

Integrity Bible Study 3: Not Fully Quaked- 2 Timothy 3:1-9

Vocabulary

- Jannes & Jambres – The names of the Egyptian magicians who opposed Moses in Exodus 7:8-13¹
- Gullible/Weak/Vulnerable/Idle Women – a derogatory term used to during the era
- Theology – the words we use to communicate what we believe about God
- Spirituality – the way we live out what we believe about God
- “Fully Quaked” – When our theology and our spiritually come together in such a way that what we say we believe about God is apparent in how we live in the world

Getting Started

- 1) Many restaurants put disclaimers in their menus about steak, hamburgers and seafood being dangerous to consume if they aren't fully cooked. Why do you think restaurants allow people to order food that isn't fully cooked if they know that the food could be dangerous?

- 2) Con-artists have a reputation for targeting people who are vulnerable. What might make a con-artist feel like a person is a good target?

Into the Word

- 1) Both the teachers who deceive the vulnerable women in the lesson and the vulnerable women themselves could be seen as not being fully “quaked.” What elements from the scripture support the idea that each group “hold a form of godliness but deny its power”?

| The Teachers | The Vulnerable Women |
|--------------|----------------------|
| | |
| | |

¹ This is according to early non-Biblical sources that would've been known the churches whom Paul served

Integrity Bible Study 3: Not Fully Quaked- 2 Timothy 3:1-9

| | |
|--|--|
| | |
| | |

2) According to Paul’s advice to Timothy in verses 1-4, the times will be difficult and people will display a lot of negative qualities “in the last days.” Do you believe the times will be difficult because people will display the negative qualities or do you believe people will display the negative qualities as a way of coping with the difficult times? How does the scripture support your answer?

Bringing Things Current:

3) Have you ever met someone who said they needed something but rejected your offer when you offered to provide it in a different way than they requested it (e.g. someone asks for money for food but rejects food when you offer to give them food instead of the money they requested)? If so, did their rejection make you second-guess whether they really needed the food or not? Why is that?

4) What kinds of things can we do to help us make sure that we’re fully quaked?
