

Servants of Jesus and Mary Fatima Center

17000 State Route 30, Constable, New York 12926
(518) 483-8260 • www.sjmfatimacrusade.com

THE EVIL SPIRIT OF ANTICHRIST IS UPON US

By Father Paul Kramer, B.Ph., S.T.B., M.Div., S.T.L. (Cand.)

Bergoglio, the heretical antipope, is spewing anti-Catholic doctrines — the **spirit of the Antichrist**. Pope Benedict XVI, in a letter to his friend, Vladamir Palko, the former Interior Minister of Slovakia, told him that the *spirit of the antichrist* is extending more pervasively and that we must pray to the Lord to provide strong pastors in this present hour of need.

He is talking about the *spirit of the Antichrist* in terms of the present time — what is happening in the Church right now. In an interview with Peter Seewald, Pope Benedict spoke of the anti-Christian ideology that is being promoted, when answering Seewald's question: "One sentence from the sermon on your inauguration was particularly remembered: **'Pray for me that I will not flee fearfully from the wolves.'** Did you foresee what else would happen to you?"

Benedict replied:

"The real threat to the Church and thus to the Petrine service lies not in these things, but in the worldwide dictatorship of apparently humanistic ideologies, which contradicting means exclusion from the basic social consensus. A hundred years ago, anyone would have thought it absurd to speak of homosexual marriage. Today, anyone who opposes this is socially excommunicated. The same applies to abortion and the production of people in the laboratory. Modern society is in the process of formulating an anti-Christian creed, which to resist against is punished with social excommunication. The fear of this spiritual power of the Antichrist is then all too natural and it really takes the prayer help of an entire diocese and the world Church to resist it."

Before becoming Pope, he was Prefect of the Sacred Congregation for the Doctrine of the Faith which had the

jurisdiction for investigating all of the Marian apparitions — so he knew the content of all these messages very well, when, in 1984, as Cardinal Ratzinger, he spoke of the Secret of Fatima. He said: **"the things contained in this 'Third Secret' correspond to what has been announced in Scripture and has been said again and again in many other Marian apparitions"**.

The key phrase in the Secret of Fatima — the stumbling block for both John Paul II and Cardinal Ratzinger — was a phrase that Malachi Martin pointed out in his last interview with Art Bell: **"The last Pope will be completely under the power of the devil."**

Now, we have to understand what that means. This is not the last Pope ever — because the Third Secret of Fatima ends with the words: **"In the end, My Immaculate Heart will triumph, the Holy Father will consecrate Russia to me, Russia will be converted and a period of peace will be given to the world."** The triumph of Her Immaculate Heart will be brought about when a valid Pope consecrates Russia to Her: **"Pray very much for the Holy Father. He will do it (the Consecration of Russia) but it will be late."**

Therefore, this anti-Christian antipope cannot be the last "pope" ever — he is the last "pope" in a series. We will understand that in the context of what is written in the full Third Secret which will eventually be published — but not without resistance from Bergoglio because it points to him as the character who is foretold in the prophecy. This prophecy has amazing confirmation in a documented quotation of Benedict stating that the Message of Fatima and the Message of Akita, Japan, are the same.

At Akita, the Blessed Virgin Mary said: **"Like the first Judas, the last pope will sell My Son to the enemy and therefore, the era of the antichrist pope is coming soon."** In the published text of the message of Akita, this phrase was deleted. Father Yasuda, the Spiritual Director

of Sister Agnes Sasagawa, most likely instructed her to leave that phrase out of the published version to protect her from persecution. He, however, was not afraid to publish it himself. Interestingly, almost the identical words that Malachi Martin pointed out in the Third Secret of Fatima, that, **"the last Pope would be under the control of Satan,"** are in the Message of Akita.

Bergoglio openly consorts with the Zionists who had their hand in the so-called reform of the Second Vatican Council and the construction of his counterfeit church. He welcomes their leaders in the Vatican, but people like Bishop Strickland, Bishop Williamson and Cardinal Burke and others who resist this spirit of Antichrist are excluded, socially excommunicated. So, when Bergoglio says that, in his "Synodal" church, all are welcome — he means everyone except true Catholics.

Bergoglio's religion tears down all the moral absolutes and facilitates inclusion of everything that violates the Law of God which he says is just an ideal. But a law is something that is imposed under an obligation; you are punished by God if you violate His Law. Whereas Bergoglio says: **"No" — as long as you follow your conscience and do what you think is right — not what God commands — even if you don't believe in God — you don't need to fear the fires of hell.**

This is called "contextual morality." It is exactly what Pope Benedict warned about — the Dictatorship of Relativism — which is the elimination of the moral absolutes. The Dictator of Relativism that has taken Pope Benedict's place, causing him to basically die in exile from his own papal throne — is Jorge Bergoglio.

Bergoglio's Synod on Synodality will be consummated later next year but we already see it taking shape because of his lack of forceful condemnation of homosexual marriage and the ordination of women. When these "Synodal Reforms" are finished, you will not only have homosexual bishops, you will have

lesbian bishops.

I am often asked if Catholics actually know what is happening. This diabolical disorientation has been going on for a long time. Both Pope John Paul II and Pope Benedict XVI, themselves, lamented the fact that they were powerless to stop this from happening because of the power of Freemasonry inside the Vatican which has controlled the key positions for a long time. The late Secretary of the Sacred Congregation for Divine Worship, Msgr. Mario Marini, told me back in 1996: **"Our hands are tied, we can do nothing because it is Freemasons who occupy the key positions."** And less than a year before he died, he told me: **"We are under Masonic occupation."** (meaning the Vatican).

Father Yasuda also said that there is nothing we can do about it; that it will take place – not just occupying the key positions but that an Antichrist figure would take over and usurp the papal throne. He said: **"There will be a man who is in the Vatican, dressed in white, looking something like a pope but talking like the devil. He is supposedly the head of Christianity, but is, in fact, spewing the doctrine of an antipope."** That is Jorge Bergoglio.

Father Gabriel Amorth, a spiritual son of Saint Padre Pio of Pietrelcina, related a conversation he had with him around 1960. The Third Secret had been revealed to Padre Pio by the Blessed Virgin Mary, Herself and he stated: **"It is Satan who has entered the bosom of the Church and within a short time will come to rule a false Church."** That is the Bergoglian "Synodal Church". That is the false church.

Bergoglio always speaks about the God of surprises and I maintain that the God of surprises has a surprise for Bergoglio and his followers. After some period of vacancy of the apostolic sea, there will be a true valid Pope again who will clean house. You will have two churches – the true Church and the counterfeit "Synodal Church". So, as much as they try to take over the Church by instituting a false anti-Christian religion; in reality, they are separating themselves from the Catholic Church and creating a new false counterfeit church.

Those who defect away from the Deposit of Faith and the Church leave the

Church and form a different church. That is what Bergoglio said he was going to do. He said that a "synodal "church" would be a "different church". This is the deception. They call it the Catholic Church but it is a counterfeit-Catholic church where they are getting rid of the Deposit of Faith. Incredibly, Bishop Strickland was told: "There is no more Deposit of Faith!" Pope Pius XI spoke of the Deposit of Revelation: **"Nothing is added to the Deposit of Revelation."** Bergoglio is changing the Doctrines and creating new false teachings. Every kind of perverse belief will be allowed in his "different church" but that will never be the Catholic Church.

I think we can understand more now why God chose Russia to accomplish the mission to re-establish Christianity from the ruins of a world destroyed by these Antichrist forces presently headquartered in the Vatican. The Message of La Sallette tells us: **"Rome will lose the faith and become the seat of the Antichrist."**

Pope Leo XIII wrote a prayer that explained even more clearly and explicitly how that would come about: **"Behold the Church, the Spouse of the Immaculate Lamb, filled with bitterness and inebriated with gall by the most crafty enemies; who have laid impious hands on all that is most sacred. Where the See of the most blessed Peter and the Chair of the truth, was constituted as the light of the nations, there they have set up the throne of their abominable impiety, so that the shepherd being struck, the sheep may be dispersed"**

Cardinal Wojtyla, (later Pope John Paul II) on September 4, 1976 at the Kosciuszko Foundation said: **"We are now standing in the face of the greatest historical confrontation humanity has gone through. I do not think that wide circles of the American society or wide circles of the Christian community realize this fully. We are now facing the final confrontation between the Church and the anti-Church, of the Gospel versus the anti-Gospel"** This is the confrontation that Pope Benedict XVI was talking about – the Dictatorship of Relativism, the *spirit of Antichrist*. The one who is now the standard bearer for the *spirit of Antichrist* is that Argentinian "counterfeit pope" in the Vatican.

But the story doesn't end there – it ends with their defeat. The Message of Fatima gives us the assurance of the words spoken by Our Lord Jesus Christ when He said: **"In the world you shall have distress: but have confidence, I have overcome the world."** (Jn. 6:33)

Those who are of the Catholic Faith will not be taken in. The elect will not be deceived. Jesus Christ, Our Lord said: **"There shall arise false Christs and false prophets, and shall show great signs and wonders, insomuch as to deceive (if possible) even the elect."** (Mat.24:24) But Christ also says: **"That which My Father hath given me is greater than all; and no one can snatch them out of the hand of My Father."** (Jn.10:29) True Catholics will remain faithful to the Church. They will remain faithful to the Apostolic Tradition and the Dogmas of Faith which all make up what we call the Deposit of Faith – the body of Revelation made to the Prophets and Apostles by Jesus Christ; and to the Apostles inspired by the Holy Ghost to teach the New Testament.

Christ promised: **"Behold I am with you all days, even to the consummation of the world."** (Mat.28:20) Those who are faithful to Christ have the promise of Christ that His Spirit remains with Him. His Church cannot be destroyed. Christ said to St. Peter: **"Upon this rock I will build My Church and the gates of hell shall not prevail against it."** The Deposit of Faith received from the Apostles has been handed down by the Church and taught infallibly down through the ages. We have the guarantee of Christ that the Church cannot defect into error, into heresy.

Cardinal Edward Manning said it is the universal teaching of the ancient Fathers that in this great tribulation which has already begun: **"The Church shall be scattered driven into the wilderness, hidden in catacombs, in dens, in mountains, in lurking places; for a time it shall be swept, as it were, from the face of the earth."**

But that will only be for a brief time because Our Lady of Fatima said: **"In the end My Immaculate Heart will triumph, the Holy Father will consecrate Russia to Me, Russia will be converted and a period of peace will be given to the world."**

The Fruits of Private Judgement

By the Reverend John A. O'Brien PH.D. with excerpts from additional sources

CONTINUED FROM BACK PAGE

Its roots must be traced back to the principle of subjectivism which Luther introduced into religion by making the private judgement of the individual autonomous and supreme. According to this principle, the subjective reaction of the individual, with its large core of feeling and emotion, constitutes the sole criterion of religious truth and error. If all the creeds produce about the same subjective

reaction, the same emotional response, the individual concludes, and quite logically, on the basis of his fundamental assumption, then all religions are about equally good and true. That is why the **philosophy of modern religious indifferentism** is but theological sequel of the *principle of subjectivism* – the twentieth/twenty-first century harvest of the sixteenth century seed.



THE ROLE OF THE FAMILY IN CATHOLIC EDUCATION

By **Cornelia R. Ferreira, M.Sc.**

Pope Pius quotes Pope Leo XIII: By nature, parents have a right to the training of their children ... in accord with the end ... for which [they were] begotten. Therefore, it is the *duty* of parents to make every effort to prevent any invasion of their rights in this matter, and **to make absolutely sure that the education of their children remains under their own control, ... and above all to refuse to send them to those schools in which there is danger of imbibing the deadly poison of impiety.**

This is nothing else but natural law, says Pope Pius (and the right of parents to choose their children's schools is upheld in Canons 793, 797 and 798 of the present Code of Canon Law). He notes that, "anxious to respect the natural law," even the U.S. Supreme Court, in 1925, declared that the State cannot "standardize its children by forcing them to accept instruction from public teachers only."

"The family holds directly from the Creator the right to educate the offspring, a right *inalienable and inviolable*."

But what have we today? First, as mentioned earlier, public Catholic schools are actually State schools. Furthermore, national Departments of Education, coupled with teachers who belong to national teachers' associations, receiving their literature and attending their seminars whilst also being subjected to regular professional "updating," results in increasing standardization. Parents have little control over curriculum; government bureaucrats, school boards and teachers are the experts who decide what's best for the child. **The "poison of impiety" underpins comparative religion, sex education and other global education programs**, but those who object to such un-Christian fare are usually put down and their children often treated badly; in some cases parents have even been told to remove their children from school. Clearly the State, and even some of those acting in the name of the Church, are trampling on the God-given rights of the family.

Nevertheless, in order to reassure parents that their rights in education are not

being denied, schools and educators will piously parrot Vatican II²⁹ and Pope John Paul³⁰: "parents are the primary educators of their children." But that word, "primary," is at the heart of the parent-school conflict as it is open to two interpretations.

Primary can mean chief, main or principal; or it can mean first or original. The first meaning makes the family's role far more authoritative than the second does. Educators clearly, however, are using the word in its second sense,³¹ because they display obvious antipathy to the actual role of the family, defined precisely and forcefully by Pius XI:

The family therefore holds directly from the Creator the mission and hence the right to educate the offspring, a right *inalienable* because inseparably joined to the strict *obligation*, a right anterior to any right whatsoever of society and the State, and therefore *inviolable* on the part of any power on earth.

Now, "inalienable" means the right cannot be transferred or rightfully taken away, and "inviolable" means it is sacrosanct and cannot be broken by anyone. **Hence the role of parents is far more than primary, in the sense of — and this is obviously how the schools interpret it — just chronologically first; this implies a cut-off point after which others can take control of education.** It is these same others who arbitrarily decide when "primary" ends — often age four or five. **Then once the child enters the system, parents lose their inalienable and inviolate right to determine the quality of its education; their primary role is ended, and the "experts" take over.**³²

However, basing himself on St. Thomas Aquinas, Pope Pius XI makes it quite clear that "**primary**" doesn't signify merely **chronologically first, but above all other educators, right through school.** First, he considers the case of young children:

That this right is inviolable St. Thomas proves as follows: The child is naturally something of the father ... so by natural right the child, before reaching the use of reason, is under the father's care. Hence it would be

contrary to natural justice if the child, *before the use of reason*, were removed from the care of its parents, or if any disposition were made concerning him against the will of the parents.

Second, still basing himself on St. Thomas, the Pope extends this inviolable parental right and duty of education "up to the time when the child is in a position to provide for himself" — that means right through high school! — and he says the State has no absolute right over education.

Now, since the age of reason is commonly taken as seven, this means that *the State has no right to insist that children attend school at age six or younger.*³³ Further, since it is natural law that the parental right of education is inviolable until the child can provide for himself, *the State may not make education compulsory*, nor may schools undermine parental rights and responsibilities as they commonly do, to the point of referring children for contraception or abortion without parental knowledge.

By not fighting compulsory education when it was first proposed, the Church, at the local level, abdicated her rights in education and, practically speaking, that's when we lost the fight for the rights of the family. It was at that point that the close-knit Catholic family started unravelling because it came under the power of the State.

Control over whether, when, and where to send their children to school is the most basic and inviolable parental right of education. Hence, Catholic homeschooling — if it adheres to traditional Catholicism — is the greatest lay initiative for recovering the rights of the family. Let us not forget that Karl Marx's Communist Manifesto propagated the idea of free State schools to replace homeschooling. So, barring an intervention from God Himself, it is the homeschooling movement that will eventually destroy the grip of atheism on the family, society, and the Church, because the fruit of this movement will be true Catholics who will restore Christian civilization, with all its temporal benefits.

29. *Gravissimum*, nos. 3, 6.

30. Parents are "the first and foremost educators of their children.... The right and duty of parents to give education is ... original and primary with regard to the educational role of others": *Familiaris Consortio*, The Christian Family in the Modern World (1981), no. 36 (emphasis in original).

31. And this seems to be how Pope John Paul understands it — see the quotation cited in note 30. *Gravissimum* (no. 3), although allowing that parents are "primarily and principally" responsible for education, yet seems to delegate to them mostly the teaching of "social virtues," leaving everything else to the school.

32. Pope John Paul and *Gravissimum* also use "inalienable," but not with regard to parents' rights over schools and curricula. Parents are basically assigned just the first shot at teaching social and religious virtues that aid service to society: Cf. *Familiaris*, nos. 36–40, 42–43, 46; *Gravissimum*, nos. 3, 6.

33. But having won that right, the State is now trying to divorce children even earlier from family influences. Kindergarten and

is becoming compulsory at ages 4 and 5, and the movement for "early childhood" or "preschool" education seeks to put children in institutions at 2 or 3. The propaganda is that preschool ed gives children a "head start." Allegedly, they learn better under "peer pressure" than at home with Mother (homeschooling puts the lie to this claim), "even with regards to pottery training"! See Sean Fine, "Stay-home Toddlers Lag in School," *Globe and Mail*, 15 October 1999, p. A1. This child grab is a typical Masonic/Communist tactic, unchallenged by the working mother. Pius XI deplored this situation, already developing by 1929: "The declining influence of domestic environment is further weakened by another tendency ... which ... for economic or [other] reasons ... causes children to be more and more frequently sent away from home even in their tenderest years. And there is a country [presumably, Russia] where the children are actually being torn from the bosom of the family, to be formed (or, to speak more accurately, to be deformed and deprived), in godless schools and associations, to religion and hatred, according to the theories of advanced socialism; and thus is renewed in a real and more terrible manner the slaughter of the Innocents."

THE FRUITS OF PRIVATE JUDGEMENT

By the Reverend John A. O'Brien PH.D. with excerpts from additional sources

Reverend O'Brien, in his thorough study *The Faith of Millions*, felt strongly in 1937 that the doctrinal and sacramental truths of our faith needed reinstatement at a time when countless souls were seeking to find and understand the Church which Christ established. His work transcends time and is equally valuable today in 2024 as it was eighty-seven years ago. The choice of private judgement seems to echo through the ages in recalling the initial break with Catholicism in the 1500's with the German apostate, Luther, leading the subsequent break with Rome to establish the first roots of Protestantism.

It is this principle of subjectivism, namely the supremacy of *private judgement*, which has been working as a leaven in Christianity for four (now almost five) centuries, and which is responsible for the widespread disintegration and anarchy that has torn Protestantism into hundreds of different warring creeds... It is this *principle* which has spread ruin and chaos throughout Christendom, making the divisions in Christianity a laughingstock in the eyes of the pagan world and causing them to exclaim to the missionaries sent to convert them: "When you Christians can first agree among yourselves as to the true religion, then come and impart the truth to us – but not before." Add to this Gandhi's admonition: "If Christians were really Christians, there would be no Hindus in India." It is this *principle of subjectivism* that is responsible for the sloughing off of clearly defined dogma, the blurring of denominational lines, and the making of religion a matter of feelings and emotions.

Throwing aside the chart and compass of reason and the North Star of a divinely established teaching authority, this principle plunged the bark of religion upon a dark and stormy sea, tossed about by the tempests of subjective feelings and the passions that stir ceaselessly with the human breast. It is this principle, too, which is the prolific mother of modern religious indifferentism, in which vague half-truths and obvious contradictions dressed up in pleasant sentimental garb are eagerly pressed to the bosom without so much as being questioned for their credentials.

When Rebecca wished to secure for her younger son, Jacob, the blessing and the birthrights which Isaac intended for the elder son, Esau, she clothed Jacob with goat's skin that it might appear to the blind father's touch like the course skin of Esau. Isaac, hearing the soft voice of Jacob and feeling the rough skin of Esau, voiced his perplexity, saying: "The voice is indeed the voice of Jacob, but the hands are the hands of Esau." (Genesis: 27: 24-29)

So, the person who holds up to the light of reason and of objective reality the common utterances of the indifferentist that "all religions are equally good and true" will be compelled like Isaac to recognize the dual character of the subject confronting him and say: "The statement as an intellectual assertion is perfectly false, but the sentiment is kindly and agreeable. It has the voice of Jacob, but the covering of the beloved Esau."

The philosophy of religious indifferentism which prevails in not only America, but within the Catholic Church today, cannot be explained as the resultant of any sustained effort in logical reasoning.



Lenten Suggestions

Days of Abstinence
 February 19, 21, 26, 28,
 March 1, 5, 7, 12, 14, 19, 21, 26, 28,
 April 2, 4, 5, 1947

Following choices may be substituted
 on the Luncheon or Dinner Select Meals:

Omelet with Fresh Tomatoes	1.55
Fresh Shrimp Saute, Steamed Rice.....	1.60
Fried Select Oysters, Tartar Sauce.....	1.65
Fresh Select Fish, Broiled or Fried	1.70

DINING CAR SERVICE



LENTEN MENU

By Father Karl Claver

When something is arduous or hard to accomplish, one is given the analogy of trying to put toothpaste back into the

tube. Another example might be trying to put wild horses back into the corral once the gate has opened and they have escaped. Just as these two examples illustrate that many things are not impossible but very onerous, so too it would be quite difficult to attempt to convert novus ordoites to traditional Catholic practices. As a traditional Catholic priest, besides being dedicated to God, and having Catholic practices, sacramentals and other religious items as my number one concern, I also have an interest in dogs and passenger trains. A couple of days ago my attention was drawn to an old Southern Pacific Railroad dining car menu, with an added page for Lenten meals. Several meatless dinners were offered as well as a calendar showing the dates when abstinence was required. Considering that Catholicism has never been a majority religion in the United States, and that the menu was from the late 1940's, it proves how important abstinence was to Catholics.

After my ordination to the priesthood, because the new code of canon law was not in effect, meatless Fridays were a relic of the past in most

American dioceses. Many priests have related to me that during this time several rectory housekeepers refused to cook meatless meals on Fridays, even during Lent, because everyone was told abstinence was abolished. Except for Ash Wednesday and Good Friday, Catholics could indulge in eating meat on any Friday, even during the penitential season of Lent.

When the new code of canon law was published and put into practice, laws about fasting and abstinence were reinstated. But because all the liberties and dispensations were granted before, it has been almost impossible to enforce the new laws of the Church. Once permission is given, it is very troublesome to revoke it. The only exception seems to be regarding the traditional Latin Mass, where bishops are attempting to suppress it.

Considering that several railroad companies were instrumental

is respecting and promoting Catholic Lenten customs, it is indeed scandalous that most of the hierarchy today no longer promotes or respects traditional Catholicism, but instead encourages us to become pagan atheists as the rest of the world has become, proving that the diabolical disorientation is in full force. Only God's grace, through the intercession of Our Blessed Mother, can place the toothpaste back into the tube, and move the wild horses back into the corral.





Servants of Jesus and Mary Fatima Center

17000 State Route 30, Constable, NY 12926
 110 Park Street, Malone, NY 12953
 Phone: 518-483-8260 Toll free: 1-800-369-0258 Web Address: sinfatimacrusade.com

FEBRUARY 2024

MONTH OF THE PASSION OF OUR LORD

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
4 Sexagesima Sunday St. Andrew Corsini	5 St. Agatha	6 St. Titus & St. Dorothy	7 St. Romuald	8 St. John of Matha	9 St. Cyril of Alexandria, St. Apollonia Day of Abstinence	10 St. Scholastica
11 Quinquagesima Sunday Our Lady of Lourdes	12 7 Holy Founders of the Servite Order	13 Ferial Day	14 ASH WEDNESDAY Day of Fast and Abstinence (St. Valentine)	15 Day of Fast Ferial Day Ss. Faustinus and Jovita	16 Day of Fast and Abstinence Ferial Day	17 Day of Fast Ferial Day
18 1 st Sunday of Lent	19 Day of Fast Ferial Day	20 Day of Fast Ferial Day	21 Day of Fast Ferial Day	22 Day of Fast Chair of St. Peter at Antioch, St. Paul	23 Day of Fast and Abstinence St. Peter Damian	24 Day of Fast Ember Day
25 2 nd Sunday of Lent	26 Day of Fast St. Matthias	27 Day of Fast Ferial Day	28 Day of Fast St. Gabriel of the Sorrowful Mother	29 Day of Fast Ferial Day		