



TC-GNN: Bridging Sparse GNN Computation and Dense Tensor Cores on GPUs

<u>Yuke Wang</u>, Boyuan Feng, Zheng Wang, Guyue Huang, Yufei Ding

Department of Computer Science University of California, Santa Barbara



2023 USENIX Annual Technical Conference



Graphs are Everywhere, GNNs are Useful Hammer!







Molecular Biology

Background

• Graph Neural Network Basics.

$$\begin{split} &a_v^{(k+1)} = Aggregate^{(k+1)}(h_u^{(k)}|u \in \mathbf{N}(v) \cup h_v^{(k)}) \\ &h_v^{(k+1)} = Update^{(k+1)}(a_v^{(k+1)}) \end{split}$$



- Basic computation in GNNs.
 - Neighbor aggregation (SpMM-like).

 $\mathbf{\hat{X}} = (\mathbf{F}_{N \times N} \odot \mathbf{A}_{N \times N}) \cdot X_{N \times D})$

• Edge feature computation (SDDMM-like).



Background

- GPU Tensor Cores (TCs).
 - TC supports the compute primitive of
 D = A × B + C.
 - Matrix tile A, B and C are certain precision (e.g., tf-32, fp-16)



Figure 3: A Subcore of GPU SM with TCUs.

- Programming of TCs.
 - cuBLAS cublasSgemmEX APIs with limited precision option (e.g., INT8, FP16)
 - Warp Matrix Multiply-Accumulate (WMMA) (nvcuda::wmma) API in CUDA C.

Listing 1. Basic WMMA APIs for TCU in CUDA C.

- 1 // define the register fragment for matrix A (1-bit).
 2 wmma::fragment<matrix_a, M, N, K, bl, row_major> a_frag;
 3 // load a tile of matrix A to register fragment.
- 4 wmma::load_matrix_sync(a_frag, A, M);
- 5 // matrix-matrix multiplication (1-bit x 1-bit -> 32-bit)
- 6 wmma::mma_sync(c_frag, a_frag, b_frag, c_frag);
- 7 // store the C matrix tile from register to matrix C.
- wmma::store_matrix_sync(C, c_frag, N, mem_row_major);

Challenges

- Existing deep-learning frameworks are optimized for dense neural network operations.
- Existing major **sparse computation kernels** (e.g., cuSPARSE) leverage CUDA cores.
- Existing Tensor-Core based kernels (e.g., Block-SpMM) rely on rigid input sparsity pattern (e.g., block sparsity).

Lack of efficient support for sparse graph neural network computation.

Underutilize the latest GPU with new hardware feature that can offer high-performance computation.

Limits its applicability towards different sparse inputs settings.

Motivations



Figure 2. SpMM-like and SDDMM-like Operation in GNNs. Note that " \rightarrow " indicates loading data; " \oplus " indicates neighbor embedding accumulation.

Apply separate optimization on one direction only would hardly work

Sparse MM on CUDA core

Profiling of GCN Sparse Operations.

Cora	15.06
Citeseer	15.19
Pubmed	16.24
Citeseer Pubmed	

GNNs fit GPUs

GPUs fit GNN

Dense MM on CUDA core

Medium-size Graphs in GNNs.

Dataset	# Nodes	# Edges	es Memory		Eff.Comp	
OVCR-8H	1,890,931	3,946,402		14302.48 GB	0.36%	
Yeast	1,714,644	3,636,546	,	11760.02 GB	0.32%	
DD	334,925	1,686,092		448.70 GB	0.03%	

Question:

How could we match the sparse GNN workload with GPUs to achieve high computation efficiency and better utilization of GPU resources?

TC-GNN Overview

- The first TC-based GNN acceleration design on GPUs.
- At the input level technique.
- At the kernel level innovation.
- At the framework level design.

"Let the input sparse graph fit the dense computation of Tensor Core"

> Sparse graph translation (SGT) technique condense non-zero elements from sparse tiles into a fewer number of "dense" tiles

TC-GNN exploits the benefits of CUDA core and tensor core collaboration.

TC-GNN integrates with the popular Pytorch framework.

Overall Design





Sparse Graph Translation



Sparse Graph Translation



1. Fewer number of iterations for Calling TC WMMA primitives.

2. Fewer number of dense row access for node embedding vector.

3. Lower Shared Memory Usage due to more condensed tiles loading.

TC-aware Sparse Graph Translation

Algorithm 1: TCU-aware Sparse Graph Translation.



TC-optimized Dataflow



TC-Optimized Dataflow Design for (a) Neighbor Aggregation and (b) Edge Feature Computing in GNNs

TC-tailored SpMM

Algorithm 2: TC-GNN Neighbor Aggregation.

in ol	edgeToCol, winPartition) and node embedding matrix $(\hat{\mathbf{X}})$.	AST,
/*	Traverse through all row windows. Bloc	k
10	/* #TC blocks of the row window.	*/
	numTCblocks = winPartition[winId];	1
	<pre>/* Edge range of TC blocks of the row window.</pre>	*/
	edaeRan = GetEdgeRange(nodePointer. winId):	
	for TCblkId in numTCblocks do	
	<pre>/* The edgeList chunk in current TO WCU</pre>	
	edgeChunk = GetChunk(edgeList, edgeRan, TCblk1d);	
	<pre>/* Neighbor node Ids in current TC block.</pre>	*/
	colToNId = GetNeighbors(edgeChunk, edgeToCol);	
	/* Initiate a dense tile (ATile).	*/
	ATile = InitSparse(edgeChunk, winId);	
	/* Initiate a dense tile (<i>XTile</i>).	*/
	XTile, colld = FetchDense(colToNId, X);	
	/* Compute XnewTile via TCU GEMM.	*/
	XnewTile = TCcompute(ATile, XTile);	
	/* Store XnewTile of X.	*/
	X = StoreDense $(XNewTile, winId, colId);$	
	end	

TC-tailored SDDMM



Evaluation

• Baseline:

- Deep Graph Library (DGL)
- PyTorch Geometric (PyG)

• GNN model:

- GCN (Graph Convolutional Network)
- AGNN (Attention-based GNN)

• Platform:

 A desktop server with 8-core 16-thread — Intel Xeon Silver 4110 CPU (64GB host memory) and NVIDIA RTX3090 GPU (24GB device memory)

Туре	Dataset	#Vertex	#Edge	Dim.	#Class
	Citeseer	3,327	9,464	3703	6
	Cora	2,708	10,858	1433	7
1	Pubmed	19,717	88,676	500	3
	PPI	56,944	818,716	50	121
	PROTEINS_full	43,471	162,088	29	2
	OVCAR-8H	1,890,931	3,946,402	66	2
п	Yeast	1,714,644	3,636,546	74	2
	DD	334,925	1,686,092	89	2
	YeastH	3,139,988	6,487,230	75	2
III	amazon0505	410,236	4,878,875	96	22
	artist	50,515	1,638,396	100	12
	com-amazon	334,863	1,851,744	96	22
	soc-BlogCatalog	88,784	2,093,195	128	39
	amazon0601	403,394	3,387,388	96	22

End-to-end Performance: DGL & PyG



Speedup over (a) DGL and (b) PyG on GCN and AGNN.

Avg: 1.70X

Operator Performance (dgl.op)

SpMM (dgl.op.copy_u_sum)

SDDMM (dgl.op.u_dot_v)

	dgl.op (ms)	TC-GNN (ms)
PROTEINS_full	0.088	0.044
OVCAR-8H	1.295	1.018
Yeast	1.183	0.862
DD	0.454	0.287
SW-620H	1.291	1.018

	dgl.op (ms)	TC-GNN (ms)
PROTEINS_full	0.062	0.019
OVCAR-8H	0.466	0.054
Yeast	0.401	0.051
DD	0.170	0.026
SW-620H	0.476	0.055

Avg: 1.50X

Avg: 6.98X

Kernel Performance (cuSPARSE)

• SpMM w.r.t cuSPARSE with different embedding dimension. (GFLOPS)

	D (16)		D (32)		D (64)	
	cuSPARSE	TC-GNN	cuSPARSE	TC-GNN	cuSPARSE	TC-GNN
PROTEINS_full	90.13	130.89	170.55	226.63	276.46	348.73
OVCAR-8H	135.26	143.54	237.81	239.05	237.96	340.02
Yeast	135.42	157.97	238.12	261.76	230.25	366.61
DD	156.17	207.04	309.67	350.57	467.94	498.02
SW-620H	135.22	143.56	237.72	239.17	238.13	340.04

Avg: 1.23X

Future Works

- GPU-accelerated Preprocessing.
 - Current version is based on CPU + OpenMP parallel.
 - Intra-warp/block sorting for variable length edge list is needed (may use CUB library for fixed-length array sorting + padding).
- Support/optimization for multiple precision TC.
 - Current version is using TF32 on Ampere with WMMA shape of 16x8x16.
 - Adaptive optimization for different inputs settings (graph/dimension) when multiple WMMA shape available (e.g., FP16 with 16x8x8 and 16x8x16).

 $BN(x_{i,j}) = \left(\frac{x_{i,j} - \mathbb{E}[x_{*,j}]}{\sqrt{Var[x_{*,j}] + \epsilon}}\right) \cdot \gamma_j + \beta_j$

- Kernel Fusion with other layers.
 - Current version focuses on training.
 - More fusion operation in inference, such as Graphconv+BatchNorm.



Thank You





