-Sunrise Jr High Sch	Guernsey	69%	43	21	– Rural
Hanna Elementary School	Hanna	63%	71	56	– Rural
Harmony Elementary School	Laramie	69%	15	15	– Rural
Harrison Elementary School	Green River	64%	208	68	– Rural
Hebard Elementary School	Cheyenne	69%	113	113	– Urban
Hem Junior/Senior High	Hanna	63%	79	48	– Rural
Henderson Elementary School	Cheyenne	69%	222	136	– Urban
Hillcrest Elementary School	Gillette	69%	370	272	– Urban
Hobbs Elementary School	Cheyenne	69%	230	55	– Urban
Hot Springs Co High School	Thermopolis	64%	184	80	– Rural
Hot Springs Co School Dist 1 Bus Barn	Thermopolis	64%	–	–	– —
Hulett School	Hulett	58%	160	62	– Rural
Indian Paintbrush Elem School	Laramie	69%	273	66	– Urban

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Recipient Demographics (latest profile) (continued)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Jackson Elementary	Jackson	60%	319	82	—	Rural
Jackson Hole High School	Jackson	69%	861	220	—	Rural
Jeffrey City School	Jeffrey City	61%	0	0	—	Rural
Jessup Elementary School	Cheyenne	69%	99	22	—	Urban
Johnson Co School Dist Special Education Center	Buffalo	64%	—	—	—	—
Johnson County School District #1 Administration Building	Buffalo	69%	—	—	—	—
Johnson County School District #1 Bus Barn	Buffalo	64%	—	—	—	—
Johnson Junior High School	Cheyenne	69%	596	596	—	Urban
Journey Elementary School	Casper	69%	264	193	—	Urban
Juvenile Detention Center	Cheyenne	69%	—	—	—	—
Kaycee School	Kaycee	69%	115	43	—	Rural
Kelly Elementary School	Kelly	60%	39	0	—	Rural
Kelly Walsh High School	Casper	69%	1,954	762	—	Urban
Kemmerer Elementary School	Kemmerer	62%	141	29	—	Rural
Kemmerer Junior-Senior High School	Kemmerer	60%	314	47	—	Rural
La Barge Elementary School	La Barge	63%	58	19	—	Rural
Lagrange Elementary School	Lagrange	69%	17	9	—	Rural
Lakeview Elementary School	Gillette	69%	378	221	—	Urban
Lakeway Learning Center	Gillette	69%	—	—	—	—
Lakeway Learning Center	Gillette	59%	0	0	—	Urban
Lance Creek Elementary School	Lance Creek	69%	8	0	—	Rural
Lander Middle School	Lander	69%	291	113	—	Rural
Lander Valley High School	Lander	69%	516	183	—	Rural
Laramie County School District #1 Administration Building	Cheyenne	69%	—	—	—	—

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Recipient Demographics (latest profile) (continued)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Laramie Middle School	Laramie	69%	741	260	– Urban
Laramie Montessori Charter School	Laramie	69%	97	37	– Urban
Laura Irwin Elementary School	Basin	64%	99	51	– Rural
Lebhart Elementary School	Cheyenne	69%	66	66	– Urban
Libbey Elementary School	Wheatland	64%	213	81	– Rural
Lincoln County School District #1 Administration Building	Diamondville	64%	–	–	– —
Lincoln County School District #2 Administration Building	Afton	60%	–	–	– —
Lincoln Elementary	Rock Springs	65%	0	0	– Urban
Lincoln Elementary School	Casper	69%	379	306	– Urban
Lincoln Middle School	Green River	64%	504	187	– Rural
Lingle Ft Laramie Elementary School	Lingle	69%	107	56	– Rural
Lingle-Fort Laramie High School	Lingle	69%	106	56	– Rural
Lingle-Ft Laramie Middle School	Fort Laramie	69%	67	35	– Rural
Little Powder Elementary Sch	Weston	69%	19	6	– Rural
Little Snake River Valley Sch	Baggs	58%	200	55	– Rural
Lovell Elementary School	Lovell	64%	326	173	– Rural
Lovell High School	Lovell	64%	193	83	– Rural
Lovell Middle School	Lovell	67%	179	76	– Rural
Lusk Middle School	Lusk	65%	62	17	– Rural
Lyman High School	Lyman	63%	254	69	– Rural
Lyman Intermediate School	Lyman	63%	228	57	– Rural
Maintenance And Operation	Laramie	69%	–	–	– —
Maintenance Building	Grey Bull	64%	–	–	– —
Maintenance Office	Sheridan	58%	–	–	– —
Maintenance Shed	Jackson	60%	–	–	– —
Manderson Elementary School	Manderson	66%	22	11	– Rural
Manor Heights Elem School	Casper	69%	299	187	– Urban

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Recipient Demographics (latest profile) (continued)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Mccormick Junior High School	Cheyenne	69%	678	183	–	Urban
Mckinnon Elementary School	Mc Kinnon	63%	12	7	–	Rural
Meadowlark Elementary	Cheyenne	69%	434	160	–	Rural
Meadowlark Elementary School	Buffalo	69%	211	92	–	Rural
Meadowlark Elementary School	Gillette	69%	239	169	–	Urban
Medicine Bow Elementary	Medicine Bow	65%	4	2	–	Rural
Meeteetse School	Meeteetse	67%	109	43	–	Rural
Midwest School	Midwest	58%	111	78	–	Rural
Miller Elementary School	Cheyenne	69%	0	0	–	Urban
Monroe Elementary School	Green River	64%	296	109	–	Rural
Moorcroft Elementary	Moorcroft	58%	314	145	–	Rural
Moran Elementary School	Moran	60%	10	0	–	Rural
Moss Agate Elementary School	Douglas	69%	13	0	–	Rural
Mountain View Elem School	Casper	57%	0	0	–	Urban
Mountain View High School	Mountain View	63%	230	53	–	Rural
Mountain View K-8	Mountain View	63%	454	114	–	Rural
Munger Mountain Elementary School	Jackson	69%	355	168	–	Rural
Natrona County High School	Casper	69%	1,636	645	–	Urban
Natrona County School District #1 Administration Building	Caper	69%	–	–	–	–
New Frontier High School	Kemmerer	64%	18	7	–	Rural
New West High School	Buffalo	69%	29	16	–	Rural
Newcastle Elementary 3-5	Newcastle	58%	206	50	–	Rural
Newcastle Elementary K-2	Newcastle	58%	170	48	–	Rural
Newcastle High School	Newcastle	58%	224	45	–	Rural
Newcastle Middle School	Newcastle	58%	178	47	–	Rural
Nutrition Services	Cheyenne	60%	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Recipient Demographics (latest profile) (continued)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Oregon Trail Elementary School	Casper	69%	272	123	– Urban
Osmond Elementary School	Afton	60%	298	111	– Rural
Overland Elementary School	Rock Springs	64%	246	95	– Urban
Paintbrush Elementary School	Gillette	69%	266	85	– Urban
Paradise Valley Elem School	Casper	69%	300	125	– Urban
Park Elementary School	Casper	69%	342	128	– Urban
Pathfinder High School	Lander	64%	54	29	– Rural
Peak High School	Wheatland	64%	14	4	– Rural
Physical Plant	Powell	67%	–	–	– —
Pine Bluffs Jr & Sr High School	Pine Bluffs	58%	189	84	– Rural
Pineview Elementary School	Casper	69%	184	112	– Urban
Pioneer Park Elementary School	Cheyenne	69%	409	166	– Urban
Planetarium	Casper	69%	–	–	– —
Poison Spider Elem School	Casper	65%	191	90	– Rural
Powder River Elementary School	Powder River	62%	0	0	– Urban
Prairie Wind Elementary	Cheyenne	69%	287	38	– Rural
Pronghorn Elementary School	Gillette	69%	334	92	– Urban
Quigg Building	Grey Bull	64%	–	–	– —
Ralph Witters Elementary School	Thermopolis	64%	214	102	– Rural
Rawhide Elementary School	Gillette	69%	107	72	– Rural
Recluse School	Recluse	69%	16	4	– Rural
Red Creek Elementary School	Casper	65%	0	0	– Rural
Rendezvous Elementary	Riverton	64%	324	155	– Rural
Riverside High School	Basin	64%	155	69	– Rural
Riverton Middle School	Riverton	64%	559	210	– Rural
Rock River Elementary	Rock River	63%	36	15	– Rural
Rock River High School	Rock River	63%	41	21	– Rural

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Recipient Demographics (latest profile) (continued)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Rock River Junior High School	Rock River	63%	32	18	—	Rural
Rocky Mountain Elementary School	Cowley	64%	288	99	—	Rural
Rocky Mountain High School	Cowley	67%	359	65	—	Rural
Rocky Mountain Middle School	Cowley	64%	232	68	—	Rural
Roosevelt High School	Casper	69%	198	142	—	Urban
Rossman Elementary School	Cheyenne	69%	292	292	—	Urban
Rozet Elementary School	Rozet	69%	225	109	—	Rural
Saddle Ridge Elementary School	Cheyenne	69%	331	89	—	Urban
Sage Valley Junior High School	Gillette	69%	589	210	—	Urban
Sagewood Elementary School	Casper	69%	305	177	—	Urban
Saratoga Elementary School	Saratoga	64%	166	62	—	Rural
Saratoga Middle/High School	Saratoga	65%	139	37	—	Rural
Shawnee Elementary School	Douglas	69%	12	0	—	Rural
Sheridan County School District #1 Administration Building	Ranchester	69%	—	—	—	—
Shoshoni Elementary School	Shoshoni	64%	220	0	—	Rural
Shoshoni High School	Shoshoni	64%	117	0	—	Rural
Shoshoni Junior High School	Shoshoni	64%	50	0	—	Rural
Slack Elementary School	Parkman	69%	6	2	—	Rural
Slade Elementary School	Laramie	69%	449	184	—	Urban
Snow Range Academy	Laramie	69%	263	80	—	Urban
South High School	Cheyenne	69%	1,016	1,016	—	Urban
South Side Elementary School	Worland	58%	136	64	—	Rural
Southridge Elementary School	Casper	69%	315	158	—	Urban
Special Services	Laramie	62%	450	0	—	Rural
Spring Creek Elementary School	Laramie	69%	309	109	—	Urban
Star Lane Center	Casper	69%	—	—	—	—

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Recipient Demographics (latest profile) (continued)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Star Valley High School	Afton	60%	704	183	– Rural
Star Valley Jr Middle School	Afton	55%	374	119	– Rural
Stocktrail Elementary	Gillette	69%	554	229	– Urban
Storey Gym	Cheyenne	60%	–	–	– —
Story Elementary School	Story	69%	29	18	– Rural
Student Support Service Building	Casper	69%	–	–	– —
Sublette County School District #9 Administration Building	Big Piney	63%	–	–	– —
Summit Elementary	Casper	69%	423	108	– Urban
Sunflower Elementary School	Gillette	69%	311	182	– Urban
Sunrise Elementary	Cheyenne	69%	303	303	– Urban
Sweetwater County School District #2 Central Office	Green River	69%	–	–	– —
Sweetwater County School District #2 Maintenance Building	Green River	64%	–	–	– —
Sweetwater County School District #2 Transportation Building	Green River	64%	–	–	– —
Swift Creek High School	Afton	60%	44	28	– Rural
Technology Building	Green River	64%	–	–	– Rural
Ten Sleep School	Ten Sleep	67%	115	33	– Rural
Teton County School District #1 Administration Building	Jackson	60%	–	–	– —
Thayne Elementary School (K-3)	Thayne	60%	385	154	– Rural
The Bridge House	Douglas	58%	–	–	– —
The Bridge School	Dayton	62%	24	4	– Rural
Thermopolis Middle School	Thermopolis	58%	186	74	– Rural
Thoman Ranch School	Kemmerer	60%	0	0	– Rural
Tongue River Elementary School	Ranchester	69%	254	110	– Rural
Tongue River Middle School	Ranchester	64%	119	44	– Rural
Tongue River Sr High School	Dayton	69%	137	43	– Rural
Trail Elementary School	Torrington	69%	236	124	– Rural
Transportation Building	Greybull	64%	–	–	– —
Transportation Complex	Cheyenne	69%	–	–	– —

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Recipient Demographics (latest profile) (continued)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Transportation Facility	Big Piney	63%	–	–	– –
Transportation Office	Sheridan	58%	–	–	– –
Triumph High School	Cheyenne	69%	188	188	– Urban
Twin Spruce Jr High School	Gillette	69%	667	317	– Urban
Uinta County School District #4 Administration Building	Mountain View	63%	–	–	– –
Uinta County School District #6 Administration Building	Lyman	64%	–	–	– –
Unita County School District #6 Bus Barn	Lyman	64%	–	–	– –
University Park Elem School	Casper	64%	0	0	– Urban
Upton High School	Upton	58%	79	0	– Rural
Urie Elementary School	Lyman	63%	242	73	– Rural
Uw Laboratory School	Laramie	64%	229	59	– Urban
Valley Elementary School	Cody	69%	8	2	– Rural
Valley View Elementary School	Laramie	64%	0	0	– Rural
Velma Linford Elementary School	Laramie	69%	253	241	– Urban
Verda James Elementary School	Casper	69%	441	133	– Urban
Victory High School	Rawlins	69%	29	28	– Rural
Wagonwheel Elementary School	Gillette	69%	244	121	– Urban
Wapiti Elementary School	Cody	60%	18	18	– Rural
Warehouse	Casper	69%	–	–	– –
Washakie County District Office	Ten Sleep	64%	–	–	– –
Wcsd#1 Central Office	Worland	64%	–	–	– –
West Elementary School	Wheatland	69%	192	74	– Rural
West Side Elementary School	Worland	58%	159	62	– Rural
Weston County School District #7 Administration Building	Upton	64%	–	–	– –
Weston County School District #7 Bus Barn	Upton	64%	–	–	– –
Westridge Elementary School	Rock Springs	64%	324	106	– Urban
Westwood High School	Gillette	69%	150	79	– Urban

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Recipient Demographics (latest profile) (continued)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Wheatland High School	Wheatland	65%	264	62	–	Rural
Wheatland Middle School	Wheatland	69%	228	81	–	Rural
White Elementary School	Douglas	69%	16	0	–	Rural
Whiting High School	Laramie	69%	51	49	–	Urban
Willadsen Elementary School	Granite Canon	69%	9	0	–	Rural
Willard Elementary School	Casper	57%	0	0	–	Urban
Willow Creek School	Kaycee	59%	0	0	–	Urban
Wilson Elementary School	Wilson	60%	192	12	–	Rural
Wind River High School	Pavillion	69%	102	102	–	Rural
Woods Learning Center	Casper	69%	170	96	–	Urban
Worland High School	Worland	58%	325	117	–	Rural
Wright Jr-Sr High School	Wright	69%	174	67	–	Rural
Wyoming Boys' School	Worland	58%	66	0	–	Rural
Wyoming Girls' School	Sheridan	69%	40	40	–	Rural
Wyoming Indian Middle School	Ethete	90%	143	109	–	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Centurylink Qwest Corporation	–	\$4K	\$4K	\$55K	\$461K	\$315K	\$770K	\$164K	\$1.1M	\$1.2M	\$1.7M	\$1.5M	\$1.5M	–	–	–
Visionary Communications, Llc	–	\$1.3M	\$1.2M	\$884K	\$971K	\$941K	\$364K	\$506K	\$379K	\$282K	\$238K	\$143K	–	–	–	–
Advanced Communications Technology Inc.	–	\$698K	\$589K	\$582K	\$543K	\$616K	\$561K	\$596K	\$546K	\$904K	\$298K	\$227K	–	–	–	–
Tri County Telephone Assoc., Inc.	–	\$164K	\$181K	\$159K	\$119K	\$167K	\$152K	\$133K	\$134K	\$155K	\$120K	\$65K	–	–	–	–
Millennium Networks, Llc	–	\$179K	\$163K	\$176K	\$149K	\$172K	\$131K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Union Telephone Company	–	–	\$244K	\$198K	\$103K	\$109K	\$85K	\$41K	\$10K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Silver Star Telephone Co., Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$131K	–	\$103K	\$103K	\$98K	\$9K	–	–	–
Lr Communications, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$93K	\$133K	\$163K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Wercs Communications Inc	–	\$20K	\$43K	\$95K	\$33K	\$5K	–	\$46K	\$47K	\$83K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Centurylink Centurytel Of Wyoming, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	\$5K	–	\$14K	\$109K	\$92K	\$374	\$3K	\$8K	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$75K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$4K	\$11K	\$45K	\$31K	\$34K	\$33K	\$182K	\$806K
Average Discount Rate	85%	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	30%	50%	82%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$4K	\$11K	\$10K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$28K	\$27K	\$28K	\$29K	\$29K
Internal Connections	\$75K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$35K	–	–	–	\$98K	\$687K
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$3K	\$7K	\$5K	\$3K	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$51K	\$90K
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Arapahoe Charter High School	\$54K	\$22K	\$11K	\$11K	\$1K	\$19K	\$8K	\$5K	\$17K	\$25K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Arapahoe Charter High School	Riverton	85%	65	65	– Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Pine Cove Consulting, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$35K	–	–	–	\$150K	\$778K
Information Systems Consulting	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Wyoming.Com	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Advanced Communications Technology Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$4K	\$7K	\$10K	\$18K	\$21K	\$19K	\$17K	\$16K
Advanced Network Management, Inc.	\$75K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Qwest Corporation	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$3K	–	\$8K	\$8K	\$9K	\$8K	\$8K
Cpu Connecting Point, Inc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Verizon Wireless (Cellco Partnership)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$192	\$533	\$655	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$5K
Windstream Communications, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmf-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Qwest Communications Company, Llc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$411	-	\$709	\$603	\$612	\$602	\$592

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$51K	–	–	–	–	–	\$51K	–	\$273	\$2K	\$9K	\$2K	\$9K	\$1K	\$1K	\$4K
Average Discount Rate	77%	–	–	–	–	–	70%	–	20%	40%	50%	75%	70%	70%	65%	70%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	–	–	–	–	–	1	–	4	4	4	2	2	2	2	2
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$273	\$2K	\$9K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	\$9K	\$1K	\$1K	\$4K
Internal Connections	\$51K	–	–	–	–	–	\$51K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Wind River Elementary School	\$11K	\$4K	\$3K	\$3K	\$5K	\$3K	\$15K	\$3K	\$6K	\$5K
Wind River Learning Academy	\$11K	\$4K	\$3K	\$3K	\$5K	\$3K	\$14K	\$1K	\$4K	–
Wind River Middle School	\$11K	\$4K	\$3K	\$3K	\$5K	\$3K	\$15K	\$3K	\$6K	\$5K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Wind River Elementary School	Pavillion	85%	135	135	–	Rural
Wind River Learning Academy	Pavillion	85%	8	0	–	Rural
Wind River Middle School	Pavillion	85%	75	75	–	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Coquina Labs Inc.	\$51K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Pine Cove Consulting, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$51K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
U S West	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$7K	–	\$9K	–	–	\$2K
Union Telephone Company	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K	\$1K	\$2K	–	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K
Global Microcomputer Technology, Lc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qtmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Windstream Communications, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dubois Telephone Exchange Inc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$249	\$498	\$641	-	-	-	-	-
Communication Electronics Inc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Verizon Wireless Fka Cellco Partnership	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$24	\$46	\$130	-	-	-	-	-
Howard Publications, Inc. Dba Trib. Com	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$32K	\$82K	–	\$6K	\$9K	\$6K	\$79K	\$81K	\$83K	\$75K	\$65K	\$31K	\$20K	\$31K	\$20K	\$512K
Average Discount Rate	88%	89%	90%	88%	88%	90%	90%	50%	60%	73%	73%	90%	85%	85%	85%	80%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	3	3	2	3	3	2	2	4	4	5	5	5	5	6	4	4
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	842	833	525	525	1,000	1,000	75	75	525	526	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	842	833	525	525	1,000	1,000	75	75	525	526	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	\$4K	\$7K	\$9K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$21K	\$20K	\$30K	\$19K	\$17K
Internal Connections	\$22K	\$71K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$426K
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	\$10K	\$11K	–	\$6K	\$9K	\$6K	\$79K	\$79K	\$79K	\$62K	\$56K	\$10K	–	\$940	\$940	\$720
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$68K
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$7K	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Wyoming Indian Elem School	\$13K	\$40K	\$4K	\$7K	\$10K	\$7K	\$9K	\$8K	\$8K	\$13K
Wyoming Indian High School	\$13K	\$40K	\$4K	\$7K	\$10K	\$7K	\$9K	\$8K	\$8K	\$13K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Wyoming Indian Elem School	Ethete	90%	252	252	–	Rural
Wyoming Indian High School	Ethete	90%	172	172	–	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Pine Cove Consulting, Llc	\$22K	\$71K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$7K	–	–	–	–	–	\$494K
Education Technology Consultants	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Wyoming.Com	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$69K	\$70K	\$70K	\$53K	\$48K	\$896	–	\$940	\$940	\$720
Windstream Communications, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K	\$4K	\$7K	\$9K	\$14K	\$14K	\$15K	\$15K	\$13K
Information Systems Consulting	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Advanced Communications Technology Inc.	\$8K	–	–	\$6K	\$9K	\$6K	\$9K	\$9K	\$9K	\$9K	\$8K	\$9K	–	\$11K	–	–
Union Telephone Company	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$429	–	–	–	\$6K	\$6K	\$5K	\$5K	\$4K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Northern Arapaho Tribal Industries	\$3K	\$11K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Whispering Wire, Inc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Centurylink Qwest Corporation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	–	\$287K	–	–	–	\$75K	\$115K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Average Discount Rate	70%	70%	–	–	–	70%	70%	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	4	6	6	6	6	4	6	6	4	4	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	1	–	–	–	1	1	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Internal Connections	–	\$287K	–	–	–	\$74K	\$115K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	\$455	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Ashgrove Elementary School	–	\$38K	\$538	\$607	\$2K	\$10K	\$25K	\$2K	\$1K	\$1K
Aspen Elementary	\$588	\$38K	\$538	\$607	\$2K	\$13K	\$12K	\$2K	\$1K	\$1K
Frontier Academy	\$588	\$38K	\$538	\$607	\$2K	–	\$12K	\$2K	–	–
Jackson Elementary School	–	\$38K	\$538	\$607	\$2K	\$10K	\$26K	\$2K	\$1K	\$1K
Riverton High School	\$588	\$38K	\$538	\$607	\$2K	\$14K	\$13K	\$2K	\$1K	\$1K
Willow Creek Elementary	\$588	\$38K	\$538	\$607	\$2K	–	\$13K	\$2K	–	–

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Ashgrove Elementary School	Riverton	70%	0	0	– Rural
Aspen Elementary	Riverton	70%	241	124	– Rural
Frontier Academy	Riverton	70%	42	15	– Rural
Jackson Elementary School	Riverton	70%	173	98	– Rural
Riverton High School	Riverton	70%	701	226	– Rural
Willow Creek Elementary	Riverton	70%	269	105	– Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Convergeone, Inc.	–	\$287K	–	–	–	\$75K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Dexon Computer, Inc	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$115K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Howard Publications, Inc. Dba Trib. Com	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mci Communications Corporation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
U S West	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Global Microcomputer Technology, Lc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Golden West Technologies	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hewlett Packard Company	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Crum Electric Supply Company	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$132K	–	–	–	\$68K	–	–	\$8K	\$4K	\$152K	\$19K	\$29K	\$24K	\$32K	\$26K	\$29K
Average Discount Rate	70%	–	–	–	70%	–	–	70%	10%	43%	50%	74%	78%	77%	74%	75%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	7	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	3	–	–	–	1	–	–	1	2	3	2	3	1	2	2	2
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$4K	\$11K	\$19K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$25K	\$24K	\$25K	\$19K	\$22K
Internal Connections	\$132K	–	–	–	\$68K	–	–	\$8K	–	\$141K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$5K	–	\$8K	\$7K	\$7K
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Wireless School Bus Services and Equipment	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Lincoln Elementary School	\$11K	\$670	\$624	\$680	\$7K	\$552	\$1K	\$5K	\$3K	\$14K
Platte River School	\$11K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Southeast Elementary School	\$13K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$12K	\$5K	\$1K	\$5K	\$3K	\$9K
Southeast High School	\$13K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$12K	\$5K	\$1K	\$5K	\$3K	\$9K
Southeast Junior High School	\$13K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$12K	\$5K	\$1K	\$3K	\$3K	\$9K
Torrington High School	\$11K	\$670	\$624	\$680	\$7K	\$552	\$1K	\$5K	\$3K	\$26K
Torrington Middle School	\$11K	\$670	\$624	\$680	\$7K	\$552	\$1K	\$3K	\$3K	\$29K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Lincoln Elementary School	Torrington	80%	224	117	–	Rural
Platte River School	Torrington	80%	12	7	–	Rural
Southeast Elementary School	Yoder	80%	115	60	–	Rural
Southeast High School	Yoder	80%	82	43	–	Rural
Southeast Junior High School	Yoder	80%	40	21	–	Rural
Torrington High School	Torrington	80%	327	171	–	Rural

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Recipient Demographics (latest profile) (continued)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Torrington Middle School	Torrington	80%	238	125	-	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Sprint/United Telephone Company Of The West	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$4K	\$11K	\$19K	\$22K	\$24K	\$25K	\$19K	\$22K
Education Technology Consultants, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Golden West Technologies	-	-	-	-	\$68K	-	-	-	-	\$141K	-	-	-	-	-	-
954 Consultants Llc	\$89K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Convergeone, Inc.	\$43K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Edline, Llc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$8K	\$7K	\$7K
Excel Telecommunications, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Verizon Wireless Fka Cellco Partnership	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Information Systems Consulting	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$8K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Vp Telecom, Inc. Dba Orbitcom	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$2K	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qtmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	-	-	-	-	-	\$10K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Average Discount Rate	-	-	-	-	-	60%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Service Providers	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Telecomm Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	\$10K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Managed Internal Broadband Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Holy Name Catholic School (Administrative, Library And Grades 4-8 Building)	-	-	-	-	-	\$10K	-	-	-	-

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Holy Name Catholic School (Administrative, Library And Grades 4-8 Building)	Sheridan	60%	120	36	- Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Ptolemy Group	-	-	-	-	-	\$10K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	–	\$117K	\$18K	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$65K	–	\$20K	\$24K	\$30K	\$27K	\$37K
Average Discount Rate	–	60%	60%	–	–	–	–	–	–	43%	54%	57%	67%	65%	60%	64%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	–	1	1	–	–	–	–	–	–	6	5	5	4	5	5	6
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$5K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$19K	\$22K	\$24K	\$21K	\$30K
Internal Connections	–	\$117K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$60K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$582	\$1K	\$6K	\$6K	\$7K
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	\$18K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Buffalo High School	\$190	\$22K	\$5K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K	\$4K	\$3K	\$11K
Clear Creek Middle School	\$190	\$22K	\$5K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K	\$4K	\$3K	\$8K
Cloud Peak Elementary	\$190	\$22K	\$5K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K	\$4K	\$3K	\$19K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Buffalo High School	Buffalo	70%	317	76	–	Rural
Clear Creek Middle School	Buffalo	70%	240	89	–	Rural
Cloud Peak Elementary	Buffalo	70%	225	81	–	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
U S West	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$4K	–	\$12K	\$14K	\$15K	\$13K	\$15K
Convergeone, Inc.	–	\$117K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Windstream Communications, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Alltel Communications	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Rt Communications, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$533	–	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K
Kbl Technologies, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$28K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Verizon Wireless (Cellco Partnership)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$3K	\$6K	\$6K	\$5K	\$2K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Visionary Communications, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$582	\$1K	\$6K	\$6K	\$7K
At&T Mobility	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$10K
Pine Cove Consulting, LLC	-	-	\$18K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	–	–	\$19K	–	\$28K	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$6K	\$6K	\$5K	\$7K	\$8K
Average Discount Rate	–	–	60%	–	60%	–	–	–	–	–	44%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	–	–	3	–	3	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	–	–	3	–	1	–	–	–	–	–	4	4	4	4	4	4
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$6K	\$6K	\$5K	\$7K	\$8K
Internal Connections	–	–	\$19K	–	\$28K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Laramie County Library - Main Branch	–	–	\$8K	–	\$9K	–	–	–	–	–
Laramie County Library System - Burns	–	–	\$6K	–	\$9K	–	–	–	–	–
Laramie County Library System - Pine Bluffs	–	–	\$5K	–	\$9K	–	–	–	–	–

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Laramie County Library - Main Branch	Cheyenne	60%	–	–	103,000 Urban
Laramie County Library System - Burns	Burns	60%	–	–	4,500 Rural
Laramie County Library System - Pine Bluffs	Pine Bluffs	60%	–	–	3,000 Urban

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
U S West Communications, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$5K	\$5K	\$4K	\$6K	\$6K
Gadellnet Consulting Services, Llc	–	–	–	–	\$28K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Rt Communications, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$714	–	\$735	\$732	\$732

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
W. G. Dale Electric Co.	-	-	\$11K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Zivaro, Inc.	-	-	\$8K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Verizon Wireless Fka Cellco Partnership	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$490	\$522	\$534	\$545	\$650
At&T Corporation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Qwest Communications Company, Llc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$163	\$182	\$150	\$146	\$150
Sld Interim	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$219K	\$168K	–	–	–	–	\$140K	\$254K	\$484K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Average Discount Rate	80%	60%	–	–	–	–	60%	60%	60%	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	3	3	2	3	2	1	1	1	1	1	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	2	3	–	–	–	–	2	1	2	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	300	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	50	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Internal Connections	\$219K	\$107K	–	–	–	–	\$140K	\$254K	\$484K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	\$8K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	\$53K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Wireless School Bus Services and Equipment	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Eastridge Facility	\$11K	\$1K	\$5K	\$121	\$6K	–	–	–	–	–
Poder Academy	\$5K	\$2K	\$286	\$327	\$1K	\$329	\$2K	\$3K	\$1K	\$3K
Poder Academy Secondary School	\$5K	\$1K	–	\$121	–	–	–	–	–	–

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Eastridge Facility	Cheyenne	80%	0	0	–	Urban
Poder Academy	Cheyenne	80%	214	118	–	Urban
Poder Academy Secondary School	Cheyenne	80%	120	62	–	Urban

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Capitol Communications, Inc	\$219K	\$107K	–	–	–	–	\$85K	\$254K	\$326K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Education Technology Consultants	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Optiv Security Inc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$158K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Shi International Corpo.	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$55K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Wyebo, Inc.	–	\$53K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Cabletron Systems Sales & Services, Inc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
U S West	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kajeet, Inc.	-	\$8K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wyoming.Com	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cellco Partnership Dbv Verizon Wireless	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	–	–	–	–	\$125K	–	–	–	\$2K	\$40K	\$12K	\$26K	\$20K	\$50K	\$33K	\$31K
Average Discount Rate	–	–	–	–	70%	–	–	–	25%	46%	55%	63%	59%	53%	57%	52%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	–	–	–	–	1	–	–	–	3	4	3	4	4	4	4	4
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	10	20	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	10	20	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	\$8K	\$12K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$19K	\$20K	\$18K	\$30K	\$29K
Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	\$125K	–	–	–	–	\$31K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$219	\$647	\$740	\$7K	–	\$32K	\$5K	\$2K
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Burns Elementary School	\$9K	\$15K	\$18K	\$19K	\$20K	\$20K	\$22K	\$5K	\$5K	\$14K
Pine Bluffs Elementary School	\$153	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$37K	\$6K	\$8K	\$5K	\$5K	\$7K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Burns Elementary School	Burns	70%	245	95	–	Rural
Pine Bluffs Elementary School	Pine Bluffs	70%	177	82	–	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Rt Communications, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K	\$5K	\$9K	\$14K	\$12K	\$40K	\$22K	\$21K
Capitol Communications, Inc	–	–	–	–	\$125K	–	–	–	–	\$31K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Verizon Wireless (Cellco Partnership)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$179	\$3K	\$2K	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K
Edline, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$4K	–	\$2K	\$5K	\$2K
Matrix Telecom, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$198	\$933	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$654
Dell Marketing Lp	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Education Technology Consultants	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Level 3 Communications, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Mci Communications Corporation	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Novell, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$2K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K
Average Discount Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20%	30%	60%	67%	67%	60%	60%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-
Service Providers	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$2K	\$3K	-	-	-	-	-
Telecomm Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$4K	\$4K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K
Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Managed Internal Broadband Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Alpine Branch Library	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$381
Cokeville Branch Library	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$230
Labarge Branch Library	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$240
Lincoln County Library	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$391
Star Valley Branch Library	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$312
Thayne Branch Library	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$374

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Alpine Branch Library	Alpine	20%	-	-	4,000 Rural
Cokeville Branch Library	Cokeville	20%	-	-	4,000 Rural
Labarge Branch Library	La Barge	20%	-	-	1,519 Rural
Lincoln County Library	Kemmerer	20%	-	-	12,900 Rural
Star Valley Branch Library	Afton	20%	-	-	6,240 Rural
Thayne Branch Library	Thayne	20%	-	-	3,748 Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Silver Star Telephone Co., Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$900	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K	\$2K	\$2K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
U S West	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$194	\$539	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K
Union Telephone Company	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$228	\$353	\$707	\$677	\$713	\$725	\$611
All West Communications, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$230	\$342	\$686	\$812	\$748	\$696	\$870
At&T Corporation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Union Telephone Company	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	–	\$9K	\$9K	\$11K	\$13K	–	\$33K	–	–	\$4K	–	\$10K	\$12K	\$3K	\$8K	\$7K
Average Discount Rate	60%	60%	60%	70%	70%	–	60%	–	–	38%	57%	58%	70%	62%	66%	64%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	2	1	1	1	1	–	1	–	–	2	2	1	2	2	2	2
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	14	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	10	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$10K	\$12K	\$3K	\$8K	\$7K
Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$33K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	\$9K	\$9K	\$11K	\$13K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Unspecified	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Lusk Elementary School	\$31K	\$9K	\$17K	\$3K	\$6K	\$3K	\$17K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K
Niobrara County High School	\$14K	\$10K	\$2K	\$5K	\$6K	\$3K	\$11K	\$3K	\$3K	\$4K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Lusk Elementary School	Lusk	60%	160	46	– Rural
Niobrara County High School	Lusk	60%	121	28	– Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Vp Telecom, Inc. Dba Orbitcom	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$3K	\$7K	\$7K
Menlo, Inc.	–	\$9K	\$9K	\$11K	\$13K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Convergeone, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$33K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Centurylink Qwest Corporation	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	–	\$10K	\$12K	–	–	–
Ionex Dba Firstel/Advanced Communications	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Verizon Wireless Fka Cellco Partnership	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$23	–	\$301	\$266
Centurylink Qwest Communications Company, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Pine Cove Consulting, Llc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$27K	\$126K	\$47K	\$44K	\$50K	\$40K	\$116K	\$47K	\$23K	\$29K	\$80K	–	–	–	–	–
Average Discount Rate	70%	70%	70%	70%	65%	70%	70%	70%	30%	43%	59%	–	–	–	–	–
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	2	4	3	2	2	2	3	2	7	6	5	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	1,000	1,000	500	500	233	100	100	100	100	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	1,000	1,000	500	500	233	100	100	100	100	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$3K	\$10K	\$18K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Internal Connections	\$27K	\$95K	\$30K	–	–	–	\$96K	\$27K	–	–	\$50K	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	\$30K	\$18K	\$29K	\$29K	\$24K	\$9K	\$9K	\$8K	\$10K	\$10K	–	–	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	\$574	–	\$15K	\$21K	\$16K	\$11K	\$11K	\$12K	\$10K	\$2K	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Wireless School Bus Services and Equipment	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Park County School District #1 Administration Building	\$190	\$4K	\$4K	\$5K	\$5K	\$4K	\$2K	\$2K	\$3K	\$2K
Parkside Elementary School	\$4K	\$19K	\$7K	\$7K	\$8K	\$6K	\$20K	\$6K	\$6K	\$10K
Powell High School	\$4K	\$19K	\$7K	\$7K	\$8K	\$6K	\$20K	\$6K	\$6K	\$10K
Powell Middle School	\$4K	\$19K	\$7K	\$7K	\$8K	\$6K	\$12K	\$33K	\$6K	\$10K
Shoshone Learning Center	\$4K	\$19K	\$7K	\$7K	\$8K	\$6K	\$20K	\$6K	\$6K	\$10K
Southside Elementary School	\$4K	\$19K	\$7K	\$7K	\$8K	\$6K	\$20K	\$6K	\$6K	\$10K
Support Services Building	\$4K	\$17K	\$7K	\$7K	\$8K	\$4K	\$4K	\$3K	\$4K	\$16K
Westside Elementary School	\$4K	\$19K	\$7K	\$7K	\$8K	\$6K	\$20K	\$6K	\$6K	\$10K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Park County School District #1 Administration Building	Powell	70%	–	–	–	–
Parkside Elementary School	Powell	70%	202	115	–	Rural
Powell High School	Powell	70%	549	210	–	Rural
Powell Middle School	Powell	70%	403	185	–	Rural
Shoshone Learning Center	Powell	70%	22	15	–	Rural

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Recipient Demographics (latest profile) (continued)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Southside Elementary School	Powell	70%	280	159	– Rural
Support Services Building	Powell	70%	0	0	– Rural
Westside Elementary School	Powell	70%	283	121	– Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Cdw Government Llc	\$27K	\$96K	–	\$15K	\$21K	–	\$59K	\$38K	\$12K	\$10K	\$52K	–	–	–	–	–
Tri County Telephone Assoc., Inc.	–	\$18K	\$18K	\$29K	\$29K	\$24K	\$9K	\$9K	\$10K	\$17K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Dell Marketing Lp	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$49K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Cerium Networks, Inc.	–	–	\$30K	–	–	\$16K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Tct West, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$21K	–	–	–	–	–
Premier Wireless Business Technology Solutions	–	\$10K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Centurylink Qwest Corporation	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$584	\$2K	\$4K	–	–	–	–	–
Verizon Wireless (Cellco Partnership)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$225	\$766	\$3K	–	–	–	–	–
T-Mobile Usa, Inc.	–	\$1K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Project Telephone Company	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$82	\$221	\$398	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$55K	–	–	\$47K	\$71K	\$53K	\$49K	\$36K	\$36K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Average Discount Rate	65%	–	–	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	–	–	89%	–	–	–	–
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	–	–	1	1	1	1	1	1	–	–	1	–	–	–	–
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Internal Connections	\$55K	–	–	\$47K	\$71K	\$53K	\$43K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$6K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$36K	\$36K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Eastside Elementary School	\$7K	\$3K	\$3K	\$9K	\$11K	\$8K	\$8K	\$10K	\$9K	\$2K
Glenn Livingston Elementary	\$7K	\$3K	\$3K	\$9K	\$11K	\$8K	\$8K	\$10K	\$9K	\$834
Heart Mountain Academy	\$7K	\$3K	\$3K	\$9K	\$11K	\$8K	\$8K	\$10K	\$9K	\$834
Sunset Elementary School	\$7K	\$3K	\$3K	\$9K	\$11K	\$8K	\$8K	\$10K	\$9K	\$834

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Eastside Elementary School	Cody	70%	285	150	– Rural
Glenn Livingston Elementary	Cody	70%	277	112	– Rural
Heart Mountain Academy	Cody	70%	43	43	– Rural
Sunset Elementary School	Cody	70%	286	122	– Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Pine Cove Consulting, Llc	\$55K	–	–	\$47K	\$71K	\$53K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Information Systems Consulting	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$36K	\$36K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Convergeone, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$49K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Bresnan Communications, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$12K	\$24K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Average Discount Rate	50%	50%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Service Providers	1	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	1,000	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	1,000	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Telecomm Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Internal Connections	-	\$13K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	\$12K	\$11K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Managed Internal Broadband Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Prairie View Community School	\$12K	\$24K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Prairie View Community School	Chugwater	50%	66	10	-	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Visionary Communications, Llc	\$12K	\$11K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pine Cove Consulting, Llc	-	\$13K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$20K	–	\$10K	\$9K	\$9K	\$9K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Average Discount Rate	88%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	1	1	1	1	1	1	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	2	1	1	1	1	1	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	1,000	100	100	100	100	100	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	1,000	100	100	100	100	100	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Internal Connections	\$20K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	–	\$10K	\$9K	\$9K	\$9K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Rite Of Passage - Meadowlark Academy	\$26K	\$4K	\$10K	\$9K	\$9K	\$9K	–	–	–	–

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Rite Of Passage - Meadowlark Academy	Cheyenne	90%	22	22	–	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Centurylink Qwest Communications Company, Llc	–	–	\$10K	\$9K	\$9K	\$9K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Optimus Technology Company	\$20K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$111K	\$193K	\$138K	\$85K	\$153K	\$269K	\$107K	\$245K	\$124K	\$135K	\$148K	\$176K	\$171K	\$94K	\$28K	–
Average Discount Rate	70%	70%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	70%	70%	55%	55%	68%	68%	67%	63%	–
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	3	3	2	1	2	2	2	3	2	5	4	4	7	4	4	–
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	10,000	10,000	10,000	5,800	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	10,000	10,000	10,000	5,800	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$11K	\$24K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$173K	\$163K	\$93K	\$26K	–
Internal Connections	\$111K	\$177K	\$95K	–	\$46K	\$160K	–	\$120K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	\$16K	\$42K	\$85K	\$107K	\$107K	\$107K	\$124K	\$124K	\$124K	\$124K	\$3K	\$8K	\$2K	\$2K	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	\$460	\$2K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Henry A. Coffeen Elementary School	\$18K	\$25K	\$16K	\$14K	\$17K	\$14K	\$19K	\$14K	\$63K	\$17K
Highland Park Elem School	\$18K	\$25K	\$16K	\$14K	\$17K	\$14K	\$12K	\$47K	\$1K	\$17K
John C. Schiffer Collaborative School	\$18K	\$25K	\$16K	\$4K	\$17K	\$14K	\$18K	\$14K	\$1K	\$17K
Meadowlark Elementary School	\$18K	\$25K	\$16K	\$14K	\$17K	\$57K	\$19K	\$14K	\$1K	\$17K
Sagebrush Elementary School	\$18K	\$25K	\$16K	\$14K	\$17K	\$14K	\$12K	\$42K	\$1K	\$17K
Sheridan High School	\$18K	\$25K	\$16K	\$14K	\$17K	\$54K	\$12K	\$58K	\$13K	\$17K
Sheridan Junior High School	\$18K	\$25K	\$16K	\$14K	\$17K	\$14K	\$12K	\$92K	\$63K	\$17K
The Wright Place	\$12K	\$19K	\$10K	\$2K	\$17K	\$14K	\$12K	\$14K	\$1K	\$17K
Woodland Park Elem School	\$18K	\$25K	\$16K	\$14K	\$17K	\$58K	\$19K	\$14K	\$1K	\$17K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Henry A. Coffeen Elementary School	Sheridan	70%	310	175	– Rural
Highland Park Elem School	Sheridan	70%	310	175	– Rural
John C. Schiffer Collaborative School	Sheridan	70%	68	38	– Rural
Meadowlark Elementary School	Sheridan	70%	290	164	– Rural

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Recipient Demographics (latest profile) (continued)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Sagebrush Elementary School	Sheridan	70%	336	190	– Rural
Sheridan High School	Sheridan	70%	1,013	230	– Rural
Sheridan Junior High School	Sheridan	70%	769	207	– Rural
The Wright Place	Sheridan	70%	18	10	– Rural
Woodland Park Elem School	Sheridan	70%	292	165	– Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Unite Private Networks, Llc	–	\$16K	\$42K	\$85K	\$107K	\$107K	\$107K	\$124K	\$124K	\$124K	\$124K	\$121K	\$116K	\$49K	–	–
Advanced Network Management, Inc.	\$111K	\$177K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Convergeone, Inc.	–	–	\$95K	–	–	\$162K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Advanced Communications Technology Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$8K	\$20K	\$36K	\$34K	\$27K	\$16K	–
Information Systems Consulting	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$107K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
U S West Communications, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
At&T Mobility	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$3K	\$2K	\$17K	\$18K	\$17K	\$10K	–
Ionex Telecommunications	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Cdw Government, Llc	–	–	–	–	\$46K	–	–	\$14K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Verizon Wireless Fka Cellco Partnership	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	–	\$2K	\$24K	\$4K	\$5K	\$6K	\$6K	\$14K	\$15K	\$20K	\$29K	\$36K	\$19K	\$16K	\$8K	\$7K
Average Discount Rate	–	55%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	65%	50%	50%	60%	70%	69%	71%	70%	75%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	–	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	3	2	2	2	2
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	505	434	434	434	644	440	54	27	30	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	505	434	434	434	644	440	54	27	30	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$512	\$2K	\$4K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$8K	\$12K	\$11K	\$10K	\$6K	\$7K
Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	\$2K	\$3K	\$4K	\$5K	\$6K	\$6K	\$14K	\$15K	\$18K	\$17K	\$24K	\$8K	\$7K	\$2K	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	\$21K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Arvada-Clearmont Junior High School	–	–	\$14K	\$8K	\$9K	\$9K	\$12K	\$11K	\$10K	\$5K
Clearmont K-12 School	\$29K	\$18K	\$10K	\$8K	\$9K	\$9K	\$12K	\$11K	\$10K	\$5K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Arvada-Clearmont Junior High School	Clearmont	60%	11	5	–	Rural
Clearmont K-12 School	Clearmont	60%	68	17	–	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Range Telephone. Cooperative. Inc. - Wy	–	\$2K	\$3K	\$4K	\$5K	\$6K	\$6K	\$14K	\$15K	\$20K	\$28K	\$34K	\$17K	\$16K	\$6K	\$5K
Menlo, Inc.	–	–	\$21K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Alltel Communications	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
At&T Mobility	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$462	\$777	\$2K	\$2K	–	\$1K	\$2K
Apple Computer, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Distributed Website Corporation	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$8K	\$4K	–	\$3K	–	\$12K	\$3K	\$2K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Average Discount Rate	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	1	1	–	1	1	1	1	1	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	2	3	1	1	1	2	1	1	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	1,000	550	100	100	100	100	100	100	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	1,000	550	100	100	100	100	100	100	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Internal Connections	\$6K	–	–	–	–	\$9K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	\$2K	\$3K	–	\$3K	–	\$3K	\$3K	\$2K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	\$612	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
St Anthony'S School	\$9K	\$10K	–	\$3K	–	\$12K	\$3K	\$2K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
St Anthony'S School	Casper	40%	268	39	–	Urban

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Charter Communications Operating, Llc	–	\$3K	–	\$3K	–	\$3K	\$3K	\$2K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Cerium Networks, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	\$9K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Convergeone, Inc.	\$6K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Clarity Telecom, Llc	\$2K	\$340	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Computer Professionals Unlimited Inc.	–	\$612	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Clarity Telecom, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Ptolemy Group	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qtmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	–	\$5K	–	\$8K	\$9K	\$21K	\$5K	\$7K	\$10K	\$17K	\$26K	\$33K	\$32K	\$173K	\$26K	\$24K
Average Discount Rate	90%	90%	–	90%	90%	87%	88%	50%	65%	58%	77%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	–	1	–	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	1	–	1	2	4	5	3	5	3	3	4	4	6	6	3
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	1,000	–	1,000	63	63	43	15	22	8	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	1,000	–	1,000	53	53	35	3	17	0	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$3K	\$9K	\$15K	\$25K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$31K	\$30K	\$31K	\$25K	\$23K
Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	\$10K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$105K	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	\$5K	–	\$8K	\$9K	\$11K	\$5K	\$4K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K	\$1K
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$35K	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
St. Joseph's Children's Home	–	\$5K	–	\$8K	\$9K	\$21K	\$13K	\$8K	\$27K	\$17K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
St. Joseph's Children's Home	Torrington	90%	62	62	–	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
At&T Corporation	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	\$6K	\$10K	\$14K	\$17K	\$16K	\$17K	\$13K	\$11K
Sprint Local Telephone Division	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$844	\$3K	\$5K	\$7K	\$9K	\$11K	\$10K	\$10K	\$8K	\$9K
Earthlink Inc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Pine Cove Consulting, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$123K	–	–
Falco - Intralinks, L.L.C.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Verizon Wireless Fka Cellco Partnership	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$4K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$5K	\$4K
Cdw-G	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Visionary Communications, Inc.	–	–	–	–	\$9K	\$9K	\$3K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Mobius Communications Company	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$18K	–	–
Wercs Communications Inc	–	\$5K	–	\$8K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	–	–	–	\$4K	–	–	–	–	–	\$5K	\$12K	\$5K	\$39K	\$29K	\$11K	\$10K
Average Discount Rate	50%	–	–	50%	55%	60%	50%	50%	53%	18%	38%	52%	47%	47%	44%	44%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	5	5	1	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	–	–	2	1	1	1	1	2	4	4	4	5	5	4	4
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	10	10	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	10	10	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$4K	\$11K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	\$13K	\$16K	\$11K	\$10K
Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K	\$1K	\$4K	\$26K	\$13K	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	\$4K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Wireless School Bus Services and Equipment	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Bondurant Elementary School	\$37K	\$13K	\$12K	\$17K	\$6K	\$5K	\$4K	\$14K	\$12K	\$4K
Pinedale Elementary School	\$23K	\$642	–	\$5K	\$615	\$5K	\$4K	\$7K	\$14K	\$5K
Pinedale High School	\$23K	\$642	–	\$5K	\$615	\$5K	\$4K	\$7K	\$15K	\$26K
Pinedale Middle School	\$23K	\$642	–	\$5K	\$615	\$5K	\$4K	\$7K	\$7K	\$5K
Skyline Academy	\$23K	\$642	–	\$5K	\$615	\$5K	\$2K	\$5K	\$5K	–

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Bondurant Elementary School	Bondurant	60%	7	0	–	Rural
Pinedale Elementary School	Pinedale	60%	411	113	–	Rural
Pinedale High School	Pinedale	60%	305	51	–	Rural
Pinedale Middle School	Pinedale	60%	231	46	–	Rural
Skyline Academy	Pinedale	60%	28	12	–	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Centurytel Of Wyoming, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$5K	\$11K	–	\$36K	\$21K	\$6K	\$7K
Windstream Communications, LLC	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$246	\$1K	\$2K	\$311	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Union Telephone Company	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$4K	\$2K	\$3K	\$4K	\$2K
Information Systems Consulting	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Schoolinsites.Com Llc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$4K	-	-
Wyebot, Inc.	-	-	-	\$4K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
U S West Communications, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$274	\$316	\$305	-
Mci Communications Corporation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wyoming.Com	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
At&T Corp.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$263K	\$18K	\$161K	\$10K	\$11K	\$101K	\$64K	\$152K	\$20K	\$11K	\$4K	–	\$29K	\$40K	\$41K	\$55K
Average Discount Rate	60%	60%	60%	50%	50%	50%	50%	65%	25%	38%	50%	70%	70%	69%	64%	68%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	12	12	12	12	12	1	9	10	10	9	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	2	1	3	2	2	3	3	4	5	4	3	3	3	3	3	4
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	40	21	19	36	41	56	56	56	741	741	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	40	21	16	36	37	44	44	44	738	738	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$9K	\$4K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$29K	\$40K	\$41K	\$55K
Internal Connections	\$254K	–	\$140K	–	–	\$86K	\$49K	\$134K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	\$9K	\$18K	\$21K	\$10K	\$11K	\$15K	\$15K	\$18K	\$20K	\$2K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Black Butte High School	\$19K	\$3K	\$12K	\$2K	\$873	–	\$1K	\$4K	\$6K	\$5K
Desert View Elementary School	\$19K	\$3K	\$12K	\$2K	\$873	–	\$1K	\$4K	\$6K	\$5K
Facilities Department	\$2K	\$3K	\$2K	\$2K	\$873	–	–	–	–	–
Northpark Elementary School	\$19K	\$3K	\$12K	\$2K	\$873	–	\$26K	\$4K	\$6K	\$5K
Pilot Butte Elementary	\$19K	\$3K	\$12K	\$2K	\$873	–	\$1K	\$4K	\$6K	\$5K
Rock Springs High School	\$19K	\$3K	\$12K	\$2K	\$873	–	\$1K	\$123K	\$6K	\$5K
Rock Springs Junior High School	\$19K	\$3K	\$12K	\$2K	\$873	\$86K	\$1K	\$4K	\$6K	\$5K
Sage Elementary	\$19K	\$3K	\$12K	\$2K	\$873	–	\$1K	\$4K	\$6K	\$5K
Stagecoach Elementary	\$19K	\$3K	\$12K	\$2K	\$873	–	\$1K	\$4K	\$6K	\$5K
Sweetwater County School District #1 Administration Building	\$21K	\$3K	\$2K	\$2K	\$873	–	–	\$2K	\$3K	–
Transportation Department	\$2K	\$3K	\$2K	\$2K	\$873	–	–	–	–	–
Walnut Elementary School	\$19K	\$3K	\$12K	\$2K	\$873	–	\$1K	\$23K	\$6K	\$5K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Black Butte High School	Rock Springs	60%	103	50	– Urban
Desert View Elementary School	Rock Springs	60%	181	126	– Urban

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Recipient Demographics (latest profile) (continued)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Facilities Department	Rock Springs	60%	–	–	– –
Northpark Elementary School	Rock Springs	60%	165	83	– Urban
Pilot Butte Elementary	Rock Springs	60%	547	213	– Urban
Rock Springs High School	Rock Springs	60%	1,393	563	– Urban
Rock Springs Junior High School	Rock Springs	60%	746	353	– Urban
Sage Elementary	Rock Springs	60%	352	163	– Urban
Stagecoach Elementary	Rock Springs	60%	394	199	– Urban
Sweetwater County School District #1 Administration Building	Rock Springs	60%	–	–	– –
Transportation Department	Rock Springs	60%	–	–	– –
Walnut Elementary School	Rock Springs	60%	195	101	– Urban

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
U S West	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$10K	–	–	\$12K	\$23K	\$24K	\$36K
Electrical Connections, Inc.	–	–	\$140K	–	–	\$86K	\$49K	\$20K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Advanced Network Management, Inc.	\$254K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Wyoming.Com	\$9K	\$18K	\$21K	\$9K	\$10K	\$13K	\$13K	\$16K	\$18K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Cdw Government Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$114K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Verizon Wireless Fka Cellco Partnership	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$12K	\$12K	\$12K	\$12K
Matrix Telecom, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K	\$4K	–	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$7K
Level 3 Communications, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Qwest Communications Corporation	–	–	\$303	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Centurytel Of Wyoming, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$70K	\$32K	\$139K	\$12K	\$12K	\$31K	\$156K	\$39K	\$14K	\$18K	\$33K	\$51K	\$55K	\$60K	\$46K	\$43K
Average Discount Rate	70%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	40%	47%	59%	57%	64%	61%	58%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	—	—	—	—	—	—
Service Providers	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	2	3	4	5	4	4	3	3	5
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$13K	\$32K	—	—	—	—	—
Telecomm Services	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$49K	\$52K	\$60K	\$46K	\$43K
Internal Connections	\$70K	\$31K	\$139K	\$12K	\$12K	\$30K	\$156K	\$39K	\$14K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$1K	\$2K	\$3K	—	—	—
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	—	\$1K	—	—	—	\$2K	—	—	—	\$6K	—	—	—	—	—	—
Managed Internal Broadband Services	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	
Jackson Elementary School	—	—	—	—	—	\$3K	\$1K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K
Truman Elementary School	\$9K	\$4K	\$15K	\$3K	\$3K	\$7K	\$24K	\$4K	\$11K	\$4K	
Washington Elementary School	\$9K	\$4K	\$15K	\$3K	\$3K	\$7K	\$24K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K	

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Jackson Elementary School	Green River	60%	0	0	—	Rural
Truman Elementary School	Green River	70%	263	128	—	Rural
Washington Elementary School	Green River	70%	208	68	—	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
U S West Communications, Inc.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$10K	\$25K	\$37K	\$36K	\$40K	\$37K	\$36K
Pine Cove Consulting, Llc	—	—	\$139K	—	—	\$31K	—	\$31K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Convergeone, Inc.	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$156K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Advanced Network Management, Inc.	\$70K	\$32K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Union Telephone Company	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$1K	\$3K	\$5K	\$6K	\$7K	\$6K	\$3K
Union Telephone Company	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$2K	\$4K	\$7K	\$9K	\$13K	\$4K	\$3K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Insight Public Sector Inc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$14K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bytespeed, Llc	-	-	-	\$12K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wyoming.Com	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Shi International Corpo.	-	-	-	-	\$12K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	–	–	\$62K	\$62K	\$62K	\$57K	\$57K	\$12K	–	\$104K	\$626	\$45K	\$48K	\$52K	\$53K	\$43K
Average Discount Rate	60%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	55%	–	37%	44%	58%	58%	58%	55%	47%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	–	7	5	6	6	6	6	6
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	2	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$8K	\$626	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$38K	\$42K	\$45K	\$46K	\$38K
Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$12K	–	\$96K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$259	–	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	\$6K
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	\$62K	\$62K	\$62K	\$57K	\$57K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Colter Elementary School	\$10K	\$1K	\$10K	\$11K	\$10K	\$8K	\$10K	\$5K	\$2K	\$18K
Jackson Hole Middle School	\$10K	\$1K	\$10K	\$11K	\$10K	\$8K	\$10K	\$5K	\$2K	\$25K
Summit Innovations School	\$10K	\$1K	\$10K	\$11K	\$10K	\$8K	\$10K	\$5K	\$2K	\$10K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Colter Elementary School	Jackson	60%	318	58	–	Rural
Jackson Hole Middle School	Jackson	60%	664	186	–	Rural
Summit Innovations School	Jackson	60%	36	23	–	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Menlo, Inc.	–	–	\$62K	\$62K	\$62K	\$57K	\$57K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Qwest Corporation Fka Us West Communications, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$5K	–	\$24K	\$24K	\$25K	\$23K	\$20K
Att Mobility	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	–	\$14K	\$14K	\$16K	\$18K	\$14K
Capitol Communications, Inc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$12K	–	\$43K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Red Sky Solutions Lc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$53K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Opcom, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K	–	–	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K	\$3K

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10) (continued)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Midwest Internet Consulting Group, Inc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K	\$6K	-
Intrafinity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$4K
Qwest Communications Corporation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$259	-	-	-	-	-	-
Columbine Telephone Company	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$506	\$626	\$964	\$959	\$959	\$903	\$755

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	-	-	-	\$8K	\$8K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Average Discount Rate	-	-	25%	25%	25%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	-	-	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Service Providers	-	-	1	3	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	-	-	650	650	650	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	-	-	650	650	650	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Telecomm Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	-	-	-	\$8K	\$8K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Managed Internal Broadband Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Teton Science Schools	-	-	\$8K	\$11K	\$8K	-	-	-	-	-

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Teton Science Schools	Jackson	25%	171	0	- Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Silver Star Telephone Co., Inc.	-	-	-	-	\$8K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Millennium Networks, Llc	-	-	-	\$8K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cdw Government Llc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item's post-discount cost divided equally among the line's recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that's the real state of USAC's data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$757	\$6K	\$2K	-	-	-	\$5K
Average Discount Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	38%	57%	60%	-	64%	64%	64%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-
Service Providers	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	3	2	-	3	3	3
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	-
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	-

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$757	\$2K	-	-	-	-	-
Telecomm Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$2K	-	-	-	\$5K
Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$4K	-	-	-	-	-
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Managed Internal Broadband Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Lyman Branch Library	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$810
Mountain View Branch Library	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$810
Uinta County Public Library	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$3K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Lyman Branch Library	Lyman	20%	-	-	8,510 Rural
Mountain View Branch Library	Mountain View	20%	-	-	6,000 Rural
Uinta County Public Library	Evanston	60%	-	-	25,067 Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
U S West	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$2K
Union Telephone Company	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$462	\$1K	\$1K	-	-	-	\$1K
At&T Corporation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$296	\$751	\$865	-	-	-	\$2K
The Ngl Connection Llc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$4K	-	-	-	-	-
Excel Telecommunications, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lr Communications, Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	–	\$5K	\$193K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$8K	\$166K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Average Discount Rate	80%	80%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	50%	40%	–	–	–	–	–	–
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	2	3	1	2	1	1	1	5	5	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	100	100	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	100	100	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$5K	\$13K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Internal Connections	–	–	\$127K	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$153K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$3K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	–	–	\$61K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Aspen Elementary	\$1K	\$4K	\$26K	\$4K	\$4K	\$3K	\$5K	\$4K	\$4K	\$32K
Clark Elementary	\$1K	\$4K	\$26K	\$4K	\$4K	\$3K	\$5K	\$4K	\$4K	\$25K
Davis Middle School	\$1K	\$4K	\$26K	\$4K	\$4K	\$3K	\$5K	\$4K	\$4K	\$34K
District Office	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Evanston High School	\$1K	\$4K	\$26K	\$4K	\$4K	\$3K	\$5K	\$4K	\$4K	\$93K
Evanston Middle School	\$1K	\$4K	\$26K	\$4K	\$4K	\$3K	\$5K	\$4K	\$4K	\$33K
Horizon Alternative School	\$22K	\$4K	\$26K	\$4K	\$4K	\$3K	\$5K	\$4K	\$4K	\$15K
North Evanston Elementary	\$1K	\$4K	\$26K	\$4K	\$4K	\$3K	\$5K	\$4K	\$4K	\$31K
Uinta Meadows Elementary	\$1K	\$4K	\$26K	\$4K	\$4K	\$3K	\$5K	\$4K	\$4K	\$35K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Aspen Elementary	Evanston	80%	287	143	– Rural
Clark Elementary	Evanston	80%	194	105	– Rural
Davis Middle School	Evanston	80%	309	172	– Rural
District Office	Evanston	80%	–	–	– —
Evanston High School	Evanston	80%	771	335	– Rural
Evanston Middle School	Evanston	80%	324	174	– Rural
Horizon Alternative School	Evanston	80%	51	45	– Rural
North Evanston Elementary	Evanston	80%	268	166	– Rural

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Recipient Demographics (latest profile) (continued)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
Uinta Meadows Elementary	Evanston	80%	408	223	– Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Presidio Networked Solutions Group,Llc	–	–	\$127K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Optix Media	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$105K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Wyebot, Inc.	–	–	\$61K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Red Sky Solutions Lc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$48K	–	–	–	–	–	–
All West Communications, Inc.	–	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$5K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$3K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Centurylink Qwest Communications Company, Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$3K	\$7K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Verizon Wireless (Cellco Partnership)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K	\$5K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Presidio Networked Solutions Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Advanced Network Management, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Redsky Technologies Inc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$17K	\$11K	\$11K	\$11K	\$91K	\$23K	\$36K	\$9K	\$2K	\$7K	\$100K	\$26K	\$7K	\$599K	\$22K	–
Average Discount Rate	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	75%	70%	10%	30%	60%	90%	90%	90%	70%	70%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	3	3	5	4	4	5	3	3
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	\$7K	\$6K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$21K	\$7K	\$29K	\$22K	–
Internal Connections	\$12K	–	–	–	\$81K	\$16K	\$29K	–	–	–	\$94K	–	–	\$468K	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$5K	–	\$26K	–	–
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K	–	–	–	–	–	\$75K	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	\$5K	\$11K	\$11K	\$11K	\$11K	\$7K	\$8K	\$8K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
White Hawk Academy	\$6K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Worland Middle School	\$6K	\$9K	\$9K	\$7K	\$18K	\$12K	\$10K	\$8K	\$10K	\$14K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft Urban/Rural
White Hawk Academy	Worland	70%	19	10	– Rural
Worland Middle School	Worland	70%	270	106	– Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Pine Cove Consulting, LLC	\$17K	\$11K	\$11K	\$11K	\$91K	\$23K	\$36K	\$9K	–	–	\$94K	–	–	\$469K	–	–
Rt Communications, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$1K	\$4K	\$4K	\$20K	–	\$45K	\$16K	–
Information Systems Consulting	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$75K	–	–
Verizon Wireless (Cellco Partnership)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$460	\$1K	\$3K	\$7K	\$7K	\$8K	\$6K	–
Opcom, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$3K	–	–
Alltel Communications	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Bcn Telecom, Inc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$275	\$917	–	–	–	–	–	–
Fatbeam, LLC	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qdm-p-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.

Overview

	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total E-Rate Subsidies	\$4K	\$13K	\$5K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$4K	–	–	\$10K	\$4K	\$7K	\$5K	\$9K	\$8K	\$9K
Average Discount Rate	25%	50%	50%	60%	60%	60%	70%	–	–	40%	40%	65%	57%	64%	60%	64%
Schools & Libraries (in this area)	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service Providers	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	–	–	4	3	3	3	4	4	4
Avg Download Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avg Upload Speed (Mbps)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Type

Service Type	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Voice	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$3K	\$4K	–	–	–	–	–
Telecomm Services	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$7K	\$5K	\$7K	\$7K	\$7K
Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$7K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Data Transmission and/or Internet Access	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K
Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Managed Internal Broadband Services	\$4K	\$13K	\$5K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$4K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Back of Envelope Estimate of Equal Distribution, by Recipient

Recipient	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Upton Elementary School	\$5K	\$11K	\$8K	\$6K	\$8K	\$8K	\$9K	\$5K	\$5K	\$8K
Upton Middle School	\$2K	\$11K	\$8K	\$6K	\$8K	\$8K	\$9K	\$5K	\$5K	\$8K

Recipient Demographics (latest profile)

Recipient	City	Discount Rate	Students	NSLP	Sq Ft	Urban/Rural
Upton Elementary School	Upton	25%	574	0	–	Rural
Upton Middle School	Upton	25%	206	0	–	Rural

Subsidies by E-Rate Service Provider (top 10)

Service Provider	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Rt Communications, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	\$4K	\$5K	\$3K	\$4K	\$4K	\$4K
Menlo, Inc.	\$4K	\$13K	\$5K	\$6K	\$6K	\$6K	\$4K	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Edline Llc	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K
Opcom, Inc.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$446	–	–	\$754	\$946	\$973	\$882
At&T Mobility	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$262	\$603	\$2K	\$1K	\$2K	\$2K	\$2K
Golden West Technologies	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	\$7K	–	–	–	–	–	–
Alltel Communications	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Alltel Communications Llc, By Management Trust	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Mci Communications Corporation	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Education Technology Consultants	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Sources: USAC Open Data — E-Rate Recipient Details and Commitments (avi8-svp9), funded FRNs only; FCC Form 471 FRN Status (qtmp-ygft); USAC legacy commitments for FY2010–2015 (BEN level). Billed-entity figures are total authorized disbursements (entity-wide, exact). Per-recipient figures are a back-of-envelope estimate: each funding request line item’s post-discount cost divided equally among the line’s recipients. FY2026 omitted (funding year in progress). Note: the last year or two in any disbursement series always looks artificially low (FY2025 invoices are still being paid), which is why the history charts dip at the end — that’s the real state of USAC’s data, not an error. Technology Policy Institute, 2026-06-10.