Ex Parte Hearing Date: April 10, 2018 Time of Hearing: 1:30 p.m.

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON FOR THE COUNTY OF KING

WELLS FARGO BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, a national banking association,

Petitioner,

VS.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

ONLINESHOES.COM INC., a Washington corporation; SHOES.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Respondents.

No. 17-2-02462-7 SEA

RECEIVER'S OMNIBUS MOTION TO (1) APPROVE FINAL REPORT, (2) AUTHORIZE DISTRIBUTION OF REMAINING ESTATE PROPERTY, (3) AUTHORIZE ABANDONMENT OF RECORDS, (4) DISALLOW AND RECHARACTERIZE CERTAIN CLAIMS FILED AS SECURED CLAIMS, (5) EXONERATE THE RECEIVER'S BOND, (6) DISCHARGE RECEIVER AND TERMINATE RECEIVERSHIP, AND (7) FOR RELATED RELIEF

I. RELIEF REQUESTED

Oswego Group LLC, doing business as Inverness Group, the general receiver ("Receiver") for Onlineshoes.com Inc. ("OLS"), and Shoes.com, Inc. ("Shoes.com," and together with OLS, the "Debtors"), appointed by this Court pursuant to the February 2, 2017, Stipulated Order Appointing General Receiver (the "Receivership Order"), respectfully requests that this Court enter an order (1) approving the Receiver's final report ("Final Report") submitted herewith; (2) authorizing the payment of all unpaid expenses of the Debtors' receivership estates (together, the "Receivership Estate"), including prospective

RECEIVER'S OMNIBUS MOTION TO APPROVE FINAL REPORT, TERMINATE RECEIVERSHIP, AND FOR RELATED RELIEF - 1

SCHWABE, WILLIAMSON & WYATT, P.C. Attorneys at Law U.S. Bank Centre 1420 5th Avenue, Suite 3400 Seattle, WA 98101-4010 Telephone: 206.622.1711

 $PDX \ 119661 \ 221130 \ AP \ 22173560.10$

unpaid expenses to complete closing the receivership case and post-receivership fees and expenses; (3) approving a final distribution to the first priority secured creditor, Daniel Gerler ("Gerler"), pursuant to RCW 7.60.230, (4) approving abandonment, in place, of the Receivership Estate's documents, books, records and files in the Receiver's possession, custody, and control, pursuant to RCW 7.60.150, (5) disallowing and re-characterizing certain claims submitted as secured claims, (6) discharging the Receiver and terminating this receivership, pursuant to RCW 7.60.290, and (7) exonerating the Receiver's bond, and for related relief (the "Motion").

This Motion is supported by the Receiver's Final Report, the Declaration of John L. Davidson ("<u>Davidson Decl.</u>") filed herewith, the records and files herein, and the following memorandum.

II. <u>FACT BACKGROUND</u>

A. Initiation of the Receivership.

- 1. On or about January 27, 2017, the Debtors' senior management announced the immediate suspension of business activities and terminated all of their employees. All employees were instructed to leave the Debtors' offices, final payroll checks were issued, and there was reportedly little or no notice of the decision and the terminations provided by management to the Debtors' lenders, vendors, customers or other stakeholders. (Davidson Decl., ¶ 3).
- 2. The decision to suspend operations and terminate staff reflected a severe liquidity problem and followed Debtors' inability to obtain new or additional financing to support ongoing operations. At that time, per their records, Debtors, as co-borrowers, owed

approximately \$25 million to their secured lenders, and owed approximately \$72 million to their unsecured creditors, which included their product suppliers. (Davidson Decl., \P 4).

- 3. Prior to the commencement of this receivership case, the Debtors operated online and two fixed location retail sales businesses that were generally focused on the sale of men's and women's quality dress, casual and athletic footwear into the United States market. The larger of the two, OLS, is reportedly the oldest online footwear retailer in the United States. (Davidson Decl., ¶ 5).
- 4. Despite two to three years of significant sales growth, and despite significant investment and debt funding to support their rapid expansion, Debtors were unable to achieve profitability. Consequently, Debtors announced the immediate shutdown of operations on January 27, 2017. (Davidson Decl., ¶ 6).
- 5. Following discussions between representatives of Debtors' boards and their first priority secured lender, Petitioner Wells Fargo Bank, National Association ("Wells Fargo"), on February 2, 2017, Wells Fargo filed its Petition for Appointment of General Receiver and (jointly with Debtors) the Joint Motion for Entry of Stipulated Order Appointing General Receiver. Pursuant to the Receivership Order, Inverness Group was appointed general receiver on February 2, 2017 (the "Appointment Date"). (Davidson Decl., ¶7).
- 6. The Receiver filed with the Court a Receiver's Bond dated effective as of February 7, 2017, in the amount of \$10,000.00, through Western Surety Company, as surety (Bond No. 63069169) (the "Bond"). (Davidson Decl., ¶ 8).

B. Notice of Receivership and Proof of Claim Deadline.

7. On February 23, 2017, the Receiver, through its counsel, served a Notice of Receivership and Proof of Claim form on Debtors' creditors, owners, and other stakeholders. Pursuant to the Notice of Receivership and Proof of Claim form, the deadline for creditors other than state agencies to submit their claims to the Receiver was March 28, 2017. The Notice of Receivership also referred creditors and other stakeholders in the receivership case to a website, established by the Receiver, where significant events and orders of this Court were regularly posted by the Receiver. (Davidson Decl., ¶ 9).

C. Marketing and Sale of Substantially All of the Debtors' Assets.

- 8. At the outset of the receivership, the Receiver reviewed a number of factors that are key to decisions and timing to provide the best opportunity to maximize recovery for the Receivership Estate's stakeholders. These included: (a) the circumstances at OLS and Shoes.com following management's and the Board's decision to suspend operations and to terminate all staff six days prior to entry of the Receivership Order, (b) the significant and unsustainable financial and operating losses of Debtors for fiscal years 2015 and 2016, (c) the status of customer channels, supplier conditions, and prospects for obtaining necessary financing to purchase product, return qualified staff, and restart operations, and (d) the inability of management and Debtors' equity owners to source necessary funding to continue operations prior to the shutdown. (Davidson Decl., ¶ 10).
- 9. As a result of this review, the Receiver determined that a restart of the Debtors' businesses within the receivership was not feasible, and that a well-solicited, open and competitive sale process offered the potential for best value to creditors and other

stakeholders. The Receiver retained certain former employees of Debtors to assist with, among other things, records retrieval, background, and technical and marketing support and analysis to support the Receiver's sale steps and to generate interest among qualified buyers for substantially all of Debtors' business assets ("Assets"). (Davidson Decl., ¶ 11).

- 10. To assure that the Assets realized the highest value, the Receiver requested that the Court first enter an order approving certain bid and auction procedures (the "Bid Procedures") so that the Assets would be subject to a competitive bid and auction process. To that end, on February 28, 2017, the Receiver filed the Receiver's Motion for Orders: (1) Approving the Sale of Assets Free and Clear of Liens, Claims, Encumbrances and Interests; (2) Approving Bid and Auction Procedures; (3) Scheduling Hearing to Approve Sale of Assets; and (4) Approving Assumption and Assignment of Executory Contracts (the "Sale Motion"). (Davidson Decl., ¶ 12).
- 11. On March 8, 2017, the Court entered the Order (1) Scheduling a Sale

 Approval Hearing, (2) Approving Bid and Auction Procedures, and (3) Granting Related

 Relief (the "Bid Procedures Order"). Pursuant to the Bid Procedures Order, the Court

 approved the Bid Procedures for the Receiver's sale of the Assets. (Davidson Decl., ¶ 13).
- 12. On March 28, 2017, pursuant to the Court-approved Bid Procedures and the Sale Motion, the Receiver sold substantially all of the assets of the Receivership Estate at public auction, subject to approval of the Court. (Davidson Decl., ¶ 14).
- 13. On April 3, 2017, the Court granted the Sale Motion and entered the Order (1)
 Approving Sale of Assets Free and Clear of Liens, Claims, Encumbrances and Interests, (2)
 Approving Assumption and Assignment of Executory Contracts, and (3) Granting Related

Relief (the "Sale Approval Order"). (Davidson Decl., ¶ 15).

- 14. Pursuant to both (a) the Sale Approval Order and, (b) the April 3, 2017, Asset Purchase and Sale Agreement attached thereto ("<u>Agreement</u>"), on April 21, 2017, the sale of the Assets closed (the "<u>Sale</u>"). Pursuant to the terms of the Agreement, the Receiver received \$6,590,720 (net of certain costs paid at closing), subject to a final inventory count and reconciliation. On February 21, 2018, the Receiver received an additional \$154,633.30 in Sale proceeds, as the final adjustment to the purchase price following a final inventory reconciliation. (Davidson Decl., ¶ 16).
- 15. Pursuant to RCW 7.60.260(2)(ii) and the terms of the Sale Approval Order, the security interests and liens of secured creditors attached to the proceeds of the Sale to the same extent and priority as such security interests and liens had attached to the purchased Assets prior to the Sale.²

D. Court-Approved Payments by the Receiver to Secured Creditors and the Remaining Receivership Estate Assets.

16. On June 12, 2017, the Court entered the Agreed Order (1) Allowing Claim of Wells Fargo, and (2) Authorizing Payment of Wells Fargo's Secured Claim. Pursuant to that order, the Receiver paid Wells Fargo \$4,222,092, inclusive of accrued interest and attorneys' fees, in full satisfaction of Wells Fargo's secured claim. The Receivership Estate subsequently received \$249,237.65 from Wells Fargo, refunding that portion of the payment to Wells Fargo representing an unfunded standby letter of credit balance. (Davidson Decl., ¶

¹ Capitalized terms not otherwise defined in this Motion have the meanings ascribed to them in the Sale Approval Order.

² Sale Approval Order, p. 11, at ¶ 9.

17).

- 17. On September 29, 2017, the Court entered the Agreed Order on Motion of GEODIS Logistics, LLC for Order Directing Payment of its Secured Claim. Pursuant to that order, the Receiver paid GEODIS Logistics \$237,500.00, and the balance of GEODIS Logistics' claim was disallowed. (Davidson Decl., ¶ 18).
- 18. On January 16, 2018, the Court entered the Stipulated Order (1) Allowing Claims of Daniel Gerler and Caleres Investment Company, Inc., and (2) Authorizing Distribution of Estate Property to Daniel Gerler and Caleres Investment Company, Inc. (the "January Distribution Order"). Pursuant to that order, on January 18, the Receiver (a) paid Gerler \$1,600,000 and transferred the Litigation Claim (as that term is defined in the January Distribution Order), as an interim distribution on the Gerler Secured Claim (defined below), and (b) paid Caleres Investment Company, Inc. ("Caleres"), \$235,000 as a final distribution on its secured claim. (Davidson Decl., ¶ 19, and Exhibit 2).
- 19. Gerler has the first priority security interest in the remaining assets of the Receivership Estate, including the proceeds of Sale, because the claims of other secured creditors have either been paid, disallowed, waived, or withdrawn. Specifically, (a) Wells Fargo's claim has been paid in full, (b) the unpaid balance of GEODIS Logistics' claim has been disallowed by order of this Court, (c) Deans Knight Capital Management Ltd. has withdrawn its secured claim, and (d) pursuant to an agreement between Gerler and Caleres, Caleres has waived any remaining claims against the Receivership Estate.³ (Davidson Decl.,

³ In addition to those four creditors, pursuant to this Motion the Receiver seeks disallowance of or, alternatively, subordination of certain allegedly secured claims. (See Section II.E, *infra*.).

¶ 20).

- 20. Pursuant to the January Distribution Order, Gerler's secured claim was allowed in the principal amount of \$3,000,000, plus interest accrued as of March 28, 2017, in the amount of \$13,750, plus interest thereafter at the rate of 5.50% per annum.
- 21. After application of the January 18, 2018, interim distribution to Gerler, the unpaid balance of Gerler's secured claim is the principal amount of \$1,547,124.93, plus interest accruing thereafter at the rate of 5.50% per annum (\$233.13 per diem), until paid (the "Gerler Secured Claim"). The Gerler Secured Claim will also include attorneys' fees and costs to the extent, if any, that such fees and costs are allowed by court order entered in the Receivership Case. (Davidson Decl., ¶ 21, and Exhibit 2, at ¶ 3).
- 22. On July 8, 2014, OLS executed a Security Agreement, pursuant to which it granted a security interest to Gerler in substantially all of OLS's assets, including but not limited to its inventory, accounts receivable, general intangibles, and proceeds therefrom (the "OLS Collateral"). (Davidson Decl., ¶ 22).
- 23. On July 8, 2014, Gerler perfected his security interest in the OLS Collateral by filing a Financing Statement with the Washington Department of Licensing, at document number 2014-189-7473-4. (Davidson Decl., ¶ 23).
- 24. As a result of the Sale of substantially all of the Debtor's assets, and the Receiver's prior, Court-approved payments to Wells Fargo, GEODIS Logistics, Caleres, and Gerler, the Receivership Estate currently holds the following assets: (a) approximately \$270,000 in cash, comprised of proceeds from the Sale, and collection of accounts receivable, (b) certain accounts receivable and payment intangibles (together, the "Accounts")

Receivable")⁴, and (c) documents, books, records and other data of the Debtors, which are stored electronically (the "Records"). (Davidson Decl., ¶ 24).

E. Claims of Other Alleged Secured Creditors.

- 25. <u>General Unsecured Claims</u>. Three creditors, J/Slides, Portland Product Werks, LLC, and City Saver Guide, Inc. (together, the "<u>Alleged Secured Claimants</u>"), submitted claims on an allegedly secured basis. However, the Proofs of Claim that they submitted to the Receiver do not identify either (a) any agreement, statute, or other law that would grant them a lien or security interest to secure their claims, or (b) any specific assets of the Debtors that would be collateral for their alleged security interests or other liens (together, the "<u>Alleged Secured Claims</u>"). (Davidson Decl., ¶ 25, and Exhibits 4-6).
- Outlet Mall Properties, L.C. ("Outlet Mall"), OLS' former commercial landlord for a retail store in Virginia Beach, Virginia (the "Premises"), submitted two allegedly secured Proofs of Claim. Outlet Mall's Proof of Claim dated March 6, 2017, is for \$4,036.90 in rent that accrued prior to the February 2, 2017 Appointment Date (including \$1,000 of attorneys' fees), which it claims is secured by a landlord's lien under Virginia law ("Outlet's First Claim"). OLS's books and records, however, show that OLS was current on its lease obligations to Outlet Mall as of January 31, 2017, and that Outlet Mall held a security deposit of \$2,100. Accordingly, there were no amounts due to Outlet Mall, net of the security deposit, as of the Appointment Date. (Davidson Decl., ¶ 26 and Exhibit 7).

⁴ A schedule of the Accounts Receivable is submitted herewith. (Davidson Decl., ¶ 24 and Exhibit 3).

27. On May 15, 2017, the Court entered the Order Approving Rejection of Executory Contracts and Unexpired Leases (the "Lease Rejection Order"). Pursuant to the Lease Rejection Order, (a) certain contracts and leases, including OLS's lease with Outlet Mall, were rejected effective as of the February 2, 2017 Appointment Date, and (b) counterparties to rejected leases, such as Outlet Mall, were given 30 days to submit claims arising from the rejection of their contracts and leases.⁵

- 28. Outlet Mall timely submitted a lease rejection claim ("Outlet's Second Claim") which asserts, among other things, a secured claim for both (a) rent accrued from the Appointment Date through May 15, 2017 (\$7,777), and (b) additional secured amounts arising from rejection of the lease (\$6,157.65). No particular collateral, value of any collateral, or priority of the alleged lien is identified in Outlet's Second Claim. Outlet Mall's secured claims are based solely on Virginia's landlord's lien statute. (Davidson Decl., ¶ 27, and Exhibit 7, at p. 3 and Exhibit 8, at p. 3).
- 29. To the best of the Receiver's knowledge, substantially all of the inventory and other OLS Collateral located at the Premises were brought to the Premises after July 8, 2014, the date on which Gerler was granted, and perfected, his security interests in the OLS Collateral. (Davidson Decl., ¶ 28).

F. Accounts Receivable.

30. As of the Appointment Date, the Accounts Receivable on the Debtors' books and records totaled \$1,633,569. The Receiver has sought to reconcile the accounts based on returns and chargebacks which occurred after the shutdown of the Debtors' operations on

⁵ Lease Rejection Order, ¶¶ 4 and 5.

January 27, 2017, and collect on the net balances of the fully reconciled Accounts Receivable, all of which are subject to the first priority security interest held by Gerler. (Davison Decl., ¶ 29).

- 31. As of the time of filing this Motion, the Receiver had collected \$329,769, and determined that not less than \$841,337 of the balance was likely uncollectible owing to setoff and/or other defenses to collection, as reflected in the monthly operating reports filed by the Receiver. The obligors on the Accounts Receivable are parties that either served as conduits for orders from OLS's consumers or provided payment processing services. To the extent that they have responded to the Receiver's claims, the major obligors on Accounts Receivable have asserted offset defenses which exceed their individual Accounts Receivable balances, and are based, in large part, on consumers' returns of shoes, for which they have not been reimbursed by Debtors. (Davidson Decl., ¶ 30).
- 32. The Receiver has determined that expending additional time and Receivership Estate resources to try to collect the Accounts Receivable is not likely to provide a cost effective means of generating a significant net return to the Receivership Estate and, in any event, will not generate sufficient funds to pay any dividend to unsecured creditors. (Davidson Decl., ¶ 31).
- 33. As reflected in the Final Report and further detailed below, the remaining proceeds of the asset Sale, plus collections on the Accounts Receivable and Litigation Claim (if any), are unlikely to exceed the unpaid balance of the Gerler Secured Claim and the administrative expenses to wind-up this receivership case.⁶ (Davidson Decl., ¶ 32 and

⁶ On January 18, 2018, pursuant to the January Distribution Order, the Receiver distributed \$1.6 million and the Litigation Claim to Gerler, leaving a balance owing on the

Exhibit 1).

G. Remaining Assets and Liabilities of the Estate.

- 34. The remaining assets in the estate are (a) approximately \$270,000 in cash, consisting of proceeds from the Sale, and collection of Accounts Receivable, (b) the Accounts Receivable, and (c) the Records. (Davidson Decl., ¶ 34).
- 35. As reflected in the Final Report and OLS's records, the Accounts Receivable balances owing to OLS total \$579,607. Based on the Receiver's review of the Accounts Receivable and prior collection efforts, in the Receiver's opinion the net realizable value of the Accounts Receivable, excluding the Amazon account receivable, is \$0. (Davidson Decl., ¶ 35).
- 36. The Receiver has received, but not yet analyzed, extensive data from Amazon (the "Amazon Data") with respect to the balance owing from Amazon, if any, to OLS. As reflected in the Final Report, the maximum recovery on the Amazon account, before reduction for setoffs or other defenses, is \$536,469. In light of the approximately \$1,550,000 balance owing on the Gerler Secured Claim and the estimated final cash distribution of \$185,000 against that claim, the Receiver has determined in the exercise of his reasonable business judgment that a comprehensive analysis of the Amazon Data is unlikely

Gerler Secured Claim of approximately \$1,550,000. The Receiver anticipates that Gerler will receive approximately \$185,000 as a final cash distribution from the Receivership Estate, in addition to the Accounts Receivable and Litigation Claim. In the Receiver's opinion, the Accounts Receivable and Litigation Claim are very unlikely to result in full satisfaction of the Gerler Secured Claim. If, however, Gerler does not foreclose on the Accounts Receivable and/or the Litigation Claim, or take those assets in partial satisfaction of his claim, then he is responsible to account to the Receiver, for the benefit of the Receivership Estate and its creditors, for any proceeds that he recovers in excess of the Gerler Secured Claim. (Davidson Decl., ¶ 33, Exhibit 2, at ¶ 3, and Exhibit 9, at ¶ 3).

to result in any recovery for the Receivership Estate in excess of the Gerler Secured Claim. In short, even if there is some value to the Amazon account, that value will accrue to the benefit of Gerler, and it is not in the best interests of the Receivership Estate or its creditors for the Receiver to expend further resources analyzing the Amazon data. (Davidson Decl., ¶ 36).

- 37. In addition, in the Receiver's opinion, the Records are of inconsequential value or benefit to the Receivership Estate and its creditors. (Davidson Decl., ¶ 37).
- 38. Expenses of the receivership estate have been accruing since the Appointment Date. The Final Report itemizes the receipts and disbursements associated with liquidating and managing the estate property. The Receiver and the Receiver's counsel will continue accruing fees and costs until this receivership is terminated by the Court and its affairs are wound-up. Unpaid fees and costs incurred by the receivership estate through the closing of this matter total an estimated \$85,000, including but not limited to an estimated \$32,500 for the Receiver's fees and costs from January 1, 2018, an estimated \$50,000 in fees and costs for Receiver's counsel from January 13, 2018, and an estimated \$2,500 for third party certified destruction of personal information stored on equipment abandoned by the Asset buyers, and other final costs to close the receivership estate (together, the "Administrative Expenses"). (Davidson Decl., ¶ 38).
- 39. All unpaid fees, costs and expenses to date, plus estimated additional fees, costs and expenses will be paid from funds held by the Receiver. Pursuant to the

⁷ The Receiver will not incur expenses to prepare tax returns for the Debtors or the Receivership Estate. Pursuant to the Receivership Order, the Receiver has "no obligation to file tax returns on behalf of Debtor[s]." (Receivership Order, ¶ 16).

Receivership Order, the Receiver and its counsel have a first position surcharging lien on assets of the estate for the administrative expenses of the Receivership Estate, including the estimated Administrative Expenses described above. (Davidson Decl., ¶ 39; Receivership Order, ¶ 12).

- 40. The Receiver requests authorization to (a) distribute any available funds, after payment in full of the Administrative Expenses, and (b) distribute and assign the Accounts Receivable, to Gerler in partial satisfaction of the Gerler Secured Claim. Transfer of the Accounts Receivable will be made pursuant to an assignment instrument in substantially the form submitted herewith. (Davidson Decl., ¶ 40, Exhibit 9).
- 41. Because the remaining assets in the Receivership Estate will not generate sufficient proceeds to pay the Gerler Secured Claim in full, there will be no distribution to any other creditors of the Receivership Estate. (Davidson Decl., ¶ 41).
- 42. Pursuant to RCW 7.60.150, the Receiver requests authorization to abandon the Records in place. (Davidson Decl., ¶ 42).
- 43. The Receiver has carried out its duties with due care and in substantial compliance with the Receivership Order and RCW 7.60 *et seq.* (Davidson Decl., ¶ 43).

II. <u>ISSUES PRESENTED</u>

- 44. This Motion presents the following issues:
 - A. Should the Court approve the Receiver's Final Report?
- B. Should the Court approve the payment of all unpaid expenses of the receivership estate, including prospective unpaid expenses to complete closing the receivership case?

26

1

- C. Should the Court approve final distributions of cash and the Accounts Receivable to the first priority secured creditor, Daniel Gerler?
- D. Should the Court authorize the Receiver to abandon the Records, in place?
- E. (i) Should the claims of the Alleged Secured Claimants be disallowed as secured claims, and re-characterized to be allowed as general unsecured claims?
- (ii) Should the alleged secured claims of Outlet Mall be disallowed or, alternatively, be declared subordinate to the Gerler Secured Claim?
- F. Should the Court terminate the receivership and discharge the Receiver?
 - G. Should the Court exonerate the Receiver's Bond?

III. EVIDENCE RELIED ON

In support of this Motion, the Receiver relies upon the records and files herein, the Declaration of John L. Davidson, and the Receiver's Final Report.

IV. ARGUMENT AND AUTHORITY

A. The Court Should Approve the Final Report.

45. Pursuant to RCW 7.60.290(2), "[t]he Receiver's final report and accounting setting forth all receipts and disbursements of the estate shall be annexed to the petition for discharge and filed with the court." The Receiver has filed its Final Report contemporaneously with this Motion. The Final Report provides all necessary information

⁸ Davidson Decl., Exhibit 1.

required under RCW 7.60.290. Accordingly, the Receiver respectfully requests that the Court accept the Final Report, approve all expenditures and distributions described therein and in this Motion, and relieve the Receiver of any further obligations under RCW 7.60.005 *et seg*.

- B. The Court Should Approve the Payment of all Unpaid Expenses of the Receivership Estate, Including Anticipated Expenses to Close the Receivership Case.
- A6. Expenses of the receivership estate have been accruing since the Appointment Date. The Final Report itemizes the receipts and disbursements associated with liquidating and managing the estate property. The Receiver and the Receiver's counsel will continue accruing fees and costs until this receivership is terminated by the Court and its affairs are wound-up. (Davidson Decl., ¶ 38, and Exhibit 1). Pursuant to the Receivership Order, the Receiver has been authorized to pay the Administrative Expenses and all other necessary and reasonable expenses incurred by the receivership. (*See* Receivership Order, ¶¶ 4 and 11).
- 47. To the extent that any excess funds remain after paying the Administrative Expenses if full, such funds shall be remitted by the Receiver to Gerler. (Davidson Decl., ¶ 44).
- 48. The Receiver estimates additional fees, costs and expenses of \$85,000 to complete the closing of the receivership case and post-receivership fees, costs and expenses. These amounts are based on the anticipated receivership expenses, including expenses for the Receiver and its counsel to wind-up the estate, and costs to destroy personal and confidential information from abandoned equipment housing this data. (Davidson Decl., ¶ 45). The approved fees and costs of the Receiver and its counsel, together with all other necessary and

reasonable expenses incurred by the receivership estate, are subject to "a first and paramount surcharging lien against the Assets [of the Receivership Estate]." (Receivership Order, ¶ 12). All unpaid administrative fees, costs and expenses to date, plus estimated additional fees, costs and expenses will be paid from funds held by the Receiver. (Davidson Decl., ¶ 45).

C. The Court Should Approve a Final Distribution to the First Priority Secured Creditor, Daniel Gerler.

- 49. Pursuant to RCW 7.60.230, creditors with secured claims shall receive the proceeds of the disposition of collateral of the estate "in accordance with their respective priorities under applicable law." RCW 7.60.230(1)(a). The first priority secured creditor, Daniel Gerler, is owed approximately \$1,550,000 on the Gerler Secured Claim. The allowed secured claims of other creditors have either been paid, disallowed, waived or withdrawn, as set forth above. The purported secured claims of the Alleged Secured Claimants and Outlet Mall should be disallowed pursuant to the relief sought in this Motion.
- 50. As noted above, the remaining cash on hand is approximately \$270,000, and the estimated recoverable value of the Accounts Receivable is \$0. The estimated additional Administrative Expenses total \$85,000.9 Even if the Receiver (or Gerler) were able to collect substantially all of the Accounts Receivable, all of the proceeds would be for the benefit of Gerler, and even then the Gerler Secured Claim would not be paid in full. Simply put, the Receivership Estate does not have sufficient cash and other assets to satisfy Gerler's claim in full. Accordingly, the Receiver requests Court approval to (a) assign the Accounts Receivable to Gerler, and (b) distribute to Gerler all remaining cash net of payment of the

⁹ The estimated additional Administrative Expenses includes those amounts that are the subject of the Receiver's Twelfth Notice of Compensation of Receiver and Professionals, which was filed on February 20, 2018.

Administrative Expenses, in partial satisfaction of the Gerler Secured Claim. (Davidson Decl., ¶¶ 33 and 38).

D. The Claims of the Alleged Secured Claimants and Outlet Mall Should be Disallowed as Secured Claims.

- 51. The receivership statute provides that a general receiver or any party interest may object to a claim at any time prior to entry of an order approving the general receiver's final report. RCW 7.60.220(1). The Final Report has not yet been approved by this Court, so the deadline to object to the claims of the Alleged Secured Claimants and Outlet Mall has not passed.
- 52. As detailed below, the claims of the Alleged Secured Claimants should be disallowed as secured claims, but be allowed as general unsecured claims in the amounts claimed. In addition, Outlet's First Claim should be disallowed in its entirety or, alternatively be declared junior in priority to the Gerler Secured. Claim. Likewise, at a minimum, the secured portion of Outlet's Second Claim should also be declared junior in priority to the Gerler Secured Claim.
- 53. Substantially all of the inventory and other OLS Collateral was brought on to the Premises after July 2014, when Gerler's security interests were granted and perfected.
- 54. The Alleged Secured Claimants. The Receiver objects to the alleged secured status of the three Alleged Secured Claimants. The Proofs of Claim that they submitted to the Receiver do not allege either (a) any agreement, applicable statute, or other law that would give rise to a lien or security interest for their claims, or (b) any specific assets of the Debtors that would be collateral for their alleged security interests or other liens. Because no legal basis for claiming a security interest or lien is even alleged, and because no particular

collateral is identified, the claims of the Alleged Secured Claimants - J/Slides, Portland Product Werks, LLC, and City Saver Guide, Inc. - should be disallowed as secured claims, and re-characterized and allowed as general unsecured claims in the amounts provided in their respective Proofs of Claim.

- 55. Outlet Mall Properties, L.C. Outlet Mall submitted two Proofs of Claim regarding its lease of the Premises to OLS. For the reasons discussed below, Outlet's First Claim should be disallowed in its entirety or, alternatively, declared to be subordinate to the first priority security interest that collateralizes the Gerler Secured Claim. Similarly, the secured portion of Outlet's Second Claim should also be disallowed or, alternatively, declared to be subordinate to the Gerler Secured Claim.
- 56. Outlet's First Claim is for rent that allegedly accrued as of the February 2, 2017 Appointment Date, in the amount of \$4,036.90. OLS's books and records, however, reflect that rent and other charges for the Premises were current as of January 31, 2017. In addition, OLS's records show that Outlet Mall held a security deposit of \$2,100. In short, OLS's records reflect that no amounts, net of the security deposit, were owing to Outlet Mall as of the Appointment Date. Second, Outlet's First Claim identifies no particular assets to which its alleged secured claim attaches, and no particular value for any such collateral. As a result, there is no basis to assert a claim for any particular assets (or the proceeds of the sale of those assets). Accordingly, Outlet's First Claim should be disallowed in its entirety.
- 57. Finally, any lien in favor of Outlet Mall is, for the reasons discussed below, junior to the security interests held by Gerler. Therefore, if Outlet's First Claim is allowed at all, the lien claimed by Outlet Mall should be declared junior and subordinate to the first

priority security interests that collateralize the Gerler Secured Claim.

- 58. Outlet's Second Claim includes secured claims for both (a) rent accrued from the Appointment Date through May 15, 2017 (\$7,777), and (b) additional allegedly secured amounts arising from rejection of the lease (\$6,157.65). The secured portions of Outlet's Second Claim should be disallowed. First, because the Rejection Order rejected the lease with Outlet as of the Appointment Date, it is not entitled to claim the rent that accrued after that date (February 2, 2017), through May 15, 2017, let alone claim that those amounts (\$7,777) are secured by a lien.¹⁰
- 59. Second, as with Outlet's First Claim, Outlet's Second Claim also identifies no particular assets to which its alleged secured claim attaches, and no particular value for any such collateral. As a result, there is no basis to assert a claim for any particular assets (or the proceeds of the sale of those assets).
- 60. Finally, even if there were a valid lien against assets situated at the Premises, under Virginia law that lien would be subordinate to Gerler's priority security interest, which secures payment of the Gerler Secured Claim. The landlord's lien statute in Virginia, on which Outlet Mall relies, provides that if goods "when carried on the premises, are subject to a lien, which is valid against his creditors" ¹¹ then only the "lessee's interests" are subject to the landlord's lien. Here, because the lessee's (OLS's) interests in inventory were subject to Gerler's pre-existing security interests when the inventory was "carried on the" Premises, Outlet Mall's landlord's lien is subject to the prior existing security interest held by Gerler.

 $^{^{10}}$ Davidson Decl., ¶ 27, and Exhibit 8, at p. 3.

¹¹ Code of Virginia § 55-231 (2017). *See* Davidson Decl., $\P\P$ 26-28, and Exhibit 7, at p. 3, and Exhibit 8, at p. 3.

Accordingly, even if there is a lien in favor of Outlet Mall, this Court should declare that it is subordinate to Gerler's priority security interests.

- 61. For the foregoing reasons, the amounts claimed as secured in Outlet's First Claim and Outlet's Second Claim should be disallowed. Alternatively, they should be declared to be subordinate to the security interests that collateralize the Gerler Secured Claim.
- E. The Court Should (i) Authorize the Receiver to Abandon the Records in Place, (ii) Terminate the Receivership, and (iii) Exonerate the Receiver's Bond.
- 62. Under RCW 7.60.150, the Court may authorize the receiver to abandon estate property "that is burdensome to the receiver or is of inconsequential value or benefit." As discussed above, the Records are of inconsequential value or benefit to the Receivership Estate. There is no value for general unsecured creditors, and the Receiver is not aware of any other assets in the Receivership Estate. The Receiver proposes to abandon the Records in place, after removal of private and confidential information from equipment housing the records.
- 63. The Receiver may move the Court to be discharged upon distribution or disposition of all property of the estate. RCW 7.60.290(1). Here, substantially all assets of the Receivership Estate, other than cash and the Accounts Receivable, were sold. The cash and Accounts Receivable are collateral for the Gerler Secured Claim. The value of the cash and the Accounts Receivable (and the previously distributed Litigation Claim) are insufficient to pay the unpaid balance of the Gerler Secured Claim in full. As a result, there will be no distribution to unsecured creditors of the Debtors. Therefore, discharge of the

Receiver is appropriate at this time. RCW 7.60.290 provides:

- (1) Upon distribution or disposition of all property of the estate, or the completion of the receiver's duties with respect to estate property, the receiver shall move the court to be discharged upon notice and a hearing.
- (2) The receiver's final report and accounting setting forth all receipts and disbursements of the estate shall be annexed to the petition for discharge and filed with the court.
- (3) Upon approval of the final report, the court shall discharge the receiver.
- (4) The receiver's discharge releases the receiver from any further duties and responsibilities as receiver under this chapter.
- (5) Upon motion of any party in interest, or upon the court's own motion, the court has the power to discharge the receiver and terminate the court's administration of the property over which the receiver was appointed. * * *
- 64. All assets of the estate, other than cash, the Accounts Receivable and Records, have been sold or previously distributed pursuant to order of this Court. Following the proposed distributions described above and in the Final Report, no property will remain in the Receivership Estate for sale or for distribution to general unsecured creditors. With no property remaining for the Receiver to administer, the Receiver will have completed its duties and discharge of the Receiver is appropriate. RCW 7.60.290(1). Accordingly, the Receiver requests that the Court approve the Receiver's discharge and terminate this receivership.
- 65. Through its discharge, the Receiver requests confirmation that both the Receiver and the Receiver's counsel be released form any liability or further obligation arising in or related to this receivership. RCW 7.60.170 provides that the Receiver shall have

no personal liability to any person for acts or omissions of the Receiver performed in connection with the Receiver's duties under RCW 7.60 *et seq.* or any order of this Court.

66. The Receiver further requests that the Receiver's Bond be exonerated.

V. CONCLUSION

The Receiver respectfully requests that the Court grant this Motion, enter an order substantially in the form attached hereto as <u>Exhibit A</u>, and grant such other relief as is just and proper under the circumstances.

Dated this 5th day of March, 2018.

SCHWABE, WILLIAMSON & WYATT, P.C.

By: /s/ Farron Curry
Alex I. Poust, WSBA #22660
apoust@schwabe.com
Farron Curry, WSBA #40559
fcurry@schwabe.com
1420 5th Avenue, Suite 3400
Seattle, WA 98101
Attorneys for Oswego Group LLC, dba
Inverness Group, Receiver

I certify that this memorandum contains 5,957 words, in compliance with the Local Civil Rules.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned declares under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of Washington, that the following is true and correct:

That on the 5th day of March, 2018, I arranged for service of the foregoing (1)

RECEIVER'S OMNIBUS MOTION TO (1) APPROVE FINAL REPORT, (2) AUTHORIZE

DISTRIBUTION OF REMAINING ESTATE PROPERTY, (3) AUTHORIZE

ABANDONMENT OF RECORDS, (4) DISALLOW AND RE-CHARACTERIZE CERTAIN

CLAIMS FILED AS SECURED CLAIMS, (5) EXONERATE THE RECEIVER'S BOND, (6)

DISCHARGE RECEIVER AND TERMINATE RECEIVERSHIP, AND (7) FOR RELATED

RELIEF, and (2) EXHIBIT A ([Proposed] Order: (1) Approving Final Report, (2)

Authorizing Distribution of Remaining Estate Property, (3) Authorizing Abandonment of

Records, (4) Disallowing and Re-Characterizing Certain Claims, (5) Exonerating the

Receiver's Bond, (6) Discharging the Receiver and Terminating the Receivership, and

Granting Related Relief) to the parties to this action as follows:

Dance W. Leaverton For WCD A #15220	Inter D. Dinnardi, Eng. WCD A #0200
Bruce W. Leaverton, Esq., WSBA #15329	John R. Rizzardi, Esq., WSBA #9388
leavertonb@lanepowell.com	jrizzardi@cairncross.com
Greg Fox, Esq., WSBA #30559	Cairncross & Hempelmann P.S.
foxg@lanepowell.com	524 Second Avenue, Suite 500
Lane Powell, P.C.	Seattle, WA 98104-2323
1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4100	Telephone: 206.587.0700
Seattle, WA 98101-2338	Facsimile: 206.587.2308
Telephone: 206.223.7000	Counsel for Onlineshoes.com, Inc. and
Facsimile: 206.223.7107	Shoes.com, Inc.
Counsel for Wells Fargo Bank National	Via email and U.S. Mail
Association	
Via email and U.S. Mail	
George S. Treperinas, Esq., WSBA #15434	Lorne W. Segal, Esq.
gtreperinas@karrtuttle.com	lorne.segal@gowlingwlg.com
Karr Tuttle Campbell	Gowling WLG (Canada) LLP
701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3300	160 Elgin Street, Suite 2600
Seattle, WA 98104	Ottawa, ON K1P 1C3
Telephone: 206.223.1313	CANADA
Facsimile: 206.682.7100	Telephone: 613.233.1781
Counsel for Caleres Investment Co., Inc.	Facsimile: 613.563.9869
An interested party	Counsel for Caleres Investment Co., Inc.
Via email and U.S. Mail	An interested party
	Via email and U.S. Mail

1	Bradley R. Duncan, Esq., WSBA #36436	Katherine A. Seabright, Esq., WSBA #48330
	brad.duncan@hcmp.com	kseabright@riddellwilliams.com
2	Amit D. Ranade, WSBA #34878	Riddell Williams, P.S.
2	amit.ranade@hcmp.com	1001 Fourth Avenue, Suite 4500
3	Hillis Clark Martin & Peterson, P.S.	Seattle, WA 98154-1192
4	999 Third Avenue, Suite 4600	Telephone: 206.624.3600
4	Seattle, WA 98104	Facsimile: 206.389.1708
اے	Telephone: 206.623.1745	Counsel for Creditor Microsoft Corporation and
5	Facsimile: 206.623.7789	Microsoft Licensing GP
6	Counsel for Secured Creditor Daniel Gerler	Via email and U.S. Mail
6	Via email and U.S. Mail	
7	Paul Jennings, Esq.	Alan J. Wenokur, Esq., WSBA #13679
7	pjennings@bassberry.com	alan@wrlawgroup.com
8	Bass, Berry & Sims, PLC	Wenokur Riordan PLLC
0	150 Third Avenue South, Suite 2800	600 Stewart Street, Suite 1300
9	Nashville, TN 37201	Seattle, WA 98101
9	Telephone: 615.742.6200	Telephone: 206.682.6224
10	Facsimile: 615.742.6293	Counsel for Creditor GEODIS
10	Counsel for Creditor GEODIS	Via email
11	Via email and U.S. Mail	
11	Robert G. Hanseman, Esq.	Tevia Jeffries, Esq.
12	rhanseman@ssdlaw.com	Tevia.jeffries@dentons.com
	Sebaly Shillito + Dyer	Dentons Canada LLP
13	1900 Kettering Tower	250 Howe Street, 20th Floor
	40 N. Main Street	Vancouver, BC V6C3R8 CANADA
14	Dayton, OH 45423-1013	Telephone: 604.691.6427
	Telephone: 937.222.2500	Counsel for Deans Knight Capital Mgmt.
15	Facsimile:	Via email and U.S. Mail
	Counsel for Crown Credit Corp.	
16	Via email and U.S. Mail Mark J. Kalla	Todd M. Mortin, Eco.
	mkalla@lapplibra.com	Todd M. Martin, Esq. tmartin@alvarezandmarsal.com
17	Lapp, Libra, Thomson, Stoebner & Pusch,	Tom Powell, Esq.
	Chartered	tpowell@alvarezandmarsal.com
18	120 South 6 th Street, Suite 2500	Alvarez & Marsal Canada Inc.
	Minneapolis, MN 55402	Commerce Place
19	Direct: 612.343.4964	400 Burrard Street, Suite 1680
	Facsimile: 612.338.6651	Vancouver, BC V6C 3A6
20	Counsel for Red Wing Brands of America, Inc.	Telephone: 604.638.7440
21	Via email and U.S. Mail	Facsimile: 604.638.7441
	, the street with Sist Mann	Canadian Receiver
		Via email and U.S. Mail
22		

1	Magnus C. Verbrugge, Esq.	Attention: Anthony DiTirro
	mverbrugge@blg.com	Rosenthal & Rosenthal, Inc
2	Bordon Ladner Gervais LLP	1370 Broadway
_	1200 Waterfront Centre	New York, NY 10018
3	200 Burrard Street	PH#: 212-366-1464
	P. O. Box 48600	Email: TDiTirro@rosenthalnc.com
4	Vancouver, BC CANADA V7X 1T2	Via email and U.S. Mail
_	Telephone: 604.640.4198	
5	Facsimile: 604.622.5898	
_	Counsel for the Canadian Receiver	
6	Via email and U.S. Mail	
_	Michael M. Eiedelman	Karen Ruby
7	Vedder Price P.C.	karen.ruby@nordstrom.com
0	222 N. LaSalle Street	Via email only
8	Chicago, IL 60601	
0	Email: meidelman@vedderprice.com	
9	Counsel for Shoes Joint Venture LLC	
10	Via email and U.S. Mail	
10	Kara C. Herschkowitz, Esq., WSBA #40569	Katriana L. Samiljan, Esq., WSBA #28672
11	Nordstrom, Inc.	ksamiljan@bskd.com
11	1700 7 th Avenue, Suite 700	Bush Kornfeld, LLP
12	Seattle, WA 98101-4404	601 Union Street, Suite 5000
12	Telephone: 206.303.2546	Seattle, WA 98101-2373
13	Counsel for Nordstrom, Inc.	Telephone: 206.292.2110
13	Via U.S. Mail only	Facsimile: 206.292.2104
14		Counsel for Wolverine Outdoors, Inc. and Tiger
14		Capital Group, LLC
15	M 1 D N 1 D WODA #16047	Via email and U.S. Mail
13	Mark D. Northrup, Esq., WSBA #16947	ROBERT W. FERGUSON
16	mark.northrup@millernash.com	Attorney General
10	Miller Nash Graham & Dunn	Dina L. Yunker Frank, WSBA #16889
17	2801 Alaskan Way, Suite 300	dinay@atg.wa.gov
1,	Seattle, WA 98121	Assistant Attorney General
18	Telephone: 206.777.7536 Counsel for Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.	Bankruptcy & Collections Unit
10	Via email and U.S. Mail	800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98104-3188
19	Via emaii ana O.S. Maii	Telephone: 205.389.2198
		Facsimile: 206.587.5150
20		Counsel for State of Washington, Departments
		of
21		Revenue and Labor & Industries
		Via email and U.S. Mail
22		, we offere that O.D. Hitte

Michael E. Domesu	Michael E. Domesu
Michael E. Barney	Michael E. Barney
Outlet Mall Properties, L.C.	Kaufman & Canoles, P.C.
1080 Laskin Rd., #103	2101 Parks Avenue
Virginia Beach, VA 23451	Suite 700
Email: mebarney@kaufcan.com	Virginia Beach, VA 23451
Via email and U.S. Mail	email: mebarney@kaufcan.com
	Tel.: 757.491.4040
	Fax: 800.360.9092
	Counsel for Outlet Mall Properties, L.C.
	Via email and U.S. Mail
City Saver Guide, Inc.	Portland Product Werks, LLC
104 S. First Colonial Rd., #115	1200 NW Naito Parkway, #490
Virginia Beach, VA 23454	Portland, OR 97209
Attention: Ron Brooks, President	Attention: Wendy Spence
Email: ron@citysaverguide.com	Email: sean@portlandproductwerks.com
Tel.: 757.454.2400	Tel: 503.481.2280
Via email and U.S. Mail	Via email and U.S. Mail
J/Slides	Tonjia Miller
55 Lumber Rd.	3015 S. Tyler Street, Apt. 10
Roslyn , NY 11576	Tacoma, WA 98405
Email: info@jslidesfootwear.com	Via U.S. Mail
Email: winnie@jslidesfootwear.com	
Tel.: 212.989.0842	
Via email and U.S. Mail	

/s/ Farron Curry Farron Curry, WSBA #40559

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE - 4

SCHWABE, WILLIAMSON & WYATT, P.C. Attorneys at Law U.S. Bank Centre 1420 5th Avenue, Suite 3400 Seattle, WA 98101-4010 Telephone 206-622-1711

1 2 3 4 5 6 Ex Parte 7 Hearing Date: April 10, 2018 Time of Hearing: 1:30 p.m. 8 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON 9 FOR THE COUNTY OF KING 10 WELLS FARGO BANK, NATIONAL 11 ASSOCIATION, a national banking association, No. 17-2-02462-7SEA 12 Petitioner, 13 [PROPOSED] ORDER: (1) APPROVING FINAL REPORT, (2) VS. 14 AUTHORIZING DISTRIBUTION OF ONLINESHOES.COM INC., a Washington REMAINING ESTATE PROPERTY, 15 corporation; SHOES.COM, INC., a Delaware (3) AUTHORIZING ABANDONMENT corporation, OF RECORDS, (4) DISALLOWING 16 AND RE-CHARACTERIZING Respondents. CERTAIN CLAIMS, (5) 17 EXONERATING THE RECEIVER'S BOND, (6) DISCHARGING THE 18 RECEIVER AND TERMINATING THE RECEIVERSHIP, AND 19 **GRANTING RELATED RELIEF** 20 21 THIS MATTER came before the Court on the Receiver's Omnibus Motion to (1) 22 23 Approve Final Report, (2) Authorize Distribution of Remaining Estate Property, (3) 24 Authorize Abandonment of Records, (4) Disallow and Re-Characterize Certain Claims Filed 25 as Secured Claims, (5) Exonerate the Receiver's Bond, (6) Discharge Receiver and 26 SCHWABE, WILLIAMSON & WYATT, P.C. [PROPOSED] ORDER APPROVING FINAL REPORT,

RELATED RELIEF - 1 PDX\119661\221130\AP\22413493.1

TERMINATING RECEIVERSHIP, AND GRANTING

SCHWABE, WILLIAMSON & WYATT, P.C. Attorneys at Law U.S. Bank Centre 1420 5th Avenue, Suite 3400 Seattle, WA 98101-4010 Telephone: 206,622,1711

Terminate Receivership, and (7) for Related Relief (the "Motion"). The Court having duly considered the Motion, the Declaration of John L. Davidson, the Final Report attached as Exhibit 1 thereto, the briefs in response to the Motion, if any, and the records and files herein, and it appearing that notice of the hearing on the Motion was proper and sufficient under the circumstances; the Court being fully advised, now, therefore,

IT IS HEREBY FOUND AND ORDERED as follows:

- 1. The Motion is granted in its entirety
- 2. Oswego Group LLC, doing business as Inverness Group, the general receiver ("Receiver") for Onlineshoes.com Inc. ("OLS"), and Shoes.com, Inc. ("Shoes.com," and together with OLS, the "Debtors"), has carried out its duties with due care and in substantial compliance with the February 2, 2017, Stipulated Order Appointing General Receiver, and with RCW 7.60, *et seq*.
- 3. The Receiver's Final Report is hereby approved. The Receiver is relieved of all further reporting and accounting obligations.
- 4. The Receiver is authorized to make payments for all outstanding receivership fees and expenses incurred through the termination of this receivership and the winding up of its affairs, from the funds currently held by the Receiver, including but not limited to an estimated \$32,500 for the Receiver's fees and costs from January 1, 2018, an estimated \$50,000 in fees and costs for Receiver's counsel from January 13, 2018, and an estimated \$2,500 for third party certified destruction of personal information stored on equipment abandoned by the Asset buyers, and other final costs to close the receivership estate (together, the "Administrative Expenses").
 - 5. The Receiver is authorized to distribute to Daniel Gerler, the first priority

¹ Capitalized terms not otherwise defined in this Order have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.

1	11. The Receiver's Bond dated effective as of February 7, 2017, in the amount of
2	\$10,000.00, issued by Western Surety Company, as surety (Bond No. 63069169), is hereby
3	exonerated and the surety discharged.
4	Dated this day of April, 2018.
5	
6	
7	COMMISSIONER/JUDGE
8	Presented by:
9	
10	SCHWABE WILLIAMSON & WYATT, P.C.
11	
12	By: <u>/s/ Farron Curry</u> Alex Poust, WSBA #22660
13	apoust@schwabe.com
14	Farron Curry, WSBA #40559 fcurry@schwabe.com
15	1420 5 th Avenue, Suite 3400
16	Seattle, WA 98101 Telephone: 206.622.1711
17	Facsimile: 206.292.04
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	