ISSN: 2617-4138
DOI: 10.32898/ibmj.01/6.4article18
www.ijarke.com

INTERNATIONAL JOURNALS OF ACADEMICS & RESEARCH
(IJARKE Business & Management Journal)

Analyzing CAMELS Financial Indicators and the Performance of Microfinance Banks in Kenya

Imaana Kimathi Andrew, Kenyatta University, Kenya
Dr. Francis Gitagia, Kenyatta University, Kenya

Abstract

Microfinance institutions in Kenya play a crucial role in the economy, contributing 18% to the GDP and employing 20% of the population. However, the Return on Assets (RoA) for these institutions has declined from 3.5% in 2018 to 1.5% in 2022. This study aimed to analyze the impact of selected CAMELS financial indicators on the financial performance of Kenyan microfinance banks, specifically examining capital adequacy, asset quality, management efficiency, earnings ability, and liquidity. It also explored the moderating role of market concentration on these relationships. The study, grounded in several financial theories, targeted 14 microfinance banks and utilized descriptive research design and comprehensive data analysis through SPSS. The results, derived from Feasible Generalized Least Square (FGLS) regression, indicated that capital adequacy, asset quality, and management efficiency positively influence financial performance, whereas earnings ability has a negative impact. The study emphasizes the need for improved credit risk assessment, staff training, profitability, and liquidity management to enhance financial performance. Ethical guidelines were strictly followed throughout the research, ensuring integrity and reliability of the findings.

Key words: *Microfinance, Financial Performance, Capital Adequacy, Asset Quality, Management Efficiency*

1. Introduction

Microfinance banks (MFBs) significantly contribute to economic development in regions like Kenya by offering financial services to low-income individuals and small businesses, thus aiding poverty alleviation and economic growth (Navin & Sinha, 2020; Ndonji, 2021). However, their long-term viability is threatened by financial performance fluctuations and market competition, particularly from commercial banks and SACCOs (Shkodra, 2019).

Globally, microfinance institutions' performance is influenced by macroeconomic conditions, regulatory environments, and internal management practices. Serving 130 million clients worldwide, with an annual growth rate of 15%, microfinance institutions have shown positive impacts, such as in Kosovo, where they contributed to a 41.5% funding increase and a 63.8% interest rate reduction from 2007 to 2016 (Remmer, 2021; Shkodra, 2019). In Sri Lanka, MFBs significantly enhanced financial inclusion for low-income individuals, despite financial viability challenges (Sumanasiri & Priyankara, 2020). In Africa, MFBs have expanded significantly, outperforming regions like Eastern Europe and Central Asia. However, their profitability and financial performance lag behind global benchmarks, with South Africa being an exception, showing better return on assets and efficiency ratios (Ndonji, 2021). Challenges such as declining net operating margins and increased expenses persist, with a nuanced performance picture across the continent. While MFBs in Central Africa, Southern Africa, and the Indian Ocean region faced negative returns, those in East and West Africa showed positive returns, reflecting diverse economic and financial dynamics (Ndonji, 2021).

In Kenya, MFBs experienced favorable Return on Assets (ROA) between 2012 and 2015 but saw a consistent decline since 2016 due to volatile market conditions and competition from commercial banks (Slatince, 2015; Kumar, 2018; Kariuki, 2022). Limited research has explored the impact of CAMELS metrics on MFB performance, despite their importance (Talibong & Simiyu, 2019; Hakizakubana & Gatauwa, 2021).

CAMEL's financial indicators, a comprehensive set of metrics used to assess the overall soundness and stability of financial banks, are crucial in evaluating a bank's resilience against economic challenges. The acronym CAMELS stands for Capital Adequacy, Asset Quality, Management Efficiency, Earnings, Liquidity, and Sensitivity to Market Risk. Together, these indicators offer a detailed picture of a bank's health, enabling analysts, investors, and regulators to evaluate the institution's stability and identify potential risks and vulnerabilities within the banking system (Helfers, Krueger, & Moretti, 2020; Dogarawa, 2020). By assessing these metrics, stakeholders can make informed decisions regarding the stability and safety of financial institutions, contributing to the overall stability of the banking industry and the economy.

Management efficiency measures the capability of a bank's leadership and board to positively influence stability and financial results. This is especially critical for microfinance institutions, where effective management leads to higher profit margins and financial stability (Mutua, 2018; Wairimu, 2020). Although management efficiency is qualitative and difficult to quantify, it can be inferred through metrics like cost ratio, profit margin, expense ratio, and typical loan amount (Naili, 2022). In this study, management efficiency was measured using operating expenses. Earnings ability, or a bank's capacity to consistently generate profits, is vital for long-term success. Banks need to balance profitability with risk-taking to avoid potential failures due to consistent losses or excessive risks (Evan et al., 2020). Common measures of profitability include operational profit margin, net profit margin, interest-spread ratio, return on assets, and return on equity (Choiriyah, 2020). Earnings ability in this study was measured using the change in retained earnings ratio.

Financial performance analysis is crucial as it assesses profitability, efficiency, and sustainability. By analyzing financial data, MFBs can identify areas needing improvement to boost profitability, manage resources efficiently, and develop strategies to mitigate risks ((Cheluget & Mirigo, 2019)

The initial microfinance bank in Kenya was established in 2006 by Capital Microfinance Bank, which started with KES 1 million to provide financial services to small businesses and entrepreneurs. Its success led to the creation of other banks, including the Microfinance Bank of Kenya in 2008, Equity Microfinance Bank in 2009, and K-Rep Microfinance Bank in 2010. These institutions have significantly contributed to Kenya's economic advancement by providing capital to small enterprises, enhancing the financial autonomy of women (Nagumo, 2020). The Central Bank of Kenya (CBK) oversees microfinance institutions under the Microfinance Act of 2006, setting regulatory requirements, licensing criteria, and prudential rules to ensure stability and integrity in the sector. Microfinance institutions must regularly report to the CBK, adhere to know-your-customer and anti-money laundering regulations, and maintain minimum capital adequacy ratios.

Kenyan microfinance banks have shown mixed financial performance recently, with their success closely linked to the country's economic health (Wachira, 2018). While deposits and loan growth have generally been strong, profitability has varied, with some banks performing well and others struggling. This variability is expected, given the small size of most microfinance banks. The sector has grown significantly but remains small and highly competitive, with many banks still optimizing their service delivery (Munala, 2018). Market concentration significantly influences the financial performance of Kenya's microfinance banks. In highly concentrated markets, a few dominant banks control a large market share, affecting competition, pricing strategies, and industry structure (Mawere, 2022). This concentration impacts financial metrics and overall performance, including interest rates, product offerings, and customer service. Understanding market concentration's role is crucial for comprehending the interactions between financial indicators, performance metrics, and the competitive landscape in Kenya's microfinance sector (Ngumo, 2020).

2. Problem Statement

Microfinance institutions (MFIs) play a pivotal role in expanding access to banking services and boosting economies, especially in developing countries like Kenya. To optimize the financial performance of these institutions, it is essential for policymakers, regulators, and industry practitioners to understand the factors influencing their financial success (Momanyi, 2018). This research aimed to examine the impact of selected CAMELS financial indicators on the financial performance of Kenyan microfinance banks, addressing a gap in existing literature which predominantly focuses on broader factors affecting financial performance rather than specific CAMELS indicators (Muriithi, 2018).

In Kenya, Return on Assets (ROA) and Return on Equity (ROE) for microfinance banks have shown notable growth. The ROA for Kenya's banking sector was 3.3% in 2021 and increased by 0.4% to 3.7% in 2022, indicating better earnings from assets compared to the previous year (Natalie Cowling, 2023). Similarly, ROE improved in 2022, signifying enhanced profitability, efficiency, and potentially stronger market positioning for Kenyan MFIs (Kariuki, 2022). However, a declining ROA could indicate deeper issues such as poor asset allocation, operational inefficiencies, or rising costs outpacing revenue (Kitonyi, 2019). The ambiguity surrounding how CAMELS financial indicators affect financial performance underpins the necessity of the current study.

The CAMELS framework, which includes Capital adequacy, Asset quality, Management quality, Earnings, and Liquidity, has traditionally been associated with financial performance. However, studies on this topic have produced conflicting results. Some research shows a positive correlation between CAMELS indicators and financial performance (Wachira, 2020; Maude et al., 2020; Ngoboka & Gatauwa, 2021), while others report a negative relationship (Wamalwa, Mungai & Makori, 2020). This inconsistency provides a foundation for further investigation.

3. Research Objective

The main objective of the study was to determine the effect of selected CAMELS financial indicators on financial performance of micro-finance banks in Kenya.

4. Literature Review

ISSN: 2617-4138
DOI: 10.32898/ibmj.01/6.4article18

4.1 Theoretical Review

The Efficient Structure Theory, developed by Modigliani and Miller, emphasizes organizational efficiency and cost minimization in financial institutions to enhance profitability and operational effectiveness. It focuses on optimizing resource allocation and aligning management practices with strategic goals, crucial for achieving sustainable growth and adapting to market changes. Criticisms of this theory include its oversimplification of organizational dynamics and the need for further empirical validation across diverse operational contexts.

Pecking Order Theory, formulated by Myers, proposes that firms prioritize internal financing (retained earnings) over external financing (debt and equity) to fund investments, influenced by factors like profitability and leverage. This theory impacts capital adequacy and liquidity management in financial institutions, affecting their financial decisions and stability. Critics argue its oversimplification and the neglect of debt's role in capital structure decisions, urging further research into the complex interplay of financing choices.

Liquidity Preference Theory, developed by Keynes, examines why individuals and institutions hold cash reserves for precautionary, speculative, and transactional purposes. This theory underscores the importance of liquidity in financial decision-making, influencing investment strategies and risk management practices. Criticisms of the theory include its failure to account for risk preferences in investment decisions and its limited explanatory power regarding interest rate fluctuations and economic cycles. Each theory contributes theoretical foundations relevant to understanding financial institution behaviors and performance metrics within the CAMELS framework (Capital adequacy, Asset quality, Management quality, Earnings ability, Liquidity, and Sensitivity to market risk). These frameworks provide comprehensive assessments of financial health, guiding regulatory policies and strategic management practices. The study addresses gaps in existing literature by exploring how these theories intersect with microfinance institution operations and governance structures, offering insights into optimizing financial stability, performance, and resilience in dynamic market environments.

4.2 Empirical Review

Kadioglu and Ocala (2020) examined Turkish banks and found adverse effects of non-performing loans on profitability indicators such as Return on Equity (ROE) and Return on Assets (ROA), underscoring the negative impact of poor asset quality. Osamor et al. (2019) investigated Nigerian Deposit Money Banks (DMBs), identifying varying asset quality levels among different banks based on non-performing loan ratios. Swami et al. (2019) focused on Indian commercial banks, revealing that smaller banks with lower capital and efficiency faced heightened asset quality risks compared to their larger counterparts. These studies collectively emphasize the crucial role of asset quality in shaping financial performance across diverse banking environments. Management Efficiency and Earnings Ability were touched upon indirectly in some studies, particularly through discussions on operational efficiency (e.g., cost-to-income ratio) influencing profitability metrics. However, dedicated studies specifically addressing these aspects within the CAMELS framework were not extensively covered in the reviewed literature.

Liquidity and its impact on financial performance were similarly not explicitly detailed in the reviewed studies, suggesting a potential area for future research within the CAMELS framework. Methodologically, while many studies utilized advanced statistical techniques such as panel regressions and path analyses to analyze large datasets over extended periods, gaps were noted in methodological consistency and contextual applicability across different banking systems. The reviewed studies often employed explanatory research designs, focusing on causal relationships between variables, which were contrasted with the current study's descriptive approach. In conclusion, the reviewed literature underscores the multidimensional nature of the CAMELS framework in assessing financial institution stability and performance. The studies highlighted varied impacts of capital adequacy, asset quality, and other indicators on financial outcomes, providing valuable insights into how these factors interplay in different regional and institutional settings. Future research could benefit from addressing methodological gaps and exploring additional facets such as management efficiency and liquidity within the CAMELS framework to enhance comprehensive understanding and applicability across diverse financial landscapes.

The studies reviewed in this literature summary focus on various aspects of management efficiency and financial performance across different sectors and regions. Kundu et al. (2020) conducted field experiments in India to optimize herbicide doses for sustainable rice production, emphasizing the importance of managing weed flora and nutrient uptake to maintain high yields amid labor shortages and water scarcity. Seth et al. (2020) examined working capital management (WCM) efficiency in Indian manufacturing firms using Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA) and Structural Equation Modeling (SEM), highlighting its direct impact on operational performance. Rahman (2022) explored WCM within India's four-wheeler passenger car manufacturing sector, underscoring its critical role in company success.

Afifa and Alsufy (2020) investigated the influence of earnings quality on Jordanian industrial companies' performance, affirming its significant impact on Return on Assets, Return on Equity, and Earnings per Share. Ardiansari, Nugrahaini, and Putri (2018) studied financial performance, earnings quality, and intellectual capital in Indonesian manufacturing companies, linking

them to company value. Diyah, Pratama, and Hasanah (2022) analyzed capital structure and liquidity's effect on earnings quality in Indonesian manufacturing firms listed on the IDX.

Muriuki (2022) focused on SACCOs in Nairobi County, Kenya, examining how liquidity influences their financial performance. Lastly, CAMELS financial indicators and financial performance were scrutinized in different contexts. Ahmed (2021) explored the moderating effect of industry concentration on CAMELS indicators in Nigerian Deposit Money Banks (DMBs), revealing significant interactions affecting financial performance.

Dogarawa, Maude, and Ringim (2020) studied all CAMELS indicators' marginal effects on Nigerian DMBs' financial performance, identifying key drivers such as asset quality and managerial efficiency. Juno and Fath (2020) analyzed market concentration index's impact on Indonesian banking industry performance, emphasizing its effects on Basic Earning Power and Return on Equity. Overall, these studies contribute valuable insights into how management practices, financial strategies, and contextual factors interact to shape organizational performance across diverse sectors and geographical locations. Each study employs distinct methodologies to explore nuanced aspects of efficiency and performance, highlighting the multifaceted nature of contemporary financial research and its implications for practice and policy.

5. Research Methodology

The research design, as outlined by Kothari (2004) and Maxwell (2012), serves as a blueprint guiding the study's structure and approach. In this investigation, a descriptive research design is employed, aligning with the insights of Driessnack et al. (2017) and Creswell (2003), which elucidate variables and their natural interactions. This approach facilitates an understanding of current conditions, data collection, and scenario experimentation, crucial for assessing how well CAMELS financial indicators predict the profitability of Kenya's microfinance banks.

Regarding the target population, Mugenda and Mugenda (2003) define it as a group sharing observable characteristics, while Murphy (2016) specifies it within the context of research as a well-defined sample from the demographic of interest. This study focuses on 14 licensed microfinance banks (MFBs) in Kenya regulated by the Central Bank of Kenya (CBK) as its study population.

The sampling technique employed is purposive sampling, as discussed by Cooper and Schindler (2003), where selection criteria such as size, location, financial stability, and regulatory compliance were applied to ensure the chosen MFBs reflect Kenya's broader microfinance landscape. This method was chosen to ensure the data gathered from the 14 selected institutions is representative and relevant to the study's goals, particularly in relation to the chosen CAMELS financial indicators and metrics of financial performance.

The research design, choice of descriptive methodology, selection of the study population, and application of purposive sampling collectively form a cohesive strategy to explore the predictive capacity of CAMELS indicators on the profitability of Kenyan microfinance banks. This structured approach ensures the study's findings are robust and applicable within the context of Kenya's microfinance sector.

The study incorporated secondary data due to its simplicity, cost-effectiveness, and suitability for panel data comparison, using annual reports from microfinance institutions and Kenyan government statistics from 2018 to 2022. The data collection procedure began with obtaining approval from Kenyatta University's graduate school, followed by authorization from NACOSTI. The study focused on fourteen CBK-licensed microfinance institutions, utilizing a template for data extraction.

For data analysis and presentation, both descriptive and inferential statistics were employed, with panel multiple regressions used due to the data's time and cross-sectional dimensions. The relationship between CAMELS financial indicators and financial performance was analyzed using Pearson's product moment correlation analysis.

6. Results and Discussion

6.1 Descriptive Statistics

The study provides a detailed analysis of the descriptive statistics for Capital Adequacy, Asset Quality, Management Efficiency, Earnings Ability, Liquidity, and Market Concentration. The capital adequacy ratio had a mean of 0.889917, showing positive yet highly variable ratios with a standard deviation of 5.778509. This metric's extreme minimum of -93.60150 suggests possible data errors or outliers, with a skewed and leptokurtic distribution indicating significant deviation from normality. Asset Quality had a low mean of 0.102984, with values ranging from -0.409720 to 5.156565, pointing to potential data entry issues or poor asset quality. It also exhibited high skewness and kurtosis, further indicating a non-normal distribution. Management Efficiency showed a moderate mean of 0.592292 but also substantial variation (standard deviation of 2.623090) and a skewed, peaky distribution.

Earnings Ability had a low mean of 0.052989, reflecting generally low earnings across the sample, with high skewness and kurtosis indicating an abnormal distribution and potential outliers. Liquidity's mean of 1.724919 suggested moderate average liquidity but with significant variability (standard deviation of 4.065373), again exhibiting high skewness and kurtosis. Market Concentration had a mean of 67.24444, relatively close to its minimum and maximum, suggesting limited variation, and a low standard deviation (1.130101) compared to other metrics. While skewness and kurtosis were lower for Market Concentration, they still indicated some deviation from normality. The Jarque-Bera test results for all metrics indicated non-normal distributions with significant skewness and kurtosis. Schnatterly (2018) noted that banks with stricter capital requirements typically had higher capital adequacy ratios, reflecting cautious capital management. Khan (2020) found that banks with higher non-performing loans had lower cost efficiency, contradicting the current study's finding of a negative correlation between asset quality and management efficiency.

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics

	Capital Adequacy	Asset Quality	Management Efficiency	Earnings Ability	Liquidity	Market Concentration
Mean	0.889917	0.102984	0.592292	0.052989	1.724919	67.24444
Median	0.766120	0.000001	0.025141	0.018117	0.067500	67.00000
Maximum	15.23839	5.156565	30.94109	2.076696	13.25000	70.00000
Minimum	-93.60150	-0.409720	-0.838934	0.000000	-0.272600	66.00000
Std. Dev.	5.778509	0.544384	2.623090	0.199512	4.065373	1.130101
Skewness	-12.76850	6.982808	9.032937	7.928819	2.423472	1.365464
Kurtosis	203.7340	54.98762	101.1279	68.83683	7.060943	4.287422
Jarque-Bera	614194.0	43466.27	149331.8	68789.29	599.7619	136.7314
Probability	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000
Sum	320.3701	37.07421	213.2250	19.07604	620.9709	24208.00
Sum Sq. Dev.	11987.43	106.3911	2470.135	14.29001	5933.285	458.4889
Observations	360	360	360	360	360	360

Source: Research Data (2023)

6.2 Inferential Statistics

6.2.1 Correlation Analysis

The researchers conducted a correlation analysis to examine the relationship between independent and dependent variables. According to Field (2019), a correlation value above 0.7 indicates a high correlation, while a value below 0.7 indicates a weak correlation, with -1 and +1 representing absolute correlation. The study presented its findings in Table 4.8, highlighting several key relationships. The analysis showed a slight positive correlation (r=0.13) between capital adequacy and financial performance, suggesting that sufficient capital improves financial performance. This aligns with TN Bui (2023), who argued that reducing the total cost of capital, particularly by increasing the share of cheaper debt financing, enhances a firm's market value.

Asset quality exhibited a moderately high negative correlation with financial performance (r=-0.44), indicating that poorer asset quality detracts from financial performance. This finding is consistent with GM Njogu (2018), who observed a negative linear relationship between free cash flow and financial performance, suggesting that excessive free cash flow can harm financial performance. However, this contradicts Ndirangu (2017), who found that higher cash reserves boost financial performance. Management efficiency showed a slight positive correlation with financial performance (r=0.27), implying that better management efficiency leads to improved financial performance. This finding supports the capital budgeting theory by Woods and Randall (1989), which posits that traditional capital planning, favoring long-term investments, enhances financial performance. The study also found a small but positive significant correlation (r=0.14) between earnings ability and financial performance. This suggests that listed enterprises in Kenya benefit from strong earnings capabilities. The results are in line with Ngunjiri (2016), who found a positive correlation between earnings ability and stock prices, and Cheruiyot (2010), who also reported a positive link between financial performance and companies.

6.2.2 Regression Analysis

The study assessed the impact of various financial indicators on the financial performance of Kenyan microfinance institutions using regression analysis. The stationary nature of capital adequacy, asset quality, and management efficiency allowed for their direct inclusion in the analysis. However, earnings ability, liquidity, sensitivity, and financial performance required first

ISSN: 2617-4138
DOI: 10.32898/ibmj.01/6.4article18
www.ijarke.com

differentiation to become stationary. The study's regression model incorporated financial performance as the dependent variable, regressing it against capital adequacy (CA), earnings ability (EA), asset quality (AQ), and management efficiency (ME).

The resulting regression equation was:

Financial Performance = 1.5468 + 0.007CA + 0.0019EA – 4.3202AQ + 0.8426ME + ε.

This model suggested that a 1% increase in capital adequacy and earnings ability would lead to respective increases in financial performance by 0.007% and 0.0019%, whereas a 1% improvement in asset quality would result in a 4.3202% decrease. In contrast, a 1% improvement in management efficiency would yield a 0.8426% increase in financial performance. The R-squared value of 0.2694 indicated that the selected CAMELS variables only partially explained the variations in financial performance. However, with an F statistic value of 2.7092 and a p-value of 0.0000, the results were statistically significant; highlighting that certain CAMELS indicator significantly influenced the financial performance of these institutions.

Table 2: Regression Coefficient

Variable	Coefficient	Std Error	**t-Statistic**	**P>|t|**	**Low**	**High**
Constant	1.5468	0.438	3.5285	0.001	0.684	2.409
Capital adequacy	0.0070	0.003	2.5940	0.044	-0.03	0.016
Asset Quality	-4.3202	1.702	-2.5379	0.012	-1.207	9.847
Management Efficiency	0.0019	0.001	2.1197	0.034	-36.903	-1.374
Earnings Ability	0.8426	0.067	12.5519	0.000	0.711	0.975

Source: Research Data (2023)

6.2.3 Model Summary

This research aimed to investigate the impact of market concentration on the relationship between selected CAMELS financial indicators and the financial performance of Microfinance banks in Kenya. Following the methodology outlined by Whisman and McClelland (2005), initial tests treated moderators as independent variables alongside other factors. If these moderators did not show significant coefficients at the 0.05 level, the next stage involved testing their interaction effects with the variables under consideration. The study found that market concentration significantly influenced the correlation between CAMELS indicators and the financial outcomes of microfinance institutions in Kenya, supporting hypotheses. This approach underscores the importance of market structure in shaping financial performance within the microfinance sector.

Table 3: Model Summary

Variable	**Coefficient**	**Std. Error**	**t-Statistic**	**Prob.**
Constant	0.160536	0.153314	1.04711	0.296
Capital adequacy	-0.029142	0.007921	3.67917	0.000
Asset Quality	8.482396	1.586493	5.346632	0.000
Management Efficiency	3.04905	0.203899	14.95374	0.000
Earnings Ability	19.23815	5.467544	3.51861	0.001
Liquidity	0.380248	0.037635	10.10351	0.060
CA*Market concentration	0.076905	0.013052	5.89227	0.000
AQ*Market concentration	0.374421	0.115381	3.245087	0.001
EC*Market concentration	1.628879	0.428944	3.797413	0.000
ME*Market concentration	0.223566	0.015509	14.41517	0.000

Source: Research Data (2023)

7. Conclusions and Recommendations

The study focused on assessing the financial performance of microfinance institutions (MFIs) in Kenya using the CAMELS financial indicators, which are endorsed by the regulatory authority, the Bank of National Regulation (BNR). It concluded that this approach is robust and reliable for evaluating MFIs, which are integral to the microfinance sector. The research found a significant positive correlation between financial performance and capital efficiency, suggesting that higher capital levels contribute to improved financial outcomes for MFIs. Furthermore, there was an upward trend observed in asset quality, indicating reduced risk in loan portfolios, potentially leading to enhanced financial performance and increased confidence from stakeholders.

Management efficiency emerged as another crucial factor influencing financial performance, with well-managed MFIs demonstrating greater financial success through efficient resource utilization and effective decision-making. The study emphasized the importance of investing in managerial practices to enhance profitability and sustainability. Additionally, earnings capability was found to positively impact financial performance, aligning with financial intermediation theory, where generating earnings through lending and investment activities is vital for financial institutions.

Liquidity was identified as significantly enhancing financial performance by reducing the risk of liquidity crises and bolstering institutional confidence. The study highlighted the critical role of liquidity in meeting short-term obligations and supporting operational funding. Moreover, market concentration was found to moderate the relationship between CAMELS indicators and financial performance positively. A more concentrated market amplified the impact of factors like capital adequacy, asset quality, management efficiency, earnings ability, and liquidity on MFIs' financial performance. In summary, the study underscored several key findings: the positive correlation between financial performance and capital adequacy, asset quality, management efficiency, earnings ability, and liquidity within MFIs. It also emphasized the significant moderating effect of market concentration on these relationships. These insights underscored the importance of sound financial management, efficient operations, and strategic decision-making for enhancing the overall financial health and stability of microfinance institutions in Kenya.

Based on the study's comprehensive findings and subsequent conclusions, several key recommendations emerge for policymakers and practitioners in the microfinance sector. Firstly, the study underscores the critical importance of capital adequacy in enhancing financial performance within microfinance institutions (MFIs). It suggests that financial regulators and policymakers prioritize policies and incentives aimed at bolstering the capital bases of MFIs. This can be achieved through measures such as facilitating stock offerings or other capital-raising mechanisms to ensure MFIs have sufficient resources to navigate economic uncertainties effectively.

The study highlights the significant impact of asset quality on financial performance. It recommends that MFIs focus on maintaining high-quality loan portfolios by improving credit risk assessment processes. This involves implementing robust credit scoring models, conducting thorough due diligence on borrowers, and enhancing monitoring mechanisms to promptly identify and mitigate credit risks. Furthermore, investing in training programs and capacity-building initiatives for staff in areas such as credit analysis and risk management is crucial to sustaining asset quality and overall financial health.

Effective management practices are identified as pivotal to driving financial success in MFIs. The study suggests that implementing strong performance monitoring systems and regularly reviewing key performance indicators (KPIs) can help enhance management efficiency. Standardizing best practices, leveraging technological solutions, and streamlining operational procedures are also recommended to optimize resource utilization and operational efficiency within MFIs.

The study underscores the importance of earnings ability in influencing financial performance positively. It recommends that MFIs diversify their income streams beyond traditional lending activities. This could involve offering fee-based services like financial literacy classes, insurance products, or money transfer services. Additionally, focusing on strategies that boost profitability, reduce operational costs, and improve loan portfolio management can contribute to enhancing earnings ability and overall financial performance.

Maintaining adequate liquidity levels is identified as critical for the financial health of MFIs. The study advises MFI managers to adhere to liquidity management regulations and maintain sufficient liquidity buffers to meet short-term financial obligations. Monitoring liquidity ratios and complying with regulatory standards are essential to ensure financial stability and resilience against liquidity crises.

The study highlights the moderating effect of market concentration on the relationship between financial indicators and financial performance in the microfinance sector. It suggests that in highly concentrated markets, MFIs should remain vigilant about market trends and be prepared to adjust strategies accordingly. This may involve enhancing competitiveness, managing risks effectively, and fostering innovation to capitalize on market opportunities. In conclusion, the study provides actionable insights for policymakers and practitioners in the microfinance industry to enhance the financial performance and sustainability of MFIs. By focusing on capital adequacy, asset quality, management efficiency, earnings ability, liquidity management, and market dynamics, MFIs can strengthen their operational foundations and better serve their clients and stakeholders. These recommendations underscore the importance of strategic planning, regulatory compliance, and continuous improvement in achieving long-term success in the microfinance sector.

ISSN: 2617-4138
DOI: 10.32898/ibmj.01/6.4article18

References

1. Abebe, N., A. (2019). Financial Performance Analysis of Selected Ethiopian Micro-Finance Companies- A CAMELS Model Approach. *Global Scientific Journals*, 7(11), 1760-1776.
2. Ardiansari, A., Nugrahaini, M., & Wiratno Putri, V. (2018). Intellectual capital influence on financial performance and company value. *KnE Social Sciences*, 3(10), 1242. Doi: 10.18502/kss. v3i10.3205
3. Cheluget, J., & Mirigo, L. G. (2019). Effects of Liquidity and Investment Policies on Financial Growth of Savings and Credit Cooperative Societies in Kenya: A Case of Deposit Taking Saccos in Nairobi County. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science*, 111, 4, 278-285.
4. Diyah Santi Hariyani, Fika Pratama, B., & Hasanah, K. (2022). Capital structure, liquidity, and financial performance on the quality of earnings. *Neo Journal of economy and social humanities*, 1(2), 119-127. Doi: 10.56403/nejesh. v1i2.11.
5. Dogarawa A. B., Maude F. A. & Ringim, K. J. (2020). Estimating the Marginal Effect of Interaction between Industry Concentration and CAMELS Indicators on Financial Performance of Deposit Money Banks in Nigeria. *Sokoto Journal of the Social Sciences, Usmanu Danfodiyo University*, Sokoto, Nigeria.
6. Hakizakubana, J., P., N. & Gatauwa, J. (2021). CAMELS Rating System and Financial Performance of Rwandan Commercial Banks. *Journal of Economics and Finance*, 11(6), 01-13.
7. Kadioglu, E., & Ocal, N. (2020). Effect of the asset quality on the bank profitability. *International Journal of Economics and Finance*, *9*(7), 60-68.
8. Kumar, S., R. (2018). An Analysis of Financial Performance among National Level Microfinance Institutions in Nepal. Proceedings of the International MultiConference of Engineers and Computer Scientists 2018 Vol II IMECS 2018, March 14-16, 2018, Hong Kong.
9. Kundu, R., Mondal, R., Garai, S., Mondal, M., & Poddar, R. (2020). Weed management efficiency of post emergence herbicides in direct seeded rice and their residuality on soil microorganisms. *Journal of Experimental Biology and Agricultural Sciences*, 8(3), 276-286. doi:10.18006/2020.8(3).276.286
10. Maude, F. A., Tijjani, M. S., Ringim, K. J., Muazu, M. S. & Dogarawa, A. B. (2020). Effect of CAMELS Financial Indicators on Profitability of Systemically Important Banks in Nigeria. *Sokoto Journal of Management Studies, Usmanu Danfodiyo University*, Sokoto, Nigeria
11. Miller, M. H. (1988). The Modigliani-Miller proposition after thirty years. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, *2*(4), 99-120.
12. Mugenda, A. G. (2008). Social science research: Theory and principles. *Nairobi: Applied*.
13. Muriuki (2022). The effect of Liquidity risk on the financial performance of Deposit- Taking SACCOs in Nairobi County, Kenya.
14. Ndonji, C (2021). *An Assessment of the Financial Performance of MFIs in Zambia* (Doctoral Dissertation, Copperbelt University; Kitwe, Zambia).
15. Osamor, I. P., Saka, Q. A., & Olatunji, T. E. (2019). Corporate governance and asset quality: Evidence from Nigerian listed deposit money banks (DMBs). *European Journal of Accounting, Finance & Business*, 7(1). doi:10.4316/ejafb.2019.7113
16. Rahman, A. (2022). Working capital management efficiency using the index method. *Journal of Economics and Management*, 3(1), 75-85. doi:10.3126/jem.v3i1.59196
17. Rakatenda, G., & Sedana, I. (2021). The effect of credit risk on profitability with capital adequacy as a mediation variable. *Russian Journal of Agricultural and Socio-Economic Sciences*, 111(3), 72-82. doi:10.18551/rjoas.2021-03.09
18. Saleh, I., Afifa, M. A., & Alsufy, f. (2020). Does earnings quality affect companies' performance? New evidence from the Jordanian market. *The Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business*, 7(11), 33-43. Doi: 10.1316/jafeb. 2020. Vol17.no11.033.
19. Seth, H., Chadha, S., Sharma, S. K., & Ruparel, N. (2020). Exploring predictors of working capital management efficiency and their influence on firm performance: An integrated DEA-SEM approach. Benchmarking: *An International Journal*, 28(4), 1120-1145. doi:10.1108/bij-05-2020-0251
20. Shkodra, J. (2019). Financial performance of microfinance institutions in Kosovo. *Journal of International Studies*, *12*(3), 31–37.
21. Sumanasiri, E. A. G., & Priyankara, D. T. (2020). Microfinance Loan Default in Sri Lanka: A Case Study of Matugama Division.
22. Talibong, J. K., & Simiyu, E. M. (2019). Financial soundness indicators and financial performance of deposit-taking microfinance banks in Kenya. *African Journal of Emerging Issues*, *1*(11), 67-84.
23. Wamalwa, N., & Makori, J. M. P. D. (2020). Effect of CAMELS Variables on Financial Stability: A Dynamic Panel Analysis of Commercial Banks in Kenya. *Research Journal of Finance and Accounting*, Vol.11, No.18, 79-91.