

European Quality Assurance Frameworks: Status-quo for micro-credentials

Andrey Girenko, GIRAF PM e.K. Penang, October 05, 2023





Content:

- Introduction
- European QA framework in Higher Education
- European QA framework in Vocational Education and Training (EQAVET)
- QA for micro-credntials: status-quo





Introduction

• Why Quality of Education matters?

Educational failure

- Pelalises an individual for life
- Imposes high costs on society

OECD (2012), Equity and Quality in Education: Supporting Disadvantaged Students and Schools, OECD Publishing. http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/9789264130852-en

Investing in Quality Assurance pays off for all stakeholders





What is Quality Assurance (QA) in education?

Quality assurance involves the **systematic** review of educational provision to maintain and improve its quality, equity and efficiency. It encompasses educational establishment self-evaluation, external evaluation (including inspection), the evaluation of teachers and school leaders, and student assessments.

The European Commission

QA is implemented at all levels:

- Educational System as a whole
- Education providers
- Educational programme
- Subject





QA and micro-credentials: why it matters?

QA is in the centre of micro-credential definition:

"A micro-credential

- 1. is a record of focused learning achievement verifying that a learner knows, understands or can do;
- 2. <u>includes assessment based on clearly defined standards and is</u> <u>awarded by a trusted provider;</u>
- 3. has stand-alone value and may also contribute to or complement other micro-credentials and/or macro-credentials including through recognition of prior learning; and
- 4. meets the standards required by relevant quality assurance."

UNESCO, 2021

Quality underpins Acceptance

Acceptance is based on Trust; Trust is based on proven Quality and Transparency



European QA Framework in Higher Education

- Educational policies in Europe: How it works
 - Member States maintain their sovereignty when it comes to Education
 - Cohesion is achieved through the system of Recommendations and other collective activities
 - Europe has a diversity of HE systems (EHEA extends beyond the EU)

Cohesion in Quality Assurance

underpins many fundamental EU policies such as e.g. European Common Labour Market





Standards and Guidelines for Quality Assurance in the European Higher Education Area

On September 19, 2003 the Governments of all Bologna signatory states requested ENQA "....to develop 'an agreed set of standards, procedures and guidelines on quality assurance' and to 'explore ways of ensuring an adequate peer review system for quality assurance and/or accreditation agencies or bodies..."

In February 2005 **Standards and Guidelines for Quality Assurance in the European Higher Education Area** were published. All Standards and Guidelines are grouped into 3 Parts





Part 1: European standards and guidelines for internal quality assurance <u>within</u> higher education institutions

1.1 Institutional policy and procedures for quality assurance1.2 Approval, monitoring and periodic review of programmes and awards

- 1.3 Assessment of students
- 1.4 Quality assurance of teaching staff
- 1.5 Learning resources and student support
- 1.6 Information systems
- 1.7 Public information





Part 2: European standards for the external quality assurance of higher education

- 2.1 Use of internal quality assurance procedures
- 2.2 Development of external quality assurance processes
- 2.3 Criteria for decisions
- 2.4 Processes fit for purpose
- 2.5 Reporting
- 2.6 Follow-up procedures
- 2.7 Periodic reviews
- 2.8 System-wide analyses





Part 3: European standards for external quality assurance agencies

- 3.1 Use of external quality assurance procedures for higher education
- 3.2 Official status
- 3.3 Activities
- 3.4 Resources
- 3.5 Mission statement
- 3.6 Independence
- 3.7 External quality assurance criteria and processes used by the agencies
- 3.8 Accountability procedures



European QA framework in Vocational Education and Training (EQAVET)

- Why VET?
- QA in VET: challenges
- https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legalcontent/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A32020H1202% 2801%29

EQAVET is based on

- quality assurance and improvement cycle (planning, implementation, evaluation/ assessment, and review/revision)
- selection of descriptors and indicators applicable to quality management at both VET system and VET provider levels.







- QC1 Planning reflects a strategic vision shared by the relevant stakeholders and includes explicit goals/objectives, actions and indicators
 - EU, National and regional VET policy goals are reflected while planning the course
 - Quantitative goals and targets are set for the course
 - Design of the course content/structure is done with the involvement of relevant stakeholders (e.g. needs definition, goals setting)
 - Allocation of QA responsibilities is explicit





- QC1 Planning reflects a strategic vision shared by the relevant stakeholders and includes explicit goals/objectives, actions and indicators
 - Early involvement of teaching and admin staff in the course planning
 - VET provider has an explicit and transparent QA system in place
 - Planning covers compliance with GDPR and other data protection rules/regulation





- **QC2** Implementation plans are devised in consultation with stakeholders and include explicit principles
 - Resource planning and assignment (e.g. staff, facilities, educational technologies, etc.) ensuring achievement of goals and targets is done
 - Requirements regarding teaching staff competences are presented
 - Strategic plan for staff competences development is in place
 - Teaching staff undertake regular training and develop cooperation with relevant external stakeholders





- **QC2** Implementation plans are devised in consultation with stakeholders and include explicit principles
 - Learner-centered approach enabling VET provider to respond to the learning needs of individuals is implemented
 - Innovative teaching and learning methods (both in school and work place) are widely used for the course delivery
 - Valid, commonly acceptable, accurate and reliable methods to assess individuals' learning outcomes are used





- **QC3** Evaluation of outcomes and processes is regularly carried out and supported by measurement
 - Self-assessment/self-evaluation is periodically carried out under national and regional regulations/frameworks or at the initiative of VET providers, covering also the digital readiness and environmental sustainability of VET institutions
 - Evaluation and review covers processes and results/outcomes and includes such assessments as e.g. learner satisfaction as well as staff performance and satisfaction





- **QC3** Evaluation of outcomes and processes is regularly carried out and supported by measurement
 - Evaluation and review are data/evidence-based and involves external stakeholders
 - Early warning system is in place and used for the course delivery





• QC4 Review

- Learner's feedback on individual learning experience and learning/teaching environment is collected and analyzed
- Together with teachers', trainers' and all other relevant stakeholders' feedback this is used to inform further actions
- Review results are transparent for all stakeholders
- There is a mechanism to use the feedback and review results for improving the quality of teaching/learning
- There is practice of discussion over the review results with external stakeholders





QA KPIs derived from EQAVET recommendations

- Investment in training of teachers/trainers (indicator #2)
 - Share of teachers/trainers underwent/undergoing further training
- Completion rate (indicator #4)
 - Number of persons having successfully completed/abandoned the course (drop-out rate)
- Placement rate (indicator #5)
 - Share of learners employed at positions relevant to the subject of learning at designated point in time after completion of training
 - Share of employed learners at designated point in time after completion of training





QA KPIs derived from EQAVET recommendations

- Utilization of acquired skills at the workplace (indicator #6)
 - Information on occupation obtained by individuals after completion of training
 - Satisfaction rate of individuals and employers with acquired skills/competences
- Unemployment rate according to individual criteria (#7)
- Prevalence of vulnerable groups (%% and success rate of participants classified as disadvantaged groups)





Example of the "EQAVET-compliance" questionnaire for course developers

https://docs.google.com/forms/d/e/1FAIpQLSdsS_Nh4 t00d3_1jH0ci7_epI8KxBkD32RnSGPn1SkCMaFVMA/view form?usp=sf_link



QA for micro-credntials: status-quo

- The European Council Recommendation on Micro-credentials (June 2022): definition, the European standard elements to describe a microcredential, European principles for the design and issuance of micro-credentials
- The EC launched IMINQA project, which supports the work of the Bologna Process Thematic Peer Group C on Quality Assurance (TPG C).
- QA for micro-credentials was in focus of the MICROBOL project
- Challenges in QA for micro-credentials



European Education Area Quality education and training for all







Defining micro-credentials & QA policies

- CEDEFOP survey of national authorities:
 - 55% respondents "...term micro-credential is not used"
 - 38% respondents "...a different term that fits the EU definition is adopted"



Status of activities directed towards development/revision of policies for quality assurance of micro-credentials Source: IMINQA • Created with Datawrapper





Defining micro-credentials & QA policies

- CEDEFOP survey of national authorities:
 - Only 36 % respondents "...micro-credentials are referred to in strategic policy documents in our country"
 - And 30% respondents "...I am unsure about the topic and could not answer the question"
- National Qualification Frameworks (NQFs)
 - There is a strong link between quality assurance and national qualifications frameworks
 - Inclusion of micro-credentials into NQFs is one of the EC recommendations
 - Many countries are on an early stage of its implementation





National registers and catalogues

National catalogues of micro-credential providers



Are there discussions and/or plans regarding the development of a national register for micro-credential providers in your country?

Source: IMINQA • Created with Datawrapper





National registers and catalogues

National catalogues of micro-credentials offered by accredited/registered providers

I don't know	13
Yes, as a new/separate catalogue specifically for micro-credential providers	6
Yes, integrated/as part of a general catalogue of learning opportunities	6
No, because we do not see the need for it	4
No, because we already have national catalogues of micro-credentials offered by accredited/registered providers (either separate or part of a general list of recognised education providers)	4

Are there discussions and/or plans regarding the development of national catalogues of micro-credentials offered by accredited/registered providers?

Source: IMINQA • Created with Datawrapper





Quality label

- Quality labels for individual micro-credentials (too heavy, not efficient)
- External quality label granted to micro-credential providers (more plausable)
 - Example: in France there is a specific quality label for professional education, called QUALIOPI





External Quality Assurance

- Role of national QA Agencies
 - Focus on institutional QA (e.g. accreditation) rather than on individual microcredentials
 - Inclusion into existing internal QA mechanisms. The role of external QA to confirm that institutions have reliable internal QA mechanism.
- MICROBOL: in 15 countries micro-credentials are not referred to explicitly in the national quality assurance system, but that they are implicitly covered by it.



Has (have) the quality assurance agency(ies) in your country been developing a quality assurance approach for micro-credentials?

Source: IMINQA • Created with Datawrapper



Internal Quality Assurance

- HEIs already offer micro-credentials (de facto/explicitly):
 - DAAD: 66% of German Universities have micro-credentials in their offers (2023)
 - Bottom-up process
- Unbundling existing programmes: standard QA mechanisms are applied
- Standalone micro-credentials: part of lifelong learning offers
 - Not all lifelong learning offers are "micro-credential compliant" (e.g. no indication of ECTS workload or NQF level)
 - Different QA mechanisms applied, as a rule compliant to ESG





Conclusions:

- European policies and practices in the field of QA for micro-credentials are at the initial stage, although micro-credentials are not a new phenomenon.
- Bottom-up movement with top-down steering
- Diversity based on existing traditions and different baseline conditions in different countries
- The main principle remains the same: Quality of micro-credentials is a responsibility of their providers, Trust is the key
- More uncertainty with alternative providers (outside of HE system)
- International exchange and collective efforts lead to commonly accepted standards and practices.









Thank You

For Your Listening