

- Theoretical Distribution
- Targeting Distribution { ϵ }
- Targeting Distribution { ι }

Testimator Type-2



Scope

Test Cases: Rounded Value	9		
Functional Processes	89	Calibration Factor	0.0077
Risk Quotient	61%	DIT's per Test Case	10

Test Execution Approach Critical Priority Tests

Analysis

Risk Exposure { ζ }	65.45%	Risk Mitigation { ϵ }	17.9%
---------------------------	--------	--------------------------------	-------



Alternative Estimate



Scope

Test Cases: Exact Value	561		
Functional Processes	89	Calibration Factor	0.475
Risk Quotient	31.08%	DIT's per Test Case	10

Test Execution Approach Critical & High Priority Tests

Analysis

Risk Exposure { ζ }	65.45%	Risk Mitigation { ι }	92.42%
---------------------------	--------	-----------------------------	--------



- Theoretical Distribution
- Targeting Distribution { ϵ }
- Targeting Distribution { ι }

Estimation Similarity

Risk Mitigation { ϵ }	17.9%
Risk Mitigation { ι }	92.42%
Estimation Similarity by Area	12.74%

The information above conveys the Similarity between a User Defined Estimate & an Alternative Estimate based upon probability (area beneath each curve). It is possible for the 'Estimation Similarity by Area' to differ from the 'Estimation Similarity by Test Case Population' because it incorporates the Test Approach previously defined by the User. However, the Test Approach associated with the Alternative Estimate is assumed to be Ideal

Risk Mitigation { ϵ }	17.9%
Risk Mitigation { ι }	92.42%
Estimation Similarity by Test Case Population	1.62%

The information above conveys the Similarity between a User Defined Estimate & an Alternative Estimate based upon Test Case Population. It is possible for the 'Estimation Similarity by Test Case Population' to differ from the 'Estimation Similarity by Area' because it does not incorporate a defined Test Approach; the Test Approach associated with the Alternative Estimate is assumed to be Ideal

