One-pot bifunctionalization of unactivated alkenes, P(O)–H compounds, and N-methoxypyridinium salts for the construction of β-pyridyl alkylphosphonates†
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β-Pyridylphosphines consisting of vicinal pyridine and phosphine groups possess soft acceptor properties of phosphines and hard σ-donor features of pyridines. An efficient method for the synthesis of β-pyridyl alkylphosphonates was developed via a three-component reaction between P(O)–H compounds, alkenes, and N-methoxypyridinium salts under mild conditions. The reaction is thought to occur by the addition of a phosphonyl radical to the alkene to form alkyl radical intermediate, which goes on to add to the N- methoxypyridinium salt. Solvation plays an important role and DFT calculations suggest that the solvation effect is critical in the first step where an alkyl radical intermediate is formed by coupling the phosphonyl radical and the alkene substrate. A plausible mechanism is proposed based on DFT calculations and this novel methodology is applied to a variety of heteroarylenium salts and alkene substrates to prepare various synthetically and biologically important β-pyridyl alkylphosphonates.

Introduction

Direct functionalization of pyridine scaffolds has been extensively investigated as an efficient method for the preparation of often complex structures containing pyridine, which are privileged core structures found in numerous natural alkaloids, pharmaceuticals and functional materials. In particular, the β-pyridylphosphine motifs consisting of a vicinal pyridine and a phosphine groups possess the soft σ-acceptor properties of a phosphine and the hard σ-donor feature of pyridyl nitrogen at the same time. These molecules are widely employed as versatile ligands for designing transition-metal catalysts. Traditionally, β-pyridylphosphine derivatives may be prepared by Negishi cross-coupling of alkynyl trflate with 2-pyridylzinc, followed by base-promoted addition of the phosphine group into the alkene. Unfortunately, the most straightforward preparation of this useful class of compounds directly by incorporating both phosphine and pyridyl moieties into unsaturated carbon–carbon bonds remained an unmet challenge to date.

Driven by the need for a more efficient synthetic route to β-pyridylphosphine derivatives, we were intrigued by the possibility of developing a three-component cascade reaction using a radical-mediated alkene difunctionalization in a predictable and controllable manner. We speculated that the alkyl radical intermediate I generated in situ as a result of the addition of a P-centered free radical to the alkene may set the stage for a second radical addition to the pyridine core (Scheme 1). To apply the strategy for the cascade radical phosphonylation and heteroarylation sequence, the radical intermediate I should react with the pyridine segment before it can be consumed by H-phosphonate to generate the hydrophosphonylation byproduct III. The key difficulty in this approach lies in the poor reactivity of pyridine, and we envisioned that we may overcome this issue if the desired cross-coupling between radical intermediate I and pyridine could be engineered to be fast. If successful, such a powerful synthetic strategy would represent a practical and efficient method for the introduction of a phosphine and a pyridyl groups into unactivated alkenes in one operation.

Recently, the Herzon group reported cobalt-mediated alkene functionalizations with N-methoxypyridinium salts under hydrogen atom transfer (HAT) conditions that afforded various alkylpyridine products. Due to their relatively strong
electrophilic nature, N-alkoxyheteroarenium salts can serve as versatile heterocycle surrogates. We speculated that heteroarenium salt 2 may be capable of cross-coupling with intermediate I. Interestingly, we discovered in the course of our investigation that the P-centered free radical preferentially reacts with alkene 1 over pyridinium salt 2 to afford alkyl radical I, thus preventing the formation of byproduct II. This is the first example of an intermolecular, one-pot bifunctionalization of unactivated alkenes, P(O)-H compounds and N-methoxypyridinium salts for the construction of β-pyridyl alkylphosphonates under ambient conditions.

Reaction optimization

To test the feasibility of our envisioned approach, we began our studies by investigating the proposed three-component cascade using alkene 1a, N-methoxypyridinium salt 2a and dimethyl phosphonate (3a) as model substrates (see Table S1 in the ESI† for details). The N-methoxypyridinium salts were easily prepared in high yields by the methylation of the corresponding N-oxide. We reasoned that silver or copper salts might catalyze the C–P bond construction and promote further radical addition for direct functionalization of the pyridine scaffold. Our initial attempts employing a system consisting of AgNO₃ and Mn(OAc)₂·2H₂O in toluene solution were discouraging because the generated alkyl radical was not active in the desired sequential coupling reaction with N-methoxypyridinium salt 2a. Instead, the byproduct 5a was obtained presumably via hydrophosphonylation along with only a trace amount of the desired product 4a (Table 1, entry 1). Intriguingly, we found that the solvent has as significant influence on the efficiency of the cascade reactions. For example, the reaction efficacy was considerably improved by using polar solvents such as DMF; the desired three-component product 4a was obtained in 35% yield (entry 4), indicating that the envisioned cascade process is possible. After extensive screening of various solvents, MeCN was found to be the most suitable solvent for the cascade transformation, and the desired product 4a was obtained in 72% at room temperature (entry 5). Subsequent control reactions demonstrated that significantly decreased yields were obtained when the reaction was conducted in the absence of the silver or Mn(OAc)₂ (entries 6 and 11). Further studies revealed that increasing the reaction temperature had a negative effect on the selectivity (entry 7). An evaluation of the counterions (BF₄⁻, TsO⁻, I⁻ and MeO₂SO₃⁻) of the pyridinium salt showed that methyl sulfate was most effective in this process (see Table S1 in the ESI†). Interestingly, negligible reactivity was observed when AgNO₃ was replaced with Cu(OAc)₂ (entry 8). Various silver salts and oxidants were also screened, and the use of AgNO₃ (0.2 equiv.) and Mn(OAc)₂·2H₂O (1.5 equiv.) gave the best results. The suppression of the reactivity in the presence of 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine-1-oxyl (TEMPO) (entry 12) suggests that a radical process may be involved in this transformation. Bases such as K₂CO₃, NaOAc, Cs₂CO₃, and DBU were investigated to facilitate the formation of the P(III), but no beneficial effects were observed.

**Computational study**

As mentioned above, there are several requirements that must be met for this synthesis strategy to be successful: (i) the terminal alkene must react first with phosphonyl radical (A1) (ii) N-methoxypyridinium salt should be activated easily to prevent the formation of 5a as a side product. To better understand these issues and to propose a complete reaction.

---

**Table 1 Optimization of the reaction conditions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Oxidant (equiv.)</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>AgNO₃ (0.2) + Mn(OAc)₂·2H₂O (1.5)</td>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>64 (5:95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>AgNO₃ (0.2) + Mn(OAc)₂·2H₂O (1.5)</td>
<td>Dioxane</td>
<td>71 (16:84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>AgNO₃ (0.2) + Mn(OAc)₂·2H₂O (1.5)</td>
<td>DCM</td>
<td>82 (45:55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>AgNO₃ (0.2) + Mn(OAc)₂·2H₂O (1.5)</td>
<td>DMF</td>
<td>52 (68:32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>AgNO₃ (0.2) + Mn(OAc)₂·2H₂O (1.5)</td>
<td>MeCN</td>
<td>88 (81:19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Mn(OAc)₂·2H₂O (1.5)</td>
<td>MeCN</td>
<td>31 (71:29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7†</td>
<td>AgNO₃ (0.2) + Mn(OAc)₂·2H₂O (1.5)</td>
<td>MeCN</td>
<td>83 (72:28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Cu(OAc)₂ (0.2) + Mn(OAc)₂·2H₂O (1.5)</td>
<td>MeCN</td>
<td>Trace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>AgNO₃ (0.2) + DTBP (1.5)</td>
<td>MeCN</td>
<td>28 (35:45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>AgNO₃ (0.2) + K₂S₂O₈ (1.5)</td>
<td>MeCN</td>
<td>11 (89:11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>AgNO₃ (1.0)</td>
<td>MeCN</td>
<td>22 (78:22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12‡</td>
<td>AgNO₃ (0.2) + Mn(OAc)₂·2H₂O (1.5)</td>
<td>MeCN</td>
<td>Trace</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*‡ TEMPO (2.0 equiv.) was added. DTBP = di-tert-butyl peroxide.

---

**Scheme 1** Design plan: one-pot phosphorylation and heteroarylation of unactivated alkenes.
mechanism, quantum chemical calculations based on density functional theory (DFT) were carried out. All calculations were carried out using the Minnesota functional M06 including Grimme’s D3 dispersion correction levels of theory. Optimized structures and vibrational frequencies were obtained using the 6-31G** basis set, and cc-pVTZ(-f) was employed to get precise electronic energies for single point calculations (see the ESI† for computational details). Since the addition of TEMPO shut down the reaction with 90% of the starting material 1a being recovered (entry 12 in Table 1 and see the ESI† for details), we built a mechanistic model assuming that a transient radical intermediate exists. Specifically, our proposed mechanism begins with the oxidation of 3a to afford the phosphonyl radical A1 after deprotonation. The complete reaction energy profile is shown in Fig. 1.

We considered several plausible reactions that the phosphonyl radical A1 may undergo and found that the lowest energy pathway involves its reaction with the terminal alkene substrate to form A2, where a C–P bond is formed. In good agreement with experimental observations, the barrier of this key step associated with the transition state A1–TS is only 8.6 kcal mol\(^{-1}\). The alternative reaction with the pyridinium ion traversing A1′-TS has a barrier of 11.8 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) and would produce A5, which will readily undergo deprotonation to restore the aromatic pyridinium moiety assisted by the acetate anion from the Mn(OAc)\(_3\)·2H\(_2\)O additive to yield intermediate A6. Therefore, once A5 is formed, this reaction pathway becomes practically irreversible and it will be not possible to regenerate A1.

Thus, these DFT results suggest that the initial C–P bond forming step that shows a 3.2 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) preference for engaging the alkene over pyridinium is responsible for the selectivity. Although the barriers computed using DFT methods must be evaluated with some caution and are not to be taken as quantitatively reliable, the decisive difference in transition state energy is meaningful and is in good qualitative agreement with the experimentally observed high selectivity.

To better understand the chemical reason for the computed selectivity, we carried out an energy decomposition and fragment energy calculations. Fig. 2 compares the different components of the solution phase free energy. Interestingly, the electronic energy (\(\Delta E\)) of A1′-TS is ≈3.0 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) lower than

---

**Fig. 1**  Free energy profile for the formation of β-pyridyl alkylphosphonate that is described with black solid line. Blue and red traces represent the C–P bond formation of pyridinium and side-product generating step respectively. Grey trace is for pyridine case instead of pyridinium.
that of A1-TS, but the energy ordering is reversed due to higher penalty of the solvation energy (G(solv)) in A1′-TS. The solvation energies of terminal alkene and phosphonyl radical (A1) are −9.9 and −9.1 kcal mol$^{-1}$, respectively, to combine to −18.9 kcal mol$^{-1}$. The solvation energy of A1-TS is −18.3 kcal mol$^{-1}$ giving a solvation penalty of only 0.7 kcal mol$^{-1}$ for the A1 → A1-TS step. The cationic pyridinium ion shows a greater solvation energy of −52.6 kcal mol$^{-1}$, affording a total solvation energy of −61.6 kcal mol$^{-1}$ for the reactants of the alternative reaction. Interestingly, the solvation energy of A1′-TS is only −51.8 kcal mol$^{-1}$, resulting in a solvation energy penalty of 9.8 kcal mol$^{-1}$, which inverts the electronic energy ordering to finally give a preference of 6.1 kcal mol$^{-1}$ for A1-TS. Entropy correction only plays a minor role and adjusts the final free energy difference to 3.2 kcal mol$^{-1}$.

Because the energy difference of the two transition states is of central importance, we analyzed the electronic and solvation corrected energy differences in greater detail, as shown in the ESI† (see Fig. S1 in the ESI† for details). In essence, we found that the terminal alkene and A1 substrates can react with each other with minimal structural change estimated to be 1.4 kcal mol$^{-1}$, but the intermolecular interaction is also weak at −6.7 kcal mol$^{-1}$ for A1-TS. These energies are easy to understand considering that the C−P bond is formed by a radical attack on a fairly localized π-orbital, which should cause only minimal structural change. A much more pronounced electronic change is needed to engage pyridinium, as the initially delocalized and aromatic π-orbital must be forced to localize and match the localized phosphonyl radical A1. As a consequence, the structural distortion in A1-TS is more severe and demands 4.2 kcal mol$^{-1}$ with interaction energy being also greater at −12.5 kcal mol$^{-1}$, to ultimately afford a lower electronic energy for A1′-TS over A1-TS. As shown in Fig. 3, the optimized P−C distances in A1-TS and A1′-TS are 2.72 and 2.59 Å, respectively, in good agreement with the much stronger interaction energy in A1′-TS over that of A1-TS. These computed results are internally consistent and easy to understand, given the localized vs. delocalized nature of the π-orbitals in the alkene and pyridinium substrates, respectively.

On first sight, the dramatic difference of the solvation effect on the two transition state energies is puzzling, but a closer inspection reveals a very simple reason for why the transition state A1′-TS with a solvation energy of −51.8 kcal mol$^{-1}$ is nearly 10 kcal mol$^{-1}$ disfavored compared to the reactant states A1 and the pyridinium substrate with solvation energies of −9.1 and −52.6 kcal mol$^{-1}$, respectively (see Table S3 in the ESI†). The solvation energy of the pyridinium ion is mainly determined by the positive charge and as the new P−C bond is formed, that positive charge is distributed across the whole molecule, leading to a solvation energy that is essentially identical to that of the pyridinium substrate. Similarly, the P−C coupled intermediate A5 also has a similar solvation energy of −53.3 kcal mol$^{-1}$. Thus, in this case, the pyridinium ion acts as an electrophile and the radical A1 acts as a nucleophile.

Electron-deficient N-heteroareum substrates have been widely employed to attain different types of heteroarylation reactions. The most popular example is the Minisci reaction, which gives access to protonated heteroarenes in acidic conditions to furnish C−C bonds between the heteroarene and a radical generated by various reagents (Minisci,$^{12}$ boron-Minisci,$^{13}$ using zinc sulphinate salts,$^{14}$ etc.). Of particular interest in these reactions is that the electron-deficient N-heteroareum is utilized as an electrophile, whereas the carbonyl radical behaves like a nucleophile, similar to what we mentioned above. There are only a few fundamental investigations of reactions between radicals and closed-shell molecules. Fundamentally, radicals can act both as electrophiles and nucleophiles, but specific examples that demonstrate these ambivalent reactivity characteristics are rare.$^{15}$ The theoretical analysis of the P−C coupling reactions described above are interesting, as they suggest that the phosphonyl radical acts as a nucleophile when reacting with the pyridinium cation, whereas it is clearly an electrophile when reacting with the alkanyl substrate.
Substrate scope

With the detailed mechanistic proposal for the one-pot synthesis of β-pyridyl alkylphosphonate 4a in hand, we explored the substrate scope of N-methoxy pyridinium salts to test the generality of this reaction. Compared to more classical reactions that do not involve radicals, these reactions should show much greater functional group tolerance, as the radical reactions are less strongly impacted by inductive effects of functional group. Our proposed mechanism suggests that the initial P–C bond formation is relatively easy, but the second step involving the C–C coupling between the original alkenyl-carbon and the pyridinium moiety is more challenging. Thus, if there is a strong difference in reactivity, we expect it to be at the second step traversing the C–C coupling transition state A2-TS. Consequently, we chose to start our investigation by functionalizing the pyrimidinium moiety first. The results are summarized in Table 2. We were delighted to observe that the phosphono-heteroarylation of 4-methoxyphenyl hex-5-enoate (1a) works well for a range of substituted N-methoxy pyridinium salts and readily afforded the desired β-pyridyl alkylphosphonates. In general, N-methoxy pyridinium derivatives bearing C-4 substituents (methyl, phenyl, chloro, methyl ketone, trifluoromethyl, ester, and cyano) underwent C-2 alkylation exclusively and gave single products (4a–4g).

Reactions of pyridinium substrates bearing ester groups at the C-2 or C-3 positions proceeded smoothly, but a mixture of regioisomers was produced (4h and 4i). Interestingly, when a substrate containing a C-3 methoxy group was employed, the C-6 alkylation product 4k was exclusively obtained in 52% yield. The N-methoxy pyridazine salt also worked well to provide pyridazine derivative 4n in 78% yield with 2.7 : 1 C-3/ C-4 selectivity. Further exploration demonstrated that quinoline derivatives were suitable substrates and afford the desired products in moderate to good yields (4o–4r). Next, the scope with regard to phosphonates was investigated, and a range of phosphonates (4s–4v) and dialkyl phosphate (4w) were determined to be suitable coupling partners for this transformation. N-Methoxyimidazolium salt could be employed as the
Table 2  Substrate scope of N-methoxyheteroarenium salts\textsuperscript{a,b}

| Reaction conditions: 1a (0.2 mmol), 2a (0.5 mmol), 3a (0.4 mmol), AgNO\textsubscript{3} (0.2 equiv.), and Mn(OAc)\textsubscript{3}·2H\textsubscript{2}O (1.5 equiv.) in MeCN (1.0 mL) at rt for 30 h under N\textsubscript{2}. \textsuperscript{b}Yields of the isolated products; the ratios of the isomers were determined by \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectroscopy of the crude product mixtures.

\textsuperscript{a} Reaction conditions: 1a (0.2 mmol), 2a (0.5 mmol), 3a (0.4 mmol), AgNO\textsubscript{3} (0.2 equiv.), and Mn(OAc)\textsubscript{3}·2H\textsubscript{2}O (1.5 equiv.) in MeCN (1.0 mL) at rt for 30 h under N\textsubscript{2}. \textsuperscript{b}Yields of the isolated products.

Table 3  Substrate scope for alkenes\textsuperscript{a,b}

| Reaction conditions: 1a (0.2 mmol), 2a (0.5 mmol), 3a (0.4 mmol), AgNO\textsubscript{3} (0.2 equiv.), and Mn(OAc)\textsubscript{3}·2H\textsubscript{2}O (1.5 equiv.) in MeCN (1.0 mL) at rt for 30 h under N\textsubscript{2}. \textsuperscript{b}Yields of the isolated products. \textsuperscript{c}Regioisomeric ratio. The structural assignment was based on the analysis of 2D-NMR (COSY, NOE, HSQC, and HMBC). See the ESI for details.

\textsuperscript{a} Reaction conditions: 1a (0.2 mmol), 2a (0.5 mmol), 3a (0.4 mmol), AgNO\textsubscript{3} (0.2 equiv.), and Mn(OAc)\textsubscript{3}·2H\textsubscript{2}O (1.5 equiv.) in MeCN (1.0 mL) at rt for 30 h under N\textsubscript{2}. \textsuperscript{b}Yields of the isolated products. \textsuperscript{c}Regioisomeric ratio. The structural assignment was based on the analysis of 2D-NMR (COSY, NOE, HSQC, and HMBC). See the ESI for details.
heteroarene source, which afforded the corresponding coupling product 4x.

Next, we turned our attention to different alkene derivative with the expectation that the scope should be broad, as the initial P-C step is computed to be easy and we do not expect standard functionalizations to change the shape or the energy of the alkenyl π-orbital enough to diminish its interaction with the P-centered radical orbital. The results are summarized in Table 3 and confirm this expectation. The use of alkene substrates bearing a wide range of functional groups, including ester, phthalimide, Weinreb amide, ether, trimethylsilyl, and ketone moieties, was well tolerated in this reaction, and the corresponding β-pyridyl allylphosphonates were produced in satisfying yields. Importantly, the alkene with a hydroxyl group was also well tolerated in the reaction (6j), and the cyclized ether ring product was not observed, which indicated that a carbocation intermediate is either not formed at all or the possible cyclization reaction is too slow to compete with the main reaction trajectory. The current protocol could also be extended to the functionalization of cyclic internal alkenes to afford the corresponding products (6t–6v), which can be used in the field of catalysis as P,N-ligands.3 Finally, we assessed the potential of this convenient one-pot method for preparing more complex substrates, such as estrone derivatives. Gratifyingly, we found that such useful extension is possible, yielding desired products 6w and 6x in 73% and 61% (1.9 : 1), respectively.

Control experiments

Several control experiments were performed to further test the proposed reaction mechanism. Our conceptual analysis of the C–C coupling highlights that cationic charge and the electrophilicity of pyridinium is key to this reaction. The frontier orbitals of the neutral pyridine analogue are notably shifted and should out of range for the P–C coupled intermediate to engage (see Fig. S4 in the ESI† for details). Thus, we subjected the analogous substrates pyridine 7 and pyridine N-oxide 8 to standard reaction conditions. As anticipated, pyridine gave no reaction (eqn (1)), whereas the pyridine N-oxide 8 can of course be converted into the corresponding product 9, albeit in only 8% yield (eqn (2)). This observation is also easy to understand using our proposed mechanism: as shown in Fig. 2, we suggest that the electrophilicity of the pyridinium substrate is critical. Whereas the pyridine N-oxide 8 offers similar electronic structure patterns, its electrophilicity is notably reduced when compared to that of a pyridinium species. Next, two more reactions were carried out to provide evidence for the radical-based process. The reaction of diene 10 underwent a cascade reaction under the optimized conditions and gave the cyclized product 11 (64% yield) in predominantly cis-configuration (eqn (3)). In addition, the ring-opened product 13 was generated from the reaction with vinlylcyclopropane 12 (eqn (4)). These observations support the notion that a C-centered radical is involved in this transformation, which we propose to be the A2 intermediate.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we developed a highly efficient phosphono-heteroarylation reaction of unactivated alkenes through a one-pot bifunctionalization process to give access to synthetically and biologically important β-pyridylphosphine structural motifs. We found that the solvent plays a fundamentally important and non-trivial role. MeCN was found to be the most suitable solvent. Combining experimental and computational methods, we derived a complete and detailed mechanistic understanding of the origin of the selective reaction pathway to ultimately generate desired product. In addition, an intriguing novel concept for how the phosphonyl radical engages the alkene in an electrophilic and the pyridinium cation in a nucleophile fashion was suggested. The synthetic potential of this method was demonstrated by the expeditious synthesis of new P,N-ligands and the late-stage modification of estrone derivatives. The method is compatible with a broad range of alkene substrates and N-heteroarene salts (pyridinium, quinolinium, isoquinolinium, and pyridazinium salts), providing a facile synthetic route to synthetically and biologically important β-pyridyl alkylphosphonates with good functional group tolerance. Finally, this study demonstrates the synergistic effect of combining computational and experimental work in a fully integrated mechanistic study to develop a practically useful synthetic methodology with a precise understanding of the mechanism.
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