Remington Conversions

by Paul Berg

The transition period from muzzle loading to breech loading guns is an exciting one to study. During this period man's inventiveness was at a high pitch so numerous variations resulted. These variations deserve special attention by the serious gun collector.

We know that Major Ferguson used his ingenuity during our Revolutionary War period to seek a breech loading solution to the flint lock rifle. He was sufficiently successful to have England produce a small number of these arms of his design. Then in 1812 Forsythe found a chemical source of ignition which established a completely new path to breech loading.

The rim fire cartridge came on the scene when Smith and Wesson made their contribution in the 1850s of a cartridge that was a complete package. Muzzle loading was destined to be pushed into complete obsolescense. Yet, there was still some 20 years of experimentation before basic patterns of cartridge design were to emerge. Also the masses, geared in their thinking to loose ammunition were not quick to place their faith in this new concept that often misfired.

The muzzle loaded guns were not to be immediately discarded when cartridges became available as they represented proven, useful firearms and these revolvers were soon to appear in modified forms that accepted the cartridge. Colt tried in 1868 to modify their revolver for the Thuer cartridge and then when the cylinder could be bored through, in 1861 produced the Richards conversion and in 1872 the Mason conversion. These were modifications of their open frame.

This brings us to the start of my story, how Remington was able to modify their closed frame revolver into a form of breech loading that was to be so successful that it was offered by them up to 1885.

An early attempt to modify the Remington New Model Army appeared in 1868. In February of that year Remington and Smith & Wesson entered into a contract for Remington to alter this arm to incorporate a five shot 46 calibre rim fire cartridge cylinder. The cylinder was marked April 3, 1855 to respect the Rollin White patent date for boring the cylinder completely through. The contract included a six shot 44 calibre percussion cylinder. The modification was to meet Smith & Wesson's inspection. The work proceeded and the arms were delivered between September 1868 and April 1869. Out of a total of 4,624 produced 50 did not pass inspection.

The distribution of the ones meeting inspection



was as follows:

Retained as a model 1
Wexell & Degress 1
M. W. Robinson 31
J. W. Storrs, Smith & Wesson
New York Agent 400
B. K. Kittredge & Co., Cincinnati, Ohio 4,141

An example of this revolver bearing the modification number 3,415 is shown in Fig. 1. In Fig. 2 the patent date on the cylinder is shown more clearly. The modification to the frame was made as shown in Fig. 3, the back of the frame being milled out and a "U" shaped recoil plate attached with a screw. The side of the frame was grooved so a cartridge could be inserted into the cylinder. The percussion cylinder was made so the rachet projected to reach the pawl as shown in Fig. 4. The conversion serial number shows clearly in this view. The cartridge cylinder bearing no serial number is shown in Fig. 5. In order that the hammer could strike the rim of the cartridge a cut was milled in the back of the cylinder at each bore and between the bores a recess was made where the hammer could rest off the cartridge, similar to the percussion cylinder.

In Fig. 6 the conversion serial number appears on the bottom of the barrel immediately ahead of the rammer latch. This number was also placed on the frame under the left grip.

In Fig. 7 is shown three known variations of the modified New Model Army. The center revolver is the same as that just described. The top and bottom are center fired specimens, the top having an ejector attached and the bottom showing a frame without the groove for cartridge entrance to cylinder.

In Fig. 8 is shown specimens of the 46 calibre rim fire cartridge. Reading from left to right; Extra short with raised H. Short without head stamp, typical of CTM and USCCo. Short without head stamp, typical of UMC. Short with raised H, typical of WRACo. Short without head stamp, typical of Phoenix and American Metallic. Except, of course, for the extra short which has no explanation, these were all for the Remington New Model Army. The fact that this cartridge was offered by so many different manufacturers is an indication this modification to cartridge was put to use.





Figure 1
Remington contract with Smith
& Wesson for revolver conversion
to cartridge in 1868








Figure 2-6 Details of Figure 1

Another form of percussion modification to cartridge is shown in Fig. 9. These are New Model Navys, except for the fourth from the top which is a Beals Navy model. The top four have had the back of the frame milled off flush and a "U" shaped piece attached with a screw. This is better explained in Fig. 10 and 11. In Fig. 11 is shown the entire assembly. The four shown in Fig. 9 have the cartridge ejector added which is better explained in Fig. 12, the rammer having been milled out to retain the ejector handle when not in use. The bottom example in Fig. 9 has a loading gate similar to the 1875 model and here the ejector assembly is made with the ejector sleeve being a part of the cylinder pin and only removed by taking out the pin retaining screw. The top and bottom examples are rim fire as evident by the cut in the rear of the cylinder.

In Fig. 13 is shown four Belt Models, the top three being single action and the bottom double action. This type modification, the most common amongst





Figure 7 Early conversion types with serial under barrel



Figure 8 Specimens of the 46 caliber RF cartridge






Figure 9 New Model Navy variations










Figure 13 Belt Model conversions with back plate additions



Figure 10, 11, 12 Conversions to a New Model Navy Revolver

the Remingtons, was made by adding a plate to the back of the cartridge cylinder. This plate provided a face to mill in the ratchet and a recoil piece that retained the cartridge firmly in the recessed cylinder. In Fig. 14 is shown disassembled the double action Pocket Model with this modification. This revolver is commonly called the one with the mushroom percussion cylinder. Here the separate cylinder plate is grooved to receive the cylinder stop which previously had projected into the path of the mushroomed section.

A double action Belt Model is shown in Fig. 15 which was cased with both cylinders and where provisions were made for both loose ammunition and cartridges. A very few examples of this type casing exists.

In addition to the models described the Pocket Model with the spur trigger shown in Fig. 16 was modified with the plate back cartridge cylinder.

Two men with Remington, Fordyce Beals and Joseph Rider, are given credit for developing the modifications that converted the revolvers from percussion to cartridge. Some credit for the removable cylinder plate must go to Rupertus who mentioned the concept in a patent but made no claim for the idea.

Most of the modified Remingtons bear a second serial number which may be under the barrel immediately ahead of the rammer, on the inside of the trigger guard and on the left side of the frame under the grip. The modification to the cylinder by adding a plate bears a number that will not match the conversion number on the frame. This indicates there must have been a separate production phase to the cylinder modification from the rest of the revolver. Specimens have been noted where the modification number was placed immediately ahead of the original serial number.

In Fig. 17 and Fig. 18 is shown a different modification to the New Model Army by Dardick Corp., Hamden, Conn. The triangular cartridge shown in Fig. 19 called a tround was made in 20 mm and 30 mm. The cylinder as can be noted in Fig. 18 was




Figure 14 Pocket Model



Figure 15 Belt Model with both cylinders




Figure 16 Pocket Model



Figure 17 Dardick conversions



Figure 19 Dardick 20mm and 30mm cartridges



Figure 20 Remington broadside

actually two pieces, one a sleeve attached to the frame that does not move and the second inner piece shaped to receive the tround, revolves from a back recoil plate. The second pistol shown in Fig. 18 was called Model 1500 and was specifically made by Dardick for this cartridge.

In order to understand the timing and method of approach by Remington to the market with their

modification to handle cartridges, some knowledge can be obtained from broadsides and catalogs.

An early broadside distributed by Remington shown in Fig. 20, includes illustrations of revolvers with percussion cylinders as well as some cartridge guns. Under the percussion illustrations prices were given for the added cartridge cylinder as follows:

	D1	Price	Price
Model	Barrel Length	w/percussion cylinder	w/cartridge cylinder
Belt Models		\$11.00	\$11.50
Police	41/2′′	9.00	10.00
	5½"	9.25	10.50
	6½″	9.50	11.00
Pocket	31/2′′	8.25	9.25
	41/2′′	9.50	9.50

In this broadside, the New Model Army, New Model Navy and the D.A. Pocket were not offered with cartridge cylinder although it must be assumed they were available at this time.

In the 1870/71 James Bown catalog the 46 calibre rim fire cartridge was shown for \$24.00 per M. The 1875 Edward K. Tyron, Jr., & Company's catalog shows the Remington Army New Model in 46 calibre for \$12.40, blued.



Fig ire 21 1876 Great Western catalog

The 1876 Great Western catalog offers pistols with both cylinders in a variety of finishes as shown in Fig. 21.

In 1877 Remington put out a catalog of breech loading firearms and converted pistols were included as follows: New Model Navy, Fig. 22. Belt Model, Fig. 23. Police Model, Fig. 24. Pocket Model, Fig. 25. D.A. Pocket, Fig. 26. The revolving rifle was also shown, Fig. 27.

In 1884 J. A. Ross & Co. show the "Navy" and "Army" as illustrated in Fig. 28 and E. C. Meacham Arms Co. illustrated the Army as in Fig. 29. The Meacham illustration was actually the Police Model as the screw heads are on the left side.

The last known offering of the modified Remington percussion revolvers was in 1895, Great Western catalog, see Fig. 30. The catalog claimed a large lot of Navy models had been purchased at government sale and this would be the last they would have of this kind. The illustration is actually a Belt Model similar to Fig. 29. The price was \$5.00 at a time when the Colt SA Army was offered at \$14.50. It is interesting that the price had held up so well on a gun that was about 30 years old.

One question that is posed when Remington

Figure 22 1877 Remington catalog






Figure 23 1877 Remington catalog




Figure 24 1877 Remington catalog




Figure 25 1877 Remington catalog




Figure 26 1877 Remington catalog



Figure 27 1877 Remington catalog




Figure 28
J. A. Ross & Co., 1884

Figure 29 E. C. Meacham Arms Co., 1884



Figure 30 1895 Great Western catalog



conversions are discussed is whether the company was long on percussion revolvers and was anxious to dispose of them by modifying them for cartridge. This could be true. By the middle 1870s Remington was offering cartridge revolvers so they obviously were not making percussion revolvers any longer. But it would be reasonable to conclude that there was a firm demand for guns that were interchangeable and with parts on hand they continued to supply the conversions even though this was in competition with their new cartridge models.

A second question is whether percussion revolvers were returned to Remington for modification to cartridge. This could be true. Remington was known to fulfill unusual requests. In most cases the Remington models which used the back plate on the cylinder it was only necessary to order this cylinder and have a gunsmith alter the hammer. The exceptions were the police and pocket models which required frame modifications to take the conversion cylinder.

A third question is whether the cartridge cylinder was a percussion cylinder with the back turned off in a lathe. After examining a number of percussion cylinders the conclusion is, there is not enough space between the cylinder stop and the nipple recess to make this modification. I believe Remington made the cartridge cylinder from new stock.

The title of this discourse is 'Remington Conversions'. The word 'conversion' is the popular term but it is interesting to contemplate what would be the proper word to describe the Remington modifications. According to Webster's Dictionary the word 'conversion' is used to indicate an irreversible modification. The conversion from flint lock to percussion was an irreversible one. Colt's

Figure 31 New Model Army and 1875 Model conversion from percussion to cartridge was not directed toward being reversible. In Remington's case the modification was primarily in the cylinder and they really produced a 'convertible' rather than a 'conversion'.

To carry the choice of word further, in the case of the 1875 Model there is an extremely close resemblance to the New Model Army, see Fig. 31. The lines of the two models are very similar and the operating parts are identical. The modification to the New Model Army for cartridge was then actually a 'transition' to the 1875 Model.

Remington had a successful firearm in the New Model Army but made no improvements when they went to the 1875 Model. This turned out to be a mistake as the U.S. Army trials showed that Colt's copy of the Remington closed frame had made their firearm superior. Remington's future was not to be in cartridge revolvers but in the rolling block rifle.

In conclusion we can see from the records that Remington made a very worthwhile contribution to the transition from muzzle loading to breechloading pistols. They were the only company who continued to offer a 'convertible' revolver through the entire transition period. Their progressiveness is evident by their very early 1868 modification to the New Model Army while the Rollin White patent was still in effect.

As collector pieces all conversions are rarer than the original percussion models and since the number of Remington percussion revolvers were not numerous when compared to other makes this places their conversion in the scarce classification. The fact that the conversions appear with beautiful engraving and with ivory or pearl grips indicates they were prized by their original owners and they are certainly prized by the collectors in this condition.

