Preliminary Safety and Efficacy Data of [²¹²Pb]VMT-α-NET in Somatostatin Receptor 2 (SSTR2) Expressing Neuroendocrine tumors (NETs)

Richard L Wahl MD, Lowell Anthony MD, Lilja B Solnes MD, Samuel H Mehr MD, Lucia Baratto MD, Alaa Hanna MD, Wenjing Yang PhD, Stephen Keefe MD, Thorvardur R Halfdanarson MD

School of Medicine

Disclosures for Dr. Richard Wahl and FDA Status

Abdera: consultant Molecular Targeting Technologies, Inc.: consultant, stock options Siemens Healthineers: consultant Voximetry: consultant, stock options Techspert: consultant Clarity Pharmaceuticals: stockholder Perspective Therapeutics: consultant, research Fusion Pharmaceuticals: research contract Rayze Pharmaceuticals: research contract White Rabbit AI: research contract

[²¹²Pb]VMT-α-NET is not FDA approved. It is being used under an FDA IND in a clinical trial

Learning Objectives

- Understand ²¹²Pb VMT- α -NET phase I/IIa clinical trial design
- Understand preliminary assessments of toxicity from the therapeutic agent at the 2.5 and 5.0 mCi administered activity levels
- Understand initial evidence of anti-tumor effect in the first cohorts of patients

Background: NENs and $[^{212}Pb]VMT-\alpha-NET$

- Neuroendocrine tumors are heterogenous
- >80% overexpress somatostatin receptor 2 (SSTR2)
- Despite the availability of [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-DOTATATE, there remains a high unmet medical need for novel therapies
- [²¹²Pb]VMT-α-NET is a modified SSTR2 binding peptide with proprietary chelation technology for ²⁰³Pb, ²¹²Pb and ²¹²Bi allowing for optimized delivery and retention of the payload in the tumor microenvironment
- [²¹²Pb]VMT-α-NET, a novel targeted alpha radionuclide therapy (TAT) to the SSTR2, is being investigated for safety and efficacy in PRRT-naïve patients with SSTR2-expressing tumors

[²¹²Pb]-VMT-α-NET



Background: [²¹²Pb] Decay

- Single alpha particle per decay
- 10.64 hour half-life
- Well-suited to radiopeptide therapy



Trial Design: [212Pb]VMT- α -NET mTPI-2 Phase 1/2a For Neuroendocrine Tumors



¹ mTPI-2: Modified toxicity probability index | <u>https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT05636618</u>

Patient Characteristics (all patients as treated)

	All Treated (N = 9)
Age (years)	
Median	63
Range	37,78
Sex, n (%)	
Female	4 (44)
Male	5 (56)
Race, n (%)	
White	8 (89)
Asian	1 (11)
Tumor Type, n (%)	
Pancreatic NET	3 (33)
Non-pancreatic NET	6 (66)
Grade, n (%)	
G1	3 (33)
G2	6 (66)

Data cutoff 10/31/24

	All Treated (N = 9)					
Time since diagnosis (months)						
Mean	70					
Median	37					
Range	12, 181					
Number of prior systemic therapies						
Median	1					
Range	0, 2					
Prior systemic therapies (patients with each)						
Somatostatin analogues	7					
Capecitabine, temozolomide	1					
Small molecule (sunitinib, everolimus)	2					
ECOG Performance Status, n (%)						
0	8 (89)					
1	1 (11)					
Disease at Baseline, median (range)						
RECIST median sum of target lesions (cm)	6.7 (2.9, 8.7)					
SUV max SUV mean	41.5 (18, 162) 30 (12, 102)					

MIR Mallinckrodt Institute of Radiology

Patient Disposition and Exposure (all patients as treated)

• Green line denotes timepoint through which all post-cycle scans are available to the study team.

Cohort	Subject	Subject Status	Weight (kg)	Adm Activity (mCi)	Adm Activity per Weight (µCi/kg)	C1D1	C2D1	C3D1	C4D1
1	103-101	Follow-Up	53	2.5	50.1	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	 ✓
1	103-102	Follow-Up	61	2.5	40.8	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓
2	103-103	Follow-Up	157	5	31.7	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓
2	109-103	Progressive disease	78	5	63.9	\checkmark			
2	102-101	Follow-Up	91	5	54.5	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	~
2	103-104	Follow-Up ¹	59	5/2.5	84.5/42.3	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	~
2	102-103	Follow-Up	80	5	62.1	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	scheduled
2	112-101	Follow-up	101	5	49.1	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	~
2	103-105	Follow-up	73	5	68.7	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	~

1 Patient experienced syncope and dose was reduced for cycle 3 and cycle 4 to 2.5 mCi of administered activity

Additional notes: (1) 17 patients screened, (2) one patient (102-102) experienced a decline in renal function prior to administration of $[^{212}Pb]VMT-\alpha$ -NET and was not treated. Data cutoff 10/31/24

Treatment Emergent Adverse Events (occurring in \geq 2 patients and/or Grade \geq 2) (1/2)

	[²¹² Pb]-VMT-a-NET			[²¹² Pb-VMT-a-NET					
Incidence of TEAEs	92.5 MBq (2.5 mCi) (N=2)			185 MBq (5.0 mCi) (N=7)			Total (n=9)		
Grade	Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3
AEs by Preferred Term and Grade I	Reported by Pa	tient [Number]	patients with A	E (% of pts trea	ted per cohort)]	1			
Most Common (Occurring in \geq 2 patients and/or grade \geq 2									
Fatigue	1 (50)	1 (50)	-	3 (43)	2 (28)	-	4 (44)	3 (33)	-
Alopecia	2 (100)	-	-	4 (57)	-	-	6 (66)	-	-
Lymphocyte count decreased	-	1 (50)	-	2 (29)	3 (42)	-	2 (22)	4 (44)	-
Nausea	-	1 (50)	-	4 (57)	1 (14)	-	4 (44)	2 (22)	-
Anaemia	-	2 (100)	-	3 (43)	-	-	3 (33)	2 (22)	-
Diarrhoea	2 (100)	-	-	2 (29)	1 (14)	1 (14)	4 (44)	1 (11)	1 (11)
Haematocrit decreased	1 (50)	1 (50)	-	2 (29)	-	-	3 (33)	1 (11)	-
Red blood cell count decreased	1 (50)	1 (50)	-	2 (29)	-	-	3 (33)	1 (11)	-
White blood cell count decreased	2 (100)	-	-	-	-	-	2 (22)	-	-
Abdominal pain	-	-	-	2 (29)	-	-	2 (22)	-	-
Haemoglobin decreased	-	-	-	2 (29)	-	-	2 (22)	-	-
Hyperglycaemia	-	-	-	2 (29)	-	-	2 (22)	-	-
Blood alkaline phosphatase	-	-	-	2 (29)	-	-	2 (22)	-	-
Constipation	-	-	-	2 (29)	-	-	2 (22)	-	-
Haematuria	-	-	-	2 (29)	-	-	2 (22)	-	-
Headache	1 (50)	-	-	1 (14)	-	-	2(22)	-	-
Lethargy	1 (50)	-	-	1 (14)	-	-	2(22)	-	-
Aspartate aminotransferase incr'd	1 (50)	-	-	1 (14)	-	-	2(22)	-	-
Dizziness	1 (50)	-	-	1 (14)	-	-	2(22)	-	-

All patients as treated Data cutoff 10/31/24 Washington University in St. Louis

Treatment Emergent Adverse Events (Occurring in \geq 2 patients and/or Grade \geq 2) (2/2)

	[²¹² Pb]-VMT-a-NET			[²¹² Pb-VMT-a-NET					
Incidence of TEAEs	92.5 MBq (2.5 mCi) (N=2)			185 MBq (5.0 mCi) (N=7)			Total (n=9)		
Grade	Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3
AEs by Preferred Term and Grade Reported by Patient [No patients with AE (% of pts treated per cohort)]									
Grade ≥ 2				_	_				
Presyncope	-	-	-	-	1 (14)		-	1 (11)	
Syncope	-	-	-	-	-	1 (14)	-	-	1 (11)
Amylase increased	-	1 (50)	-	-	-	-	-	1 (11)	-
Hypercalcemia	-	1 (50)	-	-	-	-	-	1 (11)	-
Weight decreased	-	-	-	-	1 (14)	-	-	1 (11)	-

Note: No renal insufficiency or dysphagia were observed.

All patients as treated Data cutoff 10/31/24

Estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate (eGFR), Most Recent versus Baseline



Data cutoff 10/31/24

Washington University in St. Louis School of Medicine

MIR Mallinckrodt Institute of Radiology

²¹²Pb-VMT-a-NET

Safety Summary

- No DLTs were observed in either cohort
- No grade 4, grade 5 or serious AEs were observed
- No decline in renal function was observed
- Hematologic AEs were few in number and low grade
- No dysphagia was observed
- No treatment discontinuations due to AE have occurred

Predicted Tumor to Kidney Activity of [²¹²Pb]VMT-α-NET Over Time (Based on Pre-Treatment [²⁰³Pb]VMT-α-NET SPECT Imaging and Dosimetry Analysis)



Time activity curves for [212 Pb]VMT- α -NET are derived from pre-treatment SPECT imaging using 5-7 mCi [203 Pb]VMT- α -NET at 1, 4, and 24 hours (n=6). The bold line represents the average across all samples in the dataset for tumors (magenta) and kidney (blue). Measurements of %IA/L in tumors and kidneys has been corrected for partial volume effects. However, SPECT imaging may still underestimate the true tumor to kidney ratio of absorption.

Data cutoff 10/31/24

Washington University in St. Louis

Preliminary Response Assessment by RECIST v1.1 by Patient



* The full sets of scans following cycle 4 are not yet available to the study team for five patients. Note: Patient 109-103 experienced progressive disease by unambiguous progression of non-target lesions Data cutoff 10/31/24

Washington University in St.Louis School of Medicine

Kinetics of Treatment Response

----- 212Pb-VMT-a-NET 92.5 MBq (2.5 mCi) ------ 212Pb-VMT-a-NET 185 MBq (5.0 mCi)



* The full sets of scans following cycle 4 are not yet available to the study team for five patients.

Notes: Patients had progressive disease prior to enrollment on study, and patient 109-103 experienced progressive disease by unambiguous progression of non-target lesions Data cutoff 10/31/24

Washington University in St.Louis School of Medicine

Preliminary Assessment of Disease Control Durability



Data cutoff 10/31/24

Washington University in St.Louis School of Medicine

Patient 103-101 – ²¹²Pb alpha NET 2.5 mCi x 4



Washington University in St.Louis

5/2023

12/2023

2/2024

5/2024

MIR Mallinckrodt Institute of Radiology

20

Patient 103-101 – ²¹²Pb alpha NET 2.5 mCi x 4



MIR Mallinckrodt Institute of Radiology

Patient 103-102 – ²¹²Pb alpha NET 2.5 mCi x 4



9/2023

12/2023

2/2024

6/2024

MIR Mallinckrodt Institute of Radiology

Patient 103-103 – ²¹²Pb VMT alpha NET 5.0 mCi x 4



Baseline 1/2024

3/2024

5/2024

9/2024

MIR Mallinckrodt Institute of Radiology

Patient 103-104 – 212 Pb VMT alpha NET Rx 5 mCi x 2, 2.5 mCi x 2



Pre-Rx 3/2024

Post Rx 10/2024

Washington University in St. Louis School of Medicine

Patient 103-104 – ²¹²Pb VMT alpha NET Rx 5 mCi x 2, 2.5 mCi x 2



Washington University in St. Louis School of Medicine

Conclusions

[²⁰³ Pb]VMT-α-NET and [²¹² Pb]VMT-α- NET were well- tolerated	 No DLTs were observed No grade 4, grade 5 AEs or SAEs were observed No decline in renal function was observed Hematologic AEs were low in number and low grade No treatment discontinuations due to AE have occurred
Appreciable activity was been observed with treatment at this early timepoint	 8 of 9 (89%) patients had durable control of disease Analysis of cohort 1 and 2 at this early stage already shows clear signs of clinical activity The study will continue to define the RP2D with further dose

The Safety Monitoring Committee has recommended dose escalation which will be considered with FDA

escalation cohorts

in the study

Acknowledgements

Multi Center trial thanking all investigators and the patients who participated.

Special thanks to Doctors Vikas Prasad and Nikos Trikalinos, Lauren Sandner and the CCTR (Center for Clinical Theranostics Research) staff from Washington University for their major contributions, and also Markus Puhlmann, MD, MBA and Ian Marsh, PhD, from Perspective Therapeutics, Inc.



Please scan for this presentation

Learning Objective Questions

What is the decay t _{1/2} life of ²¹² Pb?	A. 1.64 hour B. 10.64 hours C. 10.64 days D. 10.64 weeks
Over how many	A. 1 cycle
treatment cycles	B. 4 cycles
may [²¹² Pb]VMT-α-	C. 6 cycles
NET be given?	D. 12 cycles