

<b>Subject of Appeal:</b>	Unauthorized Information	<b>Case:</b>	N5
---------------------------	--------------------------	--------------	----

<b>Event</b>	Platinum Pairs	<b>Event DIC</b>	Terry Lavender
<b>Date</b>	03/11/2017	<b>Session</b>	First Final

### Auction

West	North	East	South
		Pass	Pass
1♣	Pass	1♥	Pass
1NT	Pass	2♣ <sup>1</sup>	Pass
Pass	Dbl	2♦	2♠
3♦	Pass	Pass	Pass

### Explanation of Special Calls and Points of Contention

1: Intended Relay to ♦

### Hand Record

<b>Board</b>	<b>26</b>	N	Robert Levin		
<b>Dealer</b>	<b>E</b>	♠	A1098		
		♥	K97		
<b>Vul</b>	<b>Both</b>	♦	J32		
		♣	AJ8		
W	Michael Polowan			E	Haig Tchamitch
♠	KQ6			♠	53
♥	J8			♥	Q432
♦	KQ10			♦	A9764
♣	Q6542			♣	K9
		S	Michael Golden		
		♠	J742		
		♥	A1065		
		♦	85		
		♣	1073		

<b>Final Contract</b>	<b>Result of Play</b>	<b>Score</b>	<b>Opening Lead</b>
3♦ by E	Made 3	E/W +110	♠2

### Facts Determined at the Table

The director was called at the completion of play. East/West play 2♣ as a relay to diamonds in the sequence that occurred in the auction, but the agreement is for an unpassed hand. They had not discussed if it applied by a passed hand. North claimed he would pass rather than double if he knew East's bid was meant to be a relay, and might have passed if he knew they had no agreement.

### Director Ruling

The director ruled that North was given misinformation from the failure to alert the 2♣ bid. With this information, he would have passed. The score was adjusted to 2♣ by West, making 2, EW +90, per Laws 21B & 12C.

<b>Director's Ruling</b>	<b>2♣ by W, Made 2, E/W +90</b>
--------------------------	---------------------------------

### The Review

E/W requested a review of the ruling. West claimed they don't have a relay agreement if made by a passed hand. He claimed it doesn't make sense to play it, because the related 2♦ artificial game-force is not logical by a passed hand. East claimed he had not thought of the implications of a passed hand and West's reasoning. The reviewer polled several players about their methods in this type of sequence. Of all who played the checkback system employed by E/W, none played it by a passed hand, nor would consider it to be on by default by a passed hand.

## Panel Findings

Based on the polling of players as to the methods in question, it was determined that East had misbid, applying a system that was not in agreement in the actual auction. Therefore, there was no misinformation and no adjustment was warranted. Law 75C states that there is no infraction and no adjustment due if the opponent's methods have been accurately given to the other side following a mistaken call. The table result was reinstated.

<b>Panel Decision</b>	<b>3♦ by E, Made 3, E/W +110</b>
-----------------------	----------------------------------

## Panel Members

<b>Reviewer</b>	Kevin Perkins
-----------------	---------------