

Agricultural Best Management Practice Systems Catalogue

**NYS Soil and Water Conservation
Committee**

April 16, 2014

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page(s)
Table of Contents	1
Table 1 – Management Practices with Component Practices	2 – 5
Table 2 - Agricultural Management Systems by Category and Lifespan	6 – 7
Agricultural System Descriptions	
<i>System Name</i>	
Access Control System	8 – 10
Agrichemical Handling and Storage System	11 – 14
Composting System – Animal	15 – 18
Erosion Control System – Structural	19 – 23
Feed Management System	24 – 27
Integrated Pest Management System	28 – 31
Irrigation Water Management System	32 – 35
Livestock Heavy Use Area Runoff Management System	36 – 39
Manure and Agricultural Waste Treatment System	40 – 43
Nutrient Management System – Cultural	44 – 48
Pathogen Management System	49 – 53
Petroleum and Oil Products Storage System	54 – 57
Prescribed Rotational Grazing System	58 – 62
Process Wash Water Management System	63 – 66
Riparian Buffer System	67 – 70
Silage Leachate Control and Treatment System	71 – 74
Soil Conservation System – Cultural	75 – 78
Stream Corridor and Shoreline Management System	79 – 82
Waste Storage and Transfer System	83 – 87

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

Table I – Management Practice Systems with Potential Components

Access Control System

Access Road	May 2011
Access Control	May 2011
Animal Trails and Walkways	Dec 2010
Fence	Oct 2013
Field Border	May 2011
Heavy Use Area Protection	Dec 2011
Pond	July 2012
Pumping Plant	Dec 2011
Spring Development	June 2007
Stream Crossing	May 2011
Structure for Water Control	July 2007
Water Well	May 2011
Watering Facility	May 2011

Agrichemical Handling and Storage System

Access Road	May 2011
Access Control	May 2011
Agrichemical Handling Facility	Feb 2012
Diversion	Dec 2010
Grassed Waterway	Apr 2009
Livestock Pipeline	July 2012
Pumping Plant	Dec 2011
Underground Outlet	May 2011
Waste Recycling	Dec 2011

Composting System – Animal

Access Road	May 2011
Animal Mortality Facility	May 2011
Composting Facility	May 2011
Diversion	Dec 2010
Heavy Use Area Protection	Dec 2011
Roofs and Covers	May 2011
Vegetated Treatment Area	Apr 2009
Waste Storage Facility	July 2012
Waste Transfer	Sept 2009

Erosion Control Systems – Structural

Conservation Cover	May 2011
Critical Area Planting	May 2011
Diversion	Dec 2010
Fence	Oct 2013
Filter Strip	May 2011
Grade Stabilization Structure	Oct 1985
Grassed Waterway	Apr 2009

Erosion Control Systems – Structural

(continued)

Land Clearing	Sept 2003
Lined Waterway or Outlet	May 2011
Rock Barrier	July 2002
Sediment Basin	Aug 2010
Subsurface Drainage	Dec 2012
Terrace	Apr 2009
Underground Outlet	May 2011
Water and Sediment Control Basin (WASCOB)	Apr 2009

Feed Management

Feed Management	Oct 2012
-----------------	----------

Integrated Pest Management System

Conservation Cover	May 2011
Conservation Crop Rotation	Dec 2011
Field Border	May 2011
Filter Strip	May 2011
Forage Harvest Management	Dec 2010
Herbaceous Weed Control	Dec 2010
Integrated Pest Management	Sept 2010
Irrigation Water Management	Dec 2011
Waste Recycling	Dec 2011

Irrigation Water Management

Integrated Pest Management	Sept 2010
Irrigation Pipeline	Dec 2011
Irrigation Reservoir	Dec 2011
Irrigation System - Micro-irrigation	Dec 2011
Sprinkler System	Oct 2013
Irrigation System – Surface and Subsurface	Dec 2011
Irrigation System – Tailwater Recovery	Jan 2008
Irrigation Water Management	Dec 2011
Nutrient Management	Jan 2013
Pumping Plant	Dec 2011
Water Well	May 2011

Livestock Heavy Use Area Runoff Management System

Access Control	May 2011
Access Road	May 2011

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

Livestock Heavy Use Area Runoff Management System (continued)

Animal Trails and Walkways	Dec 2010
Conservation Cover	May 2011
Constructed Wetland	May 2011
Critical Area Planting	May 2011
Diversion	Dec 2010
Fence	Oct 2013
Grassed Waterway	Apr 2009
Heavy Use Area Protection	Dec 2011
Lined Waterway or Outlet	May 2011
Pumping Plant	Dec 2011
Roof Runoff Structure	May 2010
Roofs and Covers	May 2011
Sediment Basin	Aug 2010
Subsurface Drainage	Dec 2012
Underground Outlet	May 2011
Vegetated Treatment Area	Apr 2009
Waste Separation Facility	Oct 2013
Waste Storage Facility	July 2012
Waste Transfer	Sept 2009
Water and Sediment Control Basin (WASCOB)	Apr 2009
Watering Facility	May 2011

Manure and Agricultural Waste Treatment Systems

Amendments for Treatment of Agricultural Waste	Oct 2013
Anaerobic Digester, Controlled Temperature	May 2010
Composting Facility	May 2011
Constructed Wetland	May 2011
Heavy Use Area Protection	Dec 2011
Pumping Plant	Dec 2011
Roofs and Covers	May 2011
Waste Separation Facility	Oct 2013
Waste Storage Facility	July 2012
Waste Transfer	Sept 2009
Waste Treatment	Oct 2013

Nutrient Management – Cultural

Nutrient Management	Jan 2013
Waste Management System	Apr 2007

Pathogen Management

Access Control	May 2011
----------------	----------

Pathogen Management (continued)

Amendments for the Treatment of Agricultural Waste	Oct 2013
Anaerobic Digester, Controlled Temperature	May 2010
Composting Facility	May 2011
Constructed Wetland	May 2011
Diversion	Dec 2010
Fence	Oct 2013
Heavy Use Area Protection	Dec 2011
Nutrient Management	Jan 2013
Pathogen Management	Jan 2008
Roofs and Covers	May 2011
Waste Management System	Apr 2007
Waste Separation Facility	Oct 2013
Waste Storage Facility	July 2012
Waste Transfer	Sept 2009
Waste Treatment	Oct 2013
Well Water Testing	May 2011

Petroleum and Oil Products Storage System

Access Control	May 2011
Access Road	May 2011
Agricultural Secondary Containment Facility	Nov 2010
Conservation Cover	May 2011
Heavy Use Area Protection	Dec 2011

Prescribed Rotational Grazing System

Access Control	May 2011
Access Road	May 2011
Animal Trails and Walkways	Dec 2010
Brush Management	May 2010
Fence	Oct 2013
Field Border	May 2011
Forage and Biomass Planting	Sept 2010
Forage Harvest Management	Dec 2010
Grazing Land Mechanical Treatment	May 2011
Heavy Use Area Protection	Dec 2011
Herbaceous Weed Control	Dec 2010
Livestock Pipeline	July 2012
Pond	July 2012
Prescribed Grazing	May 2011
Pumping Plant	Dec 2011
Spring Development	June 2007

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

Prescribed Rotational Grazing System (continued)

Stream Crossing	May 2011
Structure for Water Control	July 2007
Subsurface Drainage	Dec 2012
Underground Outlet	May 2011
Water Well	May 2011
Watering Facility	May 2011

Process Wash Water Management System

Constructed Wetland	May 2011
Heavy Use Area Protection	Dec 2011
Pumping Plant	Dec 2011
Structure for Water Control	July 2007
Subsurface Drainage	Dec 2012
Vegetated Treatment Area	Apr 2009
Waste Separation Facility	Oct 2013
Waste Storage Facility	July 2012
Waste Transfer	Sept 2009
Waste Treatment Lagoon	Aug 2006

Riparian Buffer System

Access Control	May 2011
Access Road	May 2011
Animal Trails and Walkways	Dec 2010
Brush Management	May 2010
Conservation Cover	May 2011
Critical Area Planting	May 2011
Fence	Oct 2013
Filter Strip	May 2011
Forage and Biomass Planting	Sept 2010
Grassed Waterway	Apr 2009
Herbaceous Weed Control	Dec 2010
Integrated Pest Management	Sept 2010
Lined Waterway of Outlet	May 2011
Riparian Forest Buffer	May 2011
Riparian Herbaceous Cover	May 2011
Stream Crossing	May 2011
Structure for Water Control	July 2007
Tree/Shrub Establishment	Dec 2011
Tree/Shrub Site Preparation	Mar 2007
Water and Sediment Control Basin (WASCOB)	Apr 2009

Silage Leachate Control and Treatment System

Conservation Crop Rotation	Dec 2011
Constructed Wetland	May 2011

Silage Leachate Control and Treatment System (continued)

Dike	Dec 2012
Diversion	Dec 2010
Fence	Oct 2013
Heavy Use Area Protection	Dec 2011
Sprinkler System	Oct 2013
Irrigation Water Management	Dec 2011
Pumping Plant	Dec 2011
Sediment Basin	Aug 2010
Structure for Water Control	July 2007
Subsurface Drainage	Dec 2012
Vegetated Treatment Area	Apr 2009
Waste Separation Facility	Oct 2013
Waste Storage Facility	July 2012
Waste Transfer	Sept 2009
Waste Treatment Lagoon	Aug 2006

Soil Conservation System – Cultural

Conservation Crop Rotation	Dec 2011
Conservation Cover	May 2011
Contour Farming	Jan 2008
Cover Crop	May 2011
Forage and Biomass Planting	Sept 2010
Mulching	Dec 2011
Residue and Tillage Management	Dec 2011
Strip Cropping	Apr 2009

Stream Corridor and Shoreline Management System

Access Control	May 2011
Clearing and Snagging	May 2011
Critical Area Planting	May 2011
Obstruction Removal	Sept 2010
Open Channel	Oct 1987
Riparian Forest Buffer	May 2011
Riparian Herbaceous Cover	May 2011
Stream Crossing	May 2011
Stream Habitat Improvement and Management	May 2011
Streambank and Shoreline Protection	May 2011
Tree/Shrub Establishment	Dec 2011
Tree/Shrub Site Preparation	Mar 2007

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

Waste Storage and Transfer System

Access Control	May 2011
Access Road	May 2011
Composting Facility	May 2011
Diversion	Dec 2010
Fence	Oct 2013
Heavy Use Area Protection	Dec 2011
Hedgerow Planting	May 2011
Nutrient Management	Jan 2013
Pond Sealing or Lining – Bentonite Sealant	May 2011
Pond Sealing or Lining – Compacted Clay Treatment	May 2011
Pond Sealing or Lining – Flex Membrane	July 2012
Pond Sealing or Lining – Soil Dispersant	May 2011
Pumping Plant	Dec 2011
Roofs and Covers	May 2011
Waste Separation Facility	Oct 2013
Subsurface Drainage	Dec 2012
Waste Facility Closure	Oct 2013
Waste Storage Facility	July 2012
Waste Transfer	Sept 2009
Waste Treatment	Oct 2013
Waste Treatment Lagoon	Aug 2006

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

Table II. Agricultural Management Systems by Category and Lifespan

- The following listed lifespans are for BMP Systems implemented under the New York State Non-Point Source Pollution Abatement and Control Grant Program.
- Management System Lifespan indicates the minimum term that Operation and Maintenance **MUST** be performed on the management system. Implementation of an Operation and Maintenance (O&M) plan is required to assure efficient operation of the system and may extend the system lifespan beyond the minimum term.
- Management System Design Criteria is variable depending on the component practices that are used.

Management System	Management System Categories			Management System Lifespan
	Operational	Vegetative	Structural	
Access Control System	•	•	•	10 years
Agrichemical Handling and Storage System	•		•	10 years
Composting System – Animal	•	•	•	10 years
Erosion Control System – Structural	•	•	•	10 years
Feed Management System	•			1 year
Integrated Pest Management System	•	•		1 year
Irrigation Water Management System	•		•	1 years
Livestock Heavy Use Area Runoff Management System	•	•	•	10 years
Manure and Agricultural Waste Treatment System	•		•	10 years
Nutrient Management System – Cultural	•	•		1 year
Pathogen Management System	•	•	•	10 years
Petroleum and Oil Products Storage System	•		•	10 years
Prescribed Rotational Grazing System	•	•	•	10 years
Process Wash Water Management System	•	•	•	10 years
Riparian Buffer System	•	•		10 years

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

Management System	Management System Categories			Management System Lifespan
	Operational	Vegetative	Structural	
Silage Leachate Control and Treatment System	•	•	•	10 years
Soil Conservation System – Cultural	•	•		1- 5 years
Stream Corridor and Shoreline Management System	•	•	•	10 years
Waste Storage and Transfer System	•		•	10 years

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

Access Control System

DEFINITION

An Access Control System provides for the permanent exclusion of livestock from a waterbody or hydrologically active area to protect water quality.

WATER QUALITY PURPOSE

To prevent the direct deposition of manure and urine into waterbodies and hydrologically active areas, and to protect the stability of the banks of a waterbody from livestock traffic.

POLLUTANT CONTROLLED

Nutrients, pathogens, bio-chemical oxygen demand, sediment and thermal modification

WHERE USED

On farmsteads, pastures, and fields where livestock have access to surface waterbodies and hydrologically active areas, and a resource concern has been identified.

SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

An Access Control System involves the use of appropriate fence and associated components to exclude livestock from having significant direct access to surface waters and hydrologically active areas to protect water quality. Other conservation practices that provide an alternative source of water, and/or allow for the controlled access to, or crossing of streams may be included in the system.

SYSTEM EFFECTIVENESS

Eliminating access of livestock to waterbodies and hydrologically active areas prevents direct nutrient, pathogen, and organic matter contributions by livestock as well as protecting bank stability and vegetation which leads to less erosion and improved wildlife habitat.

IMPACTS ON SURFACE WATER

Beneficial – reduces the risk of contamination from nutrients, pathogens, bio-chemical oxygen demand, sediment, and thermal modification.

IMPACTS ON GROUND WATER

Neutral - It may be beneficial in areas where groundwater is recharged directly from surface waterbodies or there is a direct surface connection to groundwater.

IMPACTS ON OTHER RESOURCES (OFF-SITE)

Soil: Beneficial by excluding livestock from the banks of waterbodies helping to maintain bank stability and vegetation reducing soil erosion and sedimentation.

Air: Neutral

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

Plants: Beneficial by excluding livestock from the banks of waterbodies helping to maintain bank vegetation.

Animals: Beneficial as it can help protect riparian vegetation that in turn provides habitat, wildlife corridors and water quality benefits.

Humans: Beneficial by improving overall water quality and recreational opportunities.

Energy: Neutral, but may be negative if alternative water requires pumping.

ADVANTAGES TO FARM

- Easy to implement
- Relative low cost
- Could improve neighbor relations due to livestock control and increased aesthetics in the stream corridor

DISADVANTAGES TO FARM

- Requires fence maintenance and potential replacement after flood events.
- Often requires the installation of an alternative water supply system.

SYSTEM LIFESPAN

Ten (10) years

COST

Each Agricultural Management System is unique and must be customized to the situation in which it is employed resulting in a wide and variable range in cost. Some factors impacting cost include the type of livestock involved, the length and type of fence needed, the need for alternative water, and stream crossings.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

Each Agricultural Management System is unique and must be customized for every situation. The following are generally key components to the operation and maintenance of the system:

- Basic maintenance to fence, crossings, and watering stations is needed.
- Significant flooding may result in the need for repair or replacement.

See the documents in Section 4 of the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide (eFOTG) under the specific conservation practice standard being utilized for additional information on operation and maintenance needs.

MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTS

Components that result in a complete system that eliminates a resource concern may be eligible for cost-sharing. Compliance with local and state laws should be adhered to including the need for Erosion and Sediment Control plans for disturbances over 1 acre, contacting Underground Utilities Protection before excavation, contacting SHPO and others as applicable. Stream crossings and other activities that disturb streambanks may require permits.

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

NRCS STANDARDS TO UTILIZE*

For the most current information on each NRCS Standard, please go to the eFOTG at <http://efotg.sc.egov.usda.gov/treemenuFS.aspx>, use the drop box in the left side to reach Section IV – Practice Standards and Specifications, click on the folder for Conservation Practices and locate the appropriate practice. Under each practice, you will find, at the minimum, the practice standard. You may also find: a Statement of Work; Practice Guideline; Operation and Maintenance Plan; Specification Sheet; Standard Drawing; and other document that will assist in the planning, installation or operation of the practice.

NRCS Name	Standard #	Reportable Item	Date	Life Span
Access Control	472	Acre	May 2011	10
Access Road	560	Feet	May 2011	10
Animal Trails and Walkways	575	Feet	Dec 2010	10
Fence	382	Feet	Oct 2013	10
Field Border	386	Feet	May 2011	10
Heavy Use Area Protection	561	Acre	Dec 2011	10
Pond	378	Number	July 2012	10
Pumping Plant	533	Number	Dec 2011	10
Spring Development	574	Number	June 2007	10
Stream Crossing	578	Number	May 2011	10
Structure for Water Control	587	Number	July 2007	10
Water Well	642	Number	May 2011	10
Watering Facility	614	Number	May 2011	10

*This is a listing of the primary BMPs to utilize but is not all inclusive and other NY NRCS Standards could be utilized. Please check with your local SWCC representative for approval.

REFERENCES

- USDA NRCS eFOTG: <http://efotg.sc.egov.usda.gov/treemenuFS.aspx>
- Pasture Management AEM Tier 2 Worksheet: <http://www.nys-soilandwater.org/aem/forms/PastureManagement.pdf>
- Stream and Floodplain Management AEM Tier 2 Worksheet: <http://www.nys-soilandwater.org/aem/forms/StreamFloodplainManagement.pdf>

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

Agrichemical Handling and Storage System

DEFINITION

A permanent structure, with associated operation and maintenance procedures, that includes an impervious surface to provide an environmentally safe on-farm area for agri-chemical storage, handling, mixing, loading, recovery, and rinsing.

WATER QUALITY PURPOSE

To reduce the potential for soil, groundwater, and surface water contamination during agrichemical storage, mixing, loading, unloading, rinsing, and recycling operations.

POLLUTANT CONTROLLED

Agrichemicals – (i.e. pesticides, fertilizers, etc.)

WHERE USED

On farms where current methods of storing, mixing, loading, and unloading of agri-chemicals; and the rinsing of equipment and/or agrichemical containers are polluting, or have the potential to pollute ground and/or surface waters ; and a resource concern has been identified.

SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

An agrichemical mixing facility consists of a watertight containment structure comprised of a concrete pad and all necessary equipment for pumping, transferring, and storing water used in agrichemical mixing, loading, unloading, and rinsing operations. The size of the pad and storage capacity is related to the volume and size of the largest spray tank on the pad. Containment storage vessels incorporated into the facility design allow for the recovery of agrichemical, rinsate storage, plus handling/mixing/recovery/disposal. Surface runoff from a 25-year, 24-hour duration storm event is diverted away from the facility. A roof and sidewalls may be used to shelter the facility from rain, snow and ice, preventing precipitation from accumulating on the pad and contaminating runoff.

SYSTEM EFFECTIVENESS

Little or no information exists on the documented effectiveness of agrichemical handling facilities on water quality improvement. Much is known about water quality impacts when these facilities are not available for agrichemical handling and rinsing operations. A recent study of commercial pesticide mixing and loading sites in Wisconsin, without pesticide handling facilities, found that two-thirds of the sites had significant groundwater contamination.

Pesticides were detected in groundwater at more than half of these sites, with concentrations exceeding groundwater standards at one-third of the sites surveyed. Officials and the pesticide industry in Wisconsin recognized that use of agrichemical mixing facilities minimize the potential for surface and groundwater contamination.

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

IMPACTS ON SURFACE WATER

Beneficial

IMPACTS ON GROUND WATER

Beneficial

IMPACTS ON OTHER RESOURCES (OFF-SITE)

Soil: Beneficial as it greatly reduces the risk of soil contamination due to leaks and spills.

Air: Beneficial as it greatly reduces the risk of air contamination resulting from leaks and spills.

Plants: Neutral

Animals: Neutral

Human: Beneficial as it improves environmental safety.

Energy: Neutral

ADVANTAGES TO FARM

- Improves environmental safety by preventing contamination of ground and surface water from routine use and accidental spills.
- Allows compliance with federal and state regulations.
- Enhances owner / operator management.
- Promotes recycling of rinse water as tank make up water.
- Reduces liability risk.

DISADVANTAGES TO FARM

- Can be very expensive.
- Must perform maintenance frequently and diligently to ensure proper facility operation and water source protection.
- Expansive cropland acreage makes it difficult to pick one central location to protect and utilize exclusively.

SYSTEM LIFESPAN

Ten (10) years

COST

Each Agricultural Management System is unique and must be customized to the situation in which it is employed resulting in a wide and variable range in cost.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

Each Agricultural Management System is unique and must be customized for every situation. The following are generally key components to the operation and maintenance of the system:

- An Emergency Action Plan should be a part of the written O&M plan, in case of an accidental agrichemical spill, exposure, fire or other incident that could adversely affect

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

environmental health. The plan should include a record-keeping component to accurately log spills, exposure, fire or other incidents.

- Safe agrichemical handling procedures and frequent maintenance are critical to the performance of any agrichemical mixing facility.
- The proper disposal/utilization of rinsate, exterior wash water, accumulated sediment and spilled wastewater must be accomplished in accordance with the pesticide labeling requirements and federal, state and local laws and codes.
- Operator must perform periodic checks of any backflow prevention devices, inspect the pad and sump for cracks and leaks, clean the sump and pad between different chemical mixing operations and remove sediment accumulation from the sump.
- Personal protective equipment must be used during O&M procedures.
- Accurate records indicating maintenance, cleaning and inspection of equipment are necessary.
- Pesticide containers are to be triple rinsed and properly recycled.

See the documents in Section 4 of the NRCS field Office Technical Guide (eFOTG) under the specific conservation practice standard being utilized for additional information on operation and maintenance needs.

MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTS

Components that result in a complete system that eliminates a resource concern may be eligible for cost-sharing. Compliance with Federal, State, and local laws should be adhered to including the need for Erosion and Sediment Control Plans for disturbances over one acre, contacting Underground Utilities Protection before excavation, contacting SHPO and others as applicable.

NYSDEC recommends that all pesticide rinsates, including wash waters from cleaning of spray equipment, should be collected and stored above ground. Stored rinsates should be recycled for future mixing with the same concentrates.

An agrichemical storage facility should have good air ventilation and an impervious floor and sides to contain spills and leaks. The building should be locked at all times and be located adjacent to the pad.

NRCS STANDARDS TO UTILIZE

For the most current information on each NRCS Standard, please go to the eFOTG at <http://efotg.sc.egov.usda.gov/treemenuFS.aspx>, use the drop box in the left side to reach Section IV – Practice Standards and Specifications, click on the folder for Conservation Practices and locate the appropriate practice. Under each practice, you will find, at the minimum, the practice standard. You may also find: a Statement of Work; Practice Guideline; Operation and Maintenance Plan; Specification Sheet; Standard Drawing; and other document that will assist in the planning, installation or operation of the practice.

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

NRCS Name	Standard #	Reportable Item	Date	Life Span
Access Control	472	Acre	May 2011	10
Access Road	560	Feet	May 2011	10
Agrichemical Handling Facility	309	Number	Feb 2012	10
Diversion	362	Feet	Dec 2010	10
Grassed Waterway	412	Acre	April 2009	10
Heavy Use Area Protection	561	Acre	Dec 2011	10
Livestock Pipeline	516	Feet	July 2012	10
Pumping Plant	533	Number	Dec 2011	10
Underground Outlet	620	Feet	May 2011	10
Waste Recycling	633	Tons	Dec 2011	1

*This is a listing of the primary BMPs to utilize but is not all inclusive and other NRCS Standards could be utilized. Please check with your local SWCC representative for approval.

REFERENCES

- USDA NRCS eFOTG: <http://efotg.sc.egov.usda.gov/treemenuFS.aspx>
- AEM Tier 2 Worksheet – Pesticide Storage, Mixing and Loading: <http://www.nys-soilandwater.org/aem/forms/PesticideStorage.pdf>

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

Composting System – Animal

DEFINITION

An on-farm system to safely facilitate the treatment or disposal through controlled aerobic decomposition of livestock and poultry carcasses, by micro-organisms into a biologically stable, soil-enriching material useful for soil amendment. This system is especially useful when rendering services are not available or too costly.

WATER QUALITY PURPOSE

To have a system for the safe decomposition and ultimate utilization of nutrients from animal composting in a safe environmental manner.

POLLUTANT CONTROLLED

Pathogens, Nutrients

WHERE USED

On farms where safe disposal of livestock carcasses is needed.

SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

A facility to safely compost animal carcasses. To protect surface and groundwater and allow for proper composting of animal carcasses, the facility may be located on lower permeability soils, an improved pad, or be a building with a roof and concrete floor, depending on the operation and need. Clean surface water will be diverted from the site and all contaminated run-off will be contained and treated.

Composting on the farm is accomplished by mixing an energy source (carbonaceous material: wood chips, sawdust, straw, corn cobs, or well-bedded horse manure) with a nutrient source (nitrogenous material: animal carcasses,) in a prescribed manner under aerobic conditions. Microorganisms (primarily bacteria and fungi) break down the raw organic waste under controlled conditions. Air, water, nutrients, surface area, temperature and pH are all important factors in the composting process.

Two types of carcass composting operations are common for on-farm use and either can be managed outside or in a controlled environment or building:

- The most common - Static Piles – carcasses are placed on bulky, high carbon organic material (such as wood chips) and then covered with more organic material and not turned during the composting process. Correct moisture content and bulk density facilitate air movement throughout the pile.
- Aerated Windrows - organic materials are formed into long narrow piles, called windrows, and turned periodically with power equipment to aerate the piles and promote the composting process. This method is the most suitable for smaller

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

carcasses, such as poultry and but has been done on larger scales in the Midwest.

SYSTEM EFFECTIVENESS

Unsafe disposal of animal carcasses can be a large source of pathogens and nutrients. Proper composting, including the collection and treatment of any leachate from the process, greatly reduces the issues with pathogens and when the final product is land applied in accordance with a Nutrient Management Plan, the loss of nutrients is negligible.

IMPACTS ON SURFACE WATER

Beneficial - Improper disposal of carcasses can cause surface water contamination and a proper composting facility can eliminate the potential.

IMPACTS ON GROUND WATER

Beneficial - Improper burial or improper composting on well drained soils, shallow to fractured rock or near high groundwater tables can have negative effects on ground water quality. Proper composting facility will be installed in proper soils or lined and will not affect ground water quality.

IMPACTS ON OTHER RESOURCES (OFF-SITE)

Soil: Beneficial. Nutrients and organic matter will be incorporated in the soil and improve the soil health and nutrient values.

Air: Beneficial. Improper disposal or improperly operated composting facilities can cause major air quality issues on a farm. Properly operated and maintained composting facilities will create little to no odor.

Plants: Beneficial. Plants will benefit from increased nutrients in the soil.

Animals: Beneficial. Properly operated composting facilities will not be an attractant to wild animals or vectors.

Human: Beneficial. Protection of ground and surface water, odor control and vector control will all benefit humans.

Energy: Negative. Increased energy will be needed to properly run a composting system which requires increased equipment time over some forms of disposal (dragging carcass into woodlot) but energy use can be less than needed for proper burial.

ADVANTAGES TO FARM

- Can be done simply, at low cost and may not require engineering assistance (for non-structural composting facilities).
- Can utilize on-farm waste products for cover material, such as refusals, spoiled feed, etc.
- Compost can be used as a soil amendment increasing soil tilth and water-holding capacity.

DISADVANTAGES TO FARM

- Requires input (and possible purchase) of materials for composting, such as wood chips

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

- Increased cost of initial investment (can be expensive, especially if a building or roofed structure)
- Higher degree of management by farm
- Requires monitoring for run-off, temperature, proper covering with suitable materials
- Some practices may require a SPDES permit for site disturbance

SYSTEM LIFESPAN

Ten (10) years

COST

Each Agricultural Management System is unique and must be customized to the situation in which it is employed resulting in a wide and variable range in cost. Costs can range from little to no out of pocket cost when there is a readily available supply of high carbon material for a base or can be very expensive for a building where large volumes of material are composted – range \$0 to \$150,000.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

Each Agricultural Management System is unique and must be customized for every situation. The following are generally key components to the operation and maintenance of the system:

- Maintain correct operating temperatures, proper aeration, carbon to nitrogen (C: N) ratio, and perform periodic testing of compost.
- Check for run-off, kill zones or other signs of nutrient loss after storm events.

See the documents in Section 4 of the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide (eFOTG) under the specific practice standard being utilized for additional information on operation and maintenance needs.

MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTS

Testing of compost for nutrients or heavy metals can be arranged through the local Cornell Cooperative Extension or through the Cornell Nutrient Analysis Laboratory. This practice may be eligible for cost-sharing. Compliance with local and state laws should be adhered to including the need for Erosion and Sediment Control plans for disturbances over 1 acre, contacting Underground Utilities Protection before excavation, contacting SHPO and others as applicable.

NRCS STANDARDS TO UTILIZE *

For the most current information on each NRCS Standard, please go to the eFOTG at <http://efotg.sc.egov.usda.gov/treemenuFS.aspx>, use the drop box in the left side to reach Section IV – Practice Standards and Specifications, click on the folder for Conservation Practices and locate the appropriate practice. Under each practice, you will find, at the minimum, the practice standard. You may also find: a Statement of Work; Practice Guideline; Operation and Maintenance Plan; Specification Sheet; Standard Drawing; and other document that will assist in the planning, installation or operation of the practice.

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

NRCS Name	Standard #	Reportable Item	Date	Life Span
Access Road	560	Feet	May 2011	10
Animal Mortality Facility	316	Number	May 2011	10
Composting Facility	317	Number	May 2011	10
Diversion	362	Feet	Dec 2010	10
Heavy Use Area Protection	561	Acre	Dec 2011	10
Roof and Covers	367	Number	May 2011	10
Vegetative Treatment Area	635	Acre	April 2009	10
Waste Storage Facility	313	Number	July 2012	10
Waste Transfer	634	Number	Sept 2009	10

* This is a listing of the primary BMPs to utilize but is not all inclusive and other NRCS Standards could be utilized. Please check with your local SWCC representative for approval.

REFERENCES

- National Engineering Handbook Part 637, Chapter 2 – Composting (NEH 637.0213, Dead Animal Composting),
- National Engineering Handbook Part 651,
- Agricultural Waste Management Field Handbook, Chapter 10 Mortality Management (NEH 651.1007), NRCS or comparable extension publication.
- Bonhotal, J., L Telega, J. Petzen. Natural Rendering: Composting Livestock Mortality and Butcher Waste. 2002. Cornell Waste Management Institute:
<http://compost.css.cornell.edu/naturalrenderingFS.pdf>
- Northeast Regional Agricultural Engineering Service publication No. 54, On Farm Composting Handbook, Cornell Cooperative Extension, Ithaca, NY.
- AEM Tier 2 Worksheet – Waste Disposal: <http://www.nys-soilandwater.org/aem/forms/WasteDisposal.pdf>

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

Erosion Control System - Structural

DEFINITION

The construction of an erosion control system to control the loss of soil from sheet, ephemeral, rill or gully erosion on agricultural lands outside of the farmstead or production area. This includes systems utilizing terraces, diversions, water and sediment control basins (WASCoBs), waterways (both grassed and lined) and associated earthmoving practices in a system.

WATER QUALITY PURPOSE

To reduce all forms of erosion and thereby reducing sediment delivery to waterbodies. This includes shortening slope lengths, cutting off sheet and concentrated flows from adjacent landuses, stabilizing gullies and providing safe outlets for flowing waters.

POLLUTANT CONTROLLED

Sediment, nutrients and pathogens

WHERE USED

On erodible land, in both crop fields and pastures, where soil erosion and runoff must be controlled and the use of rotation, minimum tillage or seeding does not or cannot limit the erosion to acceptable levels. This system is not for use in the farmstead area.

SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

This system can be one practice or several that are designed to handle the run-off from a 10 year – 24 hour frequency storm event, as a minimum. Terraces, diversion and WASCoB's are generally constructed across the slope, usually on the contour to intercept and conduct surface runoff at a non-erosive velocity to stable outlets, reducing ephemeral and gully erosion. They control erosion by shortening slope length and regulating surface runoff. They can outlet into established grassed waterways, flat vegetated areas or other stabilized outlets. They also can be total storage structures that release the flow through underground outlets within 24 to 48 hours, depending on crops grown. These systems also act as sediment traps and help to reduce sediment-bound pollutants in surface run-off.

This system will also be used for the construction of waterways; grassed, lined or stone-centered, which are used to convey concentrated flows down slope to protected outlets to prevent gully erosion or to act as outlets for other erosion control practices. On slopes of less than 1% where out-of-bank flow will not cause erosion or property damage, the confinement of flow is not a design requirement.

Structures can be cropped, seeded to grasses and legumes to stabilize the slopes or lined with another material, such as rock, when velocities require.

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

Soil and water resources are often further conserved when structural erosion control practices are paired with cultural soil conservation practices (i.e. – crop rotation, strip cropping, cover crop, conservation tillage, etc.) and nutrient management, - to further reduce pollutant transport and loss.

When this system is funded by the NYS Agricultural Nonpoint Source Abatement and Control Program (ANSACP), a complete system of BMPs meeting NRCS Standards must result.

SYSTEM EFFECTIVENESS

Structural erosion control practices are effective at reducing soil loss significantly as well as limiting nutrient losses and runoff.

IMPACTS ON SURFACE WATER

Beneficial - Terraces, diversions, waterways and WASCoB's reduce erosion by controlling surface runoff and gully erosion which lessen loads delivered to the receiving waterbody. Terraces, diversion and WASCoB can also reduce nutrient loading through settling in areas of water retention.

IMPACTS ON GROUND WATER

Slight to Moderate - Can be beneficial in areas where the groundwater is recharged from surface waterbodies. In the absence of a nutrient management plan, terraces and diversions may increase nutrient leaching to groundwater. Impacts on groundwater may be reduced by increasing terrace or diversion release rates, thereby decreasing runoff storage time and potential soil saturation. Diversions decrease the amount of surface runoff infiltrating into the soil, reducing the risk of transporting nutrients and pesticides to groundwater. Waterways generally have little to no impact on ground water.

IMPACTS ON OTHER RESOURCES (OFF-SITE)

Soil: Beneficial to soil resources as soil loss will be diminished.

Air: Slightly beneficial and can have beneficial effects as erosion rates are reduced and the possibility that fines in the air will also be reduced.

Plants: Neutral

Animals: Slightly beneficial improvement to wildlife as cross field practices could provide pathways for wildlife movement. Slight to moderate for fisheries as erosion rates will be lessened and delivery of sediment to waterbodies controlled.

Human: Slight to no effect on humans unless sediment reduction in waterbodies for public use are impacted.

Energy: Energy use may be slightly higher due to increased tractor use to go around conservation practices instead of normal plowing.

ADVANTAGES TO FARM

- Are relatively easy to design and install.
- Can be cheap to install for simple practices

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

- May allow timelier planting and potential yield increases by removing surface runoff.
- Controls surface runoff and gully and ephemeral erosion.
- Can provide flood protection for crop fields.
- Stores runoff up to 48 hours, allowing sediment and sediment-bound pollutants to settle out.

DISADVANTAGES TO FARM

- Can take land out of crop production.
- Systems may need to be in conjunction with other conservation practices such as conservation tillage, crop rotations, and contour or strip cropping to bring soil loss to acceptable levels.
- Some systems can be very expensive and usually are not considered cost-effective management practices in relation to cultural control measures.
- Require increased maintenance as trapped sediment accumulates in the structure and removal of sediment or reconstruction is required to maintain capacity.
- Grassed waterways may be unsuitable for areas where a base flow exists (sustained wetness prevents adequate vegetative cover) unless a stone-center lining and a subsurface drain and surface inlet are installed.
- Little impact on runoff volumes.
- Use may be precluded or have an increased cost if a stable outlet is lacking.

SYSTEM LIFESPAN

Ten (10) years

COST

Each Agricultural Management System is unique and must be customized to the situation in which it is employed resulting in a wide and variable range in cost. Costs can range from \$1.00 per foot for a cross slope ditch to \$10 a foot for storage structures with outlets.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

Each Agricultural Management System is unique and must be customized for every situation. The following are generally key components to the operation and maintenance of the system that need to be performed annually and after large storm events:

- Maintain capacity, storage, ridge height and outlets.
- Clean out inlets for underground outlets.
- Remove sediment build-up and redistribute.
- Inspect channel cross-section for stable side slopes, points of scour, rodent holes, and breaches.
- Check channel bottom for erosion or excessive scour, deposition of sediment or other obstructions.
- Outlets should be checked to ensure that they remain adequate, show no sign of erosion or loss of structural integrity.
- Vegetated structures will need to be periodically mowed.

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

See the documents in Section 4 of the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide (eFOTG) under the specific practice standard being utilized for additional information on operation and maintenance needs.

MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTS

Component BMPs that result in a complete system meeting appropriate NRCS Standards, and reduce a resource concern may be eligible for cost-sharing. Compliance with local and state laws should be adhered to including the need for Erosion and Sediment Control plans for disturbances over 1 acre, contacting Underground Utilities Protection before excavation, contacting SHPO and others as applicable

NRCS STANDARDS TO UTILIZE*

For the most current information on each NRCS Standard, please go to the eFOTG at <http://efotg.sc.egov.usda.gov/treemenuFS.aspx>, use the drop box in the left side to reach Section IV – Practice Standards and Specifications, click on the folder for Conservation Practices and locate the appropriate practice. Under each practice, you will find at the minimum the practice standard. You may also find: a Statement of Work; Practice Guideline, Operation and Maintenance Plan; Specification Sheet; Standard Drawing; and other document that will assist in the planning, installation of operation of the practice.

NRCS Name	Standard #	Reportable Item	Date	Life Span
Conservation Cover	327	Acre	May 2011	1
Critical Area Planting	342	Acre	May 2011	10
Diversion	362	Feet	Dec 2010	10
Fence	382	Feet	Oct 2013	10
Filter Strips	393	Acre	May 2011	10
Grade Stabilization Structure	410	Number	Oct 1985	10
Grassed Waterway	412	Acre	April 2009	10
Land Clearing	460	Acre	Sept 2003	1
Lined Waterway or Outlet	468	Feet	May 2011	10
Rock Barrier	555	Feet	July 2002	10
Sediment Basin	350	Number	August 2010	10

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

Subsurface Drainage	606	Feet	Dec 2012	10
Terrace	600	Feet	April 2009	10
Underground Outlet	620	Feet	May 2011	10
Water and Sediment Control Basin	638	Number	April 2009`	10

*This is a listing of the primary BMPs to utilize but is not all inclusive and other NRCS Standards could be utilized. Please check with your local SWCC representative for approval.

REFERENCES

- NRCS eFOTG for NY: <http://efotg.sc.egov.usda.gov/treemenuFS.aspx>
- AEM Tier 2 Worksheet – Soil Management: <http://www.nys-soilandwater.org/aem/forms/SoilManagement.pdf>

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

Feed Management System

DEFINITION

The continual process of providing adequate, not excess, nutrients to dairy animals through the integration of feeding and crop management to reduce nutrient excretion in manure and nutrient accumulation in soil, lower potential pollution risks to water and air resources, and improve farm profitability.

WATER QUALITY PURPOSE

Reduces the accumulation and potential loss of nitrogen and phosphorus in manure from dairy farms.

POLLUTANT CONTROLLED

Nutrients, pathogens, biochemical oxygen demand, and ammonia

WHERE USED

Dairy farms

SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

Feed management is a continuous improvement process involving benchmarking, planning, implementation, and monitoring. It is facilitated by a feed management specialist and adopted and directed by farm management to meet goals in three areas:

1. improved nutrient use efficiency, homegrown feed utilization, and income-over-feed cost;
2. crop production and purchased feeds are optimized for the feeding system; and
3. reduced nutrient overfeeding, excretion, and accumulation.

Dairy farms using the feed management process pursue those goals often by improving the digestible nutrient content of homegrown feeds produced and fed; accurately estimating feed nutrient intakes by animals and tracking feed inventories; employing scientific standards to determine nutrient requirements and ration levels; and increasing the level of homegrown feeds (forages and/or grains) in the diet.

Feed management recommendations should be based on the best available research information. The USDA-NRCS Feed Management Standard (NY-592) provides specific technical details and references about planning, implementation, and operation and maintenance. The Cornell Precision Feed Management guidelines and Precision Feed Management Benchmarking tools provide further information for effective feed management with dairy cows. Management of feed rations and forages should be consistent with Cornell recommendations, where available; otherwise National Research Council recommendations should be utilized.

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

SYSTEM EFFECTIVENESS

Improving a farm's nutrient mass balance (the amount of nutrients imported compared to the amount of nutrients exported) will reduce the amount of nutrients that have the potential to be lost to the environment. Changes in the feeding program can have a significant influence on farm nutrient management and its mass nutrient balance. While it varies widely by farm size and management, a substantial portion of the nutrients imported to dairy farms in the form of purchased (imported) feeds, and to a lesser degree fertilizers, often remains on the farm where they may accumulate in farm soils and may be lost to air and water resources. Farms that intensively manage their feeding program reduce nutrient excretion in the manure, increase feed nutrient utilization, and subsequently improve the farm's mass nutrient balance.

A 60% reduction in nitrogen and phosphorus mass nutrient balances has been documented by Cornell University and Cornell Cooperative Extension research on over 40 dairy farms that adopted feed management practices between 2004 and 2008. Those dairy farms also realized lower operating costs (\$1.33/CWT) and 11% higher milk production than similar sized farms not participating in the feed management process in the region.

IMPACTS ON SURFACE WATER

Beneficial, including nutrients and pathogens

IMPACTS ON GROUND WATER

Beneficial, including nutrients and pathogens

IMPACTS ON OTHER RESOURCES (OFF-SITE)

Soil: Beneficial, the soil health and soil conservation often must improve in order to optimize homegrown crop production for herd forage and/or grain needs.

Air: Beneficial, as it has the potential to reduce particulate matter from ammonia volatilization and nitrous oxide emissions.

Plants: Beneficial, as it can reduce nutrient losses and subsequent impacts on neighboring plant communities.

Animals: Beneficial, as it can reduce nutrient losses and subsequent impacts on terrestrial and aquatic habitat.

Human: Beneficial, as it can further safeguard drinking water sources, improve land and water resources for recreation, and provide economic growth.

Energy: Beneficial, as it can reduce use of transportation fuels for imported feed and fertilizer and improve livestock output per energy input.

ADVANTAGES TO FARM

- Potential to reduce nutrient losses and improve animal and crop production.
- Potential to improve herd/flock health.
- Often a positive impact on farm profitability.

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

DISADVANTAGES TO FARM

- Higher level of farm management is required may result in increased labor and equipment costs.
- Requires additional time and training to adjust to new management strategies.
- Cost to change management may be prohibitive for some farms.

SYSTEM LIFESPAN

One (1) year.

COST

Each Agricultural Management System is unique and must be customized to the situation in which it is employed resulting in a wide and variable range in cost. Costs for feed management depend on several factors, including the size and type of farm, existing level of farm management, feeding and feed storage facilities, history of herd, feed, and other farm records, available equipment, and familiarity with custom operators. Consultation fees for developing and maintaining a feed management plan should be considered in addition to the costs for feed and forage analyses.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

Each Agricultural Management System is unique and must be customized for every situation. Feed management is a continuous improvement process, involving regular monitoring through benchmarking, planning to address opportunities, implementing those plans, and evaluating the plans via benchmarking.

See the documents in Section 4 of the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide (eFOTG) under the specific conservation practice standard being utilized for additional information on operation and maintenance needs.

MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTS

See Cornell Feed Management guidelines and Precision Feed Management Benchmarking tools as well as the USDA-NRCS Feed Management Standard (NY-592) for specific technical details about planning, implementation, and operation and maintenance.

NRCS STANDARDS TO UTILIZE*

For the most current information on each NRCS Standard, please go to the eFOTG at <http://efotg.sc.egov.usda.gov/treemenuFS.aspx>, use the drop box in the left side to reach Section IV – Practice Standards and Specifications, click on the folder for Conservation Practices and locate the appropriate practice. Under each practice, you will find, at the minimum, the practice standard. You may also find: a Statement of Work; Practice Guideline; Operation and Maintenance Plan; Specification Sheet; Standard Drawing; and other document that will assist in the planning, installation or operation of the practice.

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

NRCS Name	Standard #	Reportable Item	Date	Life Span
Feed Management	592	Animals Units Affected	Oct 2012	1

*This is a listing of the primary BMPs to utilize but is not all inclusive and other NRCS Standards could be utilized. Please check with your local SWCC representative for approval.

REFERENCES

- NRCS eFOTG for NY: <http://efotg.sc.egov.usda.gov/treemenuFS.aspx>
- Cornell Precision Feed Management: www.cornellpfm.org
- AEM Tier 2 Worksheet - Management of Dairy Feed Nutrients: <http://www.nys-soilandwater.org/aem/forms/ManagementofFeedNutrients.pdf>

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

Integrated Pest Management System

DEFINITION

An ecologically-based, site-specific integrated pest control strategy utilizing a combination of pest prevention, pest avoidance, pest monitoring, and pest suppression strategies coupled with precision application techniques and Best Management Practices when pesticide application is warranted.

WATER QUALITY PURPOSE

To reduce pesticide use, availability, and losses to the environment in crop and livestock production.

POLLUTANT CONTROLLED

Pesticides

WHERE USED

On all agricultural lands where pests will be managed and a resource concern has been identified.

SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

Integrated Pest Management (IPM) strategies that keep pest populations below economically damaging levels and minimize pest resistance are used to reduce pest management risks to water quality and the environment. Specific IPM techniques include:

Prevention – activities such as cleaning equipment when leaving an infested area, using pest free seeds and transplants, and irrigation scheduling to limit situations that are conducive to disease development,

Avoidance – activities such as using pest resistant varieties, crop rotation, refuge management, and maintaining healthy and diverse plant communities,

Monitoring – activities such as crop scouting, establishing trap crops, degree-day modeling and weather forecasting to help target suppression strategies and avoid routine preventative treatments,

Suppression – activities such as the judicious use of cultural, mechanical, biological, and chemical control methods that reduce or eliminate a pest population or its impacts while minimizing risks to non-target organisms. As part of a suppression system, precision application techniques in an IPM system can further minimize pesticide risks to natural resources and humans. Examples of such techniques include: appropriate equipment calibration to include the correct rate, boom height, appropriate nozzle type, nozzle spacing, operating speed and pressure; computer controlled application technologies; and advanced technology equipment.

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

SYSTEM EFFECTIVENESS

Overall, IPM is effective, profitable, and relatively safe. Few if any studies have established a solid link between IPM usage and reduction of pesticide levels in receiving waters. However, IPM has been credited with the reduction in chemical usage. IPM is an effective management practice for consideration in vegetables, fruit, ornamentals, or field crops especially where large amounts of pesticides are applied, waterbodies are adjacent to crop fields, and soils are highly permeable. Numerous studies have shown pesticide use can be reduced up to 45% in fields employing IPM strategies verses conventional fields. Pesticide use for the control of house flies in dairy barns can be reduced 50 to 80% if manure is removed on a timely basis and fly biological control agent populations are enhanced.

When a pesticide is used its effectiveness depends upon the proper application and placement of the chemical. It is estimated that 60% of sprayers have a calibration error rate greater than plus or minus 10%. Frequent calibration checks and/or computerized precision application greatly reduce this problem.

Associated conservation practices that provide for adequate plant nutrients and soil moisture, including a favorable pH and soil quality, can reduce plant stress, improve plant vigor and increase the plant's overall ability to tolerate pests thereby reducing the need for pesticide use.

IMPACTS ON SURFACE WATER

Beneficial – In most management options the availability of pesticides as a nonpoint source pollutant is reduced.

IMPACTS ON GROUND WATER

Beneficial – In most management options the availability of pesticides as a nonpoint source pollutant is reduced.

IMPACTS ON OTHER RESOURCES (OFF-SITE)

Soil: Beneficial as the use of pesticides generally declines reducing risk of carry over and accumulation in the soil.

Air: Beneficial as IPM strategies generally result in less pesticide drift

Plants: Beneficial as overall less use of pesticide and more precise application is a benefit to non-target plants.

Animals: Beneficial as IPM strategies and precision application techniques reduce the impact of pesticides on non-target organisms such as pollinators.

Human: Beneficial as it is an economically and environmentally defensible practice which realizes a higher net return per acre due to improved commodity quality.

ADVANTAGES TO FARM

- Use of pesticides usually declines with the use of IPM strategies.
- Usually requires fewer pesticides on a per acre basis.
- IPM generally results in higher average per acre crop yield.

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

DISADVANTAGES TO FARM

- May result in more pesticide applications per growing season as scouting may find pest populations over threshold.
- Higher level of grower management is required which may result in increased labor and equipment costs.
- Requires additional time and training to adjust to new management strategies.
- May have control costs higher than conventional control techniques.
- Cost of some advanced technology equipment may not be economical for small pesticide applicators.

SYSTEM LIFESPAN

One (1) year for IPM plan and may vary up to 10 years for various application equipment.

COST

Each Agricultural Management System is unique and must be customized to the situation in which it is employed resulting in a wide and variable range in cost. The cost of this system will be highly variable depending on the control strategies employed.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

Each Agricultural Management System is unique and must be customized for every situation. The following are generally key components to the operation and maintenance of the system:

- Scouting and monitoring is an on-going activity.
- O&M is specific for each prevention, avoidance, monitoring, and suppression technique used. Record keeping is an essential component of all these practices.
- Follow label directions when pesticides are used.
- Follow equipment manufacturer's directions.

See the documents in Section 4 of the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide (eFOTG) under the specific conservation practice standard being utilized for additional information on operation and maintenance needs.

MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTS

Components that result in a complete system that eliminates a resource concern may be eligible for cost-sharing. Compliance with local, state, and federal laws should be adhered to.

NRCS STANDARDS TO UTILIZE

For the most current information on each NRCS Standard, please go to the eFOTG at <http://efotg.sc.egov.usda.gov/treemenuFS.aspx>, use the drop box in the left side to reach Section IV – Practice Standards and Specifications, click on the folder for Conservation Practices and locate the appropriate practice. Under each practice, you will find, at the minimum, the practice standard. You may also find: a Statement of Work; Practice Guideline; Operation and Maintenance Plan; Specification Sheet; Standard Drawing; and other document that will assist in the planning, installation or operation of the practice.

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

NRCS Name	Standard #	Reportable Item	Date	Life Span
Conservation Cover	327	Acre	May 2011	5
Conservation Crop Rotation	328	Acre	Dec 2011	1
Field Border	386	Feet	May 2011	10
Filter Strip	393	Acre	May 2011	10
Forage Harvest Management	511	Acre	Dec 2010	1
Herbaceous Weed Control	315	Acre	Dec 2010	1
Integrated Pest Management	595	Acre	Sept 2010	1
Irrigation Water Management	449	Acre	Dec 2011	1
Waste Recycling	633	Tons	Dec 2011	1

*This is a listing of the primary BMPs to utilize but is not all inclusive and other NRCS Standards could be utilized. Please check with your local SWCC representative for approval.

REFERENCES

- NRCS eFOTG for New York: <http://efotg.sc.egov.usda/treemenuFS.aspx>
- AEM Tier 2 Worksheet – Pesticide Use: <http://www.nys-soilandwater.org/aem/forms/PesticideUse.pdf>

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

Irrigation Water Management System

DEFINITION

A planned system that determines and controls the rate, amount, placement, and timing of irrigation water.

WATER QUALITY PURPOSE

To reduce surface water runoff, including any associated erosion, and/or leaching of nutrients and pesticides by applying irrigation water based upon the capacity of the soil to hold water and the needs of the crop.

POLLUTANT CONTROLLED

Sediment, nutrients, and pesticides

WHERE USED

On agricultural fields requiring irrigation where the potential for surface water runoff and/of groundwater contamination exists, and a resource concern has been identified.

SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

Irrigation water management is utilized on cropland to supplement rainfall, and to apply fertilizer and pesticides to target crops. Several irrigation methods exist. Selection of the irrigation system to be used is based on the needs of the crop to be grown, soil type, topography, climate, distance to streams or other water bodies, and the source of water to be used for irrigation. To decrease non-point source pollution of surface and groundwater resources, water application must be at rates that minimize the transport of sediments, nutrients and chemicals to surface waters and that minimize the transport of nutrients and chemicals to groundwater.

The development of an "Irrigation Water Management Plan" that addresses the irrigation scheduling, in both timing and amount, control of runoff, minimizing deep percolation and the uniform application of water is an essential component of this practice.

SYSTEM EFFECTIVENESS

This system can help prevent over irrigation and the resulting loss of sediment, nutrients, and pesticides by surface runoff and leaching.

IMPACTS ON SURFACE WATER

Beneficial

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

IMPACTS ON GROUND WATER

Beneficial- Leaching losses of nutrients and pesticides are minimized when scheduling is a part of an irrigation water management system. However, other associated practices that promote infiltration may have adverse impacts on groundwater.

IMPACTS ON OTHER RESOURCES (OFF-SITE)

Soil: Beneficial by minimizing irrigation induced soil erosion and sedimentation.

Water: Beneficial by reducing water waste – applies what the crop needs.

Air: Beneficial by managing soil moisture to reduce particulate matter movement.

Plants: Neutral

Animals: Neutral

Human: Beneficial due to positive impacts on soil, water, air and energy.

Energy: Beneficial as it can reduce energy use.

ADVANTAGES TO FARM

- Manages air, soil, or plant micro-climate
- Provides the medium and guidance for proper and safe chemigation and fertigation.
- Avoids crop stress due to under-irrigation, and may increase crop yields.
- May reduce operating and labor costs.
- May reduce energy costs.

DISADVANTAGES TO FARM

- May require additional training, or an increase in irrigation management skills.
- Requires additional time and equipment to collect data.
- Changes in irrigation methods may require changes in equipment which can be costly.

SYSTEM LIFESPAN

One (1) year.

COST

Each Agricultural Management System is unique and must be customized to the situation in which it is employed resulting in a wide and variable range in cost. Some factors impacting cost include additional labor and equipment expenses to collect data and automated irrigation scheduling software may need to be purchased.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

Each Agricultural Management System is unique and must be customized for every situation. The following are generally key components to the operation and maintenance of the system:

- Accurate and timely records of rate, amount, timing and maintenance of equipment is a necessary component of this practice.
- A record keeping system and O&M plan must be prepared for the system.

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

See the documents in Section 4 of the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide (eFOTG) under the specific conservation practice standard being utilized for additional information on operation and maintenance needs.

MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTS

Components that result in a complete system that eliminates a resource concern may be eligible for cost-sharing. Compliance with local and state laws should be adhered to.

NRCS STANDARDS TO UTILIZE

For the most current information on each NRCS Standard, please go to the eFOTG at <http://efotg.sc.egov.usda.gov/treemenuFS.aspx>, use the drop box in the left side to reach Section IV – Practice Standards and Specifications, click on the folder for Conservation Practices and locate the appropriate practice. Under each practice, you will find, at the minimum, the practice standard. You may also find: a Statement of Work; Practice Guideline; Operation and Maintenance Plan; Specification Sheet; Standard Drawing; and other document that will assist in the planning, installation or operation of the practice.

NRCS Name	Standard #	Reportable Item	Date	Life Span
Integrated Pest Management	595	Acre	Sept 2010	1
Irrigation Pipeline	430	Feet	Dec 2011	10
Irrigation Reservoir	436	Acre/Feet	Dec 2011	10
Irrigation System, Micro-irrigation	441	Acre	Dec 2011	10
Sprinkler System	442	Acre	Oct 2013	10
Irrigation System, Surface and Subsurface	443	Acre	Dec 2011	10
Irrigation System, Tailwater Recovery	447	Number	Jan 2008	10
Irrigation Water Management	449	Acre	Dec 2011	1
Nutrient Management	590	Acre	Jan 2013	1
Pumping Plant	533	Number	Dec 2011	10
Water Well	642	Number	May 2011	10

*This is a listing of the primary BMPs to utilize but is not all inclusive and other NRCS Standards could be utilized. Please check with your local SWCC representative for approval.

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

REFERENCES

- NRCS eFOTG for NY: <http://efotg.sc.egov.usda.gov/treemenuFS.aspx>
- AEM Tier Worksheet – Irrigation Water Management: <http://www.nys-soilandwater.org/aem/forms/AEM%20Irrigation%20Worksheet.pdf>

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

Livestock Heavy Use Area Runoff Management System

DEFINITION

A system for the interception, collection and safe treatment of runoff water from a barnyard or concentrated livestock area.

WATER QUALITY PURPOSE

To exclude clean water from the concentrated livestock areas and to reduce the transport of pollutants from barnyards and concentrated livestock areas into surface or groundwaters.

POLLUTANT CONTROLLED

Nutrients, sediments, pathogens, and Bio-chemical Oxygen Demand

WHERE USED

Barns, barnyards, loafing areas, paddocks, feedlots, calf hutch areas or any area where livestock concentrate and a resource concern has been identified.

SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

The system is composed of one or more component practices. Structural practices may be employed to exclude clean water from areas of livestock concentrations (for example, diversions that intercept and transport upslope surface water away from barnyards and roof runoff systems that collect rain water from barn roofs). Heavy Use Areas are used to facilitate clean up and direct polluted runoff where a variety of structural, vegetative and operational practices are used to treat polluted runoff and seepage from barnyards, loafing areas and other areas with concentrated waste. Examples include settling tanks, collection or vegetative treatment areas.

Alternatives also include elimination, utilizing gates and laneways to move livestock to barns and / or managed pastures or as a last resort, installation of roofs to isolate the barnyard from precipitation.

When this system is funded by the NYS Agricultural Nonpoint Source Abatement and Control Program (ANSACP), a complete system meeting all NRCS Standards must result.

SYSTEM EFFECTIVENESS

Because livestock heavy use area management systems are site-specific and are composed of one or more management practices, system effectiveness varies. Key factors for effectiveness include success of cutting off clean water before it reaches pollutants, reducing the size of the affected area and treating and or collecting polluted run-off.

IMPACTS ON SURFACE WATER

Beneficial

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

IMPACTS ON GROUND WATER

Beneficial - Can be negative if the abandoned heavy use areas are not remediated and nutrient laden soil and manure is not removed allowing nutrient losses to the groundwater.

IMPACTS ON OTHER RESOURCES (OFF-SITE)

Soil: Neutral

Air: Beneficial in that clean barnyards, where manure and organics are collected more frequently, tend to have less odor issues.

Plants: Neutral.

Animals: Beneficial. Clean run-off leads to cleaner streams.

Human: Beneficial. Barnyard areas that are kept clean are more aesthetically pleasing and produce less odors, flies or vectors.

Energy: Negative – increased fuel use.

ADVANTAGES TO FARM

- Dries up barnyard and loafing area.
- Improves ease of daily operating procedures.
- Less clean-up time during milking.
- Decreases the chance of milk production reduction during wet periods.
- Increased milk value due to lower somatic cell counts.
- Can include herd health benefits (less risk of mastitis and hoof rot in cattle)
- Depends on the system. Improved barnyard require more energy to clean up on a regular basis (more each day instead of a massive amount every few years) but are more efficient and can save energy required for cow-clean up, milking center clean-up (from less sediment and manure to treat).
- More manure collected to utilize for crop fertility.

DISADVANTAGES TO FARM

- Requires a higher level of producer management skill to achieve positive pollution control.
- May be expensive initial investment and operating costs
- Increased fuel consumption

SYSTEM LIFESPAN

Ten (10) years.

COST

Each Agricultural Management System is unique and must be customized to the situation in which it is employed resulting in a wide and variable range in cost. Range: \$3,000 to \$150,000 or more, depending upon system design, complexity, and number of animals treated and total area to be treated.

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

Each Agricultural Management System is unique and must be customized for every situation. The following are generally key components to the operation and maintenance of the system:

- Daily to weekly scraping of concrete pads.
- Maintain fences and gates.
- Re-grade barnyards as needed to control water.
- Maintain vegetation.
- Check roof gutters after heavy storm events and remove debris and ice.
- Maintain gravel/stone heavy use areas, if applicable
- Ensure dosing on VTA to not overload system. Harvest and remove filter area vegetation.

See the documents in Section 4 of the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide (eFOTG) under the specific practice standard being utilized for additional information on operation and maintenance needs.

MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTS

Components that result in a complete system of BMPs meeting NRCS Standards that eliminate a resource concern may be eligible for cost-sharing. Livestock operations that have been designated as a CAFO are required to comply with CAFO regulations. Compliance with local and state laws should be adhered to including the need for Erosion and Sediment Control plans for disturbances over 1 acre, contacting Underground Utilities Protection before excavation, contacting SHPO and others as applicable. For an AGNPSPCP grant application, it is required to utilize the Roof Screening Tool if a covered facility is included in the grant

NRCS STANDARDS TO UTILIZE*

For the most current information on each NRCS Standard, please go to the eFOTG at <http://efotg.sc.egov.usda.gov/treemenuFS.aspx>, use the drop box in the left side to reach Section IV – Practice Standards and Specifications, click on the folder for Conservation Practices and locate the appropriate practice. Under each practice, you will find, at the minimum, the practice standard. You may also find: a Statement of Work; Practice Guideline; Operation and Maintenance Plan; Specification Sheet; Standard Drawing; and other document that will assist in the planning, installation or operation of the practice.”

NRCS Name	Standard #	Reportable Item	Date	Life Span
Access Control	472	Acres	May 2011	10
Access Road	560	Feet	May 2011	10
Animal Trails and Walkways	575	Feet	Dec 2010	10
Conservation Cover	327	Acre	May 2011	1

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

Constructed Wetland	656	Acre	May 2011	10
Critical Area Planting	342	Acre	May 2011	10
Diversion	362	Feet	Dec 2010	10
Fence	382	Feet	Oct 2013	10
Grassed Waterway	412	Acre	April 2009	10
Heavy Use Area Protection	561	Acre	Dec 2011	10
Lines Waterway or Outlet	468	Feet	May 2011	10
Pumping Plant	533	Number	Dec 2011	10
Roof Run-off Structure	558	Number	May 2010	10
Roof and Covers	367	Number	May 2011	10
Sediment Basin	350	Number	Aug 2010	10
Waste Separation Facility	632	Number	Oct 2013	10
Subsurface Drainage	606	Feet	Dec 2012	10
Underground Outlet	620	Feet	May 2011	10
Vegetated Treatment Area	635	Acre	April 2009	10
Waste Storage Facility	313	Number	July 2012	10
Waste Transfer	634	Number	Sept 2009	10
Water and Sediment Control Basin	638	Number	April 2009`	10
Watering Facility	614	Number	May 2011	10

*This is a listing of the primary BMPs to utilize but is not all inclusive and other NRCS Standards could be utilized. Please check with your local SWCC representative for approval.

REFERENCES

- NRCS eFOTG for NY: <http://efotg.sc.egov.usda.gov/treemenuFS.aspx>
- AEM Worksheet – Tier 2 – Livestock Heavy Use Area: <http://www.nys-soilandwater.org/aem/forms/HeavyUseAreas.pdf>

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

Manure and Agricultural Waste Treatment System

DEFINITION

A system for the mechanical, chemical or biological treatment of agricultural wastes.

WATER QUALITY PURPOSE

Treating manure and other agricultural wastes in order to improve nutrient and pathogen management and reduce losses to surface water and groundwater.

POLLUTANT CONTROLLED

Nutrients, pathogens, biochemical oxygen demand, and ammonia

WHERE USED

Any farm with agricultural wastes, such as manure, process wastewaters, crop residues, or other organic residues, where nutrient, pathogen, and/or odor management could be improved through treatment of the wastes.

SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

Manure and agricultural waste treatment systems encompass a broad set of technologies used to process wastes for the purposes of:

- improving ground and surface water quality by reducing the nutrient content, biochemical oxygen demand, and/or pathogen levels of agricultural waste;
- improving air quality by reducing odors and gaseous emissions;
- producing value added byproducts; and/or
- facilitating desirable waste handling, storage, or land application alternatives.

This system applies where the form and characteristics of agricultural waste make it difficult to manage, where changing the form or composition provides additional utilization alternatives, and where conventional waste management alternatives are deemed not as effective.

Systems may be comprised of one or more of the following established treatment practices:

- anaerobic digestion;
- liquid solid separation;
- biological and/or chemical amendments;
- manure or agricultural waste composting facility (other than animal carcass)
- waste facility cover (and flare, where applicable); and/or
- constructed wetland.

Manure and agricultural waste treatment systems are often also combined with other conservation practices, such as manure storage and transfer, composting, vegetated treatment areas, nutrient management, etc. for more comprehensive management of resources and/or compliance with State and/or federal regulations.

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

SYSTEM EFFECTIVENESS

Manure and agricultural waste treatment systems must be planned, designed, implemented, and maintained to address the unique set of resource concerns for each farm, so systems will be comprised of different components and achieve varying levels of effectiveness. In general, any system that utilizes high temperatures (such as anaerobic digestion) for prolonged periods will achieve significant pathogen control and odor control, allowing for improved nutrient management, water quality protection and neighbor relations. If such a system is anaerobic, it will also result in enhanced methane production/capture which, if flared or used for heat or electricity production, could have positive greenhouse gas and renewable energy benefits. Systems that cover manure and other wastes reduce total volume by eliminating additions from precipitation and reduce ammonia, methane, and odor compound emissions. Systems that separate the waste into liquid and solid pools allow for more precise and efficient nutrient management (pumping, drag line application, incorporation, etc.) as well as the potential for value added products (solids re-used as bedding, compost for sale off farm, lower-risk solid manure for nutrient applications in sensitive areas, etc.). Most of these systems do not make nutrients disappear, but they concentrate, separate, etc. nutrients into forms that facilitate more efficient re-use by crops and/or sale or export from the farm.

IMPACTS ON SURFACE WATER

Beneficial - including reduced losses of nutrients, biochemical oxygen demand, ammonia, and pathogens.

IMPACTS ON GROUND WATER

Beneficial - including nutrients and pathogens.

IMPACTS ON OTHER RESOURCES (OFF-SITE)

Soil: Beneficial if system allows more precise application of nutrients with less soil compaction. Could be neutral or negative if the system results in significant manure/waste organic matter to be sold/exported from farm and not returned to cropland.

Air: Beneficial, as it has the potential to reduce emissions of ammonia, greenhouse gas, and odor compounds. May be negative if the treatment system is not operated correctly.

Plants: Beneficial, as it can reduce nutrient losses and subsequent impacts on neighboring plant communities.

Animals: Beneficial, as it can reduce pathogen losses as well as nutrient losses and subsequent impacts on terrestrial and aquatic habitat.

Human: Beneficial, as it can further safeguard drinking water sources, improve land and water resources for recreation, reduce odor, and provide economic growth.

Energy: Beneficial, as such systems often improve the use of on-farm nutrients, thereby reducing energy for fertilizer production and transport. Some treatment systems also allow for on-farm energy production.

ADVANTAGES TO FARM

- Reduced nutrient losses.

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

- Improved productivity of crops and livestock as well as greater management flexibility.
- Can have a positive impact on farm profitability and diversify income streams.
- Improved neighbor relations.

DISADVANTAGES TO FARM

- High capital costs, early stage of technology, sometimes inadequate infrastructure to deliver energy to market, competition with much larger energy entities, etc. may present significant barriers to entry.
- Soil organic matter may decline if systems involve significant exports/sales of manure solids.
- Higher level of farm management required may result in increased labor and equipment costs.
- Requires significant additional time and training to adjust to new management strategies.

SYSTEM LIFESPAN

Variable depending on component practices of the system but generally 10 years

COST

Each Agricultural Management System is unique and must be customized to the situation in which it is employed resulting in a wide and variable range in cost. The cost is variable depending on the type of manure and agricultural waste treatment system. More complex systems, such as anaerobic digestion, coupled with liquid solid separation, electricity generation, solids composting, effluent storage, and drag hose land application, can be very expensive to design, build, and operate, but allow farms to realize other economic, community, and environmental goals.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

Each Agricultural Management System is unique and must be customized for every situation. Very regular monitoring and maintenance are required with these systems, according to the O&M associated with the various system components.

See the documents in Section 4 of the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide (eFOTG) under the specific conservation practice standard being utilized for additional information on operation and maintenance needs.

MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTS

Components that result in a complete system that eliminates a resource concern may be eligible for cost-sharing. Livestock operations that have been designated as a CAFO are required to comply with CAFO regulations. Compliance with local and state laws should be adhered to including the need for Erosion and Sediment Control plans for disturbances over 1 acre, contacting Underground Utilities Protection before excavation, contacting SHPO and others as applicable.

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

NRCS STANDARDS TO UTILIZE*

For the most current information on each NRCS Standard, please go to the eFOTG at <http://efotg.sc.egov.usda.gov/treemenuFS.aspx>, use the drop box in the left side to reach Section IV – Practice Standards and Specifications, click on the folder for Conservation Practices and locate the appropriate practice. Under each practice, you will find, at the minimum, the practice standard. You may also find: a Statement of Work; Practice Guideline; Operation and Maintenance Plan; Specification Sheet; Standard Drawing; and other document that will assist in the planning, installation or operation of the practice.”

NRCS Name	Standard #	Reportable Item	Date	Life Span
Amendments for Treatment of Agricultural Waste	591	Animal Unit	Oct 2013	1
Anaerobic Digester, Controlled Temperature	366	Number	May 2010	10
Composting Facility	317	Number	May 2011	10
Constructed Wetland	656	Acre	May 2011	10
Heavy Use Area Protection	561	Acre	Dec 2011	10
Pumping Plant	533	Number	Dec 2011	10
Roofs and Covers	367	Number	May 2011	10
Waste Separation Facility	632	Number	Oct 2013	10
Waste Storage Facility	313	Number	July 2012	10
Waste Transfer	634	Number	Sept 2009	10
Waste Treatment	629	Number	Oct 2013	10

*This is a listing of the primary BMPs to utilize but is not all inclusive and other NRCS Standards could be utilized. Please check with your local SWCC representative for approval.

REFERENCES

- NRCS eFOTG for NY - <http://efotg.sc.egov.usda.gov/treemenuFS.aspx>
- Cornell University Dairy Environmental Systems: www.manuremanagement.cornell.edu

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

Nutrient Management System - Cultural

DEFINITION

Managing the amount (rate), source, placement (method of application), and timing of plant nutrient and soil amendment applications for efficient use by crops and reduced losses to the environment. If applicable, this can include addressing the issues from farmstead areas as it relates to non-point sources of pollutants.

WATER QUALITY PURPOSE

To reduce or prevent nutrient losses from runoff, erosion, and leaching to surface and groundwater resources.

POLLUTANT CONTROLLED

Sediment, nutrients, pathogens, biochemical oxygen demand, and ammonia

WHERE USED

Cropland, hayland, pasture, vegetable and fruit production, orchards, vineyards, turf, biomass production, and ornamental production, including greenhouses.

SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

Nutrients are managed for the economic production of crops, forages, pasture, ornamentals, and biomass, and the protection of natural resources. Cultural nutrient management consists of applying nutrients and soil amendments to crops in the right amount, right source, right method, and right timing (“the 4Rs”) according to several, integrated factors:

- farm management and goals including realistic crop yields;
- an accurate estimate of crop nutrient needs;
- nutrients credits in soil and manure;
- nutrient credits from crop residues;
- risk assessments for runoff, leaching, and erosion;
- setbacks from hydrologically active areas;
- weather and soil conditions; and
- adaptive management over time.

A well integrated nutrient management plan provides recommendations for manure, fertilizer, process wastewaters, composts, and/or lime applications according to the factors, above. It promotes nutrient use efficiency and controls nutrient loss by focusing on the use of on-farm nutrient sources, emphasizing the 4Rs, and, in many cases, reducing nutrient imports onto farms. Nutrient management recommendations should be based on the best available research information for the soils and climate in New York State. Nutrient applications and their management should be consistent with Cornell Nutrient Guidelines.

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

SYSTEM EFFECTIVENESS

Proper nutrient management prevents excessive applications, can decrease nutrient imports on farms, and reduces the potential for nutrient loss. Long-term experimentation demonstrates that recommendations based on field research, conducted in New York, provide the best estimate of economic response and improved nutrient cycling for our conditions. Several studies from Cornell University demonstrate reductions in whole farm nutrient balances and nutrient purchases as well as nutrient losses via runoff and leaching through improved nutrient management.

Specifically, there are many management opportunities that advance conservation and crop productivity through nutrient applications made with the 4Rs, including:

- counting nitrogen credits from soil organic matter, past manure applications, and crop residues can significantly reduce the need for supplemental nitrogen fertilizer without sacrificing crop yield;
- regular soil and manure testing helps make the most of on-farm nutrient sources and better target purchased fertilizers, saving money and excess nutrient applications without sacrificing crop yield;
- on-farm tracking of soil tests, manure tests, and field records over time improves confidence in the nutrient management program and allows continual improvement through adaptive management;
- incorporating/injecting manure soon after application to a growing crop or just before planting in the spring can increase the nitrogen supply from the manure, reduce the need for supplemental nitrogen fertilizer, reduce the risk of over applying phosphorus relative to crop uptake, and reduce risk of surface runoff losses;
- considering hydrologically active areas, weather, field risk assessments, and timing of crop nutrient use to prioritize nutrient applications improves crop uptake and lowers risk of runoff or leaching;
- using cover crops scavenges nutrients remaining after the main crop, reducing losses from runoff, erosion, and leaching, as well as conserving nutrients and organic matter for the following crop;
- applying fertilizer with a method and timing to allow optimal plant uptake reduces losses and improves efficiency;
- etc.

IMPACTS ON SURFACE WATER

Beneficial - including nutrients, sediment, and pathogens.

IMPACTS ON GROUND WATER

Beneficial - including nitrogen and pathogens.

IMPACTS ON OTHER RESOURCES (OFF-SITE)

Soil: Beneficial, as it generally improves soil health and reduces erosion.

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

Air: Beneficial, as it has the potential to reduce particulate matter from ammonia volatilization and odors.

Plants: Beneficial, as it can reduce nutrient losses and subsequent impacts on neighboring plant communities.

Animals: Beneficial, as it can reduce pathogen losses as well as nutrient losses and subsequent impacts on terrestrial and aquatic habitat.

Human: Beneficial, as it can further safeguard drinking water sources, improve land and water resources for recreation, reduce odor, and provide economic growth.

Energy: Beneficial, as it can reduce use of farm fuels, energy for fertilizer manufacturing and transportation fuels for imported fertilizer and feed.

ADVANTAGES TO FARM

- Reduced nutrient losses and improved nutrient use efficiency.
- Improved crop yield and quality, often across the range of optimal and extreme weather conditions (improved soil health).
- Beneficial or neutral impact on farm profitability.
- Improved neighbor relations.

DISADVANTAGES TO FARM

- Higher level of farm management required may result in increased labor, equipment costs, and capital investment (e.g., manure storage).
- Requires additional time and training to adjust to new management strategies.
- Cost to change management may be prohibitive for some farms.

SYSTEM LIFESPAN

One (1) year.

COST

Each Agricultural Management System is unique and must be customized to the situation in which it is employed resulting in a wide and variable range in cost. Costs depend on several factors, including the size and type of farm, existing level of farm management, history of nutrient analyses and farm records, available equipment, familiarity with custom operators, and, on livestock farms, existing manure storage and transfer capacity. Consultation fees for developing and maintaining a nutrient management plan should be considered in addition to the costs for soil testing and manure nutrient analyses.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

Each Agricultural Management System is unique and must be customized for every situation. The following are generally key components to the operation and maintenance of the system:

- annual updating of the nutrient management plan;
- soil testing every three years and manure analyses once per calendar year;
- records showing manure tests, date and conditions when applied, amount applied, application method, manure source, and location;

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

- crop management records;
- calibration of manure and fertilizer application equipment;
- etc.

See the documents in Section 4 of the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide (eFOTG) under the specific conservation practice standard being utilized for additional information on operation and maintenance needs.

MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTS

See Cornell Nutrient Guidelines and the USDA-NRCS Nutrient Management Practice Standard (NY-590) for specific technical details about planning, implementation, and operation and maintenance.

Nutrient management plans are limited to the management of nutrients and soil conservation practices on fields, pastures, and in greenhouses. Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plans (CNMPs) address resource concerns across fields, pastures, and farmstead concentrated sources on livestock farms and should be given priority consideration where:

- excess nutrients are produced or imported;
- other farm related environmental concerns exist (i.e., silage leachate runoff, barnyard runoff, milkhouse wastewater, petroleum product storage, pesticide storage, mixing and loading, pesticide use and waste disposal);
- the farm has been determined to be a Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) by NYS Department of Environmental Conservation;
- etc.

Note: a Nutrient Management Plan (NRCS Standard 590), alone, does not meet the NYS requirements for CAFOs. A Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (NRCS Standard 312) must be developed for these farms.

Nutrient management plans, Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plans, and certain components may be eligible for cost-sharing. Check with the local NRCS and/or SWCD office to determine practice eligibility and the availability of funds.

NRCS STANDARDS TO UTILIZE*

For the most current information on each NRCS Standard, please go to the eFOTG at <http://efotg.sc.egov.usda.gov/treemenuFS.aspx>, use the drop box in the left side to reach Section IV – Practice Standards and Specifications, click on the folder for Conservation Practices and locate the appropriate practice. Under each practice, you will find, at the minimum, the practice standard. You may also find: a Statement of Work; Practice Guideline; Operation and Maintenance Plan; Specification Sheet; Standard Drawing; and other document that will assist in the planning, installation or operation of the practice.

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

NRCS Name	Standard #	Reportable Item	Date	Life Span
Nutrient Management	590	Acre	Jan 2013	1
Waste Management System	312	Number	April 2007	1

REFERENCES

- NRCS eFOTG for NY - <http://efotg.sc.egov.usda.gov/treemenuFS.aspx>
- Cornell Nutrient Guidelines for Field Crops:
<http://nmsp.cals.cornell.edu/guidelines/nutrientguide.html>
- Cornell Nutrient Guidelines for Vegetable Crops:
www.vegetables.cornell.edu/crops/index.htm
- Cornell Nutrient Guidelines for Grapes: www.fruit.cornell.edu/grape/index.htm
- Cornell Nutrient Guidelines for Berries: www.fruit.cornell.edu/berry/index.htm
- Cornell Nutrient Guidelines for Tree Fruits: www.fruit.cornell.edu/tree_fruit/index.htm
- Cornell Guidelines for Greenhouses: www.greenhouse.cornell.edu
- Cornell Guidelines for Turf: www.hort.cornell.edu/turf
- AEM Tier 2 Worksheet – Nutrient Management Manure and Fertilizer: <http://www.nys-soilandwater.org/aem/forms/ManureFertilizerManagement.pdf>

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

Pathogen Management System

DEFINITION

Use of preventative measures, livestock management and conservation practices to provide multiple barriers to the introduction, replication and survival of pathogens in domestic livestock and reducing the risk of pathogen contamination of surface and groundwater resources by treatment and/or controlling the movement of pathogens to water.

WATER QUALITY PURPOSE

To reduce the threat to surface and ground water from contamination by pathogenic organisms (e.g. Giardia and Cryptosporidium) found in farm animals.

POLLUTANT CONTROLLED

Pathogens, nutrients

WHERE USED

Primarily on agricultural land (livestock and poultry operations) where a resource concern has been identified citing runoff from young stock housing and/or exercise lots or land receiving manure application containing feces from infected animals which could enter nearby water course..

SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

A pathogen management system plan which incorporates a 4-barrier approach, as described below, shall be developed. The pathogen management plan will address each of the four barriers. A veterinarian, or other qualified professional, utilizing the protocol from the New York State Cattle Health Assurance Program (NYSCHAP), or other similar protocols for appropriate species, shall develop the first two barriers.

The **FIRST** barrier is reducing the potential for pathogens to enter the farm. This shall be accomplished by carrying out actions such as the following:

- The testing of non-chlorinated water supplies that serve the herd or flock for fecal coliform bacteria
- Establishing appropriate biosecurity measures, including those controlling people, pets, pests and other animals, equipment or materials that may transport pathogens from other sources.
- Maintaining good hygiene and minimizing herd or flock contact with manure from other animal groups.
- Maintaining an accurate animal identification system and record of all health events

The **SECOND** barrier minimizes cross-contamination among animals and amplification of infection within a herd or flock. This shall be accomplished by actions such as:

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

- Keeping animal raising areas clean and dry,
- Proper worker hygiene when moving between facilities or animal groups,
- Ensuring that all feeds are stored and handled properly, and feeding utensils are clean, specifically avoiding manure contamination of feed.
- Implementing rodent and pest control programs,
- Separating pre-weaned animals to prevent direct contact with another young animal and with adult manure,
- Isolating infected animals until they are no longer infectious,
- Identifying the order in which animals should be fed, i.e. youngest to oldest, etc. depending upon the pathogen of concern.

The **THIRD** barrier provides for collection, handling, and treatment of manure and wastes appropriately to minimize the spread of the pathogens. This shall be accomplished by practices such as:

- The treatment of confinement area runoff according to the Waste Management System (NY312) conservation practice standard
- Vegetated Treatment Areas (635) conservation practice standard to reducing runoff
- Composting (317) conservation practice standard for the composting of manures
- Animal Mortality (316) conservation practice standard for proper disposal of animal mortalities
- Waste Storage Structure (313) conservation practice standard to extension of waste storage time and/or isolation of waste storages to take advantage of pathogen die-off using:
 - Anaerobic Digester, Controlled Temperature (366) conservation practice standard
 - Waste Storage Lagoon (359) conservation practice standard
 - Constructed Wetland (656) conservation practice standard
 - Water Well Testing (355) conservation practice standard

The **FORTH** barrier restricts movement of contaminated feces into watercourses and/or groundwater. This shall be accomplished by practices such as:

- Diversion (362) conservation practice standard to divert clean water away from livestock facilities
- Nutrient Management (590) conservation practice standard to spreading manure.
- Use Exclusion (472) conservation practice standard for the exclusion of animals from waterbodies, such as streams, creeks, rivers and lakes
- Fence (382) conservation practice standard for isolating septic systems, leach fields and filter areas, and other septage disposal areas from grazing animals
- Protecting aquifer recharge areas and wellheads from manure runoff from fields
- Filter Strips (393) and Riparian Herbaceous Cover (390) conservation practice standards

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

SYSTEM EFFECTIVENESS

Pollution prevention effectiveness increases if a multi-barrier approach is implemented that controls pathogens at the source (e.g., improved calf management) while also controlling pathogen transport on the farm (e.g., composting of infected manure) and controlling pollutants at the water course (e.g., vegetative filter strip).

IMPACTS ON SURFACE WATER

Potential for significant reduction in the risk of waterborne disease outbreaks from agricultural activities.

IMPACTS ON GROUND WATER

Actual risk of pathogens from agricultural activities polluting groundwater sources still needs to be determined. Avoiding spreading of infected manure on karst topography and within recharge areas of wells would reduce risk of well contamination.

IMPACTS ON OTHER RESOURCES (OFF-SITE)

Soil: Neutral.

Air: Neutral.

Plants: Beneficial, as it often also results in reduced nutrient losses which can lead to less fertilization of off-site plant communities.

Animals: Beneficial, as it can reduce pathogen losses, transmission of pathogens to wildlife, and nutrient losses all of which can impact wildlife and their habitat.

Human: Beneficial, as it can further safeguard drinking water sources and improve land and water resources for recreation.

Energy: Neutral.

ADVANTAGES TO FARM

- Practices to improve health and survivability of young stock can increase overall farm production, profitability, and, in some cases, labor efficiency.
- Further reduces risk of pathogen contamination of farm wells used for drinking water.

DISADVANTAGES TO FARM

- Some solutions may involve high costs of providing separate housing facilities for raising calves on farms.

SYSTEM LIFESPAN

Ten (10) years.

COST

Each Agricultural Management System is unique and must be customized to the situation in which it is employed resulting in a wide and variable range in cost, from no cost to \$1000 to 1500 per calf if separate housing and waste storage is needed.

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

Each Agricultural Management System is unique and must be customized for every situation. The following are generally key components to the operation and maintenance of the system:

- periodic plan review to determine if adjustments or modifications to the plan are needed;
- implementation and annual adjustment of the Waste Management System (NY312);
- inspection and maintenance of animal exclusion; and
- on-going monitoring of animal health is needed to determine practice effectiveness.

See the documents in Section 4 of the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide (eFOTG) under the specific conservation practice standard being utilized for additional information on operation and maintenance needs.

MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTS

For this system to be cost-shared, several criteria must be met. At a minimum, a Pathogen Management Plan (PMP) or a PMP included in a CNMP must be completed. All four tiers must be implemented for any one practice to be cost-shared. For example, to qualify for alternative calf housing (Tier 2), the farm must have implemented Tier I and agrees to implement Tier 3 and 4 during the life-span of the practice. This practice may be eligible for cost-sharing. Check with the local NRCS and/or SWCD office to determine practice eligibility and the availability of funds.

NRCS STANDARDS TO UTILIZE*

For the most current information on each NRCS Standard, please go to the eFOTG at <http://efotg.sc.egov.usda.gov/treemenuFS.aspx>, use the drop box in the left side to reach Section IV – Practice Standards and Specifications, click on the folder for Conservation Practices and locate the appropriate practice. Under each practice, you will find, at the minimum, the practice standard. You may also find: a Statement of Work; Practice Guideline; Operation and Maintenance Plan; Specification Sheet; Standard Drawing; and other document that will assist in the planning, installation or operation of the practice.

NRCS Name	Standard #	Reportable Item	Date	Life Span
Access Control	472	Acres	May 2011	10
Amendments for the Treatment of Agricultural Waste	591	Animal Unit	Oct 2013	1
Anaerobic Digester, controlled temperature	366	Number	May 2010	10
Composting Facility	317	Number	May 2011	10

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

Constructed Wetland	656	Acre	May 2011	10
Diversion	362	Feet	Dec 2010	10
Fence	382	Feet	Oct 2013	10
Heavy Use Area Protection	561	Acre	Dec 2011	10
Nutrient Management	590	Acre	Jan 2013	1
Pathogen Management	783	Number	Jan 2008	10
Roofs and Covers	367	Number	May 2011	10
Waste Separation Facility	632	Number	Oct 2013	10
Waste Management	312	Number	April 2007	1
Waste Storage Facility	313	Number	July 2012	10
Waste Transfer	634	Number	Sept 2009	10
Waste Treatment	629	Number	Oct 2013	10
Well Water Testing	355	Number	May 2011	1

*This is a listing of the primary BMPs to utilize but is not all inclusive and other NRCS Standards could be utilized. Please check with your local SWCC representative for approval.

REFERENCES

- NRCS eFOTG for NY: <http://efotg.sc.egov.usda.gov/treemenuFS.aspx>
- NYS Cattle Health Assurance Program: <http://nyschap.vet.cornell.edu>
- AEM Tier 2 Worksheet – Water Borne Pathogens: <http://www.nys-soilandwater.org/aem/forms/Water-BornePathogens.pdf>

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

Petroleum and Oil Products Storage System

DEFINITION

An oil and petroleum product storage tank is a stationary facility which may include one or more above ground tanks, underground tanks, or a combination of both, for the storage, transfer, and usage of liquid oil or oil products such as diesel fuel, gasoline, kerosene, fuel oil, lubrication oil, hydraulic oil, crop oil, vegetable oil, waste oils, or animal fat. An oil storage system involves planning, implementation of standard operating procedures, proper tank siting, design and installation, spill and overfill prevention, leak monitoring and inspection, secondary containment, operation and maintenance, and emergency action planning.

WATER QUALITY PURPOSE

To prevent contamination of surface and groundwater from oil product storage facility leaks and spills.

POLLUTANT CONTROLLED

Petroleum/oil products and bio-chemical oxygen demand (for organic oil products)

WHERE USED

On agricultural operations where liquid oil products are stored and/or utilized and a resource concern has been identified.

SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

This practice consists of a combination of one or more of the following depending on the water quality risk posed by the storage facility as well as the regulatory requirements defined by NYS DEC and USEPA:

1. Proper storage tank siting – includes consideration of soil characteristics (corrosivity, permeability, bearing capacity, etc.), depth to groundwater, distance from a surface waterbody or drinking water well, location of floodplains, vehicular traffic patterns around the tank site, and distance from existing and planned farm buildings.
2. Proper tank design and installation – includes the use of corrosion resistant tanks and pipes (e.g., tank contains label that it conforms with 6 NYCRR Part 614), double wall tanks with wall thickness of at least 7/16th inch to protect against ballistics, steel posts to protect against vehicular traffic, anchoring or diking to avoid floatation in areas subject to flooding, a roof over tank to exclude rain water, etc., and utilizing an experienced tank installer who is familiar with state petroleum tank installation requirements.

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

3. Spill and overflow prevention equipment – includes color coding of fill ports, operating and shutoff valves, gauges and high level alarms, automated shutoff devices, tank labels (showing design and working capacity), spill catchment basin for fill ports of underground storage tanks.

4. Leak monitoring and tank inspection – includes checking of aboveground tank for corrosion and leaks, installing underground piping access ports for leak testing, installing a concrete pad under above-ground tanks to detect levels and installation of a monitoring well (e.g., 4" slotted plastic pipe) between underground storage tank and secondary containment barrier.

5. Secondary containment barrier – includes aboveground engineered dikes, curbs, liners, or diversion system designed to contain spills from above-ground tank rupture, overfills, vandals and equipment failure. Also included are drainage provisions for storm water that accumulates within the dike, curb or liner and installing double-wall tanks.

6. Spill emergency response plan – includes a written emergency plan at the storage facility location that shows action to be taken in case of a spill, leak, fire or explosion. Cleanup equipment should also be available at the site.

SYSTEM EFFECTIVENESS

When properly designed, installed, maintained, and managed this system can significantly reduce the risk of a contamination event occurring from the spill or leak of an oil product.

IMPACTS ON SURFACE WATER

Beneficial as a complete system should greatly reduce the risk of contaminants from reaching a surface water body.

IMPACTS ON GROUND WATER

Beneficial as a complete system should greatly reduce the risk of contaminants from reaching groundwater

IMPACTS ON OTHER RESOURCES (OFF-SITE)

Soil: Beneficial by reducing the risk of leaks and spills that could contaminate soil.

Air: Neutral

Plants: Beneficial as movement of product offsite following a major spill or leak could destroy vegetation.

Animals: Beneficial as movement of product offsite could have a detrimental effect on animal health and habitat.

Human: Beneficial by reducing the risk of health impacts through contamination of water and air resources.

Energy: Neutral

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

ADVANTAGES TO FARM

- May provide direct protection to farmstead water supply if water source is a well.
- Can save product
- May reduce farmer liability

DISADVANTAGES TO FARM

- Requires continuous monitoring for potential leakage.

SYSTEM LIFESPAN

Ten (10) years

COST

Each agricultural management System is unique and must be customized for every situation in which it is employed resulting in a wide and variable range in cost. Factors impacting cost may include site characteristics, and the number of upgrades or add-ons to the system are required to reduce risk or comply with regulations.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

Each agricultural management system is unique and must be customized for every situation. The following are generally key components to the operation and maintenance of the system:

- Daily inspection for leaks either visually or check of leak monitoring system
- All applicable State and Federal regulations and manufacturers recommendations regarding operation and maintenance and record keeping will be followed
- An emergency action plan should be developed and may be required for certain threshold volumes

See documents in Section 4 of the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide (eFOTG) under the specific conservation practice standard being utilized for additional information on operation and maintenance needs.

MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTS

Components that result in a complete system that eliminates a resource concern may be eligible for cost-sharing. Compliance with local and state laws should be adhered to including the need for Erosion and Sediment Control plans for disturbances over 1 acre, contacting Underground Utilities Protection before excavation, contacting SHPO and others as applicable.

Leaks from underground petroleum storage are difficult to detect especially since most of the tanks installed on farms lack a leak monitoring system. Also, most landowners are unaware of the significant groundwater contamination risk to their own water supply posed by these storage tanks.

Farms with certain types and capacities of petroleum or oil product storages are required to comply with the NYS Department of Environmental Conservation (DEC) Petroleum Bulk Storage (PBS) regulation and/or the US EPA's Spill Prevention, Control, and Countermeasure (SPCC)

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

regulation. To absolutely determine whether a farm is regulated under PBS and/or SPCC and the regulatory requirements for each, please visit these web sites:

www.dec.ny.gov/chemical/287.html

www.epa.gov/ceppo/web/content/spcc/

NRCS STANDARDS TO UTILIZE

For the most current information on each NRCS Standard, please go to the eFOTG at <http://efotg.sc.egov.usda.gov/treemenuFS.aspx>, use the drop box in the left side to reach Section IV – Practice Standards and Specifications, click on the folder for Conservation Practices and locate the appropriate practice. Under each practice, you will find, at the minimum, the practice standard. You may also find: a Statement of Work; Practice Guideline; Operation and Maintenance Plan; Specification Sheet; Standard Drawing; and other document that will assist in the planning, installation or operation of the practice.

NRCS Name	Standard #	Reportable Item	Date	Life Span
Access Control	472	Acre	May 2011	10
Access Road	560	Feet	May 2011	10
Agricultural Secondary Containment Facility	710	Square Feet	Nov 2010	10
Conservation Cover	327	Acre	May 2011	5
Heavy Use Area Protection	561	Acre	Dec 2011	10

*This is a listing of the primary BMPs to utilize but is not all inclusive and other NRCS Standards could be utilized. Please check with your local SWCC representative for approval.

REFERENCES

- NRCS eFOTG for NY: <http://efotg.sc.egov.usda.gov/treemenuFS.aspx>
- NYS Department of Environmental Conservation (DEC) Petroleum Bulk Storage (PBS) regulation: www.dec.ny.gov/chemical/287.html
- US EPA's Spill Prevention, Control, and Countermeasure (SPCC) regulation: www.epa.gov/ceppo/web/content/spcc/
- AEM Tier 2 Worksheet – Petroleum and Oil Products Storage: <http://www.nys-soilandwater.org/aem/forms/PetroleumProductStorage.pdf>

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

Prescribed Rotational Grazing System

DEFINITION

A prescribed grazing management system using 5 or more paddocks for a grazing season, alternating paddocks to allow for forage vigor and re-growth. Livestock graze for no more than 7 days before they are rotated to another paddock.

WATER QUALITY PURPOSE

To prevent soil erosion; reduce water runoff that may transport nutrients, sediments, and pathogens; and allow for the management of animal manure and nutrients.

POLLUTANT CONTROLLED

Sediment, nutrients, pathogens, bio-chemical oxygen demand, and ammonia

WHERE USED

On continuously grazed pastures, and fields including cropland that can be converted to pasture where a resource concern has been identified.

SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

Prescribed rotational grazing involves subdividing pastures and hayfields into grazing units called paddocks. The size and number of paddocks depend on the level of pasture productivity, stocking rate of livestock, and the residency period in the paddock. Individual paddocks are grazed for a period long enough to harvest available forage, and then rotated to allow optimal re-growth of the forage before livestock are returned to the paddock. Livestock may be moved as often as twice per day but at least once per week. The frequent rotation of livestock allows forage to recover from grazing, permitting plant re-growth and resulting in increased plant productivity.

SYSTEM EFFECTIVENESS

Most of the pollution associated with livestock on pasture is the result of overgrazing and allowing livestock direct access to surface water sources. When comparing prescribed rotational grazing systems to continuous grazing, forage quality is improved and ground cover is increased reducing erosion and runoff potential. Prescribed rotational grazing systems reduce the time livestock spend grazing on any single paddock, and improve the uniformity of manure and urine deposition over the pasture allowing for improved plant utilization and reduced runoff of nutrients. Controlled grazing pressure increases the quality and quantity of forage, thereby reducing the fiber content in manure and increasing the speed of manure decomposition. Livestock manure from a prescribed rotational grazing system is less likely to cause surface water pollution compared to a continuous grazing system. Because prescribed rotational grazing improves overall pasture yields, farmers can fence out riparian areas, wetlands, and other areas adjacent to waterbodies and still meet or exceed their pasture requirements.

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

IMPACTS ON SURFACE WATER

Beneficial as the practices reduces erosion and water runoff that may transport nutrients, sediment, and pathogens to waterbodies.

IMPACTS ON GROUND WATER

Beneficial as the practice can improve the distribution of nutrients across a farm, address areas of livestock concentration, and result in a conversion of row crop acres to perennial pasture seedings.

IMPACTS ON OTHER RESOURCES (OFF-SITE)

Soil: Beneficial by reducing erosion, sedimentation, and improving or maintaining soil quality.

Air: Beneficial as it has the potential to reduce motorized equipment use and sequester carbon.

Plants: Neutral

Animals: Beneficial as it may provide or improve wildlife habitat.

Human: Beneficial as farmers have reported positive comments from their nonfarm neighbors who like seeing livestock out on lush green pasture.

Energy: Beneficial by allowing livestock to harvest their own feed and spread their own manure saving fossil fuels in the process.

ADVANTAGES TO FARM

- It allows for the recovery of the economic investment in 1 to 5 years.
- Promotes harvest efficiency thus maximizing animal production per acre.
- Has the potential to lower annual feed costs and reduce dependence on purchased feeds.
- Proper implementation can improve forage quality, species composition, and yield.
- Can reduce energy, labor and equipment requirements.
- Practice has the potential to improve livestock health.

DISADVANTAGES TO FARM

- Requires a high degree of management skills.
- May be necessary to install stabilized stream crossing and alternative water supplies to provide livestock access to all grazed forage resources while protecting riparian areas and waterbodies.
- Requires a fencing system to subdivide existing pastures.

SYSTEM LIFESPAN

Ten (10) years.

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

COST

Each Agricultural Management System is unique and must be customized to the situation in which it is employed resulting in a wide and variable range in cost. Some factors which will influence cost include:

- the number and type of livestock;
- system design, including the number and size of paddocks;
- the need for and design of watering facilities;
- pasture improvement needs such as seeding, lime, fertilizer, and pest management; laneway and stream crossing needs; and
- the amount and condition of existing fence.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

Each agricultural management system is unique and must be customized for every situation. The following are generally key components to the operation and maintenance of the system:

- Soil analysis on at least a 3 year rotation to determine pH and fertility needs;
- Periodic forage analyses from actual pasture samples should be done about 3 times throughout the growing season;
- Excess forage growth (spring flush) must be captured either by mechanically harvesting or allowing another livestock group to graze it;
- Paddocks must be rotated according to forage growth stage; and
- Basic maintenance as needed to fences, laneways, crossings, and watering stations

See the documents in Section 4 of the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide (eFOTG) under the specific conservation practice standard being utilized for additional information on operation and maintenance needs.

MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTS

Components that result in a complete system that eliminates a resource concern may be eligible for cost-sharing. Compliance with local and state laws should be adhered to including the need for Erosion and Sediment Control plans for disturbances over 1 acre, contacting Underground Utilities Protection before excavation, contacting SHPO and others as applicable. Stream crossing or disturbance of stream banks may require a permit.

NRCS STANDARDS TO UTILIZE

For the most current information on each NRCS Standard, please go to the eFOTG at <http://efotg.sc.egov.usda.gov/treemenuFS.aspx>, use the drop box in the left side to reach Section IV – Practice Standards and Specifications, click on the folder for Conservation Practices and locate the appropriate practice. Under each practice, you will find, at the minimum, the practice standard. You may also find: a Statement of Work; Practice Guideline; Operation and Maintenance Plan; Specification Sheet; Standard Drawing; and other document that will assist in the planning, installation or operation of the practice.

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

NRCS Name	Standard #	Reportable Item	Date	Life Span
Access Control	472	Acre	May 2011	10
Access Road	560	Feet	May 2011	10
Animal Trails and Walkways	575	Feet	Dec 2010	10
Brush Management	314	Acre	May 2010	1
Fence	382	Feet	Oct 2013	10
Field Border	386	Feet	May 2011	10
Forage and Biomass Planting	512	Acre	Sept 2010	5
Forage Harvest Management	511	Acre	Dec 2010	1
Grazing Land Mechanical Treatment	548	Acre	May 2011	1
Heavy Use Area Protection	561	Acre	Dec 2011	10
Herbaceous Weed Control	315	Acre	Dec 2010	1
Pipeline	516	Feet	July 2012	10
Pond	378	Number	July 2012	10
Prescribed Grazing	528	Acre	May 2011	10
Pumping Plant	533	Number	Dec 2011	10
Spring Development	574	Number	June 2007	10
Stream Crossing	578	Number	May 2011	10
Structure for Water Control	587	Number	July 2007	10
Subsurface Drainage	606	Feet	Dec 2012	10
Underground Outlet	620	Feet	May 2011	10
Water Well	642	Number	May 2011	10
Watering Facility	614	Number	May 2011	10

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

*This is a listing of the primary BMPs to utilize but is not all inclusive and other NRCS Standards could be utilized. Please check with your local SWCC representative for approval.

REFERENCES

- NRCS eFOTG for NY: <http://efotg.sc.egov.usda.gov/treemenuFS.aspx>
- AEM Tier 2 Worksheet – Pasture Management: <http://www.nys-soilandwater.org/aem/forms/PastureManagement.pdf>

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

Process Wash Water Management System

DEFINITION

A system designed for the collection, storage, treatment and disposal of effluents from processes on farms that including milking centers, horse washing, egg washing, vegetable and fruit washing. They may contain milk solids, nutrients, liniments, organic matter and soil along with detergents, acid rinses and sanitizer, all mixed with a quantity of water. This practice is not applicable for wash water containing manure and other animal waste or for wash water from commercial processing like cheese production or vegetable or fruit processing (like vineyard waste).

WATER QUALITY PURPOSE

To reduce the organic and nutrient component of the liquid waste from regular processes on a farm, into receiving waters.

POLLUTANT CONTROLLED

Primarily biodegradable organics and soluble phosphorous. Secondary pollutants include ammonia, nitrates and pathogens.

WHERE USED

On operations where water is used to assist in the on-farm processing or clean-up of organic materials in areas such as milking centers, horse barns, egg farms or produce washing facilities that are not part of a value-added operations (such as cheese or wine making, commercial processing, etc.) or that require a NYS SPEDES Permit or are deemed to be a point source of pollution.

SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

The system is composed of an area where water is used to either clean up the barn or facility or is used to clean the animals or products. The waste water is collected and then treated by a combination of tanks for settling of materials, transfer to proper waste storage structures, daily or periodic spreading or treatment by the use of vegetative measures or a combination there of.

When this system is funded by the NYS Agricultural Nonpoint Source Abatement and Control Program (ANSACP) a complete system of BMPs meeting NRCS Standards must result.

SYSTEM EFFECTIVENESS

These systems can be very effective in the removal of organic material including milk solids, soil, nutrients and some chemicals when properly installed and maintained on a regular basis or incorporated into a waste management system and land applied in accordance with a Nutrient Management Plan.

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

IMPACTS ON SURFACE WATER

Beneficial - Reduces phosphorous, fecal coliform and organics loading.

IMPACTS ON GROUND WATER

Beneficial if sited properly and care is given to avoid areas of shallow bedrock or groundwater.

IMPACTS ON OTHER RESOURCES

Soil: Beneficial by adding nutrients when applied in accordance with a NMP

Air: Negative if system is not operated or managed properly

Plants: Beneficial

Animals: Neutral

Human: Beneficial as system protect water quality

Energy: Negative to Beneficial depending on the system designed and its additional energy use or energy saving.

ADVANTAGES TO FARM

- Can be treated separately and does not need to add additional water to manure system
- Can be made to perform with gravity and be relatively management free
- Can be relatively inexpensive

DISADVANTAGES TO FARM

- Some systems need high level of management to perform correctly
- May require additional pumps and tanks that require clean-out and maintenance on a regular basis
- Can be expensive if major modification to the existing infrastructure is required

SYSTEM LIFESPAN

Ten (10) years

COST

Each Agricultural Management System is unique and must be customized to the situation in which it is employed resulting in a wide and variable range in cost. Cost can run from \$3,000 to \$45,000 depending on the complexity of the system and the material to be treated.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

Each Agricultural Management System is unique and must be customized for every situation.

The following are generally key components to the operation and maintenance of the system:

- Tanks installed in the system for settling of solids, collection of milk fats, etc. need to be emptied on a regular basis.
- Pumps need to be monitored, maintained and or replaced.
- Vegetated treatments need to be mowed and material removed.
- Flocculation systems need to be emptied and maintained.

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

See the documents in Section 4 of the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide (eFOTG) under the specific practice standard being utilized for additional information on operation and maintenance needs.

MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTS

Components that result in a complete system of BMPs meeting NRCS Standards that eliminate a resource concern may be eligible for cost-sharing. Livestock operations that have been designated as a CAFO are required to comply with CAFO regulations. Compliance with local and state laws should be adhered to including the need for Erosion and Sediment Control plans for disturbances over 1 acre, contacting Underground Utilities Protection before excavation, contacting SHPO and others as applicable. In some cases, discharge of process waste water to the surface untreated is a violation of NYS Environmental Conservation Law (ECL).

NRCS STANDARDS TO UTILIZE*

For the most current information on each NRCS Standard, please go to the eFOTG at <http://efotg.sc.egov.usda.gov/treemenuFS.aspx>, use the drop box in the left side to reach Section IV – Practice Standards and Specifications, click on the folder for Conservation Practices and locate the appropriate practice. Under each practice, you will find, at the minimum, the practice standard. You may also find: a Statement of Work; Practice Guideline; Operation and Maintenance Plan; Specification Sheet; Standard Drawing; and other document that will assist in the planning, installation or operation of the practice.

NRCS Name	Standard #	Reportable Item	Date	Life Span
Constructed Wetland	656	Acres	May 2011	10
Heavy Use Area Protection	561	Acres	Dec 2011	10
Pumping Plant	533	Number	Dec 2011	10
Waste Separation Facility	632	Number	Oct 2013	10
Structure for Water Control	587	Number	July 2007	10
Subsurface Drainage	606	Feet	Dec 2012	10
Vegetated Treatment Area	635	Acres	April 2009	10
Waste Storage Facility	313	Number	July 2012	10
Waste Transfer	634	Number	Sept 2009	10
Waste Treatment Lagoon	359	Number	Aug 2006	10

*This is a listing of the primary BMPs to utilize but is not all inclusive and other NRCS Standards could be utilized. Please check with your local SWCC representative for approval.

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

REFERENCES

- NRCS eFOTG for NY: <http://efotg.sc.egov.usda.gov/treemenuFS.aspx>
- AEM Process Wash Water Tier 2: <http://www.nys-soilandwater.org/aem/forms/ProcessWashWater.pdf>

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

Riparian Buffer System

DEFINITION

An area of grasses, sedges, rushes, ferns, legumes, forbs, shrubs, and/or trees tolerant of intermittent flooding or saturated soils located adjacent to and up-gradient from waterbodies.

WATER QUALITY PURPOSE

To intercept surface runoff, subsurface flow and shallow groundwater flow from agricultural sources in order to reduce excess amounts of pollutants. Systems can be used to create shade to lower or maintain surface water temperature, and to reduce pesticide drift from entering a waterbody.

POLLUTANT CONTROLLED

Sediment, nutrients, pesticides, bio-chemical oxygen demand, thermal modification

WHERE USED

These systems can be applied to agricultural lands adjacent to permanent or intermittent waterbodies where a resource concern has been identified. They are not applied to stabilize stream banks or shorelines.

SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

A riparian buffer system consists of an area containing a variety of vegetation situated between agricultural lands and waterbodies that are designed to filter surface runoff and shallow groundwater by encouraging sheet flow and infiltration and impede concentrated flow. The type and extent of vegetation is suited to the soil and hydrology of the site and for the water quality purpose. Up to three distinct zones may be employed to achieve desired results. In all cases livestock must be excluded or controlled. Appropriate site preparation is essential to establishing desired vegetation, and practices that promote the vigor and reproduction of desired plant species, including pest management, may be employed. In addition, excessive sheet-rill and concentrated flow erosion may need to be controlled in the areas immediately adjacent and up-gradient of the buffer area.

SYSTEM EFFECTIVENESS

A riparian buffer will be most effective when used as a component of a conservation system including nutrient management, pest management, and runoff, sediment and erosion control practices. The filtering effects of riparian buffers are most effective when used in conjunction with erosion reducing management practices. Riparian buffers can be very effective for sediment and sediment-bound pollutant removal with trapping efficiencies exceeding 50%. Riparian buffers are less effective at removing soluble phosphorous or nitrates.

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

IMPACTS ON SURFACE WATER

Beneficial – this system does not generally address pollutants at the source (thermal modification is an exception), but “polishes” surface runoff by removing additional amounts of pollutants such as sediment, soil attached nutrients, and organic matter.

IMPACTS ON GROUND WATER

Neutral – It may be beneficial in areas where groundwater is recharged directly from surface waterbodies or there is a direct surface connection to groundwater.

IMPACTS ON OTHER RESOURCES (OFF-SITE)

Soil: Neutral

Air: Beneficial by increasing carbon storage in plant biomass and soils

Plants: Neutral

Animals: Beneficial by improving riparian habitat and potentially providing a source of detritus and large woody debris. Provides food and cover for fish, wildlife, and livestock. Establish and maintain habitat corridors. Enhance pollen, nectar, and nesting habitat for pollinators. Improves overall surface water quality.

Human: Increase water storage on flood plains potentially reducing flood impacts.

Energy: Neutral

ADVANTAGES TO FARM

- Provides a low cost, cost-effective approach to treat agricultural runoff
- Restore, improve or maintain riparian plant communities.
- May provide a buffer for cropland and farm infrastructure from flood damage

DISADVANTAGES TO FARM

- May take cropland and pasture out of production
- Requires a large land area

SYSTEM LIFESPAN

Ten (10) years

COST

Each Agricultural management System is unique and must be customized to the situation in which it is employed resulting in a wide and variable range in cost. Factors impacting costs may include buffer length, width, types of vegetation, and the need for associated practices to exclude livestock or maintain sheet flow.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

Each Agricultural management System is unique and must be customized to the situation. The following are generally key components to the operation and maintenance of the system:

- Inspections conducted annually and immediately following severe storms for evidence of sediment deposit, erosion, or concentrated flow channels.

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

- Avoid use of fertilizers, pesticides, other chemicals, vehicular traffic or disturbance of vegetation and litter inconsistent with erosion control and buffering objectives.
- Portions of the buffer may need to be periodically mowed and the clippings removed to promote dense vegetative growth and removal of nutrients.

See the documents in Section 4 of the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide (eFOTG) under the specific conservation practice standard being utilized for additional information on operation and maintenance needs.

MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTS

Components that result in a complete system that eliminates a resource concern may be eligible for cost-sharing. Livestock operations that have been designated as a CAFO are required to comply with CAFO regulations. Compliance with local and state laws should be adhered to including the need for Erosion and Sediment Control plans for disturbances over 1 acre, contacting Underground Utilities Protection before excavation, contacting SHPO and others as applicable. Some activities may require stream disturbance or wetlands permits.

NRCS STANDARDS TO UTILIZE

For the most current information on each NRCS Standard, please go to the eFOTG at <http://efotg.sc.egov.usda.gov/treemenuFS.aspx>, use the drop box in the left side to reach Section IV – Practice Standards and Specifications, click on the folder for Conservation Practices and locate the appropriate practice. Under each practice, you will find, at the minimum, the practice standard. You may also find: a Statement of Work; Practice Guideline; Operation and Maintenance Plan; Specification Sheet; Standard Drawing; and other document that will assist in the planning, installation or operation of the practice.

NRCS Name	Standard #	Reportable Item	Date	Life Span
Access Control	472	Acre	May 2011	10
Access Road	560	Feet	May 2011	10
Animal Trails & Walkways	575	Feet	Dec 2010	10
Brush Management	314	Acre	May 2010	1
Conservation Cover	327	Acre	May 2011	5
Critical Area Planting	342	Acre	May 2011	10
Fence	382	Feet	Oct 2013	10
Filter Strip	393	Acre	May 2011	10
Forage and Biomass Planting	512	Acre	Sept 2010	10
Grassed Waterway	412	Acre	April 2009	10

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

Herbaceous Weed Control	315	Acre	Dec 2010	1
Integrated Pest Management	595	Acre	Sept 2010	1
Lined Waterway or Outlet	468	Feet	May 2011	10
Riparian Forest Buffer	391	Acre	May 2011	10
Riparian Herbaceous Cover	390	Acre	May 2011	5
Stream Crossing	578	Number	May 2011	10
Structure for Water Control	587	Number	July 2007	10
Tree/Shrub Establishment	612	Acre	Dec 2011	10
Tree/Shrub Site Preparation	490	Acre	March 2007	10
Water & Sediment control Basin	638	Number	April 2009	10

*This is a listing of the primary BMPs to utilize but is not all inclusive and other NRCS Standards could be utilized. Please check with your local SWCC representative for approval.

REFERENCES

- NRCS eFOTG for NY: <http://efotg.sc.egov.usda.gov/treemenuFS.aspx>
- AEM Tier 2 Stream and Floodplain Management: <http://www.nys-soilandwater.org/aem/forms/StreamFloodplainManagement.pdf>

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

Silage Leachate Control and Treatment System

DEFINITION

A system designed to reduce the generation of silage leachate and for the collection, storage, treatment and disposal of effluents and runoff from the storage of silage crops from upright and bunk silos.

WATER QUALITY PURPOSE

To reduce and/or manage silo/silage leachate to reduce surface and ground water biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) loading as well as nutrient loading.

POLLUTANT CONTROLLED

Organic loading and Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD)

WHERE USED

In situations where the storage of silage and haylage can yield effluent and runoff which can enter either a surface water body or ground water and a resource concern has been identified. This practice can be used in barnyards, farmsteads, fields, or other areas where upright silos, bunk silos and silage bagging systems are located.

SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

Silage effluent and runoff control would be composed of a combination of structural and non-structural management practices to control the source of the material or manage the collection and treatment or disposal of it. Source reduction can lessen the amount of low-flow high concentrate leachate produced and can be accomplished with several management techniques including growing the proper variety of corn for the area, harvesting when corn or haylage are at the proper maturity and at the correct moisture content along with proper operation and maintenance of the structure. When source reduction does not eliminate leachate, a system to collect, store or treat the silo effluent and runoff can be installed. If a suitable waste storage is available, the silage leachate can be transferred there. Otherwise, a system to separate low and high flows, collection of low flows and a treatment system such as a Vegetated Treatment Area is required. Relocation of silage storage to an area that is not a resource concern is another option. The system should also include an area for the storage of waste or spoiled feed for later application to crop fields in accordance with a nutrient management program. Use of covered storage and exclusion of surface water runoff from the storage area will also reduce the overall amount of liquid requiring treatment.

SYSTEM EFFECTIVENESS

Silage leachate exerts a high organic loading on the receiving water and produces a high Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) which will deplete available dissolved oxygen resulting in fish kills, tastes and odors, and a general unaesthetic appearance. The proper management of leachate from silos and other types of storage facilities can significantly reduce these problems.

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

IMPACTS ON SURFACE WATER

Beneficial - Reduces organic loading and resultant depletion of dissolved oxygen.

IMPACTS ON GROUND WATER

Beneficial - The oxygen depletion in ground water resulting from organic loading can cause bad odors and tastes which may be sustained for extended periods of time due to very low re-aeration rates.

IMPACTS ON OTHER RESOURCES (OFF-SITE)

Soil: Beneficial when leachate is applied in accordance with a NMP

Air: Negative if system is not operated or managed properly

Plants: Beneficial by eliminating kill zones and correct application rates

Animals: Beneficial – protects fish from high BOD

Human: Beneficial as system protects water quality but can be negative if not operated or maintained effectively

Energy: Negative to Beneficial depending on the system design and its additional energy use or energy saving.

ADVANTAGES TO FARM

- May be corrected by growing a different variety of corn and changing timing of harvest
- Can be built as a gravity system
- Can be plumbed into existing waste management system.
- The system design includes an area for waste feed storage for utilization as a nutrient source

DISADVANTAGES TO FARM

- Can be expensive if separate collection and storage are required.
- Due to amino acid content of silage leachate, collection facilities should be made of corrosion resistant material and land application should be carefully managed to prevent kill-off.
- Require heightened level of management as run-off is precipitation driven and solid separation can require frequent maintenance.

SYSTEM LIFESPAN

Ten (10) years

COST

Each Silage Leachate Collection and Treatment System is unique and must be customized to the situation in which it is employed resulting in a wide and variable range in cost. Cost can run from \$3,000 to \$70,000 or more depending on the complexity of the system

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

Each Agricultural Management System is unique and must be customized for every situation. The following are generally key components to the operation and maintenance of the system:

- Low flow/high flow separation must be adjusted frequently to ensure capture of adequate low flow
- Checking solid separation after each rainfall to remove debris
- Keeping records of where silage leachate is applied to reduce potential over application.
- Periodic inspection and repair of storage facility to assure no leakage through floors and walls.
- Periodic inspection and repair of pipes and other connections to eliminate leakage opportunities.
- Tanks installed must be checked for need for emptying

See the documents in Section 4 of the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide (eFOTG) under the specific practice standard being utilized for additional information on operation and maintenance needs.

MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTS

Components that result in a complete system that eliminates a resource concern may be eligible for cost-sharing. Livestock operations that have been designated as a CAFO are required to comply with CAFO regulations which requires all farms that store feedstock to collect low flow, if evidence that it is produced. Compliance with local and state laws should be adhered to including the need for Erosion and Sediment Control plans for disturbances over 1 acre, contacting Underground Utilities Protection before excavation, contacting SHPO and others as applicable.

NRCS STANDARDS TO UTILIZE*

For the most current information on each NRCS Standard, please go to the eFOTG at <http://efotg.sc.egov.usda.gov/treemenuFS.aspx>, use the drop box in the left side to reach Section IV – Practice Standards and Specifications, click on the folder for Conservation Practices and locate the appropriate practice. Under each practice, you will find, at the minimum, the practice standard. You may also find: a Statement of Work; Practice Guideline; Operation and Maintenance Plan; Specification Sheet; Standard Drawing; and other document that will assist in the planning, installation or operation of the practice.

NRCS Name	Standard #	Reportable Item	Date	Life Span
Conservation Crop Rotation	328	Acre	Dec 2011	Length of Crop Rotation
Constructed Wetland	656	Acre	May 2011	10
Dike	356	Feet	Dec 2012	10

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

Diversion	362	Feet	Dec 2010	10
Fence	382	Feet	Oct 2013	10
Heavy Use Area Protection	561	Acre	Dec 2011	10
Sprinkler System	442	Acre	Oct 2013	10
Irrigation Water Management	449	Acre	Dec 2011	10
Pumping Plant	533	Number	Dec 2011	10
Sediment Basin	350	Number	Aug 2010	10
Waste Separation Facility	632	Number	Oct 2013	10
Structure for Water Control	587	Number	July 2007	10
Subsurface Drainage	606	Feet	Dec 2012	10
Vegetated Treatment Area	635	Acre	April 2009	10
Waste Storage Facility	313	Number	July 2012	10
Waste Transfer	634	Number	Sept 2009	10
Waste Treatment Lagoon	359	Number	Aug 2006	10

*This is a listing of the primary BMPs to utilize but is not all inclusive and other NRCS Standards could be utilized. Please check with your local SWCC representative for approval.

REFERENCES

- NRCS eFOTG for NY: <http://efotg.sc.egov.usda.gov/treemenuFS.aspx>
- AEM Tier 2 Worksheet – Silage Storage: <http://www.nys-soilandwater.org/aem/forms/SilageStorage.pdf>

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

Soil Conservation System - Cultural

DEFINITION

Cultural soil conservation systems employ management-based measures such as crop rotation, tillage, mulching, cover cropping, and/or other practices according to a soil conservation plan to control soil erosion, reduce run-off and enhance soil health.

WATER QUALITY PURPOSE

To reduce the detachment, transport, and loss of sediment and solid-phase nutrients as well as a runoff volumes.

POLLUTANT CONTROLLED

Sediment, nutrients, pathogens, pesticides, and biochemical oxygen demand

WHERE USED

Cropland, pasture, vegetable and fruit production, orchards, vineyards, and/or biomass production areas where a resource concern has been identified

SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

Cultural soil conservation systems consist of non-structural, management-based practices working in concert to control soil erosion, reduce runoff volumes, enhance soil health, and improve productivity of the land. Such systems advance soil conservation and productivity through a few general approaches, including:

- reducing the intensity of tillage and oxidization of soil organic matter;
- maintaining greater soil cover throughout the year, by living crops and/or crop residues;
- preventing or slowing sheet and rill flows;
- increasing the diversity of crops grown throughout the rotation; and
- increasing organic matter additions to the soil, by crop residues and/or amendments.

Individual practices often utilized in cultural soil conservation systems include:

- residue and tillage management, such as no-till, zone-till, mulch-till, etc.;
- cover crops;
- strip cropping
- contour planting;
- long term perennial forage or biomass planting on cropland acres;
- mulching;
- etc.

The cultural soil conservation system is based on a well integrated, cropland soil conservation plan (or a soil conservation plan within a broader nutrient management or comprehensive nutrient management plan). The plan is utilized to assess risk of water and wind erosion and

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

make specific recommendations for how various practices will work together to address those resource concerns. These recommendations may extend beyond the cultural practices addressed with this system to dovetail with other conservation systems on the farm, including structural soil conservation systems, nutrient management systems, etc.

SYSTEM EFFECTIVENESS

A systems approach to soil conservation provides multiple barriers against soil erosion and water quality degradation. Crop rotation, conservation tillage, cover cropping, strip cropping, organic matter amendments (manure, compost, green manures, mulch, etc.) and other cultural conservation practices help protect soil from erosion by wind and water and help maintain or increase soil organic matter. Soil organic matter improves soil tilth, reduces susceptibility to compaction, increases nutrient and water holding capacity, slows the movement of pesticides through the soil, reduces runoff losses, and protects against erosion. Several tons of soil loss per acre can be avoided annually with these practices, as well as significant improvements in nutrient use efficiency and crop production. Soil and water resources are often further conserved when cultural soil conservation practices are paired with structural soil conservation systems and nutrient management, per the multiple barrier approach.

IMPACTS ON SURFACE WATER

Beneficial - including reduced losses of sediment, nutrients, pathogens, pesticides, and biochemical oxygen demand.

IMPACTS ON GROUND WATER

Beneficial in most cases, but may be negative in some soil types with annual crops in long-term no-till because significant macropores may establish and aid the loss of nutrients and pathogens to groundwater and/or tile drainage systems.

IMPACTS ON OTHER RESOURCES (OFF-SITE)

Soil: Beneficial, as it generally improves soil health and reduces erosion.

Air: Beneficial, as it can reduce dust and fossil fuel combustion used in crop production.

Plants: Beneficial, as it can reduce sediment and nutrient losses and subsequent impacts on neighboring plant communities.

Animals: Beneficial, as it can reduce off-site impacts from sediment, nutrients, pathogens, pesticides, and biochemical oxygen demand on terrestrial and aquatic habitats.

Human: Beneficial, as it can further safeguard drinking water sources, improve land and water resources for recreation, reduce maintenance costs on public infrastructure (e.g., road ditches, culverts, reservoirs, etc.), and provide economic growth.

Energy: Beneficial, as it can reduce use of farm fuels, energy for fertilizer manufacturing, and transportation fuels for imported fertilizer and feed.

ADVANTAGES TO FARM

- Potential to reduce soil loss, reduce negative effects of extreme weather years on crop production, and improve crop yield and quality.

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

- Improved labor efficiency and timing for crop management.
- Often a neutral or positive impact on farm profitability.
- Improved neighbor relations.

DISADVANTAGES TO FARM

- Higher level of farm management required may result in increased labor and equipment costs.
- Requires additional time and training to adjust to new management strategies.

SYSTEM LIFESPAN

1 to 5 years depending on components in the cultural soil conservation system.

COST

Each Agricultural Management System is unique and must be customized to the situation in which it is employed resulting in a wide and variable range in cost. Costs depend on several factors, including the size and type of farm, existing level of farm management, availability of equipment, familiarity/availability of custom operators, etc.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

Each Agricultural Management System is unique and must be customized for every situation. Often annual evaluation and fine tuning of cultural conservation system is required, because many component practices are annual practices and can be refined based on prior years' experiences and the current year's conditions.

See the documents in Section 4 of the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide (eFOTG) under the specific conservation practice standard being utilized for additional information on operation and maintenance needs.

MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTS

See the USDA-NRCS Field Office Technical Guide for specific technical details about planning, implementation, and operation and maintenance. Guidance for the AEM Base Program provides details on developing Tier 3A cropland conservation plans. Also, the Cornell Soil Health Program offers technical resources for soil conservation and productivity.

NOTE: a soil conservation plan, alone, does not meet the NYS requirements for CAFOs. A Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (NRCS Standard 312) must be developed for these farms. Compliance with USDA Food Security Act program requirements should be considered.

NRCS STANDARDS TO UTILIZE*

For the most current information on each NRCS Standard, please go to the eFOTG at <http://efotg.sc.egov.usda.gov/treemenuFS.aspx>, use the drop box in the left side to reach Section IV – Practice Standards and Specifications, click on the folder for Conservation Practices and locate the appropriate practice. Under each practice, you will find, at the minimum, the

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

practice standard. You may also find: a Statement of Work; Practice Guideline; Operation and Maintenance Plan; Specification Sheet; Standard Drawing; and other document that will assist in the planning, installation or operation of the practice.

NRCS Name	Standard #	Reportable Item	Date	Life Span
Conservation Crop Rotation	328	Acre	Dec 2011	Length of Crop Rotation
Conservation Cover	327	Acre	May 2011	5
Contour Farming	330	Acre	Jan 2008	1
Cover Crop	340	Acre	May 2011	1
Forage and Biomass Planting	512	Acre	Sept 2010	5
Mulching	484	Acre	Dec 2011	1
Residue and Tillage Management (Mulch Till; No-Till/Strip-Till/Direct Seed; Ridge Till)	345, 329, 346	Acre	Dec 2011	1
Strip Cropping	585	Acre	April 2009	5

*This is a listing of the primary BMPs to utilize but is not all inclusive and other NRCS Standards could be utilized. Please check with your local SWCC representative for approval.

REFERENCES

- NRCS eFOTG for NY: <http://efotg.sc.egov.usda.gov/treemenuFS.aspx>
- Cornell Soil Health Program: <http://soilhealth.cals.cornell.edu/>
- AEM Base Program: <http://www.nys-soilandwater.org/aem/basefunding.html>
- AEM Tier 2 Worksheet – Soil Management: <http://www.nys-soilandwater.org/aem/forms/SoilManagement.pdf>

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

Stream Corridor and Shoreline Management System

DEFINITION

A planned system of vegetation, structures, bio-technology, and/or management techniques to stabilize or protect stream channels, streambanks and shorelines while also enhancing natural hydrologic processes and improving fish and wildlife habitat.

WATER QUALITY PURPOSE

To reduce sediment and nutrients entering waterbodies from eroding channels, streambanks and shorelines. Systems can be used to maintain, improve, or restore the physical, chemical and biological functions of a stream, constructed channel, or shoreline while also protecting the designated use classification of the waterbody.

POLLUTANT CONTROLLED

Sediment, nutrients and thermal modification

WHERE USED

Streambanks, constructed channels, lake shores, estuaries and coastal shorelines on agricultural land

SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

The system may be composed of a variety of operational, structural, and vegetative practices that may be aimed at one specific goal, such as controlling streambank erosion, or at a combination of goals. Component practices may be implemented within several areas of the stream corridor and shoreline area, i.e. within the channel, along the banks, or in the immediate riparian zones. Listed below are some of the components that may be utilized in a stream corridor or shoreline system:

- Implement management techniques such as removing impeding vegetation along the banks (clearing) or selectively removing woody snags, sediment depositions/drifts, or other obstructions (snagging) that have negative impacts on stream flow and increase either bank or channel erosion.
- Establish vegetation to prevent or reduce erosion along the streambank toe, within adjacent riparian zones, and associated floodplains. Examples include shrubs, trees, grasses, rushes and sedges among other site specific species; see Critical Area Planting practice as well.
- Install structural improvements such as slope stabilization, filter fabric, riprap, deflectors, sediment fencing, bulkheads, or groin systems.
- Employ biotechnical alternatives such as willow wattles, coir logs or direct seeding.
- Utilize fluvial geomorphology techniques.

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

SYSTEM EFFECTIVENESS

The effectiveness of streambank and shoreline protection should be evaluated based on the component practices installed. In general, the practice can attenuate the peak flow and bed load of the stream, reduce soil erosion, and decrease sediment and nutrient delivery to waterbodies. The system should not degrade the stream channel beyond tolerable limits, increase or promote new erosion concerns, increase sedimentation, induce gully formations, or disrupt stream habitat, the natural flow regime, and the interaction between the stream and the floodplain.

IMPACTS ON SURFACE WATER

Beneficial - Can control erosion rates and sediment delivery to receiving water bodies.

IMPACTS ON GROUND WATER

Neutral - Vegetative cover and some structural practices may increase infiltration.

IMPACTS ON OTHER RESOURCES (OFF-SITE)

Soil: Beneficial by minimizing soil erosion.

Water: Beneficial by reducing sediment and nutrients from entering waterbodies. Decreased thermal modification, shade along waterbody.

Air: Neutral

Plants: Beneficial by establishing streambank vegetation.

Animal: Beneficial for wildlife corridor establishment and fish habitat

Human: Beneficial. Increased recreational opportunities, stable fish habitat. Reduction of flood impacts on agricultural lands and civil infrastructure.

Energy: Neutral.

ADVANTAGES TO FARM

- Stops loss of agricultural land.
- Improves fish and wildlife habitat.
- Restores water flow, capacity and direction.
- Improve landscape aesthetics.
- Protects best use of water bodies.
- Improved neighbor relations.

DISADVANTAGES TO FARM

- Cost of structural practices may be substantial
- Can move problem areas down stream
- Investment of practices may be lost by severe storm damage.
- Operation and Maintenance program has significant costs of time and money.

SYSTEM LIFESPAN

Ten (10) years

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

COST

Each Agricultural Management System is unique and must be customized to the situation in which it is employed resulting in a wide and variable range in cost. Costs can vary greatly. For example, bio-technical components can cost as little as \$5 per linear foot while structural components could cost in excess of \$200 per foot.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

Each Agricultural Management System is unique and must be customized for every situation. The following are generally key components to the operation and maintenance of the system

- Debris should be removed from the stabilized streambank or shoreline.
- Structural practices should be inspected and repaired after storm events.
- Vegetation destroyed by bank failure must be replaced to maintain cover integrity.
- Subsequent planting or establishment of failed vegetation to practice integrity.

MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTS

Compliance with local and state laws should be adhered to including the need for Erosion and Sediment Control plans for disturbances over 1 acre, contacting Underground Utilities Protection before excavation, contacting SHPO and others as applicable. Streambank and shoreline disturbance generally require a DEC and or Army Corp of Engineer permit. Other permits from various agencies may also be required,

NRCS STANDARDS TO UTILIZE

For the most current information on each NRCS Standard, please go to the eFOTG at <http://efotg.sc.egov.usda.gov/treemenuFS.aspx>, use the drop box in the left side to reach Section IV – Practice Standards and Specifications, click on the folder for Conservation Practices and locate the appropriate practice. Under each practice, you will find, at the minimum, the practice standard. You may also find: a Statement of Work; Practice Guideline; Operation and Maintenance Plan; Specification Sheet; Standard Drawing; and other document that will assist in the planning, installation or operation of the practice.”

NRCS Name	Standard #	Reportable Item	Date	Life Span
Access Control	472	Acre	May 2011	10
Clearing and Snagging	326	Feet	May 2011	1
Critical Area Planting	342	Acre	May 2011	1
Obstruction Removal	500	Acre	Sept 2010	10
Open Channel	582	Feet	Oct 1987	10
Riparian Forest Buffer	391	Acre	May 2011	10

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

Riparian Herbaceous Cover	390	Acre	May 2011	5
Stream Crossing	578	Number	May 2011	10
Stream Habitat Improvement and Management	395	Acre	May 2011	5
Streambank and Shoreline Protection	580	Feet	May 2011	10
Tree and Shrub Establishment	612	Acre	Dec 2011	10
Tree and Shrub Site Preparation	490	Acre	Mar 2007	1

*This is a listing of the primary BMPs to utilize but is not all inclusive and other NY NRCS Standards could be utilized. Please check with your local SWCC representative for approval.

REFERENCES

- NRCS eFOTG for NY: <http://efotg.sc.egov.usda.gov/treemenuFS.aspx>
- AEM Tier 2 Worksheet – Stream and Floodplain Management: <http://www.nys-soilandwater.org/aem/forms/StreamFloodplainManagement.pdf>

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

Waste Storage and Transfer System

DEFINITION

A system design for the collection, transfer, and/or storage of agricultural livestock and recognizable process waste.

WATER QUALITY PURPOSE

To reduce surface and subsurface loss of nutrients.

POLLUTANT CONTROLLED

Nutrients, pathogens and organics

WHERE USED

In situations where the collection, transfer, and/or storage of manure and/or other organic waste is recommended to address water quality concerns and improve a farm's ability to apply nutrients with the right timing, placement, method, and rate for recycling by crops. This includes scenarios where storage addresses seasonal limitations for manure application due to lack of suitable crop and hayland to safely apply during adverse weather or field conditions. It may also include systems to better collect manure and other organic wastes for application to fields, without significant (if any) storage component. This system can be used whenever waste is transferred or stored and includes manure, milking center waste, process waste water, silage leachate, barnyard run-off, etc. This includes the use of bedded pack or composting bedded pack barns.

SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

The system is composed of multiple component practices that collect agricultural waste and transport it to a structural storage facility. System design is dependent upon agricultural operation, site location and management considerations. A waste storage system controls the loss of nutrients and pathogens by safely storing waste during critical runoff and leaching periods. It can include the use of storage covers to trap greenhouse gases or to limit rainfall contribution to spreading volumes.

(NOTE: Prior to adoption of a manure storage system, a Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan needs to be developed.)

SYSTEM EFFECTIVENESS

Waste storage is effective in reducing losses of nitrogen and phosphorus when surface runoff and erosion potential is high. Storages can reduce pathogen loads through die-off during storage and when applied to soil and incorporated. Significant nutrient loss and water quality degradation may result if the storage is emptied when surface runoff, leaching or erosion potential is high or waste is applied at non-agronomically recommended rates. A Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan is an important component of this practice.

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

IMPACTS ON SURFACE WATER

Beneficial when waste is applied in accordance with a nutrient management plan and transfers are designed and operated according to the plan.

IMPACTS ON GROUND WATER

Beneficial as applications of waste can be timed to coincide with plant uptake and not during times of nutrient loss to groundwater. However, care must be taken in site selection and construction of storage facilities.

IMPACTS ON OTHER RESOURCES (OFF-SITE)

Soil: Beneficial as organic waste can replenish organic matter in the soil and improve soil health.

Air: Negative or beneficial. When waste is stored and applied a certain times of the year, odor issues increase for that time period unless incorporation of waste is utilized. But conversely, by storing waste, odors are not created when daily spread. Covers trap greenhouse gases and collect them for flaring or use.

Plants: Beneficial as nutrients can be applied during times of plant uptake.

Animals: Beneficial as improved nutrient management on cropland can improve habitat (especially aquatic).

Human: Beneficial as system protect water quality

Energy: Beneficial depending on the system designed and its additional energy use or energy saving.

ADVANTAGES TO FARM

- Allows for spreading at certain times of the year and eliminated the need for daily spreading
- Allows for semi-solid or liquid manure which lends itself to gravity systems
- Can allow for irrigation of waste
- Allows livestock manure and other waste to be treated as a resource rather than a waste.
- Reduces cost for purchased, commercial fertilizer.
- Can improve aesthetics and relations with neighbors if managed properly.
- Helps to reduce nutrient loss when runoff and erosion potential is high

DISADVANTAGES TO FARM

- Can be expensive if soils are not suitable and liners or other materials need to be utilized
- Require increased level of management and manpower especially during times of application
- Requires increased management and energy when sand is used for bedding.
- Requires frequent maintenance.
- May result in significant nutrient loss if emptied when surface runoff and erosion potential is high.

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

- May cause serious damage to streams and fish if storage structure leaks or fail.

SYSTEM LIFESPAN

Ten (10) years

COST

Each Agricultural Management System is unique and must be customized to the situation in which it is employed resulting in a wide and variable range in cost. Cost can run from \$30,000 to \$400,000 or more depending on the complexity of the system

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

Each Agricultural Management System is unique and must be customized for every situation. The following are generally key components to the operation and maintenance of the system:

- A written plan should be prepared for each system designed.
- Accurate records of timing of manure application and location need to be kept.
- Storages must be fenced and warning signs maintained
- Earthen storages require mowing several times a year to keep the growth of woody vegetation down and to be able to scout for rodent damage of the dike.
- Settled solids need to be removed on a regular basis increasing frequency with use of inorganics like sand bedding
- Pumps need to be regularly checked and maintained
- Safety measures need to be kept up to date.
- Other items need to be addressed based on specific system requirements

See the documents in Section 4 of the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide (eFOTG) under the specific practice standard being utilized for additional information on operation and maintenance needs.

MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTS

Components that result in a complete system that eliminates a resource concern may be eligible for cost-sharing. Livestock operations that have been designated as a CAFO are required to comply with CAFO regulations. Compliance with local and state laws should be adhered to including the need for Erosion and Sediment Control plans for disturbances over 1 acre, contacting Underground Utilities Protection before excavation, contacting SHPO and others as applicable.

NRCS STANDARDS TO UTILIZE*

For the most current information on each NRCS Standard, please go to the eFOTG at <http://efotg.sc.egov.usda.gov/treemenuFS.aspx>, use the drop box in the left side to reach Section IV – Practice Standards and Specifications, click on the folder for Conservation Practices and locate the appropriate practice. Under each practice, you will find, at the minimum, the practice standard. You may also find: a Statement of Work; Practice Guideline; Operation and Maintenance Plan; Specification Sheet; Standard Drawing; and other document that will assist in the planning, installation or operation of the practice.

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

NRCS Name	Standard #	Reportable Item	Date	Life Span
Access Control	472	Acre	May 2011	10 Years
Access Road	560	Feet	May 2011	10 Years
Composting Facility	317	Number	May 2011	10 Years
Diversion	362	Feet	Dec 2010	10 Years
Fence	382	Feet	Oct 2013	10 Years
Heavy Use Area Protection	561	Acre	Dec 2011	10 Years
Hedgerow Planting	422	Feet	May 2011	10 Years
Nutrient Management	590	Acre	Jan 2013	1 year
Pond Sealing or Lining – Bentonite Sealant	521 C	Number	May 2011	10 Years
Pond Sealing or Lining – Compacted Clay Treatment	521 D	Number	May 2011	10 Years
Pond Sealing or Lining – Flex Membrane	521 A	Number	July 2012	10 Years
Pond Sealing or Lining – Soil Dispersant	521 B	Number	May 2011	10 Years
Pumping Plant	533	Number	Dec 2011	10 Years
Roofs and Covers	367	Number	May 2011	10 Years
Waste Separation Facility	632	Number	Oct 2013	10 Years
Subsurface Drain	606	Feet	Dec 2012	10 Years
Waste Facility Closure	360	Number	Oct 2013	1 Year
Waste Storage Facility	313	Number	July 2012	10 years
Waste Transfer	634	Number	Sept 2009	10 Years
Waste Treatment	629	Number	Oct 2013	1 year to 10 years
Waste Treatment Lagoon	359	Number	Aug 2006	10 Years

Agricultural Conservation Management System Summary Sheet

Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment Standard	380	Feet	Dec 2011	10 Years
--	-----	------	----------	----------

*This is a listing of the primary BMPs to utilize but is not all inclusive and other NRCS Standards could be utilized. Please check with your local SWCC representative for approval.

REFERENCES

- NRCS eFOTG for NY: <http://efotg.sc.egov.usda.gov/treemenuFS.aspx>
- AEM Tier 2 Worksheet – Manure and Fertilizer Storage: <http://www.nys-soilandwater.org/aem/forms/ManureFertilizerStorage.pdf>
- AEM Tier 2 Worksheet – Nutrient Management: Manure and Fertilizer: <http://www.nys-soilandwater.org/aem/forms/ManureFertilizerManagement.pdf>