

Fwd: NFRC170902001711 (NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED)

Low Newbiggin Estate <holidays@lownewbiggin.co.uk>

Tue 19/12/2017 15:45

To: steve bincham <STVEBINCH@HOTMAIL.COM>;

?

Begin forwarded message:

From: AF Team <contact@actionfraud.pnn.police.uk>
Subject: NFRC170902001711 (NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED)
Date: 19 December 2017 at 14:01:58 GMT
To: Low Newbiggin Estate <holidays@lownewbiggin.co.uk>

Classification: **NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED**

U/41722/17/FA

Dear Sir,

Thank you for your email.

Action Fraud is the UK's national reporting centre for fraud and internet crime, and takes crime and information reports on behalf of the police and gives advice and fraud prevention guidance. Action Fraud does **not** have powers of investigation, all reports taken by Action Fraud to the National Fraud Intelligence Bureau (NFIB) for assessment. The NFIB collates and analyses intelligence on fraud, identifying viable lines of enquiry and developing crime packages for dissemination to a police force or other regulatory body for potential investigation.

Your report has been assessed by the NFIB who say that the circumstances have been reviewed in accordance with Home Office rules governing how police record all crime. As a result, the NFIB has decided that on this occasion we are no longer recording the incident you have reported as a crime. Your report appears to be outside the Home Office rules governing how police record crimes within Action Fraud and the NFIB.

There are a number of reasons this can happen, such as additional information coming to light that confirms no crime in law took place, or because the incident had previously been recorded as a crime in another report. Action Fraud have liaised with the NFIB who say unfortunately, your report appears to be a civil matter.

Please be assured that the NFIB will retain your report and as with all reports at the NFIB they are reassessed daily for usable leads and links to other criminality. Although your report did not contain enough information for an investigation at this time, the data you provided remains in the national database and may link to another crime in the future. You will not be contacted again unless this occurs.

Regards,

Information Hub Team
Public Enquiries

ActionFraud
contact@actionfraud.police.uk

Telephone 0300 123 2040

Textphone 0300 123 2050

From: Low Newbiggin Estate [<mailto:holidays@lownewbiggin.co.uk>]

Sent: 11 November 2017 10:35

To: AF Team <contact@actionfraud.pnn.police.uk>; general.enquiries@northyorkshire.pnn.police.uk

Cc: Low Newbiggin Estate <holidays@lownewbiggin.co.uk>; Louise (Retail Legal) Paterson

<LouisePaterson@lloydsbanking.com>; Group

<groupexecutivecomplaints@lloydsbanking.com>; antonio.osorio@lloydsbanking.com; Laura Wilmshurst

<LauraWilmshurst@eversheds-sutherland.com>; Heidi Short <HeidiShort@eversheds-sutherland.com>;

Scarborough County Court <enquiries@scarborough.countycourt.gsi.gov.uk>; Robert Lockyer

<Robert.Lockyer@lloydsts-offshore.com>; Martin Watt

<martin_watt@bankofscotland.co.uk>; bryanhughes@eversheds-sutherland.com; Richard Marsland

<richard.marsland6@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk>; Bobby Brown

<bobby.brown@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk>; leeranson@eversheds-sutherland.com; Robert Goodwill

<robert.goodwill.mp@parliament.uk>

Subject: NFRC170902001711

*******URGENT*******

By Recorded Post and Email

Dear Sirs

Firstly we note that our email request of 29th of September 2017, to mark our correspondence

*****RESTRICTED***** or *****CONFIDENTIAL***** has not been responded to our actioned. Neither has a confidential password been given to us not to be sent by email. Would you kindly ensure that either this will done, or give us an explanation for not doing this, as we are uncomfortable to supply confidential internal documentation proving this case as Fraud until our case file is 100% 'secure' due to the sensitivity and public interest in this matter.

Please see the below emails.

Further to the Order for possession AND a money judgment given by HHJ Raeside on the 4th October 2017 at Leeds Combined courtroom number 15, we have yesterday been contacted by telephone and returned the call and spoke with Vivien at Eversheds London. Vivian advised that the Bank of Scotland have applied for an order from the court to evict us from our home. We have received no visit, no phone call and no communication from the bank since the 31st October 2017.

HHJ Raeside's possession Order is proof that Lloyds Halifax Bank of Scotland are trying to steal our home, business, equity and pension plan of 16 years under false and unlawful pretences.

This matter is now definitive as Criminal matter and this communication serves as an Official NOTICE reporting a serious crime.

- The Bank of Scotland has entrapped us into participating in an illegitimate Civil Justice process
- We were deceived into believing that the UK Government owned and operated, Civil, Court, courtroom, judge and procedure process would be an impartial, unbiased and unprejudiced process.
- The Court Judge and process is a private corporation and as such sits outside of the UK Government jurisdiction.
- This Court system has proceeded on the basis that we are dead people as proofed by the use of Capital names for our full names as used by the State to form incorporations in each of our names as 'private' trading platforms for international corporations for profit and hidden from us, the real people.
- The Private UK Court Civil system is operating without the persons involved in the process being offered any protection by means of indemnity bond numbers, because they don't have

- any.
- The Defendants were not given a ‘Fair or Just’ hearing.
 - The Judges did Bias and prejudice the defendants case.
 - The Judges therefore has breached his sworn Oaths on many accounts.
 - The Judges are therefore in contempt of Court
 - The Judges therefore are at that point no longer Public Officials
 - The Judges are impersonating Public Officials and are in contempt of Court

Lord Diplock in *Att-Gen v. Times Newspapers Ltd.* [1974], ante, outlines the various ways which the due administration of justice might be prejudiced:

"The due administration of justice requires first that all citizens should have unhindered access to the constitutionally established courts of criminal or civil jurisdiction for the determination of disputes as their legal rights and liabilities;

secondly, that they should be able to rely upon obtaining in the courts the arbitrament of a tribunal which is free from bias against any party and whose decision will be based upon those facts only that have been proved in evidence adduced before it in accordance with the procedure adopted in courts of law; and

thirdly that, once the dispute has been submitted to a court of law, they should be able to rely upon there being no usurpation by any other person of the function of the court to decide according to law.

Conduct which is calculated to prejudice any of these requirements or to undermine public confidence that they will be observed is contempt of court"

- The Bank of Scotland do not have, nor have they never had a valid contract of Mortgage with the Defendants as they clearly breached the contract ‘before the ink was dry’ They deceived us and failed to disclose and or highlight various terms of the mortgage which we were not aware of and had no control over. There was no consideration so there is, by law, no enforceable. contract The bank has no lawful or legal Power of Attorney.
- Neither The bank of Scotland nor any Party affiliated and transacted with them holds the original deeds to the property known as Low Newbiggin House.
- They have registered illegal and or unlawful, illegitimate information on an illegitimate (Non Governmental) Land Registry system
- It is alleged that they have retrospectively doctored information on the ‘illegitimate’ Land Registry system. Persons at the Land Registry have tried to retract communications with the defendants.
- The bank of Scotland lawyers including Eversheds or their employees are alleged to have advised/acted in similar matters where the Bank has been proven to have failed its ‘Duty of Care’ and claims have been dismissed or charges have been brought against the Bank of Scotland, resulting in compensation, remedy and confirmation for the Defendants or Claimants bringing charges against the bank.
- Eversheds Sutherlands therefore are acting for the bank with conscious awareness that they are conspiring and assisting LLOYDS Bank of Scotland’s Fraud and bringing harm and or prejudicing and biasing cases and claims brought against LLOYDS Halifax Bank of Scotland and or Others. **There should be an immediate law imposed from lawyers acting in similar cases post rulings mad by the courts !!!**

We are real living people as attested by Affidavits submitted to the high Court and sworn under Oath and witnessed by Rev. C Murtagh the longest established Canon Lawyer in the UK. As real living people we were born with divine birth rights, which we are claiming and seek to rely on to ensure that we receive a fair judicial system to uphold.

This matter has been refused a review by the Court of Appeal and now sits under investigation by the Supreme Court.

The Defendants never sought to bring harm to Lloyds or Bank of Scotland. The Bank of Scotland brought the illegitimate and fraudulent claim against us. We have no choice but to defend, as is our natural Civil and political rights.

We seek the assistance and protection of the City Of London Police and the National Fraud Intelligence Bureau, from any furthering of this unlawful process.

We therefore now are left with no choice and it is our right to Demand that Lloyds Bank of Scotland are formally Charged with Fraud with immediate effect and those people that have sought to harm the Defendants and Others and have perjured themselves in court when they lied under Oath, are arrested with immediate effect. Not to do so would constitute further breaches of sworn Oath by public officials employed to protect the people.

The Defendants retain the right to accept remedy and withdraw charges in the event that Lloyds Bank of Scotland can demonstrate and prove the defendants wrong in their findings.

In Truth

Paul Michaels
For and on behalf of;
Paul Michaels, Charlotte Sarah Michaels and Others.

Begin forwarded message:

From: Low Newbiggin Estate <holidays@lownewbiggin.co.uk>
Subject: Fwd: BOS v Michaels 4PA41550 & A3-2017-2879 CoA
Date: 10 November 2017 at 17:14:56 GMT
To: Registry Uksc <registry@supremecourt.uk>
Cc: "antonio.osorio@lloydsbanking.com" <antonio.osorio@lloydsbanking.com>, Group <grouperxecutivecomplaints@lloydsbanking.com>, Claudia Chiatto <claudia.chiatto@lloydsbanking.com>

Dear Kelly-Anne

Please see below email sent today to our account contact at Bank of Scotland.

It appears that the Bank of Scotland are totally oblivious to the criminal charges being brought against them. Their actions to date are being compounded by the banks application to the court for an eviction notice and entry warrant. Id o hope that a judge signs an official court seal and provides his bond number so that he/she can be included in any criminal investigation

Have a good weekend

Kind regards

Paul Michaels

Begin forwarded message:

From: Low Newbiggin Estate <holidays@lownewbiggin.co.uk>
Subject: Fwd: BOS v Michaels 4PA41550 & A3-2017-2879 CoA
Date: 10 November 2017 at 17:02:17 GMT
To: "Louise (Retail Legal) Paterson" <LouisePaterson@lloydsbanking.com>

Dear Louise

Eversheds Sutherland MEU dept called me today to advise that the Bank of Scotland has applied to the court for a warrant for our eviction from our home. They said that they would call back next week but to be advised.

Charlotte was unavailable at the time, but to be honest she is in such a fragile state that I think it was fortuitous that she wasn't available today.

Have a good weekend, Kind regards

Paul

Begin forwarded message:

From: Low Newbiggin Estate <holidays@lownewbiggin.co.uk>
Subject: Re: BOS v Michaels 4PA41550 & A3-2017-2879 CoA
Date: 10 November 2017 at 10:48:36 GMT
To: UKSC Registry <registry@supremecourt.uk>
Cc: Low Newbiggin Estate <holidays@lownewbiggin.co.uk>

Dear Kelly- Anne

For the Supreme Courts consideration and records, I attach a copy of our SAR letter to the Ministry of Justice. Further please see below conformation of an Ex-Gratia payment to Bank of Scotland, 'Non Assumpsit'

Kind regards

Paul Michaels
For and on behalf of
Paul Michaels, Charlotte Sarah Michaels & Others

Begin forwarded message:

From: Low Newbiggin Estate
<holidays@lownewbiggin.co.uk>
Subject: Re: Payment. BOS & TMB Promissory Notes
Date: 28 October 2017 at 14:53:55 BST
To: "Louise (Retail Legal) Paterson"
<LouisePaterson@lloydsbanking.com>
Cc: "holidays@lownewbiggin.co.uk"
<holidays@lownewbiggin.co.uk>, "civilappeals.cmsa@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk"
<civilappeals.cmsa@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk>, Richard Marsland <richard.marsland6@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk>, Bobby Brown <bobby.brown@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk>, AF Team <contact@actionfraud.pnn.police.uk>, "antonio.osorio@lloydsbanking.com" <antonio.osorio@lloydsbanking.com>, Claudia Chiatto <claudia.chiatto@lloydsbanking.com>, Group

<grouppexecutivecomplaints@lloydsbanking.com>, Robert Lockyer <Robert.Lockyer@lloydstsb-offshore.com>, carae@lexi.net, Sandra Irving <irvingsl@nbnet.nb.ca>, Charlie Bird <charlie@burkelaw.ca>, "c_bird@rogers.com" <c_bird@rogers.com>, allison <allison@wbmlawyers.nb.ca>, "Backman, Ross" <ross.backman@rbc.com>, "Garbhan.Shanks@michelmores.com" <Garbhan.Shanks@michelmores.com>, Andrew Baines <Andrew.Baines@michelmores.com>

Dear Ms Paterson

The terms and conditions attached to the Promissory Note which state that if the original note is not returned with a valid reason for not accepting it then this matter with specific relation to the balance claimed by the bank but denied by us is considered settled.

- You did duly 'engage' in a contract re the Promissory Notes
- You have not returned the original Promissory notes and
- You have not provided us with a valid reason why you will not accept the Promissory notes which are Valid Financial instruments under the Bills of Exchange Act 1882.
- You have not advised the court that this matter is settled .(alleged mortgage balance)
- Your barrister Mr McKlusky would not be drawn on the point that this matter is settled. (alleged mortgage balance)
- You have deceived the court
- You have furthered the claims of Fraud against Lloyds HBOS
- You have persuaded the court to give 28 days notice period to vacate a 9000 soft property of our and our children personal belongings furniture and garden equipment collected over 16 years, without any chance to arrange transport storage facility or any cash reserves to pay for the aforementioned.
- You have acted disgracefully yet you state in your last correspondence that you may take a sympathetic view if we are in financial difficulties. Yet you are about to take our last source of income from us.
- We are still waiting to see any evidence that you acknowledge the position that you have put us in moreover any tangible evidence that you are even remotely interested in assisting us despite our best efforts to work with you.

We will see you in the Supreme Court and if we don't receive Justice there the World Court.

We are sorry that you feel that two hard working and honest real people deserve this treatment.

Sincerely
Paul Michaels
Low Newbiggin Estate

On 15 Sep 2017, at 18:06, Low
Newbiggin Estate
<holidays@lownewbiggin.co.uk> wrote:

Dear Louise

Please see attached, delivered in person to your London offices today.

Have a good weekend.

Regards

Paul

<Promissory Notes -Bills of exchange,
delivered for BOS & TMB
Mortgages.pdf>

<IMG_2477.jpeg>

On 9 Nov 2017, at 13:57, UKSC Registry
<registry@supremecourt.uk> wrote:

Dear Sir

I acknowledge receipt of your email which has been referred to the Registrar who will respond to you in due course.

Kind regards

Kelly-Anne Coleman
Case Manager
Supreme Court of the United Kingdom and

Judicial Committee of the Privy Council

Tel 020 7960 1989

From: Low Newbiggin Estate
[mailto:holidays@lownewbiggin.co.uk]
Sent: 07 November 2017 16:25
To: UKSC Registry <registry@supremecourt.uk>
Cc: Louise (Retail Legal) Paterson
<LouisePaterson@lloydsbanking.com>; Robert Goodwill
<robert.goodwill.mp@parliament.uk>
Subject: Fwd: BOS v Michaels 4PA41550 & A3-2017-2879
CoA

Dear Sirs

Thank you for the time and courtesy extended to me from your colleague Nigel, during our telephone discussion today.

Please see below emails to Scott McPherson at the Ministry of Justice and Lord Justice Newey re the Court of Appeal.

As discussed.....In the above matter we seek direction and permission of the Supreme Court of the United Kingdom, to have this matter heard in the UK Supreme Court. If it does not grant permission or encourage the bank of Scotland to bring sufficient remedy to the defendants then we will submit our case to the World Court in the Hague.

We had a lawful right to have our case heard by the court of Appeal however they refused.(see attached Lord Justice Newey's ' Refusal' to Appeal dated 27.10.17) and the Defendants letter of response dated 30.10.17 confirmed as delivered) Lord Justice Newey ignored 313 pages of Affidavits and Annexes sworn under Oath and witnessed by one of the country's most senior and established Canon Lawyers Rev. C.Murtagh. We suggest therefore the said documents are now in the jurisdiction of and under the watchful eye of the Vatican.

This matter is now a serious matter of Public interest. Had the full and true facts been considered allowed and acted on it would prove beyond doubt that most if not all mortgages and loans in this country in fact the world are invalid and as such should be struck out with the borrowers being compensated.

The City of London National Fraud Intelligence Bureau has taken a statement from us under Crime reference Number NFRC170902001711 They have seen the evidence proving that the Bank of Scotland should NOT be taking this line with us.

We are having some difficulty with the application forms to submit the particulars of our Case to the Supreme Court. Your standard administration process requests details of our appeal which has been refused. Kindly guide us to a separate form, or confirm that it is acceptable to supply the full particulars of our case with a personalised covering letter sent to the registry for the courts consideration.

This is a very serious complaint against the Bank of Scotland (LLOYDS) and is indeed now a serious matter of public interest (How can Fraud on this level not be?) I can confirm that The United Kingdom Supreme Court therefore does have jurisdiction in this matter, and we demand that this case is reviewed at the highest level.

HHJ Raeside's date on the Order for possession AND judgement of 31st October, has now passed. We are therefore in a state of limbo and further uncertainty and stress, until we can gain clarity and guidance from more senior powers, regards the lawfulness of the procedures and process of which we befallen victims.

We look forward to hearing from you.

In truth

Paul Michaels
For and Behalf of
Paul Michaels, Charlotte Sarah Michaels & Others.
Low Newbiggin Estate
Aislaby
Whitby
North Yorkshire
YO21 1TQ
United Kingdom
t +44 (0) 1947 811 811
m +44 (0) 774 779 3333

Begin forwarded message:

From: Low Newbiggin Estate
<holidays@lownewbiggin.co.uk>
Subject: Fwd: BOS v Michaels 4PA41550 & A3-2017-2879 CoA
Date: 30 October 2017 at 07:55:59 GMT
To: scott.mcperson@justice.gsi.gov.uk

Dear Scott

I note that I received no response to my previous letters, emails or telephone calls?

Please see the below email. I hope it doesn't make you choke on your cornflakes.

Your department is a shambles and a disgrace to all that it is supposed to stand for, Just - ICE.

I do hope that your conscience allows you to correct this buggers muddle before the matter gets out of hand. However if you do not intervene and request that the Bank makes a FULL disclosure to us, then your actions will be included in our reporting of this as a CRIMINAL matter.

Change is upon the World, and nothing will stop this steam train 'coming through'.

Kind regards
Paul Michaels
Low Newbiggin Estate
Aislaby
Whitby
North Yorkshire
YO21 1TQ
United Kingdom of State Buggery.
0774 779 3333

Begin forwarded message:

From: Low Newbiggin Estate
<holidays@lownewbiggin.co.uk>
Subject: BOS v Michaels
4PA41550 & A3-2017-2879
CoA
Date: 29 October 2017 at
14:35:05 GMT
To: Civilappeals.associates@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk
Cc: av@pccs.va, AF Team
<contact@actionfraud.pnn.police.uk>, Elizabeth.Denham@ico.gsi.gov.uk, "Louise (Retail Legal) Paterson"
<LouisePaterson@lloydsbanking.com>, juan.columbas@lloydsbanking.com,
"antonio.osorio@lloydsbank

ing.com"
<antonio.osorio@lloydsbanking.com>, Group
<groupexecutivecomplaints@lloydsbanking.com>,
Robert Lockyer
<Robert.Lockyer@lloydstsb-offshore.com>, Martin Watt
<martin_watt@bankofscotland.co.uk>, Claudia Chiatto
<claudia.chiatto@lloydsbanking.com>, Robert Goodwill
<robert.goodwill.mp@parliament.uk>,
"holidays@lownewbiggin.co.uk"
<holidays@lownewbiggin.co.uk>, Andrew Baines
<Andrew.Baines@michelmores.com>,
"Garbhan.Shanks@michelmores.com"
<Garbhan.Shanks@michelmores.com>, Sandra Irving
<irvingsl@nbnet.nb.ca>,
Charlie Bird
<c_bird@rogers.com>,
Charlie Bird
<charlie@burkelaw.ca>,
allison
<allison@wbmlawyers.nb.ca>,
>, "Backman, Ross"
<ross.backman@rbc.com>, [s
imonandjane@greenbee.net](mailto:simonandjane@greenbee.net)
, Paul Twomey
<ptwomey@underwoodco.com>

By Registered Post and
Email.

Dear Sirs

We refer to the attached
order made by Lord Justice
Newey, received on Friday
27th October 2017, sent
by Oliur Rahman of the
HMCTS Court of Appeal.

LLOYDS HBOS is trying to
steal the Defendants home,
equity, investment, pension
plan, businesses and or other
land and properties, without
any legal claim or
paperwork to support its

actions. (That the defendants have been able to witness or verify)

We cannot accept the decision 'Order' as 'Fair' and or 'Just.'

Lord Justice Newey has Prevaricated unauthorised practice of Law Legal Ethics and Legal Maxims, (Canon Law)

Lord Justice Newey has ignored the Defendants Affidavits and Annexes of fact, sworn under Oath as the truth and witnessed by a Canon Lawyer of the Vatican.

The Defendants Affidavits State that payment was made in full to the Claimants (Non Assumpsit) on the 15th October 2017. This was confirmed by the Claimant Lloyds HBOS when they engaged by responding to the Defendants.

Lord Justice Newey has ignored the Tort of Misfeasance that was placed on HHJ Raeside.

The Defendants Bought the property in June 2001. The Land Registry shows records registered by the bank retrospectively on 12.10.2001, twelve months before the Land Property Act 2002, replaced the Land Charges Act 1925. The Defendants home Low Newbiggin Estate, should not have been registered in Land Registry in 2001.

The Bank of Scotland has No (Zero) legal claim over the property known as Low Newbiggin House, as it is not the holder of the original

title deeds and it never has been.

The Bank of Scotland has registered illegal claims outside of the protocols of the Land Registry 14 day period for registration.

We charge Lord Justice Newey with ‘ Tort of Mifeasance’ on the basis that in reaching his decision he has breached his oath to the Queen, and did not consider all the facts (as known and believed by and provided to the defendants) and so could not possibly consider his decision as ‘ Fair and or Just’, the premise of his promise to under which to serve the United Kingdom Justice system.

We DEMAND that the order is recalled and that a different order by consent with the Defendants is raised, requesting that

1. LLOYDS HBOS retract their claim, and
2. enter immediate mediation NOT LATER than Friday the 3rd November 2017, to resolve this matter before a third party declare that this case is a ‘Matter of Public interest’
3. Declare that no possession order will be enforced for Low Newbiggin House NYK256562
4. LLOYDS HBOS remove all charges against Low Newbiggin House and Bohunt Manor Barn, Liphook GU30 7DL.
5. LLOYDS HBOS are Estopped from bringing any further charges or claims against Paul Michaels & Charlotte Michaels
6. LLOYDS HBOS remove all files and notes derogatory or otherwise from

the defendants Credit
Reference Agency files and
mark them 'Satisfactory
Settled'

Should the HMCTS Court of
Appeal not agree to review
their decision then TAKE
NOTICE that this matter
will be and submitted,
concurrently to the Supreme
court and to President Ronny
Abraham at the World Court,
The Hague Netherlands, in
order to validate the
Defendants case.

The defendants give
LLOYDS HBOS until 1600
hours on Tuesday the 31th
October, to retract the matter
from the HMCTS, otherwise
take FAIR WARNING, that
this matter will be escalated
as a Criminal matter and
reported to the authorities as
a crime.

PLEASE NOTE that as real
and alive honest and
honourable people it was not
the Defendants that brought
this matter before the court.
Nor do we seek to do the
bank and others harm in
public. We are merely
protecting what is rightfully
ours and what we
have worked diligently
honestly and tirelessly for.
That protection starts with
seeking out those entities or
persons whom may seek to
bring harm to our dignity
and credibility

In Truth

Paul Michaels
For and on behalf of
Paul Michaels, Charlotte
Sarah Michaels & Others.

On 27 Oct 2017, at 16:59,
Civil Appeals - Associates
<[civilappeals.associates@h
mcts.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:civilappeals.associates@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk)> wrote:

Good afternoon,

RE:A3-2017-2879 CoA Order
[27-10-17]

Please find attached an
Order in relation to the
above. Copies have also
been sent out by post.

Regards,

Oliur Rahman | Civil Appeals
Office
Civil appeals associate
The Royal Courts of Justice |
Strand | London | WC2A 2LL
[Civilappeals.assosiates@hm
cts.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:Civilappeals.assosiates@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk)
'020 7947 7856 '020 7947
7945

This e-mail (and any
attachment) is intended only
for the attention of
the addressee(s). Its
unauthorised use,
disclosure, storage or
copying
is not permitted. If you are
not the intended recipient,
please destroy all
copies and inform the
sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a
secure medium. Any reply to
this message
could be intercepted and
read by someone else.
Please bear that in
mind when deciding
whether to send material in
response to this message
by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are
the sender or the recipient)
may be
monitored, recorded and
retained by the Ministry of

Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

<image001.png>

The original of this e-mail was scanned and on leaving the UKSC/JCPC network this was certified as virus free, but no liability is accepted for any damage caused by any virus transmitted by this e-mail. This e-mail and any attachments are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail. Please note that any views or opinions presented in this e-mail are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of the organisation.

Please consider the environment before printing my email

#####

Note:

This message is for the named person's use only. It may contain confidential, proprietary or legally privileged information. No confidentiality or privilege is waived or lost by any mistransmission. If you receive this message in error, please immediately delete it and all copies of it from your system, destroy any hard copies of it and notify the sender. You must not, directly or indirectly, use, disclose, distribute, print, or copy any part of this message if you are not the intended recipient. City of London Police and any of its subsidiaries each reserve the right to monitor all e-mail communications through its networks.

Any views expressed in this message are those of the individual sender, except where the message states otherwise and the sender is authorised to state them to be the views of any such entity.

All incoming and outgoing emails are virus checked, however we cannot guarantee that this message or any attachment is virus free or has not been intercepted and amended. City of London Police accepts no liability in respect of any loss, cost, damage or expense as suffered as a result of receiving this message or any attachments

City of London Police

Website: <http://www.cityoflondon.police.uk/>

#####