

The content in this investigation is focused on the new cold war of the US RUSSIAN soviet proxy in the middle east.

TO determine the planning model and mobilization metrics which we know in intelligence are certain and **involved a nuclear derivative algorithm**. This algorithm's intent in assessing convergence is how cell(s) of 9/11 were merged/staged.

This is called the PSO optimized nuclear derivative.

It stands for nuclear and department of energy technology with particle swarm optimization and is construed as of now to be linked to Pakistani ISI role in overseeing Saudi-Pakistan nuclear programs between KHAN BCCI LADEN PAYMENTS and other individuals linked to development of PAKISTAN NUCLEAR PROGRAM KHAN a b c as origin of multi-layered cell.

This argument the scientific basis of how a terror cell was incorporated from a nuclear derivative algorithm and is one of reactive implosion applied in derivative terms of convergence upon multi lateral asymmetrical attack. Thus, the scalability of the attacks of 9/11 are in scale to the levels of planning and other factors in the claims of many findings lacking the cohesion of calculus in algorithm to "bind findings," to and as regarding the 9/11 attacks.

This case focused on the 91st floor and 9/11 and 9:11 condition of attacks of 9/11 as multiple layered using the model for observing particle swarm in water desalination technique.

For this reason the method of cell structure i.e how in terms of math and intelligence calculus did events get staged single point and induce reaction for tested weapons system using a U.S. Russian exercise known as NORTHERN VIGILANCE as a weapons test profile. Further who in these terms staged attacks using a PSO NUCLEAR DERIVATIVE.

From the case the subject matter of meta-heuristics i.e. which is more important is how cell is mobilized have been attributed that Scatana procedure is the hand of the timing and staged events with air navigation aid test and the meta-heuristic layer of flight 93 as weapons system use.

This is part of how "**mobilization metrics**," emerged in cells that were forward staged to events using the afghan service bureau and using a nuclear science in concerted efforts of the Pakistani role of afghan service bureau and Saudi intent with Pakistan.

So specifically in this case we used a real person who had a SCATANA integration of AIR Navigation aids through frontier airlines and debated on how NORAD policy under an IMPLEMENTATION of a directed weapons test on FLIGHT 93 would be directed under US RUSSIAN bear bomber exercise with many numerous slayers or PSO optimized derivative-thus treating merger of cells as condition of nuclear implosive device.

However, given the complexity of the 9/11 conspiracy theories and the many numerous justified issues the emergence of larger inquiry on how a PSO nuclear derivative was the staging system implied a Pakistani nuclear physicist who was recruited by and within afghan service bureau work with PAKISTANI ISI and who was root of the P.S.O. nuclear derivative algorithm which timed control and events to specific date as precision timing.

Essentially, for simplification in the GIUK gap exercise of 9/11 known as Northern Vigilance and the many numerous cogs in the path of the US-RUSSIAN exercise the u.s. government planned hidden layers under a top secret acronym for inquiry called F.O.L operation. In this instance F.O.L. was a operative worked for operation known as **FORWARD OPERATING LOCATION** yet also in level of planning to counter attack was implied **FIRST ORDER LOGIC** to debate staging and mobilization of cells using P.S.O. optimized derivative.

To asses advanced knowledge in case operations had to observe cell evolution as a proxy between us and Russia in middle east and as such continuous time and mobilization metrics have been predicable in terms of current middle east conflicts from this inception of an logic attack.

To deconstruct a PSO derivative that had too many layers of how Afghan service bureau and Pakistani-Saudi nuclear program evolved to events of 9/11 as well us Russian proxy in the middle east as were layered to attacks of 9/11 the case had to merge the Scatana test as a ranked inquiry to later give proof case as under investigation before directed weapons tests on 9/11.

The argument is that if a weapons test to have MULTIPLE flights in bound all timed to strategic mobilization and that mobilization had a calculus then what was calculus of mobilization? Where did Laden acquire a nuclear physicist who used a derivative technique to time attacks staged to multiple layers?

To this end the best match argument thus far from LADEN Al-Quaida and afghan service bureau contacts in nuclear intent of then Al-Quaida acquisition of alleged dirty bombs asserts a estranged use in concert of a algorithm used in nuclear sciences.

For this reason two queries now are converging into back flow of cases using a back-propagation technique to combat P.S.O. OPTIMIZATION. Thus this is a EPOCH cycle for case with hidden layers and back-propagation cycle intended on restructuring weights in terms of artificial neural networking.

These two involve a D.O.E. layer with the department of energy case of monitoring all derived PSO optimization algorithms used in nuclear programs under Brookhaven National Laboratories as COMPILER. Further that have specific PSO optimization rule of MUON tracking in phenix labs as specifically part of an operation i worked on for this very purpose. You will find online content linking Brookhaven and cars BURNED In the path of 9/11 yet this too is part of a propaganda effort to prevent DOE from having a operational bias to question derived algorithms in nuclear sciences.

While they are not mutually exclusive both the scatana case and PSO derivatives inquiry as all events derive from a control system after initial attack making PSO derived a means to view all events in control system and systems engineering that an example of Scatana air navigation strategy of weapons test on **flight 93 as White House defense** with applied executive powers of white house to use a weapons system against flight 93, but that in concert of all mobilization metrics and now deriving the PSO calculus of the optimization routine we have a better understanding of multi-lateral asymmetrical warfare flank used in attacks that are staging point of a larger invasion over time.

Currently we speculate the attack was a phased mobilization and all other forms of systems control in content used similar control in staging attacks. Thus using a control system to regulate all findings under a conspiracy regulated from inception of planning 9/11 thus: pervasive and continuous over time.

SCATANA GIUK case has US RUSSIAN planner with AFGHAN SERVICE Bureau and Pakistani-Saudi program. **F.O.L. counter measure was justified.**

----- Original Message -----

From: Jason Dedrick <dd0149@class-action-jasta.com>

To: dd0149@fcc.gov, info@ricehadleygates.com,
21SW.JA@us.af.mil

Date: April 13, 2017 at 4:47 AM

Subject: SCATANA F.C.C. file integration case is ready for F.C.C. scatana filing frontier

Respectfully _____

FOIA Public Liaison

Federal Communications Commission

445 12th Street, S.W., Room 1-A836

Washington, D.C. 20554

Hello, My name is Jason Dedrick. My grandfather was head of telecommunication for Frontier Airlines. He purchased satellites, air navigation aids and was required under the United States and Canada Air Traffic Air Navigation Aid to have filed through Frontier to the F.C.C.:

By NORAD Regulation 55-2 his duty crossed interaction with the NORAD SCATANA procedures as seen in next paragraph and as such advanced knowledge conspiracy of 9/11 attacks used this to reinforce case findings when required..

1 Air Navigation Aids (NAVAIDS).

a. Federal NAVAIDS, RADIO BEACONS, VOR/DME, VORTAC, TACAN and ILS/MLS stations owned and operated by an agency of the Federal Government such as the FAA, Military Services and United States Coast Guard,

b. Non-Federal NAVAIDS. VOR/DME, and LF/MF radio beacons, and ILS/MLS stations licensed by the FCC.

I have included evidence of his work at the company Frontier and ports of call airlines to request any documents that are from Frontier Airlines during his time as head of FCC that were filed and that are directly linked to this requirement.

Can you help me find the best way to do this? I have his death certificate and I assume I would have to provide this. Yet there are other considerations.

In 1999 and advanced early warning case or advanced knowledge conspiracy of Scatana was reported to the central intelligence agency. My grandfather who had worked overseas in Great Britain during the Iranian embassy siege and as an auditor of ports of call after iran-contra scandal broke was somewhat of a close partner with the agency in these terms. I was employed by a former air force officer Dan Nibblink whose specialty during the cold war was part of surveillance missions. I was used to insure that the integrity of the scatana procedure used in flight 93 in the attacks of 9/11 were protected as part of military burden of proof in a advanced awareness or advanced knowledge case.

Currently, the case is ready to proceed in terms of what was planned to investigate how 9/11 was plotted and how and who knew about the flight to white house being downed by directed energy weapons program within the scatana procedure. I enlisted before 9/11 with this operation to insure protection of inquiry into scatana and as of now have conducted three major investigations into the scatana policy with the 21st space wing THULE air base in greenland assessing a us Russian operation known as operation northern vigilance. Currently a saboteur has entrenched themselves in my case as for lack of intelligence and is committing espionage to sabotage my case.

I had hoped to avoid requiring the frontier files with FCC filing that are part of scatana procedure as to limit private risk with frontier airlines. Yet as the agent in charge of my case whose obsession to subvert inquiry has decided to pressure further economic tools to control case- I have decided to come forward with investigation and I feel secure that the Langley air force base HQ IAF/DOY LANGLEY, AFB VA 23665 will start to close intelligence gap in case findings to debate some of the complicated issues below.

In 2001, I was part of diplomatic mission with Canada to insure Scatana inquiry was controlled on 9/11 attacks for the reason of suspect espionage that used u.s. Russian exercise and plotted the attacks of September 11th with precision guided planning. I did suspect that in the near future a subpoena will occur for these same records in terms of concealed inquiry by the federal agent who has subverted case thus far. while this seems complicated it really is about who the DOD observed a cold war soviet spy operation through a US operation in Saudi Arabia with the afghan service

bureau and what was done to observe the network and prosecute the network in a dragnet. It had been considered it would only take a few years to understand the planning style of network and my enlistment would empower the us government with case to control risk of soviet spy, however the complexity of the operation has required a new process to examine complications with case and federal agent subverting inquiry due to a lack of professional investigative capability to do these simple request. Therefore I have to time my request and be very strategic in how the information regarding SCATANA as a power to reinforce the investigation into U.S./Russian exercise being exploited us used.

Can you explain to me a few of the filing procedures the SCATANA procured would use with flight 93 air navigation aids in terms of a unilateral look at airlines in private airlines company such as frontier? I will need to create a denial and deception role of information to make sure agent who is sabotaging case double thinks information on **how well planned operation has been conducted with advanced knowledge of flight 93 scatana procedure.** pleas be a limited and as discrete on the best way for me to proceed to collect frontier airlines files during my grandfathers time there as other issues are presented in case of how US/ Canada NORAD and CONAD CINC NORAD procedures for scatana were used in flight 93 and why case was developed. While this will not be an official channel for the inquiry to utilize the scatana role interaction with my grandfathers tele-communication job and FCC filing of frontier it will be used to pressure close attention to detail at this point by any other investigator involved in inquiry.

Thank you very much for you time and consideration to advise on proper FOIA request for admissibility in courts and to present to THULE air force base 21st space wing legal department and judge advocate office.

Jason Detrick

REAL TIME PRESENCE ON SITE, is conveyed.

----- Original Message -----

From: Jason Detrick <dd0149@class-action-jasta.com>

To: dd0149@bnl.gov, info@ricehadleygates.com

Date: April 10, 2017 at 9:53 PM

Subject: WATER DESALINATION- Particle Swarm Optimization
techINT bldg. 911 inquiry is go.

Respectfully, Dejan Trbojevic

My name is Jason Dedrick I worked on parts of the super collider/phenix in 2001 the g12 non-dialectric PARTS. The contracting entity was handling a 911 investigation into the attacks of 911-with advanced knowledge known as the THAYER GROUP INQUIRY. We suspected then Pakistani nuclear scientist who was using particle swarm based BP algorithm for water desalination technique and found the best match by halls theorem to use BLDG. 911 for case. Currently, you are informed that the case now is opening the PSO item list in training iteration as part of inquiry into how 911 attack and Osama bin laden science community of nuclear program contacts in Pakistan- Afghan Service Bureau are part of inquiry that will if required request services of yourself to debate on the element list for PSO mobilisation. As of now the limited understanding in public domain by intelligence experts on how multi layer feedforward neural networking and super computers that use deep neural networking can be used or in this case were used to stage elements in an attack against the United States.

I respectfully request you define a theory on military mobilisation of element list of attacks of 911 by your best effort on how particle swarm pso based optimisation could have been used in the following. That the 91st floor raytheon e-systems and 911 attack at 911 were part of PSO based planner who instructed each and every cell using a process of phase planning with adjusted weighting cycles.

We suspect that weighted cycles as ANN adjusted process occurred in phase planning with a model on cybernetics as scalability in such were intrinsic. This means that a control system and systems engineer we suspect who is centre piece is a Russian spy who worked in PSO optimisation providing Afghan Service Bureau resources of Saudi-U.S. based operation with mujahideen as OBL- laden then planned attacks with nuclear physicist who worked in the same field you are handling with derivative sciences.

I am informing you that the contractor Dan Nibbelink , colorad water Jet, former U.S./Russian special operations manager did in fact use contract of Brookhaven Bldg 911 for a confirmation phase to investigate Pakistani nuclear physicist who provided Afghan service bureau with model of planning attack and that numerous cases were are

breaking down right now with "markov model," for deconstruction of a-causal/causal relation in continuous time feedback are root of why we used CONTINUOUS TIME system of Brookhaven as scalable to 10-16: as to increase resolution threshold on cell signaling.

Please be prepared to provide any theory on how a military unit of 100 could mobilise with continuous time over 10 years using base 10 model and then how particle swarm based BP layers could be used to calibrate cycles from predicate cycles within a control system which is now being used to control case with 911 inquiry: if called upon by military or intelligence leaders your opinion on how a terror cell with nuclear scientist program for water desalination could be used with particle swarm techniques to train mobilisation units. We may open case with g12 PART as "upper bound particle," of particle swarm inquiry (as hidden layer in BP).

I will link to you important issue with scatana only to give you conformation that PARTICLE swarm based PSO algorithm was used to control potential threats to WHITE house on 9/11 that the operation manager of scatana did in fact use me for this purpose. Further passenger JEREMY LOGAN GLICK was signals element list LOGAN highjacking flight 93 for test scatana directed energy weapons as to control inquiry into Afghan Service Bureau Pakistani nuclear scientist. You are receiving this email today due to the Iran-Russian domain of Rex Tillerson who is engaged in debates with Russia on how us Saudi-Pakistani based operations with Afghan service bureau and (Quds/Kuds a b c) militia with it's own counter terrorism unit was aided by GRU and as such a manpower side of inquiry is now assessing cold war soviet arms race with regards to middle east proxy and other issues that you may be given access to regarding breaking nuclear physicists domain of pso based optimisation algorithm for water desalination techniques in Iran as case uses operation 911 bldg 911, thus as optimised feedback pso based for inquiry.

You are requested to review all Brookhaven contracts with Dan Nibblink as operational us air force isr4 and domain of case as PSO based PART with upper bound algorithm optimised in scalability. This confirmation should be enough to allow you to request with DOE any material support required to profile middle east nuclear science team between iran-russian relations or saudi-pakistan relations.

It is imperative that both be profiled in terms of your isolated profession. We have factual data on how PSO based water desalination science enabled cell structure of 91st floor 9/11 9:11 attacks with pso based algorithm as timing/positioning condition of attacks and now we are ready to use my work with Brookhaven as directly contracted for this purpose with us Russian air force operations specials due to 9/11 operation Northern vigilance -- Thule 21st space wing. The agent in charge of subversion of case is being charged as of 3:00 pm this afternoon for failing to correct case issues and as such you may hear a story about case but in terms of subversive activity to stop inquiry: please do not let sabotage operation of agent in charge dissuade you of contemplating the act of mobilzaiton by PSO based logic with a 100 unit cell and that you may be called upon to give direct examples theories or advise at a later time to military or intelligence professions..

Thank you for your work,
keyword for operation: Separatist Technocracy, Jeremy logan glick,
protocell, auto-desk,

The 21st Operations Support Squadron is assigned to the 21st Space Wing, Peterson Air Force Base, Colorado. The unit includes active duty U.S. and Canadian Air Force Airmen and Department of Defense civilians.

Mission

21st Operations Support Squadron is comprised of five flights and a maintenance division that provides operations and maintenance support to six geographically separated space warning squadrons and nine geographically separated space control squadrons.

The Weather Flight provides critical, timely and accurate weather intelligence in support of the 21st Space Wing and the Cheyenne Mountain Directorate worldwide.

The Intelligence Flight provides timely operational intelligence for planning, executing and sustaining Space Control operations in support of the 21st Space Wing, as well as timely strategic and theater Missile

Warning intelligence information to 21st Space Wing units and wing leadership.

The Current Operations Flight directly supports 21st Space Wing leadership by collecting, organizing, developing and explaining information needed to achieve objectives, while continuously seeking to improve 21st Space Wing management tools and processes.

The Weapons and Tactics Flight maximizes combat capability, integration and effects to weapons systems and operators against identified and emerging threats. This flight also leads 21st Space Wing exercises and manages the Warfighter Education program.

The Airfield Operations Flight supports every aircraft arrival, departure and over flight at Peterson AFB. Additionally, they maintain flight records for USAF crews, maintain ramp infrastructure, manage the flightline driving program, and serve as the military liaison for the Colorado Springs Airport and the Federal Aviation Administration.

The Maintenance Division supports maintenance issues for the 21st Space Wing's Missile Warning and Defense Sensors and Space Control Sensors. The Maintenance Division also provides maintenance data analysis support as well as quality assurance oversight for the Peterson AFB Transient Alert, Aerospace Ground Equipment, and Precision Measurement Equipment Laboratory contracts.

History

The 21st Operations Support Squadron, one of the oldest squadrons in 21st Space Wing, is a combination of two squadrons, the 21st Airdrome Squadron and the 21st Operations Squadron. The 21st Airdrome Squadron was originally constituted on Jan. 25, 1943, and activated on Feb. 1, 1943 at Topeka Army Air Base, Kansas.

On April 1, 1944, it was disbanded. The 21st Operations Squadron, activated May 6, 1966, was part of the 21st Composite Wing at Elmendorf Air Force Base, Alaska. The primary mission was search and rescue using the H-21 helicopter. The 21st Operations Support Squadron also flew the C-47, C-54 and C-118 as part of the wing's airlift mission until the squadron deactivated Jan. 1, 1970.

It was during this time the squadron patch was approved and the unit earned the AF Outstanding Unit Award for the period Jan. 1 - Dec. 31 1969. On Sept. 25, 1991, the two squadrons were reconstituted and consolidated into the 21st Operations Support Squadron at Elmendorf Air Force Base, Alaska.

Deactivated one last time on Dec. 19, 1991, the 21st Operations Support Squadron moved to Peterson Air Force Base, Colorado and reactivated May 15, 1992, being assigned to the 21st Operations Group.

NESCOM pakistani P.S.O. based swarm optimization case is go. While Bldg 911 was used you are informed that LINEAR ACCELERATOR research and suspect mobilization technique used by afghan service bureau asset is through to derive from nuclear technology related to particle swarm optimization as used in nuclear program Pakistani-Saudi interactions with Osama bin laden and is considered relevant to cell mobilization technique in staging assets in cell structure and convergence on bldg. 911. www.class-action-jasta.com/topics

As confirmations emerge in case keywords will involve: raytheon, 91st, 911, 9/11, argo, lord of light, ray of light, argo.2, merge join hash, optimization, PSO, bldg. 911, linear accelerator, particle swarm optimization, Jeremy logan glick, logan act, quds a b c, BND, Mossad, ray homer jr., homer, ada, Patterson,

LEAD: GATES: 77th comment <https://www.facebook.com/notes/vela-dedrick/the-syrian-intelligence-proxy-chain/10151539437246525> and date 77 day is reactive GRU feedback but I presume is continuous planned operations in cybernetics domain. Externalising control of white house by reactionary plans of Russia. lease find way to examine cybernetics case with residual and advise on reactionary plot. We have PSO Brookhaven bldg 911 case ready and scatana/giuk case ready with multiple flanks for case inquiry in terms of DOE and nuclear counter proliferation to reclaim case.

GIUK GAP case was lead in for 21st Thule base to asses US RUSSIAN BEAR BOMBER LEAK and 911 planning of scatana test

procured applied to AIRLINES INDUSTRY AIR NAVIGATION AID
AND USE KEN DEDRICK CASE FOR INQUIRY.

<https://www.dropbox.com/s/iwm57lvvc2aff6r/Atlantic%20Striking%20force%20US%20uk%20giuk.pdf?dl=0>



Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

April 21, 2017

By E-Mail

Jason Dedrick
dd0149@class-action-jasta.com

Re: FOIA Control No. 2017-535

Dear Mr. Dedrick:

This letter responds to your emailed Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request dated April 12, 2017, which was received by the Federal Communications Commission (Commission) FOIA Control Staff on April 19, 2017 and assigned FOIA Control Number 2017-535.

We interpret your request broadly as seeking information related to the Security Control of Air Traffic and Air Navigation Aids inter-agency agreement (SCATANA). We searched the Commission records and found documents pertaining to your request. The first document is the Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in the proceeding titled Review of Part 87 of the Commission's Rules (WT Docket No. 01-289). The document is viewable here: https://apps.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-03-238A1.pdf. The second document is the Second Report and Order and Second Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in the same proceeding, viewable here: https://apps.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-06-148A1.pdf. Please note that there are associated public comments pertaining to this proceeding, viewable here: <https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/>. Please enter the proceeding number 01-289 in the "Specify Proceeding" field, in order to narrow down your search to the filings pertaining to this proceeding only. These documents are publicly available on the Commission website, and we do not regularly duplicate and distribute publicly available documents in response to FOIA requests.¹

As you do not appear to be a "commercial use requester," an "educational . . . institution requester," "a non-commercial scientific institution requester," or a representative of the news media, pursuant to Section 0.470(a)(3) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 0.470(a)(3), we include you among "[a]ll other requesters" and, thus, would ordinarily charge fees that cover the full, reasonable direct cost of searching for and reviewing responsive records as well as the cost of reproducing any records released in response to your request, except that the first 100 pages of reproduction and the first two hours of search and review time would be furnished without charge.

In this case, we completed our work to date in responding to the above FOIA request without releasing more than 100 pages or devoting more than two hours to search and review our records. Accordingly, no fee is being charged.

If you consider this to be a denial of your FOIA request, you may seek review by filing an application for review with the Office of General Counsel. An application for review must be *received* by the Commission within 90 calendar days of the date of this letter.² You may file an application for review by mailing the

¹ See 47 C.F.R. § 0.461.

² 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.461(j), 1.115; 47 C.F.R. § 1.7 (documents are considered filed with the Commission upon their receipt at the location designated by the Commission).

Jason Dedrick

application to Federal Communications Commission, Office of General Counsel, 445 12th St SW, Washington, DC 20554, or you may file your application for review electronically by e-mailing it to FOIA-Appeal@fcc.gov. Please caption the envelope (or subject line, if via e-mail) and the application itself as “Review of Freedom of Information Action” and reference FCC FOIA Control Number 2017-535.

If you would like to discuss this response before filing an application for review to attempt to resolve your dispute without going through the appeals process, you may contact the Commission’s FOIA Public Liaison for assistance at:


FOIA Public Liaison
Federal Communications Commission, Office of the Managing Director, Performance Evaluation and Records Management
445 12th St SW, Washington, DC 20554
202-418-0440
FOIA-Public-Liaison@fcc.gov

If you are unable to resolve your FOIA dispute through the Commission’s FOIA Public Liaison, the Office of Government Information Services (OGIS), the Federal FOIA Ombudsman’s office, offers mediation services to help resolve disputes between FOIA requesters and Federal agencies. The contact information for OGIS is:

Office of Government Information Services
National Archives and Records Administration
8601 Adelphi Road–OGIS
College Park, MD 20740-6001
202-741-5770
877-684-6448
ogis@nara.gov
ogis.archives.gov

Questions regarding the foregoing may be referred to Stana Kimball (202-418-1306, Stanislava.kimball@fcc.gov), an attorney in this Division.

Sincerely,



Linda Chang
Associate Chief, Mobility Division
Wireless Telecommunications Bureau



UNITED STATES NORTHERN COMMAND

HQ USNORTHCOM/CS
250 Vandenberg Street, Suite B016
Peterson Air Force Base CO 80914-3801

9 Dec 16

Mr. Jason Dedrick
P.O. 0286
Idaho Springs CO 80452

Dear Mr. Dedrick

We received your Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request dated 12 November 2016. Your request was assigned USNORTHCOM FOIA case number 17-R-024. In your request letter you asked for the following: All references in NORAD database of Ken Dedrick. All data known on the SCATANA procedures that include civil airlines corporation Frontier Airlines for specific Air Navigation Aids used by Frontier Airlines.

USNORTHCOM does not perform a mission that would result in responsive records. NORAD as a bi-national organization is not subject to FOIA; therefore, no search for NORAD records was conducted.



As a requester in the "Commercial" fee category, all processing costs are assessable; however, there are no assessable fees for processing your request. If you have any further questions concerning your request, please do not hesitate to contact our FOIA Request Service Center at the above address.

If you are not satisfied with this action, you have the right to appeal to the appellate authority, Ms. Joo Chung, Director of Oversight and Compliance (ODCMO), Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD). The appellate address is: ODCMO Directorate for Oversight and Compliance, 4800 Mark Center Drive, ATTN: DPCLTD, FOIA Appeals, Mailbox #24, Alexandria, VA 22350-1700. As an alternative, you may use the OSD FOIA request portal to submit your appeal electronically at the following link: <http://pal.whs.mil/palMain.aspx> or email your appeal to OSD.FOIAPolicy@mail.mil. If you use email, please have the words "FOIA Appeal" in the subject of the email. Your appeal should cite our case number 17-R-024, be postmarked within 90 days of the date of this response, and be clearly marked "Freedom of Information Act Appeal" on the request. You also have the right to seek dispute resolution services from USNORTHCOM's FOIA Public Liaison, Mr. Jim Hogan at (571) 372-0462 or OSD.FOIALiaison@mail.mil. Additionally, you have the right to contact the Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) to inquire about the FOIA mediation services they offer. The contact information for OGIS is: Office of Government Information Services, National Archives and Records Administration, 8601 Adelphi Road-OGIS,

College Park, Maryland 20740-6001; email at ogis@nara.gov; telephone at (202) 741-5770; toll free at 1-877-684-6448; or facsimile at (202) 741-5769.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'P. Combs', written in a cursive style.

PEGGY C. COMBS
Major General, USA
Chief of Staff



Normalizing Soviet Cyberwarfare
by Alexander Kolesnikov

The Soviet Union's use of cyberwarfare in the 1970s and 1980s was a significant part of its military strategy. This article discusses the evolution of Soviet cyberwarfare, from its early days of espionage and sabotage to its more sophisticated operations in the late 1980s and early 1990s. It highlights the role of the KGB and the Ministry of Defense in developing and executing these operations, and how they were used to gain intelligence and disrupt the operations of the United States and other NATO allies.

Amphibious Priority

The Soviet Union's amphibious capabilities were a key element of its military strategy. This article discusses the development of Soviet amphibious warfare doctrine and the role of the Navy and the Ministry of Defense in planning and executing amphibious operations. It highlights the importance of the Black Sea Fleet and the Baltic Fleet in supporting these operations, and how they were used to project power and influence in the Mediterranean and the Baltic regions.

