

# Day #4 Notes: Consequences of Completeness

January 26, 2018

## Contents

1	Characterization of Least Upper Bounds	3
2	Consequences of Completeness	5
3	Conclusions	9

**Axiom 1** *Every nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  that is bounded above has a least upper bound.*

What does this mean?

**Definition 1** *For a set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ , we say*

- *$A$  is bounded above if there exists a number  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $a \leq b$  for all  $a \in A$ . The number  $b$  is called an upper bound for  $A$ .*
- *$a_0 = \max(A)$  if  $a_0 \in A$  and  $a_0 \geq a$  for all  $a \in A$ . We might also say  $a_0$  is the maximum of  $A$ .*
- *A real number  $s$  is the least upper bound (or sometimes, we use the Latin word supremum) for a set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  if  $s$  is an upper bound for  $A$  and if for every upper bound  $b$  of  $A$ , we have  $s \leq b$ . If  $s$  exists, we use the notation  $s = \sup(A)$ .*

# 1 Characterization of Least Upper Bounds

Complete the worksheet and we will go over the answers:

In the problems below, assume  $s$  is an upper bound for  $A$  and that  $A \neq \emptyset$ .

1. [T/F] Then  $s = \sup(A)$  if for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $a \in A$  such that  $s - \epsilon < a$ .
2. [T/F] Then  $s = \sup(A)$  only if for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $a \in A$  such that  $s - \epsilon < a$ .
3. [T/F] Then  $s = \sup(A)$  if for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $a \in A$  such that  $s - \epsilon > a$ .
4. [T/F] Then  $s = \sup(A)$  only if for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $a \in A$  such that  $s - \epsilon > a$ .

5. [T/F] Then  $s = \sup(A)$  if for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $a \in A$  such that  $s + \epsilon > a$ .

6. [T/F] Then  $s = \sup(A)$  only if for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $a \in A$  such that  $s + \epsilon > a$ .

## 2 Consequences of Completeness

**Theorem 1 (Nested Interval Property)** *Suppose that  $(I_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a nested sequence of closed intervals. That is,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $I_n = [a_n, b_n]$  and  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $a_n \leq a_{n+1} \leq b_{n+1} \leq b_n$ . Then  $\exists x \in \mathbb{R}$  so that  $x \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$ .*

**Proof:**

(continued)

**Theorem 2 (Archimedean Property)**  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \exists n \in \mathbb{N}$  so that  $n > x$ , and  $\forall y > 0$ ,  $\exists m \in \mathbb{N}$  so that  $y > \frac{1}{m}$ .

**Proof:**

What does this mean? Why is it useful?

Density of  $\mathbb{Q}$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ :



### 3 Conclusions

Today we learned about:

1. Implications of the Axiom of Completeness, including:
  - (a) The Nested Interval Property
  - (b) The Density of the Rationals in the Reals.

Monday we will learn about:

1. More Consequences of Completeness
2. Cardinality

Upcoming Deadlines:

Homework 1 due on Wednesday January 31, 2018